

1. The 3 parts in defining a CFG	Define the smallest thing Split it up into parts combine
2. Accepted in Nondeterministic Polynomial Time	If there is a NTM, N , accepting L such that T_N is a member of $O(n^r)$ for some r is a member of \mathbb{N}
3. Alphabet	A finite set of objects called the symbols of the alphabet.
4. Alternating TM (ATM)	an extension of an NTM in which every state is either existential (\exists) or universal (\forall)
5. ambiguous is if you have 2 or more parse trees defining it	...
6. Approximation for optimization problems	There exist problems for which solutions sufficiently close to optimum can be found (and there exist problems without this property)
7. Boolean circuit	acyclic directed graph with 3 types of nodes (input, gates, output)
8. Boolean circuit family	$C = \{C_0, C_1, \dots\}$ can compute f if each C_n computes f restricted to inputs of size n
9. Boolean expression	a combination of variables, logical connectives, and parenthesis
10. Bounded error PP class (BPP)	languages accepted by poly-time PTM's accepting (rejecting) iff more than half the paths terminate in YES (NO) state Repeating a BPP machine rapidly increases the probability of accuracy $L \in \text{BPP}$ iff \exists a PTM M M runs in poly-time $\forall x$ $\forall x \in L, P(M(x) = 1) \geq 2/3 (1-\epsilon)$ $\forall x \notin L, P(M(x) = 1) \leq 1/3 (\epsilon)$
11. CFG makes sure you pay attention to the constraints $n \geq$ when calculating it	...
12. Characteristic function of a language L member of Σ^*	Fix distinct strings w_1, w_2 member of Σ^* The total function $\chi_L : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$ defined by $\chi_L(x) \{$ w_1 if x member of L w_2 otherwise}
13. Church turing	they defined what an algorithm was
14. Church-Turing hypothesis	The intuitive notion of an algorithm is a halting Turing machine
15. The Church-Turing Thesis	Every effective procedure can be carried out by a Turing Machine
16. CLASS-complete problem	A problem that is CLASS-hard and an element of CLASS
17. CLASS-hard problem with respect to reduction R	every problem in CLASS reduces by R to this problem
18. The class NP	the class of all languages that can be recognized by polynomial time bounded non-deterministic TMs
19. Clause	a disjunction (or) of literals
20. Clique Problem (complete subgraph problem)	Does G have a complete subgraph with k vertices ($k \geq 1$)
21. Complete problem	represents a complexity class in that it is at least as hard as any other problem in that same class

22. Component Design	Match components of two problems to relate them
23. Configuration definition	A string uqv such that v member of γ^* , q member of Q , v member of γ^+
24. Conjunctive Normal Form	An expression $C1 \wedge C2 \wedge \dots \wedge Cn$ is in conjunctive normal form if $C1 \dots Cn$ are disjunctions of literals
25. Conjunctive Normal Form (CNF)	conjunctions of clauses
26. Constant time	Complexity of $O(1)$, the size of the dataset doesn't matter, the algorithm will always take the same amount of time to execute. These algorithms scale perfectly.
27. Context Free language closed under	Star • Concatenation Union No intersect or complement
28. Context Sensitive Grammar	Each $a \rightarrow B$ satisfies $ a \leq B $ except $S \rightarrow \Lambda$ Every context free language is also context sensitive
29. Counting argument	counts all possible configs
30. Crash Avoidance	For every TM, M , there is a TM, M' , such that $L(M)=L(M')$ and M' does not crash on any input. –Extend γ with a new symbol $\#$ – M' writes $\#$ on the first square, inserts blank on the 2nd by shifting the input string to the right –moves the tape head to the 2nd square –executes the transitions on M
31. Decidable in Polynomial Time/Space	A language L is decidable in polynomial time if there is a TM deciding L such that $\tau M/SM$ is a member of $O(n^r)$ for some r is a member of N .
32. Decidable (recursive)	Language L is decidable if it is accepted by some TM, M , that on every input eventually reaches a halting config. We say M decides L .
33. Decision problem	A problem that only has answers "yes" and "no"
34. Define a mapping reduction of the acceptance problem A_{TM} to the halting problem $HALT_{TM}$	For every TM M , let M^* be the following TM: $M^* =$ "On input x : 1. Run M on x . 2. If M accepts, accept. 3. If M rejects, enter an infinite loop." Thus: If M accepts input x , then M^* accepts x If M explicitly rejects x , then M^* never halts on x If M never halts on x , then M^* never halts on x To summarize, M accepts x iff M^* halts on x Let then f be the function defined by $f(\langle M, w \rangle) = \langle M^*, w \rangle$. Is f computable? Yes Obviously $\langle M, w \rangle$ is in ATM iff $f(\langle M, w \rangle)$ is in $HALT_{TM}$ i.e. f is a mapping reduction of A_{TM} to $HALT_{TM}$ So, since ATM is undecidable, $HALT_{TM}$ is undecidable as well.
35. Define a Nondeterministic Turing Machine (NTM)	– The reject state h_r is dropped – δ has the form $(Q - \{h_a\}) \times \gamma \rightarrow 2^{\{Q \times \gamma \times \{L, R, S\}\}}$

