Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect

Procedia Computer Science 48 (2015) 107 – 113

Procedia
Computer Science

International Conference on Intelligent Computing, Communication & Convergence

(ICCC-2015)

Conference Organized by Interscience Institute of Management and Technology,

Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India

Multi-Objective Tasks Scheduling Algorithm for Cloud Computing Throughput Optimization

Atul Vikas Lakra[a], Dharmendra Kumar Yadav[b]

[a]*Assistant Professor, Department of IT, VSSUT Burla, Sambalpur -768018,India*
[b]*Associate professor, Department of CSE, MNNIT Allahabad-211004, India*

Abstract

In cloud computing datacentersexert server unification to enhance the efficiency of resources. Many Vms (virtual machine) are running on each datacenter to utilize the resources efficiently. Most of the time cloud resources are underutilized due to poor scheduling of task (or application) in datacenter. In this paper, we propose a multi-objective task scheduling algorithm formappingtasks to a Vms in order to improve the throughput of the datacenter and reduce the cost without violating the SLA (Service Level Agreement) for an application in cloud SaaS environment. The proposed algorithm provides an optimal scheduling method. Most of the algorithms schedule tasks based on single criteria (i.e execution time). But in cloud environment it is required to consider various criteria like execution time, cost, bandwidth of user etc. This algorithm is simulated using CloudSim simulator and the result shows better performance and improved throughput.

Peer-review under responsibility of scientific committee of International Conference on Computer, Communication and Convergence (ICCC 2015)

Keywords: cloud computing; priority; non-dominated sorting; QoS; VM(Virtual machine).

1. INTRODUCTION

Within few years cloud computing grab the IT market very fast and most of the IT industry start using the cloud computing. In cloud computing the word cloud refers as internet, so the meaning of cloud computing is Internet Based Computing. In other words it's a kind of server based computing. Cloud computing provide on demand services to the client. The services includes SaaS (Software as a service) where application software and database access provided to the user pay per use basis, IaaS (infrastructure as a Service) where virtual machine provided to the user using virtualization of physical machine which includes processing power, storage and other resources, PaaS (Platform as a Service) where cloud provider provides a computing platform which includes OS, programming language execution platform and web server. Cloud computing serves on demand requests of the users with self-managed virtual infra-structure and with efficient resources utilization. Growth of cloud computing slower down the efficiency, throughput and utilization of resources for which cloud computing need to be evolved. Apart from many ways to enhance the throughput and efficient resource utilization one way is the cloud task scheduling. Through task scheduling we can manage the resource utilization which in turn increases the throughput of the system. Scheduling refers to the mapping or assigning a task to a specific Vm , such that resource utilization increase. An efficient task scheduling algorithm improves the overall system performance and helpsservice provider to provide good quality of services (QoS). In cloud computing broker plays an important role. Brokers have the list of Vms and its QoS.

A high performance Vm assign with the high QoS. Broker takes the requests from the user and sends the request

Peer-review under responsibility of scientific committee of International Conference on Computer, Communication and Convergence (ICCC 2015)
doi:10.1016/j.procs.2015.04.158

to the one of the Vm which meets the user requirement and the service level argument (SLA). At the time of assigning the request to a particular Vm the quality of service (QoS) for the request or task should not decrease. Sometimes a good QoS task is assign to a low QoSVmwhich leads to the poor utilization of resources and this violates the SLA. So an efficient task scheduling algorithm should be implemented at the broker.

Rest of the paper is organized asfollows. The section 2, describe some of the works related in the area of task scheduling. Thesection 3, describe the proposed work. The section 4, describe the experiment setup and simulation results. The section 5, conclude the proposed algorithm.

2. Related Work

Cloud computing is a new technology and still is in the developing stage. Cloud computing enhances its performance and throughput by using an efficient task scheduling algorithm. Most of the task scheduling algorithm, for cloud computing have been proposed in the last few years are based on QoS. QoS parameters include execution time, deadline, cost, bandwidth of communication; make span, reliability, scalability and many others. Based on QoS parameters a task is selected for the execution on a selected VM, which increases the resource utilization and the throughput of the overall system.

