

The class of TM-decidable languages is closed under...

Union:

Let L_1 and L_2 be decidable languages
TMs M_1 and M_2 decide them
let TM M decide the language $L = L_1 \cup L_2$

On input w :

- ① Run M_1 on $w \rightarrow$ if accept then ACCEPT
- ② Run M_2 on $w \rightarrow$ if accept then ACCEPT
otherwise \rightarrow REJECT

TM M ACCEPTS w if
 $\rightarrow M_1$ or M_2 ACCEPT

TM M REJECTS w if
 $\rightarrow M_1$ and M_2 both REJECT
 M decides $L_1 \cup L_2$

if $w \in L_1$ or L_2
 M will Accept w
 $L_1 \cup L_2$ is Decidable

Concatenation:

Let L_1 and L_2 be decidable language
Concatenation $\rightarrow L_1 L_2 = \{xy \mid x \in L_1 \text{ and } y \in L_2\}$

let TMs M_1 and M_2 decide L_1 and L_2
let TM M decide $L_1 L_2$

On input w :

- ① partition w into 2 substrings xy
- ② Run M_1 on x
- ③ Run M_2 on y
if both accept $\rightarrow M$ ACCEPTS w

(Partition must be adjusted + rerun through M_1 ,
if none accept after trying all \rightarrow REJECT)

TM \rightarrow accepts when both M_1 and M_2
accept.

$L_1 L_2$ is decidable

Star:

for language L ,
 $L^* = \{x \in L \cup LL \cup LLL \cup \dots\}$ let M_1 decide L
let M decide L^*

On input w :

- ① For each possible partition of
 $w, w_1 w_2 w_3 w_4 \dots w_n$
- ② Run M_1 on $w_1 \dots w_n$ (each subsection)
- ③ if M_1 accepts each $w_i \rightarrow$ ACCEPT
otherwise \rightarrow reject

(similar to last, must partition each
possible way to check)

L^* is decidable

Intersection:

let L_1 and L_2 be decidable languages
TMs M_1 and M_2 decide them
let M decide $L_1 \cap L_2$

on input w :

- ① Run M_1 on $w \rightarrow$ if rejects, REJECT
- ② If doesn't reject, run M_2 on w
if M_2 accepts \rightarrow ACCEPT
otherwise \rightarrow REJECT

$L_1 \cap L_2$ is decidable

Complement:

let language L be decidable
TM M_1 decides L
TM M decides \overline{L}

on input w :

- ① Run M_1 on $w \rightarrow$ if rejects, ACCEPT
otherwise \rightarrow REJECT

\overline{L} is decidable

(if one TM of L rejects
it means w is \overline{L} .)

The class of TM-recognizable languages is closed under...

Union:

let L_1 and L_2 be recognizable languages
TMs M_1 and M_2 recognize them
TM M recognizes $L_1 \cup L_2$

On input w :

- ① Alternate running M_1 and M_2 on w each step
- ② if M_1 or M_2 accept \rightarrow ACCEPT
if M_1 and M_2 reject/halt \rightarrow REJECT
(looping) \rightarrow ~~loops~~

M accepts when it reaches ACCEPT state after all the steps. $\rightarrow L_1 \cup L_2$ is Recognizable
 M rejects when both M_1 and M_2 reject

Concatenation:

let L_1 and L_2 be recognizable languages
TMs M_1 and M_2 recognize them
TM M recognizes $L_1 L_2$

On input w :

- ① Partition w into 2 parts w_1 and w_2
- ② Run M_1 on $w_1 \rightarrow$ if halt/reject, REJECT
- ③ Run M_2 on $w_2 \rightarrow$ if accept, ACCEPT
otherwise \rightarrow REJECT

$L_1 L_2$ is recognizable

Star:

let L be a recognizable language
TM M_1 recognizes L
TM M recognizes L^*

On input w :

- ① Partition w into parts w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n
 - ② Run M_1 on w_i (all parts)
if M_1 accepts ALL $w_i \rightarrow$ ACCEPT
if M_1 halt/rejects any $w_i \rightarrow$ REJECT
(must try different partitions)
- if $w_i \in L$ then there exists a TM M that recognizes L^*

Intersection:

let L_1 and L_2 be recognizable languages
TMs M_1 and M_2 recognize them
let TM M recognize $L_1 \cap L_2$

On input w :

- ① Run M_1 on $w \rightarrow$ if halt/reject, REJECT
- ② Then Run M_2 on $w \rightarrow$ if halt/reject, REJECT
otherwise \rightarrow ACCEPT

if M_1 and M_2 accept $w \rightarrow M$ accepts w
 $w \in L_1 \cap L_2$

$L_1 \cap L_2$ is recognizable

Complement:

if L and \bar{L} are TM-recognizable
then L is decidable.

from theorem, ~~L and \bar{L}~~

A lang is Decidable

iff both it and its complement
are recognizable

TM would not halt for strings not
in the language

Problem 4

Proposition: Every infinite TM-recognizable language has an infinite Decidable subset.

let L be an infinite Recognizable language
and has an infinite decidable subset.

There exists an enumerator E for all strings in L

let $L' = \{w_1, w_2, w_3, \dots, w_n\} \quad i > 1$

w_i is next string in order after w_{i-1}

Consider:

① L' is Infinite and $L' \subseteq L$

let L' be finite

→ E generates all strings $\langle w_i \rangle$, one largest in L'

→ because E generates finite # strings $\langle w_i \rangle$
 L is finite → CONTRADICTION!

Also: M only ACCEPTS if in Standard Order

→ L' is NOT finite → L' is Infinite

Because E printed all strings $\in L'$,

→ $L' \subseteq L$

② L' is decidable

Can construct E'

on no input:

① prints out strings accepted by M

→ Meaning all in Standard Order

Because L is infinite, E' is infinitely

E' is decidable because prints ^{std} order enumerating

→ Therefore, the language

L' , of E' is decidable

→ L' is an infinite decidable subset of
 L , an infinite Recognizable language