36. Define Mapping Reduction and Mapping Reducibility	<p>Let A and B be languages over an alphabet Σ.</p> <p>We say that A is mapping reducible to B, written $A \leq_m B$, if there is a computable function $f: \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$ such that, for every w is in Σ^*, w is in A iff $f(w)$ is in B.</p> <p>The function f is called a mapping reduction of A to B.</p>
37. Definition of Accept	<p>A TM accepts an input string iff, for this input, sooner or later it enters the accept state.</p> <p>Otherwise the string is considered rejected.</p>
38. Definition of acceptance for a Finite State Automata M	<p>M accepts the string $u_1 u_2 \dots u_n$ iff there is a sequence $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n, r_{n+1}$ of states such that:</p> <p>$r_1 = s$</p> <p>$r_{i+1} = \delta(r_i, u_i)$, for each i with $1 \leq i \leq n$</p> <p>r_{n+1} is in F</p>
39. Definition of a CFG	<p>A 4-tuple (V, Σ, R, S)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. V is a finite set called the variables; 2. Σ is a finite set, disjoint from V, called the terminals; 3. R is a finite set of rules, with each rule being a pair of a variable and a string of variables and terminals; 4. S is an element of V called the start variable.
40. Definition of a regular expression	<p>R is a Regular Expression (RE) iff R is one of the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. a, where a is a symbol of the alphabet 2. The Empty Set 3. The Null Set 4. $(R_1) \cup (R_2)$, where R_1 and R_2 are RE 5. $(R_1) \circ (R_2)$, where R_1 and R_2 are RE 6. $(R_1)^*$, where R_1 is a RE
41. Definition of Asymptotic Upper Bound	<p>Let f and g be functions $f, g: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$. Say that $f(n) = O(g(n))$ iff positive integers c and n_0 exists such that for every integer $n \geq n_0$, $f(n)$ Less than or equal to $cg(n)$.</p> <p>When $f(n) = O(g(n))$, we say that $g(n)$ is an asymptotic upper bound for $f(n)$.</p>
42. Definition of Cartesian Product for sets S and T	<p>$S \times T = \{(s, t) \mid s \text{ is in } S \text{ and } t \text{ is in } T\}$</p>
43. Definition of Concatenation	<p>$L_1 \circ L_2 = \{xy \mid x \text{ is in } L_1 \text{ and } y \text{ is in } L_2\}$</p>
44. Definition of Decidable Relative	<p>Language A is decidable relative to language B iff there is an OTM with an oracle for B that decides A.</p>
45. Definition of Decider	<p>A Turing machine is said to be a decider iff it halts for every input.</p>
46. Definition of Halting Problem	<p>Let $\text{HALT TM} = \{ \langle M, w \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM and } M \text{ halts on input } w \}$</p>
47. Definition of Oracle Turing Machine	<p>An oracle Turing machine (OTM) is a modified Turing machine that has the additional capability of querying an oracle.</p> <p>An oracle for a language B is an external device that is capable of reporting whether any string w is a member of B.</p>
48. Definition of Star	<p>$L^* = \{x_1 \dots x_k \mid k \geq 0 \text{ and each } x_i \text{ is in } L\}$</p>

49. Definition of the class of languages P	P is the class of languages that are decidable in polynomial time on a deterministic (single-tape) TM. In other words, $P = \text{TIME}(n^1) \cup \text{TIME}(n^2) \cup \text{TIME}(n^3) \cup \text{TIME}(n^4) \cup \dots$
50. Definition of time complexity for nondeterministic machines	Let M be a nondeterministic TM that is a decider (meaning that, on every input, each branch of computation halts). The running time or time complexity of M is the function $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, where $f(n)$ is the maximum number of steps that M uses on any branch of its computation on any input of length n.
51. Definition of Turing Reducibility	Language A is Turing reducible to language B, written $A \leq_T B$, iff A is decidable relative to B.
52. Definition of Union	$L_1 \cup L_2 = \{x \mid x \text{ is in } L_1 \text{ or } x \text{ is in } L_2\}$
53. Definitions of computing, computability, and the graph of a function	A function $g: \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$ is said to be computable, iff there is a TMO M such that for every input w is in Σ^* M returns the output u with $u=g(w)$. In this case we say that M computes g. The graph of such a function is the language $\{(w,u) \mid w \text{ is in } \Sigma^*, u=g(w)\}$
54. Definitions of NP, coNP, EXPTIME	NP is the class of languages decided by some nondeterministic polynomial time Turing machine. $\text{coNP} = \{L \mid L \text{ is the complement of some language in NP}\}$ $\text{EXPTIME} = \text{TIME}(2^{(n^1)}) \cup \text{TIME}(2^{(n^2)}) \cup \text{TIME}(2^{(n^3)}) \cup \dots$
55. Definitions of Running Time and Time Complexity	Let M be a deterministic TM that halts for every input. The running time or time complexity of M is the function $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, where $f(n)$ is the maximum number of steps that M uses on any input of length n. If $f(n)$ is the time complexity of M, we say that M runs in time $f(n)$, or that M is an $f(n)$ time machine.
56. Each CFG needs what in it to end it	an empty string
57. Example of a Logarithmic algorithm	Binary search, which increases the number of steps to complete by 1 every time the data set doubles. A binary search of 4 numbers may take t steps, but a binary search of 2,000,000 would be completed in $20t$ steps.
58. Example of Linear Algorithm	A program that uses a loop to add up all the numbers of an input would have complexity $O(n)$, a set of 5 numbers would take 5 steps and a set of 100 numbers would take 100 steps.
59. Examples of Language Properties	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - $L(M)$ is finite - $L(M) = \Sigma^*$
60. Examples of things that are NOT language properties	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - M halts on every input - $e(M)$ member of $L(M)$
61. Exponential Time	Complexity of $O(n^x)$. When $x > 0$, increase at a rate even faster than polynomial complexity. 2 steps with 10 inputs would take 2^{10} (1024) steps.
62. EXPTIME	The class of all languages decidable in exponential time – there is a TM deciding L such that τ_M is a member of $O(2^{n^r})$
63. Formal definition of a finite automaton	a 5-tuple $(Q, \Sigma, \delta, s, F)$ $Q \rightarrow$ finite set called the states $\Sigma \rightarrow$ finite set called the alphabet $\delta \rightarrow$ function of the type $Q \times \Sigma \rightarrow Q$ called the transition function $s \rightarrow$ an element of Q called the start state $F \rightarrow$ a subset of Q called the set of accept states