One of the traditional methods for selecting a task from a group of tasks has been done by priority scheduling. Priority of a task can be assigned dynamically using the QoS parameter at runtime. Static priority assignment for tasks faces many difficulties. QoS has the ability to provide different priority to different applications, users, or data flows, or to guarantee a certain level performance data flow. In [6, 10] authors assigns priority to different tasks by taking QoS parameter such as execution time and cost of application and QoS value.

In [1, 11] authors proposed an algorithm for task scheduling based on multiple criteria and multiple decision to choose a task to be executed in a particular VM. Multiple criteria include the various QoS parameters. These algorithm helps to reduce the make span of the system.

Optimized task scheduling algorithms using genetic algorithms put system into an optimal stage without trapping the system to a local optimal stage. In [5] authors proposed an algorithm based on NSGA-II for load balancing of CPU, memory and bandwidth in cloud computing and [4] author uses the combination of genetic algorithm along with fuzzy optimization theory. Nature inspired algorithm is also used, such as ant colony optimization. In ant colony optimization ant moves in random direction for the search of food source around the colony. Here the ants are tasks and the food sources are VMs. In [14, 15] authors implements modified ant colony optimization to minimizing the execution time and cost by considering execution time , arrival time and other QoS parameter as a criteria for searching a best VM for the execution of tasks such that the make span of the system is reduced.

The main job of broker is to allocate the VM to a task. At runtime broker decides mapping of task to a VM. Sometimes single tasks with multiple users [3] are mapped to VM and sometimes from a group of task a particular task is picked up for the allocation of VM depending upon the execution time and arrival time [6, 7, 9, 12, 16]. The tasks in the group is selected sequentially and submitted to the Virtual Machine. The process of allocation is done repeatedly until the entire tasks in the queue finish its execution. This leads to a minimized make span of the VMs and reduces completion time or execution time of task. Main goal of all tasks scheduling algorithm is to minimize the execution time, cost, make span but few algorithm has been proposed to increase the scalability [13] and reliability [15] of the whole system. These task scheduling algorithms increase the QoS of the system.

3. Proposed Work

3.1 Introduction

Cloud computing service providers have several datacentres in order to optimally serve customer needs around the world. However, existing system does not provide the proper scheduling of customer requested application among the VMs in datacenters to achieve reasonable QoS levels. Every datacenter in cloud computing consist of numerous servers and each server runs numerous VMs. Each VMs have different capability to execute different QoS's tasks requested by the customer.


Broker
Task Scheduler
List of Virtual machines Submitted from Different Vm Server
List of Tasks
Assign Priority of task based on Qos Value
Non Dominated Sorting
Mapping of sorted task to the list of Vms Sequentially from non-dominated list
Internet
Requests
Send the compute data
Bind task to the Assigned Vm
Send the list of Vm
Cloud Coordinator
Vm Server
Vm Server
Vm Server
Vm Server
Vm Server
Cloud Computing

Fig. 1. Cloud computing architecture and the proposed work

The cloud computing architecture and the proposed work is shown in Fig.1.Cloud broker is responsible for mediating negotiations between SaaS and cloud provider and such negotiation are driven by QoS requirements. Broker acts on behalf of SaaS for allocation of resources that can meet application's QoS requirements.

3.2 Problem Statement

The main problem is to bind set of tasks received by the broker to the received list of VMs, so that execution time of workload is reduced to minimal optimized time. Single objective scheduling algorithms have some problem. In priority task scheduling [22, 23], high priority tasks always get chance to execute, due to this low priority task have to wait for a long time. Sometimes low priority task gets a chance to execute but, if high priority tasks keep coming then low priority task is preempted and CPU is allocated to high priority task and this leads to increase in execution time of a task as well as it reduces the throughput of the system. Similarly in First Come First Serve [22, 23] and Shortest Job First task scheduling [22, 23] algorithms face problem in worst case scenario. These algorithms perform very well in the best case but in worst case they degrade the performance to very low level. So an efficient scheduling algorithm is required which can provide optimized performance in both cases. Using a proper scheduling algorithm implementation in broker improves the datacenter's performance without violating SLA. The order of task submission and the VMs also influence the execution time of the entire workload.

