

Problem Set 7

11/4/19

* Give high-level descriptions of TMs and any PDAs in solutions *

Problem 1

The class of TM-decidable languages is closed under...

Union:

Let L_1 and L_2 be decidable languages

TMs M_1 and M_2 decide them

let TM M decide the language $L : L_1 \cup L_2$

On input w :

① Run M_1 on $w \rightarrow$ if accept then ACCEPT

② Run M_2 on $w \rightarrow$ if accept then ACCEPT

otherwise \rightarrow REJECT

TM M ACCEPTS w if

$\rightarrow M_1$ or M_2 ACCEPT

if $w \in L_1$ or L_2

TM M REJECTS w if

$\rightarrow M_1$ and M_2 both REJECT

M will accept w

$L_1 \cup L_2$ is Decidable

M decides $L_1 \cup L_2$

Concatenation:

Let L_1 and L_2 be decidable language

Concatenation $\rightarrow L_1 L_2 = \{xy \mid x \in L_1 \text{ and } y \in L_2\}$

let TMs M_1 and M_2 decide L_1 and L_2

let TM M decide $L_1 L_2$

On input w :

① partition w into 2 substrings x, y

② Run M_1 on x

③ Run M_2 on y

if both accept $\rightarrow M$ ACCEPTS w

(Partition must be adjusted + rerun through M_1 ,
if none accept after trying all \rightarrow REJECT)

TM \rightarrow accepts when both M_1 and M_2
accept.

$L_1 L_2$ is decidable

Star:

for language L ,

$L^* = \{x \in L \cup LL \cup LLL \cup \dots\}$ let M_1 decide L
let M decide L^*

* On input w :

① For each possible partition of

$w, w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4, \dots, w_n$

② Run M_1 on w_1, \dots, w_n (each subsection)

③ if M_1 accepts each $w_i \rightarrow$ ACCEPT

otherwise \rightarrow reject

(similar to last, must partition each possible way to check)

L^* is decidable

Intersection:

let L_1 and L_2 be decidable languages

TMs M_1 and M_2 decide them

let M decide $L_1 \cap L_2$

on input w :

① Run M_1 on $w \rightarrow$ if rejects, REJECT

② If doesn't reject, run M_2 on w

if M_2 accepts \rightarrow ACCEPT

otherwise \rightarrow REJECT

$L_1 \cap L_2$ is decidable

Complement:

let language L be decidable

TM M_1 decides L

TM M decides \bar{L}

on input w :

① Run M_1 on $w \rightarrow$ if rejects, ACCEPT

otherwise \rightarrow REJECT

\bar{L} is decidable

(if one TM or L rejects
it means w is \bar{L} .)

Problem 2

The class of TM-recognizable languages is closed under...

Union:

let L_1 and L_2 be recognizable languages
TMs M_1 and M_2 recognize them
TM M recognizes $L_1 \cup L_2$

On input w :

① Alternate running M_1 and M_2 on w each step

② IF M_1 or M_2 accept \rightarrow ACCEPT

IF M_1 and M_2 reject/halt \rightarrow REJECT
(looping) \rightarrow M loops

M accepts when it reaches Accept state after all the steps. $\rightarrow L_1 \cup L_2$ is Recognizable

M rejects when both M_1 and M_2 reject

Concatenation:

let L_1 and L_2 be recognizable languages
TMs M_1 and M_2 recognize them
TM M recognizes $L_1 L_2$

On input w :

① Partition w into 2 parts w_1 and w_2

② Run M_1 on $w_1 \rightarrow$ if halt/reject, REJECT

③ Run M_2 on $w_2 \rightarrow$ if accept, ACCEPT
otherwise \rightarrow REJECT

$L_1 L_2$ is recognizable

Star:

let L be a recognizable language
TM M recognizes L
TM M recognizes L^*

On input w :

① Partition w into parts w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n

② Run M on w_i (all parts)

if M accepts ALL $w_i \rightarrow$ ACCEPT

if M halt/rejects any $w_i \rightarrow$ REJECT

(must try different partitions)

if $w_i \in L$ then there exists a TM M that recognizes L^*

Intersection:

let L_1 and L_2 be recognizable languages
TMs M_1 and M_2 recognize them
let TM M recognize $L_1 \cap L_2$

On input w :

- ① Run M_1 on w . \rightarrow if halt/reject, REJECT
- ② Then Run M_2 on w \rightarrow if halt/reject, REJECT
otherwise \rightarrow ACCEPT

if M_1 and M_2 accept $w \rightarrow M$ accepts w
 $w \in L_1 \cap L_2$

$L_1 \cap L_2$ is recognizable

Complement:

if L and \bar{L} are TM-recognizable
then L is decidable.

from theorem, ~~L and \bar{L}~~

A lang is Decidable

iff both it and its complement
are recognizable

TM would not halt for strings not
in the language

Problem 3

Proposition: A language is decidable if and only if there is an enumerator which prints out a strings in lexicographic order (increasing length)

Proof:

We have a TM, M , that decides language L

We can construct an enumerator E .

↳ that generates strings in Standard order.

↳ tests each string $\in L$? (by using M)

↳ prints string if $\in L$

Consider...

① if L is finite:

→ then it is decidable → (all finite lang Decidable)

{ E may loop w/ no more outputs
show L is decidable w/o E to avoid loop }

② if L is Infinite:

→ Then TM M

on input w :

① E enumerates all strings in L
(in Standard order)

* Until a string ordered after w is generated

② If w is printed by enumerator E
then → ACCEPT

otherwise → REJECT

Problem 4

Proposition: Every infinite TM-recognizable language has an infinite Decidable subset.

Proof:

let L be an infinite Recognizable language and has an infinite decidable subset.

There exists an enumerator E for all strings in L

let $L' = \{w_1, w_2, w_3, \dots, w_n\} \quad i > 1$
 w_i is next string in order after w_{i-1}

Consider:

① L' is Infinite and $L' \subseteq L$

let L' be finite

→ E generates all strings $< w_i$, one largest in L'

→ because E generates finite # strings $< w_i$

L is finite → CONTRADICTION!

Also: M only ACCEPTS IF in StdOrder

→* L' is NOT finite → L' is Infinite

Because E printed all strings $\in L'$,

→* $L' \subseteq L$

② L' is decidable

Can construct E'

on no input:

① prints out strings accepted by M

→ Meaning all in Standard Order

Because L is infinite, E' is infinitely

E' is decidable because prints Std order enumerating

→ Therefore, the language

L' , of E' is decidable

————→ L' is an infinite decidable subset of L , an infinite Recognizable language