64. Given functions $f, g: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ what does it mean for f to be of order g?	<p>f is of order g if there are positive integers c and n_0 such that</p> $f(n) \leq c \cdot g(n) \text{ for all } n \geq n_0$
65. Grammar ending with something/ starting with something Odd grammar	$S \rightarrow Bb$ $B \rightarrow \sim\sim$ $S \rightarrow bB$ $B \rightarrow \sim\sim$ $S \rightarrow SSS$
66. Graph of partial function $f: \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$	The set $\text{Graph}(f) = \{(v, w) \mid f(v) = w\}$
67. Growth Rates of Functions equivalencies	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> $\log_a(n)$ is a member of $O(n)$ but n is not a member of $O(\log_a(n))$ n^r is a member of $O(b^n)$ but b^n is not a member of $O(n^r)$ b^n is a member of $O(n!)$ but $n!$ is not a member of $O(b^n)$
68. Hamilton Circuit Problem	Does G have a path through all nodes such that $v_0 = v_n$ and $v_1 \dots v_n$ are pairwise distinct (visits every node once with no repeats)
69. How to show context free	You can create a CFG from it
70. If L_1 and L_2 are languages such that L_1 is reducible to L_2, and L_2 is decidable/semidecidable then	L_1 is also decidable/semidecidable
71. If S is countable then the powerset (2^S) is uncountable	True
72. Implementation level	<p>Remember to have "M on input w:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> check if it is in the form if not then reject Mark everything Check if everything is marked, if not then reject, else accept
73. Independent Set	a discrete subgraph; a set of nodes from a graph such that no two nodes are adjacent
74. Inherently sequential problems	problems for which no significant speedup can be achieved by parallel computation
75. Instances	In a set of questions each of which has the answer yes or no, questions are the instances of the decision problem
76. Intractable Problem	A problem that cannot be solved by any polynomial time bounded algorithm
77. In what form does the Universal Turing Machine (U) take inputs?	$e(M)e(w)$ where M is a TM and w is the string over M 's Σ
78. Isometric	Two graphs the same up to the renaming of the vertices
79. Language property	A property, P , of TMs is a language property if for every TM, M , with property P , and every TM, M' , with the same language as M , M' has property P
80. Languages of PDA can include what	<p>They can include expressions such as Union, Star</p> $\text{ex. } \{b^n(aUb)^n \mid n \geq 0\}$

81. Las Vegas Algorithms	Iterating random algorithms until a definite answer is reached (zero error probability, but may never answer; expected poly-time) $A \in \text{ZPP}$ so $A \in \text{RP}$ and $\sim A \in \text{RP}$. Check A and $\sim A$ interleaved and answer if one accepts
82. Linear Bounded Automata	Like a NTM except that: – the initial config for an input w is $q_0(w)$ where $<, >$ member of $\Gamma - \Sigma$ – the tape head cannot move left of $<$ or right of $>$ – $<$ and $>$ cannot be overwritten L is accepted by LBA if and only if L is context sensitive
83. Linear time	Complexity of $O(n)$, increases at exactly the same rate as the data set.
84. Literal	a variable or its negation
85. literal	A variable x or a negated variable \bar{x}
86. $L(M)$, a.k.a., The language recognized by M	the set all strings that the machine M accepts
87. Logarithmic time	Complexity of $O(\log(n))$, increase their execution time at a slower rate than the rate at which the data set increases (n here stands for the size of the data set). These algorithms scale very well.
88. log-space uniform (Ubc-uniform)	a circuit family C for which there exists a DLOG machine to construct every circuit in C
89. Monte Carlo Algorithms	iterating an RTM with a RNG on the same input and say YES if any iterations accepts; else say NO. $P(M(x) = 1 \mid x \in L) = (1-\epsilon)^i$ $P(M(x) = 0 \mid x \in L) = 1 - (1-\epsilon)^i$ $P(M(x) = 1 \mid x \notin L) = 0$ $P(M(x) = 0 \mid x \notin L) = 1$
90. NP-Complete	A language is NP-Complete if it is in NP-Hard and belongs to NP
91. NP-Hard	A language L is NP-Hard if every language in NP is polynomial time reducible to L
92. NSA	SAbar
93. N P	Class of all languages that are accepted in nondeterministic polynomial time
94. Padding argument	Adds padding to input string
95. Parallelizable problems	problems that can be decomposed into smaller subproblems which can be executed by using multiple processors
96. Parallel RAM (PRAM)	a network of RAMs running concurrently on a global, shared memory (CRCW, CREW, EREW)
97. Partition Problem	Given a finite set A and its size set S , decide if there exists a partition such that the sum of the sizes of the two sets are equal
98. Polynomial Time	Complexity of $O(n^x)$. When $x > 0$, execution time increases at a greater rate than an algorithm with linear complexity. If a function with quadratic complexity – $O(n^2)$ – takes one step with one input value, increasing to 10 input values it will take 10^2 (100) steps. A function with cubic complexity – $O(n^3)$ – would increase its execution time even quicker as the size of the input grows.