VM characteristic define as Vi ={IDi , Mi } , where Vi is the ith Virtual machine, IDi is the ID of VM and Mi is the MIPS of ith VM. Task characteristic define as Ti ={IDi , Qi ,Si } , Where IDi is the task ID, Qi is the QoS value of a task and Si is the size of the task(MI) of ith Task.

3. 3 Assigning QoS for Tasks and VMs

Cloud broker sends request to the cloud service provider for the QoS of requested task. In proposed task scheduling algorithm task's priority is assigned according to the QoS. High QoS task assigned with low QoS value and the low QoS task assigned with high QoS value. Hence, the task with lower QoS value is a high priority and the task with high QoS value is a low priority. The QoSfor tasks are documented in SLA. Task's QoS value is associated throughout its life cycle. Also cloud broker sends request to the cloud service provider for list of VMs created in the datacenters. After receiving the list of VMs cloud broker assignsQoS to the VMs. Millions of instructions per second (MIPS) of a VM is considered for assigning VM's QoS. VM with high MIPS is a high QoS VM and VM with low MIPS is low QoS VM.

3.4 Non-dominated sorting

A non-dominated sorting [8, 5] is used to solve the multi-objective problems. In multi-objective problems multiple objective functions are considered. In the proposed work, the main goal is to minimize the execution time of a task. Main goal is achieved by selecting a task with minimum task size and minimum (low)QoS value. The two objective functions are as follows.

$$\text{Minimize } f(S_k) = S_k \mid \forall j\ \exists i,\ f(S_i) \leq f(S_j) \tag{1}$$

$$\text{Minimize } f(Q_k) = Q_k \mid \forall j\ \exists i,\ f(Q_i) \leq f(Q_j) \tag{2}$$

$S, Q \in T\ (ID, Q, S)$

$i = \{1, 2, 3....n\}, j = \{1, 2, 3....n\}, k = \{1, 2, 3....n\}$

Where, S is size of the task and Q is the task's QoS value, T is the set of task and n is the number of task.

A non-dominated sorting is used to implement the multi-objective task scheduling algorithm with the above objectives. In non-dominated sorting, multiple objectives are applied at a time.

3.5 Virtual Machine Selection

Cloud broker maintains a list of VM for VMs. This list is updated in fixed timeinterval and dynamically at the pick time when number of requests increase suddenly.According to the MIPS of VM, list of VMs is sorted in descending order. VM in thefirst position of the list have high QoS and at the end of the list low QoS VM. Afternon-dominated sorting finally generated non-dominated task's set is bound with theVMs. In the process of binding or allocation of VM to a task, it is done sequentiallyaccording to both the list of tasks and VMs. The first VM from the VM's list to thefirst task in the task's list and second VM in the VM's list with second task in thetask's list. Once the allocation reached the last VM, the next task will be submittedonce again to the first VM of the VM's list and the process of allocation will berepeated for all tasks. The proposed multi-objective task scheduling algorithm isdescribed in Algorithm 1.



