## Math 480 - Course Project

# Modeling and Optimizing Computer Science & Engineering Admissions

Davis Zvejnieks
Justin Zecak
Derek

### 1 Team

The project is comprised of students from Prof. Billey's Math 480 Spring Quarter class. The members of this team are:

- Derek
- Justin Zecak
- Davis Zvejnieks

## 2 Project Title

Modeling and Optimizing Computer Science & Engineering Admissions

## 3 Description

The University of Washington's Computer Science & Engineering (CSE) Department undergraduate program is competitive. Admission to the program is determined by committee after prerequisite classes are taken. The CSE department has information regarding past applicants. This information includes:

- University of Washington GPA
- Highest grades from each prerequisite class:
  - CS 141

- CS 142
- MATH 124
- English Composition
- Number of repeated prerequesite classes
- SAT scores
- Presence of survey from CS 141
- Admission to CSE program

We are tasked with creating a predictive model to match the decision of the admissions committee given select information from applicants. Once the predictive model has been formulated, we will examine techniques used to organize applicants and optimize decisions by the committee.

## 4 Impact

The University of Washington's CSE program is ranked in the top ten in the nation.<sup>1</sup> Currently there are roughly 750 undergraduate students<sup>2</sup> undergraduate students in the CSE program and less than 30% are admitted to the program<sup>3</sup>. The prestigious standing of the program with the large number of applicants warrants examination of this process.

The process admissions is not unique to the CSE program nor University of Washington. Solutions and methods to optimize this process should be universal and could be applied to general admissions to universities, graduate programs, or other competitive programs.

Our team has contacted Principal Lecturer of CSE, Stuart Reges, who is advising the project and relaying information from the admission committee. We have also consulted with Prof. Billey is a member of the Steering Committee of ACMS, which is responsible for admissions.

#### 5 Methods

We plan to use a multipart approach for modeling and optimizing admissions.

#### Model

The first step would be creating a predictive model. This step still utilizes

 $<sup>^{1} \</sup>verb|https://www.cs.washington.edu/prospective_students/undergrad|$ 

<sup>2</sup>https://www.cs.washington.edu/about\_us

 $<sup>^3</sup>$ https://www.cs.washington.edu/prospective\_students/undergrad/admissions

optimization, but will result in a function for predictive modeling. The predictive model would be a linear programming problem which minimizes the deviation of the predictive model's decision of admission from actual admission. This would provide different weights for each category provided. This could then be used to determine the importance of each category, and would allow for experimentation to see the results of aggregating certain categories and ignoring certain categories.

#### Optimization of admission process

This step would involve different approaches to organize students in order to make the decision by the committee more efficient. This could be approached as a chunking problem, where students are arranged into chunks of like students. The student with the median deviation from other students, could then be used as a representative for that group. Then each group could be treated with special conditions and rules.

Another approach could be translating the problem into a travelling salesman problem (TSP). Each student represents a node, with weighted edges determined by the deviation from dominant factor of the model process (e.g. UW GPA).

## 6 Example

This example demonstrates how the modeling process determines the weight of each category. This is presented as a mixed integer programming problem and is a rough draft.

#### Variables

Let:

```
y_i=1 if student predicted to be admitted, 0 otherwise z_i= deviation from actual acceptance x_1= weight of UW GPA x_2= weight of CS 141 GPA x_3= weight of CS 142 GPA x_4= weight of MATH 124 GPA x_5= weight of SAT scores s_{ij}= student i's respective scores for j=1,2,3,4,5
```

 $s_6 =$ student's actual acceptance

### **Objective Function**

$$\min_{i=1}^{n} z_i$$

#### Constraints

Set the prediction of admission or not based on weights, C is an arbitrary constant

$$Cy_i \ge x_1s_1 + x_2s_2 + x_3s_3 + x_4s_4 + x_5s_5 \forall i$$

Set deviation if prediction is wrong

$$z_i \ge y_i - s_{i,6} \forall i$$

### 7 References

The following study may prove useful in the results phase of the project. Certain scores may not be useful in predicting an applicant's potential.

Physics GRE Scores of Prize Postdoctoral Fellows in Astronomy by Emily M. Levesque, Rachel Bezanson, & Grant R. Tremblay <sup>4</sup>

<sup>4</sup>http://arxiv.org/abs/1512.03709