99. Precedence of operators in Regular Expressions	* , \cup , \cap
100. Probabilistic TM	An NTM that accepts its input iff more than half of all paths terminate in YES (accepts by majority vote, not existence of one path; repeating this machine does not increase the error probability)
101. Probabilistic poly-time class (PP = coPP)	languages defined by poly-time PTMs
102. Proof: Accept-A is undecidable but semidecidable	<p>– Reduce MP to A–A. Suppose that A–A is decidable then the language of $Y(A-A)$ is decidable</p> <p>– Therefore there exists a TM, T_A, which accepts $Y(A-A)$ and halts on all inputs</p> <p>– Let C be a TM which transforms an input $e(M)e(w)$ into the code $e(Mw)$ of a TM Mw</p> <p>– Machine Mw replaces its input with w and runs M on w.</p> <p>– We combine C with T_A to obtain TMP which solves MP.</p> <p>CASE 1: w is a member of $L(M)$</p> <p>– Mw accepts every input so A is a member of $L(Mw)$</p> <p>– T_A accepts $e(Mw)$ and TMP accepts $e(M)e(w)$</p> <p>CASE 2: w is not a member of $L(M)$</p> <p>– Mw accepts no inputs so A is not a member of $L(Mw)$</p> <p>– T_A rejects $e(Mw)$ and TMP rejects $e(M)e(w)$</p> <p>But, MP is undecidable, so the assumption that $A-A$ is decidable must be false.</p> <p>To show that $A-A$ is semidecidable, construct a TM T_A' which on input $e(M)$ runs M on A and accepts $e(M)$ if and only if M accepts A.</p>
103. Proof: Complement of decidable language L is also decidable	<p>– Let M be a TM that accepts L and halts on every input</p> <p>– Assume M never crashes at the start of the tape</p> <p>– Modify M to a machine \bar{M} by swapping the accept and reject state</p> <p>– $L(\bar{M}) = \bar{L}$</p> <p>– \bar{M} halts on every input because M does so therefore \bar{L} is decidable</p>
104. Proof: For every alphabet (Σ), there are uncountably many languages over Σ what are not semidecidable	<p>– Every semidecidable language over Σ is accepted by some TM (from definition)</p> <p>– Since TMs can be encoded as strings over $\{1,0\}$ (which is countably infinite) the set of all TMs with input alphabet Σ is countable infinite too.</p> <p>– Therefore the set of all semidecidable languages over Σ is countable too</p> <p>– But 2^{Σ^*} is uncountable</p> <p>– Property 1 of the countable sets Lemma follows that $2^{\Sigma^*} - \{L \text{ member of } \Sigma^* \mid L \text{ is semidecidable}\}$ is also uncountable</p>

105. Proof: If both L and its complement are semidecidable then L is decidable	Let M and Mbar be TMs that accept L and Lbar respectively. The idea is to construct a 2-tape TM which copies its input to Tape 2 and then simulates M and Mbar in parallel (see notes for rest)
106. Proof: NSA is not semidecidable	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - If NSA is semidecidable then there is a TM, M, such that $L(M) = NSA$ - Consider $e(M)$ - Case 1: $e(M)$ member of $L(M)$, then by assumption $e(M)$ member of NSA. Then $e(M)$ member of $L(M)$. A contradiction - Case 2: $e(M)$ not member of $L(M)$. Then by NSA definition $e(M)$ member of NSA. Another contradiction
107. Proof: SA is not decidable	$NSA = S\bar{A} =$ not semidecidable therefore SA cannot be decidable as the complement of a decidable language is decidable
108. Proof: SA is semidecidable	<p>A TM, Tsa, which accepts SA works as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Checks whether its input, w, is the code of some TM <p>False: reject w</p> <p>True: Tsa constructs a TM, M, such that $e(M) = w$. If $\{0,1\}$ is not a subset of M's input alphabet then reject w, else Tsa executes M on w and accepts w if and only if M accepts w</p> <p>Therefore $L(Tsa) = SA$</p>
109. Proof: SAP is undecidable but semidecidable	<p>Using encoding e for TMs, we have</p> $Y(SAP) = \{e(M) \mid M \text{ is a TM and } e(M) \text{ member of } L(M)\} = SA$
110. Proof: - Show that the Accept-A problem is reducible either to PPP or its complement, PPPbar. PPP is decidable if and only if PPPbar is. - Let M(/) be a TM which rejects every input. If M(/) doesn't have P then reduce Accept-A to PPP, otherwise reduce Accept-A to PPPbar	<p>CASE 1 – M(/) doesn't have P.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Construct a reduction of A-A to PPP. Let M be a TM, an input to A-A. 2. Modify M to a TM, MA, which operates as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - If M rejects A, MA rejects input - If M loops on A, MA loops on inputs - If M erases A, MA erases the tape contents to the right of its original inputs, moves head back to first sequence and executes on its original input, a TM, MP, which has property P. - If M accepts A then $L(MA) = L(MP)$. Hence MA has P - If M doesn't accept A, then $L(MA) = (/)$. Hence $L(MA) = L(M(/))$. Since P is a language property and M(/) does not have P, MA does not have P either. <p>CASE 2 – M(/) has P.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Construct a reduction of A-A to PPP analogously to Case 1, replacing MP with a machine MPbar that does not have P. - If M accepts A then $L(MA) = L(MPbar)$ therefore MA does not have P - If M does not accept A then $L(MA) = (/)$ and $L(MA) = L(M(/))$ therefore since M(/) has P, MA has P