Algorithm 1 : Multi-objective task scheduling algorithm

```
1. Submit both VMs list of successfully created VMs in datacenter and task list to
Broker.
2. Create a received list of tasks.
3. Create a received list of VMs.
4. Non-dominated sorting (list of task)
  $i \leftarrow 0$
  Create empty non-dominated list
  dominated list $\leftarrow$list of task
  Initially put $task_i$ in the non-dominated list
  for all $i \leftarrow 1$ to size of task's list do
    for all $j \leftarrow 0$ to size of non-dominated list do
      if $task_j$ dominates $task_i$ then
        put $task_j$ into non dominated set
      else
        if $task_i$ dominates $task_j$ then
          put $task_i$ into non dominated set
        end if
      else
        put $task_i$ and $task_j$ into non dominated set
      end if
    end for
  end for
  5. Sort the list of task according to the non-dominated task set.
  6. Sort the VM received list in descending order .
  7. $j \leftarrow 0$ 7.
  for all $i \leftarrow 0$ to the size of task's list do
    if $j \geq 0$ then
      Bind $task_i$ to the $VM_j$ j++
      if j== number of VMs then
        j=0
      end if
    end if
  end for
```

4. Experiment & Results

In order to obtain results of the proposed algorithm the simulation was done using CloudSim 3.0.2 simulator on windows 7 OS with Core i3 2.10GHz processor. NetBeans IDE 7.2.1 is used to run CloudSim 3.0.2.In oursimulation scenario, the proposed algorithm is compared to the existing taskscheduling algorithm, for this purpose following illustrative example is taken.We have created many VMs and tasks with different task size. Task size ranges from 1000 to 8000 and the QoS valueranges from 0-9. Task which has low QoS value has the higher priority. The VMs have been created which have processing power ranges from1000-5000MIPS.

Table 1. Workload

Workload	Number of VM	Number of Task
Workload 1	3	20
Workload 2	3	50
Workload 3	3	100
Workload 4	3	200
Workload 5	5	50
Workload 6	10	100



Fig. 2 Comparisons of proposed scheduling algorithm with priority scheduling and FCFS algorithm

The comparison of turnaroundtime is shown in Fig.2of three task scheduling algorithms with sixdifferent workloads as tabulated in Table 1. From the comparison of these threetasks scheduling algorithms it is observed that the proposed algorithm performs betterthan other two algorithms with minimum execution time and increased throughputof the cloud computing system.

5. Conclusion

In proposed multi-objective task scheduling algorithm for cloud computing environment is an optimal task scheduling algorithm which provides the minimum overall execution time. Cloud computing works in real time and single criteria based algorithm may not be the one for task scheduling. The proposed algorithm can be improved by taking consideration of some otherQoS parameters.

References

1. Shamsollah Ghanbari, Mohamed Othman “A Priority based Job SchedulingAlgorithm in Cloud Computing”, International Conference on Advances Scienceand Contemporary Engineering 2012 (ICASCE 2012).
2. El-Sayed T. El-kenawy, Ali Ibraheem El-Desoky, Mohamed F. Al-rahamawy“Extended Max-Min Scheduling Using Petri Net and Load Balancing,”International Journal of Soft Computing and Engineering (IJSCE) ISSN:2231-2307, Volume-2, Issue-4, September 2012.
3. ShalmaliAmbike, DiptiBhansali, JaeeKshirsagar, JuhiBansiwal “AnOptimistic Differentiated Job Scheduling System for Cloud Computing,”International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications (IJERA)ISSN: 2248-9622 www.ijera.com Vol. 2, Issue 2,Mar-Apr 2012, pp.1212-1214.
4. SandeepTayal “Task Scheduling optimization for the Cloud Computing Systems”,”(IJAEST) International journal of advanced engineering sciences andtechnologies Vol No. 5, Issue No. 2, 111 115.
5. Jianfeng Zhao; WenhuaZeng; Min Liu; Guangming Li; Min Liu, “Multiobjective optimization model of virtual resources scheduling under cloud computing and it’s solution,”Cloud and Service Computing (CSC), 2011 International Conference on , vol., no., pp.185,190, 12-14 Dec. 2011.

