1 Introduction

2 Hellinger-Reissner weak form for elastic thin plate problems

2.1 Kinematics

Consider a thin plate with the thickness h, as shown in figure ??, Accordance with Kirchhoff's hypothesis, the displacement for a thin plate denoted by \hat{u} is a linear function in deflection direction:

$$\begin{cases} \hat{u}_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{x}) = u_{\alpha}(x_1, x_2) - x_3 w_{,\alpha} & \alpha = 1, 2\\ \hat{u}_3(\boldsymbol{x}) = w(x_1, x_2) \end{cases}$$
 (1)

with the xiaobianxing assumption, the components of strain tensor is given by:

$$\begin{cases} \hat{\varepsilon}_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{u}_{\alpha,\beta} + \hat{u}_{\beta,\alpha}) = \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} + x_3 \kappa_{\alpha\beta}, & \alpha, \beta = 1, 2\\ \hat{\varepsilon}_{3i} = \hat{\varepsilon}_{i3} = 0, & i = 1, 2, 3 \end{cases}$$
 (2)

with

$$\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2}(u_{\alpha,\beta} + u_{\beta,\alpha}), \quad \kappa_{\alpha\beta} = -w_{,\alpha\beta}$$
 (3)

under this circumstance, the potential prob the problem can be split into two independent problems, the traditional plane stress problem with variable u_{α} , and the thin plate problem with variable w.

$$\hat{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} = \mathbb{C}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\eta}\hat{\varepsilon}_{\gamma\eta} = \mathbb{C}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\eta}(\varepsilon_{\gamma\eta} + x_3\kappa_{\gamma\eta}) \tag{4}$$

$$\int_{\hat{\Omega}} \frac{1}{2} \hat{\varepsilon}_{ij} \hat{\sigma}_{ij} d\Omega = \int_{\Omega} \int_{-\frac{h}{2}}^{\frac{h}{2}} \frac{1}{2} (\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} + x_3 \kappa_{\alpha\beta}) \mathbb{C}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\eta} (\varepsilon_{\gamma\eta} + x_3 \kappa_{\gamma\eta}) dx_3 d\Omega
= \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} \sigma_{\alpha\beta} d\Omega + \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} \kappa_{\alpha\beta} M_{\alpha\beta} d\Omega$$
(5)

where

$$\sigma_{\alpha\beta} = \mathbb{C}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\eta}\varepsilon_{\gamma\eta}, \quad M_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{h^3}{12}\mathbb{C}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\eta}\kappa_{\gamma\eta}$$
 (6)

2.2 Elasticity problems

For elasticity problems, the Hellinger-Reissner energy functional is given by:

$$\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{u}) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) : \boldsymbol{\sigma} d\Omega - \int_{\Gamma_{\boldsymbol{u}}} \boldsymbol{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \bar{\boldsymbol{u}} d\Gamma$$
$$- \int_{\Gamma_{\boldsymbol{u}}} \boldsymbol{u} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} - \bar{\boldsymbol{t}}) d\Gamma + \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{u} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \nabla + \bar{\boldsymbol{b}}) d\Omega \quad (7)$$

where ε and σ stands for the strain and stress tensor respectively. Γ_u and Γ_t are the essential boundary and natural boundary satisfying that $\Gamma_u \cup \Gamma_t = \partial \Omega$, $\Gamma_u \cap \Gamma_t = \varnothing$. \bar{u} and \bar{t} are the prescribed displacement and traction on Γ_u and Γ_t . \bar{b} denotes to the prescribed body force in Ω . Introducing the standard variation argument to Eq.7 leads to the following HR weak form:

find
$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{u} \in H_1$$

$$\begin{cases} a(\delta \boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}) + b(\delta \boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{u}) = g(\delta \boldsymbol{\sigma}) & \forall \delta \boldsymbol{\sigma} \in H_1 \\ b(\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \delta \boldsymbol{u}) = f(\delta \boldsymbol{u}) & \forall \delta \boldsymbol{u} \in H_1 \end{cases}$$
(8)

where $a: L_2 \times L_2 \to \mathbb{R}$, $b: L_2 \times L_2 \to \mathbb{R}$ are bilinear forms:

$$a(\delta \boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}) = \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\delta \boldsymbol{\sigma}) : \boldsymbol{\sigma} d\Omega \tag{9}$$

$$b(\delta \boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{u}) = -\int_{\Gamma} \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} d\Gamma + \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \nabla d\Omega + \int_{\Gamma_{\boldsymbol{u}}} \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} d\Gamma \qquad (10)$$

and g, f are the linear operators evaluated by

$$g(\delta \boldsymbol{\sigma}) = \int_{\Gamma_n} \boldsymbol{n} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \bar{\boldsymbol{u}} d\Gamma \tag{11}$$

$$f(\delta \boldsymbol{u}) = -\int_{\Gamma_t} \delta \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \bar{\boldsymbol{t}} d\Gamma - \int_{\Omega} \delta \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \bar{\boldsymbol{b}} d\Omega$$
 (12)

2.3 Thin plate problems

$$\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{M}, w) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\kappa}(\boldsymbol{M}) : \boldsymbol{M} d\Omega$$

$$- \int_{\Gamma_{w}} V_{\boldsymbol{n}}(\boldsymbol{M}) \bar{w} d\Gamma + \int_{\Gamma_{\theta}} M_{\boldsymbol{n}\boldsymbol{n}}(\boldsymbol{M}) \bar{\theta}_{\boldsymbol{n}} d\Gamma - P(\boldsymbol{M}) \bar{w}|_{\Gamma_{c}}$$

$$+ \int_{\Gamma_{M}} \theta_{\boldsymbol{n}}(w) (M_{\boldsymbol{n}\boldsymbol{n}} - \bar{M}_{\boldsymbol{n}\boldsymbol{n}}) d\Gamma - \int_{\Gamma_{V}} w(V_{\boldsymbol{n}} - \bar{V}_{\boldsymbol{n}}) d\Gamma$$

$$- w(P - \bar{P})|_{\Gamma_{p}} + \int_{\Omega} w(\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{M} \cdot \nabla + \bar{q}) d\Omega$$

$$(13)$$

find
$$\mathbf{M}, w \in H_2$$

$$\begin{cases} a(\delta \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{M}) + b(\delta \mathbf{M}, w) = g(\delta \mathbf{M}) & \forall \delta \mathbf{M} \in L_2 \\ b(\mathbf{M}, \delta w) = f(\delta w) & \forall \delta w \in H_2 \end{cases}$$
(14)

$$a(\delta \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{M}) = \int_{\Omega} \kappa(\delta \mathbf{M}) : \mathbf{M} d\Omega$$
 (15)

3 MLS/RK meshfree approximation

In accordance with Moving Least Square approximation (MLS) [1] or Reproducing Kernel approximation (RK) [2], the domain Ω is discrete by a set of

meshfree nodes $\{x_I\}_{I=1}^{n_p}$, n_p is the total number of meshfree nodes. And then, a variable u in Ω can be approximated as follow:

$$u^{h}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{I=1}^{n_{p}} \Psi_{I}(\boldsymbol{x}) d_{I}$$
(16)

where Ψ_I and d_I are the meshfree shape function and the nodal coefficient associated with meshfree node x_I . This RK shape function Ψ_I is constructed by the undetermined coefficient vector \boldsymbol{c} , the basis function vector \boldsymbol{p} and the kernel function ϕ :

$$\Psi_I(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{c}^T(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x}_I - \mathbf{x})\phi(\mathbf{x}_I - \mathbf{x})$$
(17)

For instance in 2D case, the basis function vector \boldsymbol{p} contains the pth order complete monomials:

$$\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x}) = \{1, \ x, \ y, \ x^2, \ \dots, \ y^p\}^T \tag{18}$$

The kernel function ϕ determines the support size and continuity of shape function, and the cubic and quintic spline functions is chosen herein for elasticity problems and thin plate problems respectively.