111. Proof: The complete subgraph problem (clique problem) and the Hamilton Circuit problem are in NP	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - A NTM guesses nodes $v_1 \dots v_k$ in G and checks for each pair $\{v_i, v_j\}$ whether this is an edge - The checking can be done in polynomial time in $K + G$. Where G is represented by a string of node numbers followed by a string of edges where edges are pairs of node numbers. - At most, $k^2/2$ node pairs are checked which can be done with $O(k^2/2 \times E)$ quadratic time graph operations
112. Proof: The membership problem for semidecidable languages is undecidable but semidecidable	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Reduce SAP to this problem so the decidability of MP implies decidability of SAP - Suppose MP is decidable, then $Y(MP)$ is decidable - Construct a TM, T_{SA}, which solves SAP: <p>T_{SA} accepts $e(M)$ if and only if T_{MP} accepts $e(M)e(e(M))$, so only if $e(M)$ member of $L(M)$</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - T_{SA} halts on all inputs because T_{MP} does - T_{SA} decides SA because T_{SA} solves SAP - But SAP is undecidable (a contradiction) - Therefore the assumption that MP is decidable must be false. MP is undecidable - Consider a universal TM, U. $Y(MP) = L(U)$. MP is semidecidable.
113. Proof: There are uncountably many languages over every alphabet	<p>Since Σ^* is infinite, 2^{Σ^*} is uncountable by countable set Lemma 2</p> <p>[If S is countable then the powerset (2^S) is uncountable]</p>
114. Properties of encoding e	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There is an algorithm which for every string w member of $\{0,1\}^*$ decides whether w is the code of some TM and, if this is the case, constructs a machine M such that $e(M) = w$ 2. If M and M' (some other TM) are TMs such that $e(M) = e(M')$, then $L(M) = L(M')$ and they halt on the same inputs
115. Prove a language is Turing recognizable iff it is enumerable.	<p>Proof of if:</p> <p>Suppose E enumerates L. Construct a TM M that works as follows:</p> <p>$M =$ "On input w:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Simulate E. Every time E prints a new string, compare it with w. 2. If w is ever printed, accept." <p>Proof of only if:</p> <p>Suppose M recognizes L. Let s_1, s_2, s_3, \dots be the lexicographic list of all strings over the alphabet of L. Construct an enumerator E that works as follows:</p> <p>$E =$ " 1. Repeat the following for $i=1,2,3,\dots$</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Simulate M for i steps on each of the inputs s_1, s_2, \dots, s_i. 3. If any computations accept, print out the corresponding s_j."
116. Prove every nondeterministic TM has an equivalent deterministic TM.	<p>Simulate every possible branch of computation in a breadth-first manner.</p>

117. Prove every $t(n)$ multitape Turing Machine has an equivalent $O(t^2(n))$ time single tape TM	<p>Convert a multitape TM M into an equivalent single tape TM S that simulates M</p> <p>The simulation of each step of M takes $O(k)$ steps in S, where k is the length of the active content of the tape of S specifically, S makes two passes through its tape; a pass may require shifting, which still takes $O(k)$ steps).</p> <p>How big can k be? Not bigger than the number of steps M takes, multiplied by the (constant) number c of tapes. That is, $k \leq ct(n)$.</p> <p>Thus, S makes $O(t(n))$ passes through the active part of its tape, and each pass takes (at most) $O(t(n))$ steps. Hence the complexity of S is $O(t(n)) \times O(t(n)) = O(t^2(n))$.</p>
118. Prove HALT TM is undecidable	<p>Assume, for a contradiction, that HALT TM is decidable. I.e. there is a TM R that decides HALT TM. Construct the following TM S: $S = \text{"On input } \langle M, w \rangle, \text{ an encoding of a TM } M \text{ and a string } w:$ <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Run R on input $\langle M, w \rangle$. 2. If R rejects, reject. 3. If R accepts, simulate M on w until it halts. 4. If M has accepted, accept; if M has rejected, reject." <p>If M works forever on w, what will S do on $\langle M, w \rangle$? Explicitly Reject</p> <p>If M accepts w, what will S do on input $\langle M, w \rangle$? Accept</p> <p>If M explicitly rejects w, what will S do on $\langle M, w \rangle$? Explicitly Reject</p> <p>Thus, S decides the language, which is impossible</p> </p>
119. Prove If $A \leq_m B$ and B is decidable, then A is decidable.	<p>Let DB be a decider for B and f be a reduction from A to B. We describe a decider DA for A as follows. $DA = \text{"On input } w:$ <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Compute $f(w)$. 2. Run DB on input $f(w)$ and do whatever DB does." </p>
120. Prove Let $t(n)$ be a function, where $t(n) \geq n$. Then every $t(n)$ time single-tape nondeterministic TM has an equivalent $2^{O(t(n))}$ time single-tape TM.	<p>Convert a nondeterministic TM N into an equivalent deterministic TM D that simulates N by searching N's nondeterministic computation tree.</p> <p>Each branch of that tree has length at most $t(n)$, and thus constructing and searching it takes $O(t(n))$ steps. And the number of branches is $b^{O(t(n))}$, where b is the maximum number of legal choices given by N's transition function. But $b \leq 2^c$ for some constant c. So, the number of branches is in fact $2^{cO(t(n))} = 2^{O(t(n))}$.</p> <p>Thus, the overall number of steps is $O(t(n)) \times 2^{O(t(n))} = 2^{O(t(n))}$.</p>
121. Prove that CLIQUE is in NP	<p>Here is a polynomial time NTM N deciding CLIQUE: $N = \text{"On input } \langle G, k \rangle:$ <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Nondeterministically select a subset c of k nodes of G. 2. Test whether G contains all edges connecting nodes in c. 3. If yes, accept; otherwise reject." </p>
122. Prove that every multitape TM M has an equivalent single-tape TM S.	<p>Copy the contents of each tape in M onto the single tape of S, marking the boundaries between the tapes with a special character. Modify S so that it can move between the boundaries easily.</p>