6. Wei-JenWang, Yue-Shan Chang*, Win-Tsung Lo, and Yi-Kang Lee, "AdaptiveScheduling for Parallel Tasks with QoS Satisfaction for Hybrid Cloud Environments,"Journal of Supercomputing, DOI: 10.1007/s11227-013-0890-2. (SCI)2013.
7. Achar, R.; Thilagam, P.S.; Shwetha, D.; Pooja, H.; Roshni; Andrea,"Optimal scheduling of computational task in cloud using Virtual MachineTree,"Emerging Applications of Information Technology (EAIT), 2012 ThirdInternational Conference on , vol., no., pp.143,146, Nov. 30 2012-Dec. 1 2012.
8. "Non-Dominated sorting"en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Multi-objective optimization.
9. Das, A.K.; Adhikary, T.; Razzaque, M.A.; ChoongSeon Hong, "An intelligent approach for virtual machine and QoS provisioning in cloud Computing,"Information Networking (ICOIN), 2013 International Conference on , vol.,no., pp.462,467, 28-30 Jan. 2013.
10.Moses, J.; Iyer, R.; Illikkal, R.; Srinivasan, S.; Aisopos, K., "Shared Resource Monitoring and Throughput Optimization in Cloud-Computing Datacenters,"Parallel & Distributed Processing Symposium (IPDPS), 2011 IEEEInternational , vol., no., pp.1024,1033, 16-20 May 2011.
11. Hilda Lawrance, Dr.Salaja Silas "Efficient QoS Based Resource SchedulingUsing PAPRIKA Method for Cloud Computing,"International Journal of Engineering Science and Technology (IJEST) Vol. 5, No.03 pp 638-643 March2013.
12. C. Lin, S. Lu, "Scheduling Scientific Workflows Elastically for Cloud Computing,"in IEEE 4th International Conference on Cloud Computing, pp. 246-247,2011.
13. Mrs.S.Selvarani1; Dr.G.SudhaSadhasivam, "Improved cost-based algorithm fortask scheduling in Cloud computing,"IEEE 2010.
14.Raju, R.; Babukarthik, R.G.; Chandramohan, D.; Dhavachelvan, P.; Vengattaraman, T., "Minimizing the make span using Hybrid algorithm for cloud computing,"Advance Computing Conference (IACC), 2013 IEEE 3rd International, vol., no., pp.957,962, 22-23 Feb. 2013.
15.R.Gogulan, .A.Kavitha, U.Karthick Kumar "An Multiple Pheromone Algorithm for Cloud Scheduling With Various QoSRequirements,"IJCSI International Journal of Computer Science Issues, Vol. 9, Issue 3, No 1, May 2012.
16. Nguyen, QuyetThang; Quang-Hung, Nguyen; Tuong, Nguyen Huynh; Tran,Van Hoai; Thoai, Nam, "Virtual machine allocation in cloud computing for minimizing total execution time on each machine,"Computing, Management andTelecommunications (ComManTel), 2013 International Conference on , vol.,no., pp.241,245, 21-24 Jan. 2013.
17. Rodrigo N. Calheiros, Rajiv Ranjan, Anton Beloglazov, Cesar A. F. De Rose,and RajkumarBuyya, "CloudSim: A Toolkit for Modeling and Simulationof Cloud Computing Environments and Evaluation of Resource ProvisioningAlgorithms,"Software: Practice and Experience (SPE), Volume 41, Number 1,Pages: 23-50, ISSN: 0038-0644, Wiley Press, New York, USA, January, 2011.
18. I. Foster, Y. Zhao, I. Raicu, and S. Lu."Cloud computing and grid computing 360-degree com-pared."In Proceedings of Grid Computing EnvironmentsWorkshop, pages 1—10, 2008.
19. D. M. S. Daryl C. Plummer, David W. Cearley. "Cloud computing confusionleads to opportunity. Technical report,"Gartner Research, 2008.
20. P. Mell and T. Grance.The NIST Definition of Cloud Computing (Draft).National Institute of Standards and Technology, 53:7, 2010.
21. Cloud computing and distributed computing.http://www.cncloudcomputing.co
22. Tasks Scheduling(computing) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Scheduling (computing).
23. Tasks Scheduling http://www.personal.kent.edu/ rmuhamma/OpSystems/os.html

7Author name