

Munich Internet Research Retreat 2016

This article is an editorial note submitted to CCR. It has NOT been peer reviewed.

The authors take full responsibility for this article's technical content. Comments can be posted through CCR Online.

ABSTRACT

This article summarises a 2 day long Munich Internet Research Retreat held in November 2016. The goal of the retreat was to provide a forum for both academic and industrial researchers to exchange ideas and get feedback on their current work. It was organized in a spirit that is similar to an highly interactive Dagstuhl seminars, with a very limited number of full-length talks, while dedicating most of the time to poster sessions, panels and group discussions. The entire set of presentations delivered during the seminar is made publicly available at [1].

Keywords

SDN, NFV, Security, IoT, Internet measurements

1. INTRODUCTION

2. INVITED PRESENTATIONS

The invited presentations were intended as a basis for triggering discussions and identifying areas for group work.

2.1 Edge Computing considered harmful

2.2 Towards A Clean Slate – Digital Sovereignty in the Post Snowden Era

2.3 On software network management

2.4 FlexNets: Quantifying Flexibility in Communication Networks

2.5 An Accidental Internet Architecture

2.6 Measuring IPv6 Performance

2.7 Path tracing and validation of IPv4 and IPv6 siblings

2.8 SWIFT: Predictive Fast Reroute upon Remote BGP Disruptions

2.9 Open Platforms for Cyber-physical systems

2.10 Collaborative intrusion handling using the Blackboard-Pattern

3. PARALLEL GROUP WORK

The afternoon sessions were used to discuss certain topics in more depth in smaller groups. This section summarises the discussions of each group.

3.1 SDN/NFV Measurements

3.2 SDN++: Applications Perspective

The breakout session entitled SDN++ dealt with SDN from the perspective of how to apply SDN, and how to introduce improvements to SDN (thereby creating SDN++), for better meeting the identified requirements. Participants of the breakout session were Laurent Vanbevier, Artur Hecker, Wolfgang Kellerer, Edwin Cordeiro and Georg Carle, the latter also being the presenter of the results. The method of the working group was first to identify relevant application areas of SDN, then assess to which extent known SDN approaches have shortcomings (i.e., identifying the 'SDN pain areas'), and subsequently identifying promising approaches for improving SDN. The application areas of SDN were (1) establishing means for programmability of the network, which can be used for improving certain network properties, (2) management of advanced cellular networks, in particular 5G networks, for different capabilities such as network slicing, and (3) providing means to add sophisticated control functionality to corporate networks, such as adding flexible access control. Identified weaknesses of existing SDN were the fact that existing SDN southbound interfaces, in particular OpenFlow, operate on a low level of abstraction, which makes programming of the network time-consuming and error prone. Identified areas of improvement and need for further work were specifying suitable high-level interfaces and abstractions. There further is the need to develop tools that are capable of automatically translate high-level specifications to low-level configuration. A complete tool chain is required. This includes measurement tools that are capable of monitoring changes. Network programmability is beneficial for measurement tools. It is expected that SDN management tools will facilitate to deal with the programmability of networks. Furthermore, verification tools will allow to detect and prevent attempts of wrongly programming the network. These tools will form a network operating system, with tools that operate on top of the operating system functions. Another need for improvement is the development of a clear transition path from today's networks to future SDN-based networks. This includes to identify which legacy functionalities from today's networks we assume being able to depend on in SDN deployments.

3.3 QUIC

3.4 DDoS Defence beyond Centralization

3.5 Security

The security breakout session covered civil liberties and privacy.

Firstly, the group set its focus and decided not to discuss the topics of trustworthy hardware or civil liberties, but instead to concentrate on SDN security and problems of cloudification.

Key results: 1) Customer networks are converging: Customers want less own hardware, and want to be more independent and to lease remote services and equipment rather than owning it. 2) Virtualization (which happens when you cloudify applications) amplifies known problems in traditional fields like security, trust, verifiability or visibility. 3) A special challenge is the cloudification of services that already utilize virtualization in the traditional model, for example sandboxes that analyze malware. For a cloud case, one would end up with nested virtualization, which in turn comes with even new problems concerning performance and visibility of the virtualization to the malware being inspected. 4) Encryption of data still leads to the usability of cloud scenarios being reduced to mostly SaaS, because homomorphic encryption is still not there to solve these problems. 5) Special problems with end-to-end security, e.g., there is more end-to-end encryption happening, which is good. As a downside however, it makes life harder for people inspecting traffic in the middle. If termination of encrypted connections is done in the cloud, there will be an unencrypted last mile as new security issue arising from this scenario.