123. **Prove that if a language A is mapping reducible to a language B and B is recognizable, then A is recognizable**

DB is a Turing Machine that recognizes B and f is a reduction from A to B.

A Turing Machine DA recognizes A

DA = "on input w:

1. compute f(w)
2. run DB on input f(w) and do whatever DB does"

124. **Prove that if a language L and its complement NOT L are both recognizable, then L is decidable**

The Turing Machine U recognizes the language L

The Turing Machine NOT U recognizes the language NOT L

let M = "on input w:

1. run U and NOT U on input w in parallel
2. if U reaches its accept state, accept
if NOT U reaches its accept state, reject

therefore, M decides L

125. **Prove that SUBSET-SUM is in NP**

Here is a polynomial time NTM N deciding SUBSET-SUM:

N = "On input $\langle S, t \rangle$:

1. Nondeterministically select a subset c of S.
2. Test whether the elements of c sum up to t.
3. If yes, accept; otherwise reject."

126. **Prove that the Complement of Atm (NOT Atm) is unrecognizable**

Atm = $\{ \langle M, w \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM and } M \text{ accepts string } w \}$

NOT Atm = $\{ \langle M, w \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM and } M \text{ does not accept string } w \}$

Suppose, for a contradiction, that NOT Atm is Turing-recognizable. That is, there is a TM U that recognizes NOT Atm. Thus:

U recognizes Atm (slide 4.2.a)

NOT U recognizes NOT Atm

Let M = "On input w:

1. Run both U and NOT U on input w in parallel;
2. If U accepts, accept; if NOT U accepts, reject."

It can be seen that M decides ATM, which is impossible

127. **Prove that the halting problem is recognizable**

HALT TM = $\{ \langle M, w \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM and } M \text{ halts on input } w \}$

the TM U (universal Turing Machine) recognizes HALT TM:

U = "On input $\langle M, w \rangle$, where M is a TM and w is a string:

1. Simulate M on input w
2. if M enters accept state, accept
if M enters reject state, accept

128. **Prove that $Th(N, +, x)$ is unrecognizable**

Suppose a TM M recognizes $Th(N, +, x)$. Construct a TM D:

D = "On input A, and arithmetic sentence,

1. Run M on both A and NOT A in parallel.
2. If M accepts A, accept; if M accepts NOT A, reject"

Obviously D decides $Th(N, +, *)$, which is a contradiction

129. Prove the Universal Turing Machine is recognizable	<p>The following TM U, called the universal TM, recognizes ATM:</p> <p>U = "On input $\langle M, w \rangle$, where M is a TM and w is a string:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Simulate M on input w. 2. If M ever enters its accept state, accept; if M ever enters its reject state, reject."
130. Prove the Universal Turing Machine is undecidable	<p>Suppose, for a contradiction, that ATM is decidable.</p> <p>That is, there is a TM H that decides ATM. Thus, that machine H behaves as follows: $H(\langle M, w \rangle) =$ accept if M accepts w reject if M does not accept w</p> <p>Using H as a subroutine, we can construct the following TM D: D = "On input $\langle M \rangle$, where M is a TM:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Run H on input $\langle M, \langle M \rangle \rangle$. 2. Do the opposite of what H does. <p>That is, if H accepts, reject, and if H rejects, accept."</p> <p>Thus, $D(\langle M \rangle) =$ accept if M does not accept $\langle M \rangle$ reject if M accepts $\langle M \rangle$</p> <p>But then $D(\langle D \rangle) =$ accept if D does not accept $\langle D \rangle$ reject if D accepts $\langle D \rangle$ contradiction!</p> <p>To summarize: H accepts $\langle M, w \rangle$ exactly when M accepts w. D rejects $\langle M \rangle$ exactly when M accepts M. D rejects $\langle D \rangle$ exactly when D accepts $\langle D \rangle$.</p>
131. Pseudo-polynomial time algorithm	An algorithm for a number problem that is poly-time bounded if the involved numbers are polynomially bounded in the input size
132. Pumping lemma for Context free grammars	<p>For every context-free language L, there is a number p, such that for every string s, which is in L, whose length is at least p, there are strings u, v, x, y, z, such that $s = uvxyz$ and the following conditions are satisfied:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.) for every $i \geq 0$, $uv^i xy^i z$ is in L; 2.) $vy > 0$; 3.) $vxy \leq p$.
133. Pumping Lemma for Regular Expressions	<p>For every regular language L, there is a number p, such that for every string s, which is in L, whose length is at least p, there are strings x, y, z, such that $s = xyz$ and the following conditions are satisfied:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.) for every $i \geq 0$, $xy^i z$ is in L; 2.) $y > 0$; 3.) $xy \leq p$.
134. Randomized Algorithms	There exist problems for which the use of a random number generator allows for finding the exact solution most of the time
135. Randomized poly-time class (RP)	class of problems defined by poly-time RTMs