$$\phi(\boldsymbol{x}_I - \boldsymbol{x}) = \varphi(\frac{x_I - x}{h_x})\varphi(\frac{y_I - y}{h_y})$$
(19)

where h_i is the

• Cubic spline function:

$$\varphi(r) = \frac{1}{3!} \begin{cases} (2 - 2r)^3 - 4(1 - 2r)^3, & r \le \frac{1}{2} \\ (2 - 2r)^3, & \frac{1}{2} < r \le 1 \\ 0, & r > 1 \end{cases}$$
 (20)

• Quintic spline function:

$$\varphi(r) = \frac{1}{5!} \begin{cases} (3 - 3r)^5 - 6(2 - 3r)^5 + 15(1 - 3r)^5, & r \le \frac{1}{3} \\ (3 - 3r)^5 - 6(2 - 3r)^5, & \frac{1}{3} < r \le \frac{2}{3} \\ (3 - 3r)^5, & \frac{2}{3} < r \le 1 \\ 0, & r > 1 \end{cases}$$
(21)

in which h_i is the support size in x_i axis direction.

The undetermined vector \boldsymbol{c} can be attain by enforcing the following consistency condition:

$$\sum_{I=1}^{n_p} \Psi_I(\boldsymbol{x}) \boldsymbol{p}(\boldsymbol{x}_I) = \boldsymbol{p}(\boldsymbol{x})$$
 (22)

or with a shift-form

$$\sum_{I=1}^{n_p} \Psi_I(\boldsymbol{x}) \boldsymbol{p}(\boldsymbol{x}_I - \boldsymbol{x}) = \boldsymbol{p}(\boldsymbol{0})$$
 (23)

substituting Eq. 17 into the consistency condition leads to:

$$c(x) = A^{-1}(x)p(0)$$
(24)

with moment matrix \boldsymbol{A}

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{I=1}^{n_p} \mathbf{p}^T (\mathbf{x}_I - \mathbf{x}) \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x}_I - \mathbf{x}) \phi(\mathbf{x}_I - \mathbf{x})$$
(25)

It is noted that, to ensure the invertibility of moment matrix, a well-posed meshfree nodal distribution should be required, i.e. there should be sufficient meshfree nodes be covered by their support, as shown in Fig. ??. Under this circumstance,

$$||u - u^i||_{H_k} \le Ch^{p-k+1}|u|_{H_{n+1}}, \quad \forall k \le p+1$$
 (26)

In Hellinger-Reissner reproducing kernel gradient smoothing framework, the displacement \boldsymbol{u} is approximated by traditional meshfree shape functions, namely \boldsymbol{u}^h :

$$\boldsymbol{u}^{h}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{I=1}^{n_{p}} \Psi_{I}(\boldsymbol{x}) \boldsymbol{d}_{I}$$
 (27)

On the other hand, the components of stress tensor is assumed as a polynomial in each background cells:

$$\sigma_{ij}^h(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{q}^T(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{c}_{ij}, \quad \text{in } \Omega_C$$
 (28)

with

$$\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x}) = \{1, \ x, \ y, \ \dots, \ y^{p-1}\}^T$$
 (29)

Theorem 1.

$$\sup_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}^h \in Q} \|\boldsymbol{\sigma} - \boldsymbol{\sigma}^h\|_e \le Ch^p |\boldsymbol{\sigma}| \tag{30}$$

Proof.

$$\sigma_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) = \sigma_{ij}(\mathbf{0}) + x\sigma_{ij} \tag{31}$$

4 Error analysis for HR gradient smoothing meshfree formulation

Appendix A

In this appendix, we define some funcitional operator used in the main sections. Firstly, the energy norms for elasticity problems and thin plate problems are

defined by:

$$\|\boldsymbol{\sigma}\|_{e} = \frac{1}{2}a_{2}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) : \boldsymbol{\sigma}d\Omega$$
 (32)

$$\|\boldsymbol{M}\|_{e} = \frac{1}{2}a_{4}(\boldsymbol{M}, \boldsymbol{M}) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\kappa}(\boldsymbol{M}) : \boldsymbol{M}d\Omega$$
 (33)

References

- [1] T. Belytschko, Y. Y. Lu, L. Gu, Element-free Galerkin methods 37 (2) 229–256.
- [2] W. K. Liu, S. Jun, Y. F. Zhang, Reproducing kernel particle methods 20 (8-9) 1081–1106.