3.6 IoT

4. POSTERS

Participants were also encouraged to volunteer to bring a poster to provide a perspective into their recent measurement research work.

4.1 The cost of Security in the SDN control Plane

In OpenFlow enabled Software Defined Networks (SDNs) network control is carried out remotely via a control connection. In order to deploy OpenFlow in production networks, security of the control connection is crucial. For OpenFlow connections TLS encryption is recommended by the specification. In this work, we analyze the TLS support in the OpenFlow eco-system. In particular, we implemented a performance measurement tool for encrypted OpenFlow connections, as there is none available. Our first results show that security comes at an extra cost and hence further work is needed to design efficient mechanisms taking the security-delay trade-off into account.

Published: R. Durner, W. Kellerer, The cost of Security in the SDN control Plane, ACM CoNEXT 2015 - Student Workshop, Heidelberg, Germany, Dezember 2015.

4.2 THE BALTIKUM TESTBED - Selected activities in the Baltikum Testbed

The poster showed a high-level overview to the recent activities in the Baltikum Testbed. The testbed which is focussed on performance measurements of x86-based packet processing systems provides an automated, documented, and reproducible experiment workflow. The poster presented several activities, comprising the load generator MoonGen, automated benchmarks of routers and OpenFlow switches, and different performance studies, including an IPsec gateway with NIC-offloading.

Ref: [1] P. Emmerich, S. Gallenmüller, D. Raumer, F. Wohlfart, and G. Carle. MoonGen: A Scriptable High-Speed Packet Generator. In Internet Measurement Conference 2015 (IMC'15), Tokyo, Japan, October 2015. [2] Sebastian Gallenmüller, Paul Emmerich, Florian Wohlfart, Daniel Raumer, and Georg Carle. Comparison of Frameworks for High-Performance Packet IO. In ACM/IEEE Symposium on Architectures for Networking and Communications Systems (ANCS 2015), Oakland, CA, USA, May 2015. [3] Daniel Raumer, Sebastian Gallenmüller, Paul Emmerich, Lukas Märdian, Florian Wohlfart, and Georg Carle. Efficient serving of VPN endpoints on COTS server hardware. In IEEE 5th International Conference on Cloud Networking (CloudNet'16), Pisa, Italy, October 2016. [4] Daniel Raumer, Sebastian Gallenmüller, Florian Wohlfart, Paul Emmerich, Patrick Werneck, and Georg Carle. Revisiting benchmarking methodology for interconnect devices. In Applied Networking Research Workshop 2016 (ANRW '16), Berlin, Germany, 2016.

4.3 Boost Virtual Network Resource Allocation: Using Machine Learning for Optimization

Rapidly and efficiently allocating virtual network resources, i.e., solving the online Virtual Network Embedding (VNE) problem is important in particular for future communication networks. We propose a system using an admission control to improve the performance for the online VNE problem. The admission control implements a Neural Network that classifies virtual network requests based on network representations, which are using graph and network resource features only. Via simulations, we demonstrate that the admission control, i.e., the Neural Network filters virtual network requests that are either infeasible or that need too long for being efficiently processed. Thus, our admission control reduces the overall system runtime, i.e., it improves the overall calculation efficiency for the online VNE problem. Generally, we demonstrate the ability to learn from the history of VNE algorithms. We show that it is possible to learn the behavior of algorithms and how to integrate this knowledge when solving future problem instances.

Reference: [1] A. Blenk, P. Kalmbach, P. van der Smagt, W. Kellerer, Boost Online Virtual Network Embedding: Using Neural Networks for Admission Control, 12th International Conference on Network and Service Management (CNSM), Montreal, Quebec, Canada, Oktober 2016.