136. Random Turing Machine	a restricted NTM with a clock such that the computation tree is a full binary tree with either YES (accepting) or NO (rejecting) in each leaf node. For every input, there must be either no accepting path or at least ϵ (1/2) of the paths are accepting
137. Reducible	<p>Let L_1, L_2 be subsets of Σ^*.</p> <p>L_1 is reducible to L_2 if there is a computable total function $f: \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$ such that x member of L_1 if and only if $f(x)$ member of L_2</p>
138. Regular languages closed under	<p>Union</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Intersection • Complement • Star • Concatenation
139. Relationship between Space and Time complexity of a TM	<p>For every TM, M:</p> $SM(n) \leq TM(n) + 1$
140. Relativity Concept	If a class is closed under a certain resource-bounded reduction, any larger class is also closed under that reduction
141. Remember language is in what notation and what do you need to include with it	include an n and define it, also include set notation
142. REMEMBER what does a^0 b^0 represent	it represents empty, not 1
143. Rice's Theorem	Every nontrivial language property of Turing Machines is undecidable. That is, for each such property P , the Property P Problem is undecidable
144. Self-Accepting definition	$SA = \{e(M) \mid M \text{ is a TM and } e(M) \text{ member of } L(M)\}$
145. Semidecidable Language (recursively enumerable)	A language is semidecidable if there exists a TM that accepts it
146. Show that PATH is in P	<p>A polynomial time algorithm M for PATH works as follows:</p> <p>On input $\langle G, s, t \rangle$, where G is a directed graph with nodes s and t,</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Place a mark on node s 2. Repeat until no additional nodes are marked: 3. Scan all edges of G. If an edge (a, b) is found going from a marked node a to an unmarked node b, mark node b. 4. if t is marked, accept. Otherwise, reject
147. Show that RELPRIME is in P	<p>$E =$ "On input $\langle x, y \rangle$, where x and y are natural numbers, $x > y$:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Repeat until $y=0$. 2. Assign $x \leftarrow x \bmod y$. 3. Exchange x and y. 4. Output x." <p>$R =$ "On input $\langle x, y \rangle$, where x and y are natural numbers:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Swap x and y if necessary so that $x > y$. 2. Run E on $\langle x, y \rangle$. 3. If the result is 1, accept. Otherwise reject.
148. Show that SAT is in NP	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Use guess and check – NTM, N, checks if its input string is a boolean expression C in conjunctive normal form – Then, N guesses an assignment of truth values to variables and checks if this makes C true – If so, N accepts – Therefore N accepts if and only if C is satisfiable, and terminates after a polynomial number of steps

149. Show that the A_{TM} is Turing reducible to HALT TM	<p>For every TM M with input string w, consider the TM M^*, which takes input $\langle M, w \rangle$</p> <p>$M^* =$ "on input $\langle M, w \rangle$</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. simulate M on w 2. if M reaches accept, accept 3. if M reaches reject, enter an infinite loop <p>f is the computable function through which $f(\langle M, w \rangle) = \langle M^*, w \rangle$</p> <p>Therefore, $\langle M, w \rangle$ is in A_{TM} iff $f(\langle M, w \rangle)$ is HALT TM</p>
150. Show the nonregularity of $B = \{0^n 1^n \mid n \geq 0\}$	<p>Proof by contradiction:</p> <p>Assume B is regular. Let then p be its pumping length.</p> <p>Select w is in B with $w \geq p$.</p> <p>By the pumping lemma, $w = xyz$ and y can be pumped, so that we must also have $xyyz$ is in B.</p> <p>Case 1: y only has 0s. But then $xyyz$ has more 0s than 1s and is not in B.</p> <p>Case 2: y only has 1s. But then $xyyz$ has more 1s than 0s and is not in B.</p> <p>Case 3: y has both 0s and 1s. But then $xyyz$ has a 1 followed by a 0 and is not in B.</p> <p>All cases lead to contradiction, therefore B is not regular</p>
151. Show the nonregularity of $F = \{ww \mid w \text{ is in } \{0,1\}^*\}$	<p>Proof by contradiction:</p> <p>Assume F is regular. Let then p be its pumping length.</p> <p>Observe that $0^p 1 0^p 1$ is in F.</p> <p>By the pumping lemma, $0^p 1 0^p 1 = xyz$ and y can be pumped.</p> <p>By Condition 3, $xy \leq p$. Therefore, y is entirely in the first 0^p.</p> <p>Pumping y would produce a string that has only 0s in the first half, and two 1s in the second half. Obviously this string cannot be in F, which contradicts with the pumping lemma.</p>
152. Space Complexity of a TM	$SM(n)$ is the max number of tape squares M can visit on any input of length n
153. Space Hierarchy Theorem	$DSPACE(o(f(n)))$ is a proper subset of $DSPACE(f(n))$
154. String over Sigma	A finite sequence of symbols from Σ
155. Strongly NP-complete	An NP-complete problem with no pseudo-poly time algorithm (assumes NP is not P)
156. Theorem: There are arbitrarily complex languages	Let $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be a total computable function. Then there is a decidable language L such that for every TM accepting L , τ_M is not bounded by f
157. Theorem: There need not exist a fastest TM	There is a decidable language L such that for every TM accepting L , there is a TM M' such that $L(M') = L(M)$ and $\tau_{M'}$ is a member of $O(\log_2(\tau_M))$
158. Time Complexity of a TM	$\tau_M(n)$ is the max number of transitions M can make on any input of length n
159. Time-constructible function	A function for which there exists a TM that halts after exactly $f(x)$ steps for every input x
160. Time Hierarchy Theorem	$DTIME(o(f(n)/\log(f(n))))$ is a proper subset of $DTIME(f(n))$
161. tractable	A language, L , is tractable if L is a member of P
162. Transition definition	$U_{q,v} \rightarrow xry$ describes effect of a single move on a TM
163. Translation	Relations between complexity classes translate upwards (padding argument)
164. Trivial	A language property, P , of a TM is trivial if every or no TM has P
165. Uniform circuit family	a set of Boolean circuit $C = \{C_0, C_1, \dots\}$ such that (the encoding of) each C_n can be constructed from n by using an algorithm
166. The union of countable sets is also countable	True
167. What are TMs with TMs as inputs used for	To see if a language is decidable or not. To do this, TMs must be encoded as strings

