

Chapter 1 - Introduction to Data: Solution

Smoking habits of UK residents. (1.10, p. 20) A survey was conducted to study the smoking habits of UK residents. Below is a data matrix displaying a portion of the data collected in this survey. Note that “£” stands for British Pounds Sterling, “cig” stands for cigarettes, and “N/A” refers to a missing component of the data.

	sex	age	marital	grossIncome	smoke	amtWeekends	amtWeekdays
1	Female	42	Single	Under £2,600	Yes	12 cig/day	12 cig/day
2	Male	44	Single	£10,400 to £15,600	No	N/A	N/A
3	Male	53	Married	Above £36,400	Yes	6 cig/day	6 cig/day
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
1691	Male	40	Single	£2,600 to £5,200	Yes	8 cig/day	8 cig/day

- (a) What does each row of the data matrix represent? Each row represents a single UK resident
- (b) How many participants were included in the survey? They were 1691 participants
- (c) Indicate whether each variable in the study is numerical or categorical. If numerical, identify as continuous or discrete. If categorical, indicate if the variable is ordinal.

Variable	Type
Sex	categorical
age	numerical, discrete
marital	categorical
grossIncome	categorical, ordinal
smoke	categorical
amtWeekends	numerical discrete
amtWeekdays	numerical discrete

Cheaters, scope of inference. (1.14, p. 29) Exercise 1.5 introduces a study where researchers studying the relationship between honesty, age, and self-control conducted an experiment on 160 children between the ages of 5 and 15¹. The researchers asked each child to toss a fair coin in private and to record the outcome (white or black) on a paper sheet, and said they would only reward children who report white. Half the students were explicitly told not to cheat and the others were not given any explicit instructions. Differences were observed in the cheating rates in the instruction and no instruction groups, as well as some differences across children's characteristics within each group.

- (a) Identify the population of interest and the sample in this study.

The population of interest is children between ages of 5 and 15, and the sample is 160 children they conducted the research with.

- (b) Comment on whether or not the results of the study can be generalized to the population, and if the findings of the study can be used to establish causal relationships.

Considering the population as all children between ages of 5 and 15, the sample used in this study appear to represent a certain population, and also some differences across children's characteristics within each group, therefore the sample may not be representative of all the children of age between 5 and 15. So, the study can not be generalized immediately to the population. The same way we can't generalize immediately the study to the population, we can not use directly the findings of the study to establish causal relationships although the experiment design is for that reason so the first study would provide some insights.

¹ Alessandro Bucciol and Marco Piovesan. "Luck or cheating? A field experiment on honesty with children". In: Journal of Economic Psychology 32.1 (2011), pp. 73-78. Available at https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1307694

Reading the paper. (1.28, p. 31) Below are excerpts from two articles published in the NY Times:

- (a) An article titled Risks: Smokers Found More Prone to Dementia states the following:

"Researchers analyzed data from 23,123 health plan members who participated in a voluntary exam and health behavior survey from 1978 to 1985, when they were 50-60 years old. 23 years later, about 25% of the group had dementia, including 1,136 with Alzheimer's disease and 416 with vascular dementia. After adjusting for other factors, the researchers concluded that pack-a-day smokers were 37% more likely than nonsmokers to develop dementia, and the risks went up with increased smoking; 44% for one to two packs a day; and twice the risk for more than two packs."

Based on this study, can we conclude that smoking causes dementia later in life? Explain your reasoning.

The study is observational and not an experiment since the researchers didn't randomly assign smokers to different treatments for example in order to establish a causal connections between smoking and dementia. Therefore, we can not conclude that smoking causes dementia later in life.

- (b) Another article titled The School Bully Is Sleepy states the following:

"The University of Michigan study, collected survey data from parents on each child's sleep habits and asked both parents and teachers to assess behavioral concerns. About a third of the students studied were identified by parents or teachers as having problems with disruptive behavior or bullying. The researchers found that children who had behavioral issues and those who were identified as bullies were twice as likely to have shown symptoms of sleep disorders."

A friend of yours who read the article says, "The study shows that sleep disorders lead to bullying in school children." Is this statement justified? If not, how best can you describe the conclusion that can be drawn from this study?

It is an observational study and the statement is not justified. The study provide an evidence of naturally occurring association between sleep disorders and school bullies but it can not itself show a causal connection.

Exercise and mental health. (1.34, p. 35) A researcher is interested in the effects of exercise on mental health and he proposes the following study: Use stratified random sampling to ensure representative proportions of 18-30, 31-40 and 41-55 year olds from the population. Next, randomly assign half the subjects from each age group to exercise twice a week, and instruct the rest not to exercise. Conduct a mental health exam at the beginning and at the end of the study, and compare the results.

- (a) What type of study is this?

It is an experiment study

- (b) What are the treatment and control groups in this study?

Treatment group: half of the subjects exercising twice a week Control group: half of the subjects with no exercise

- (c) Does this study make use of blocking? If so, what is the blocking variable?

The study makes uses of blocking with age range as the blocking variable.

- (d) Does this study make use of blinding?

I would say that the subjects were not told about the experiment so the study make use of blinding.

- (e) Comment on whether or not the results of the study can be used to establish a causal relationship between exercise and mental health, and indicate whether or not the conclusions can be generalized to the population at large.

Although the sample is random but it is a stratified random sampling and this will not be easy to establish a causal relationship between exercise and mental health. With this in mind, we will need more information on the sample in order the conclusions to be generalized conclusion to the population

- (f) Suppose you are given the task of determining if this proposed study should get funding. Would you have any reservations about the study proposal?

I would have some reservations to fund it due to the time the study could take more time and some obstacles the experiment would represent.