4.4 HyperFlex: Towards Flexible, Reliable and Dynamic SDN Virtualization Layer

The virtualization of Software Defined Networks (SDN) allows multiple tenants to share a physical SDN infrastructure, where each tenant can bring its own controller for a flexible control of its virtual SDN network (vSDN). In order to virtualize SDN networks, a network hypervisor is deployed between the physical infrastructure and the tenants' controllers. We present, HyperFlex, a flexible, reliable and dynamic SDN virtualization layer. HyperFlex achieves the flexibility of deploying hypervisor functions as software or alternatively using available processing capabilities of network nodes. It also provides resources isolation for the control plane of vSDNs. Additionally, HyperFlex supports the dynamic migration of network hypervisor instances on run time. These features are key steps towards vigorous slicing in 5G.

References: [1] A. Blenk, A. Basta, M. Reisslein, and W. Kellerer, "Survey on Network Virtualization Hypervisors for Software Defined Networking," IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials, pp. 1–32, 2015. [2] A. Blenk, A. Basta, and W. Kellerer, "HyperFlex: An SDN virtualization architecture with flexible hypervisor function allocation," in Proc. IFIP/IEEE Conf. IM, pp. 397–405, 2015. [3] A. Basta, A. Blenk, H. Belhaj Hassine, and W. Kellerer, "Towards a dynamic SDN virtualization layer: Control path migration protocol," in Proc. ManSDN/NFV Workshop (CNSM), 2015. [4] A. Blenk, A. Basta, J. Zerwas, M. Reisslein, and W. Kellerer, "Control plane latency with sdn network hypervisors: The cost of virtualization," IEEE Transactions on Network and Service Management, pp. 360–380, 2016. [5] A. Basta, A. Blenk, Y.-T. Lai, and W. Kellerer, "HyperFlex: Demonstrating control-plane isolation for virtual software-defined networks," in Proc. IFIP/IEEE Conf. IM, pp. 1163–1164., 2015.

5. CONCLUSIONS AND NEXT STEPS

Collected feedback. Mirja: good chance to talk to people, topics are a bit too diverse;

Dirk: good to have an overview and bring opinions to the companies, to have deeper discussion for certain topics;

Vaihbav: junior to talk on first day, senior on second day;

Joerg: less presentations

Lars: break out session are good, longer break session, dedicated session for PhD students;

invite more industrial participants

Acknowledgements

This seminar was located at the TUM Science and Study Center in Raitenhaslach, Germany, supported by ... The organisers would like to thank the participants (alphabetically ordered by first name) for their contributions:

Aaron Yi Ding (TUM CM), Alberto Martínez Alba (TUM LKN), Alexander von Gernler (genua GmbH), Andreas Blenk (TUM LKN), Arsany Basta (TUM LKN), Artur Hecker (Huawei), Brian Trammell (ETH Zürich), Christian Prehofer (fortiss, TUM), Claas Lorenz (genua GmbH), Daniel Raumer (TUM

NET), Dirk Kutscher (Huawei), Edwin Cordeiro (TUM NET), Florian Westphal (Red Hat), Georg Carle (TUM NET), Hagen Paul Pfeifer (Rohde & Schwarz), Heiko Niedermayer (TUM NET), Johannes Naab (TUM NET), Jörg Ott (TUM CM), Holger Kinkel (TUM NET), Lars Eggert (NetApp), Laurent Vanbever (ETH Zürich), Marco Hoffmann (Nokia Bell Labs), Markus Klügel (TUM LKN), Matthias Wachs (TUM NET), Minoo Rouhi (TUM NET), Mirja Kühlewind (ETH Zurich), Nemanja Djerić (TUM LKN), Paul Emmerich (TUM NET), Pavel Laskov (Huawei), Peter Babarczy (TUM NET), Raphael Durner (TUM LKN), Rastin Pries (Nokia Bell Labs), Rolf Winter (University of Applied Sciences Augsburg), Sebastian Gallenmüller (TUM NET), Vaibhav Bajpai (Jacobs University Bremen), Wolfgang Kellerer (TUM LKN),

6. REFERENCES

- [1] Munich Internet Research Retreat 2016: Materials. <https://www.cm.in.tum.de/en/mir>.