168. What does it imply if L1 is polynomial time reducible to L2	L2 is a member of P or NP implies L1 is a member of P or NP respectively
169. What does it mean for a decision problem P to be in P/PSpace	The language Y(P) is in P/PSpace when polynomial time encoding/decoding is assumed
170. What does the stack not need to be for PDA	it doesn't need to be empty when reaching final state, but the input does need to
171. What does U do?	<p>Simulates M on input w where it:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – accepts $e(M)e(w)$ if M accepts w – rejects $e(M)e(w)$ if M rejects w – diverges on $e(M)e(w)$ if M diverges on w <p>U is known as an interpreter for TMs</p>
172. What happens if there is more than one possible sequence for a NTM given input string?	Input string is executed if there exists a transition sequence ending in h_a . For every NTM, N, there is a TM, D, such that $L(N) = L(D)$. D tries all branches of N in breadth first. If D finds an accepting state it accepts otherwise it rejects
173. What happens if you get to final state but still have stuff leftover?	Don't accept,
174. What is delta in a TM definition	$(Q - \{h_a, h_r\}) \times \gamma \rightarrow Q \times \gamma \times \{L, R, S\}$ is the transition function
175. What is E(P) where P is a decision problem?	language $Y(P) \cup N(P)$ consists of all encoded instances
176. What is gamma in a TM definition	Tape alphabet including blank symbol
177. What is q_0 in a TM definition	q_0 member of Q is the initial state
178. What is Q in a TM definition	Finite set of states, including h_a and h_r
179. What is sigma in a TM definition	Input alphabet
180. What is the format for pop and push	push is the very last thing, pop is the middle thing, read is the first thing
181. What is the membership problem?	Given a TM, M, and a string w. Does M accept w?
182. What is the relationship between a language's characteristic function and its decidability	L is decidable if and only if its char. function is computable
183. What is the transition function for a multitape TM?	Delta: $(Q - \{h_a, h_r\}) \times \gamma^n \rightarrow Q \times \gamma^n \times \{L, R, S\}^n$ where $n \geq 2$
184. What is the variable, terminal, start	<p>The start is the first thing, Variables include the start state</p> <p>Terminal is the end thing. Terminal does not include empty string</p>
185. What is Y(P) where P is a decision problem?	Language of encoded yes instances
186. What machine matches context sensitive languages	Linear-bounded automaton
187. What machine matches unrestricted / semidecidable languages?	TM
188. What tuple defines a TM?	$(Q, \sigma, \gamma, q_0, \delta)$
189. When can language L be enumerated by some multitape TM?	If and only if L is semidecidable

190. When does a multitape TM enumerate language L?	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The computation begins with all tapes blank 2. The tape head on tape 1 never moves left 3. At each point in the computation, the contents of the tape 1 is in the form [blank] # w₁...#w_n...#v 4. Every w member of L will eventually appear as one of the strings w_i on the tape 1
191. When is a decision problem P decidable?	If Y(P) is decidable
192. When is a decision problem P semidecidable?	If Y(P) is semidecidable
193. When is an encoding reasonable?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Y(P) \cap N(P) $\neq \emptyset$ – E(P) is decidable – There exists a decoding algorithm which given a code w member of E(P) constructs an instance I such that enc(I) = w
194. When is a partial function $f: \Sigma^k \rightarrow \Sigma$ Turing computable?	If there exists a TM such that $f_M = f$
195. When is a partial function $f: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ computable?	If and only if Graph#(f) is semidecidable
196. When is set S countably infinite?	If there exists a bijective function $\{0,1,2,\dots\} \rightarrow S$
197. When is the total function $f: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ computable?	If and only if Graph#(f) is decidable
198. ZPP	$RP \cap coRP$; the randomized poly-time class with zero error probability
199. P (fancy)	The class of all languages decidable in polynomial time