Schema Refinement Tutorial (Part II)

- Consider a relation R(A,B,C,D,E,F). It has FDs:
 AC → F, B → D, AB → CEF, ACE → B, and AEF
 → BC
- 1. Find all candidate keys of R
- 2. Is relation R in the 3NF? If not, give an example of FD that violates the 3NF condition and explain why.
- 3. Is relation R in BCNF? If not, give an example FD that violates the BCNF condition and explain why.
- 4. If R does not satisfy BCNF, how to decompose R into BCNF tables?

- Consider a relation R(A,B,C,D,E,F). It has FDs:
 AC → F, B → D, AB → CEF, ACE → B, and AEF
 → BC
- 1. Find all candidate keys of R

- Consider a relation R(A,B,C,D,E,F). It has FDs:
 AC → F, B → D, AB → CEF, ACE → B, and AEF
 → BC
- 1. Find all candidate keys of R: AB, ACE, AEF
- 2. Is relation R in the 3NF? If not, give an example of FD that violates the 3NF condition and explain why.

- Consider a relation R(A,B,C,D,E,F). It has FDs:
 F={AC → F, B → D, AB → CEF, ACE → B, AEF → BC}
- 1. Find all candidate keys of R: AB, ACE, AEF
- 2. Is relation R in the 3NF? If not, give an example of FD that violates the 3NF condition and explain why.
 - R is not in 3NF (B->D violates 3NF)
- 3. Is relation R in BCNF? If not, give an example FD that violates the BCNF condition and explain why.

- Consider a relation R(A,B,C,D,E,F). It has FDs:
 F={AC → F, B → D, AB → CEF, ACE → B, AEF → BC}
- 1. Find all candidate keys of R: AB, ACE, AEF
- 2. Is relation R in the 3NF? If not, give an example of FD that violates the 3NF condition and explain why.
 - R is not in 3NF (B->D violates 3NF)
- 3. Is relation R in BCNF? If not, give an example FD that violates the BCNF condition and explain why.
 - R is not in BCNF (AC->F, B->D violate BCNF)

- Consider a relation R(A,B,C,D,E,F). It has FDs:
 F={AC → F, B → D, AB → CEF, ACE → B, AEF → BC}
- 1. Find all candidate keys of R: AB, ACE, AEF
- 2. Is relation R in the 3NF? If not, give an example of FD that violates the 3NF condition and explain why.
 - R is not in 3NF (B->D violates 3NF)
- 3. Is relation R in BCNF? If not, give an example FD that violates the BCNF condition and explain why.
- 4. If R does not satisfy BCNF, how to decompose R into BCNF tables?

Final step

- All candidate keys of R: AB, ACE, AEF
- FDs that violate BCNF: AC->F, B->D
- BCNF decomposition

Final answer: ABCE, ACF, BD

Dependency-preserving Decomposition into 3NF

Consider relation R with FDs F. Let F' be the minimal cover of F. Let R1...Rn be a lossless-join decomposition of R (can be obtained by BCNF decomposition).

- Step 1: Identify the dependencies N in F' that is not preserved by {R1, ...Rn}
- Step 2: For each X-> A in N, create a relation schema XA and add it to {R1...Rn}
- It guarantees lossless-join, dependency-preserving decomposition!!!

Consider a relation R(ABCDE), and its FDs $F=\{ABCD \rightarrow E, E \rightarrow D, A \rightarrow B, AC \rightarrow D\}$.

Question: decompose R into 3NF tables.

- **Step 1:** Find keys
- Step 2: find minimal cover G of F
- Step 3: BCNF decomposition R(<u>ACBDE</u>) in BCNF according to G
- **Step 4**: Dependency-preserving decomposition:

/* If X→Y is not preserved, add (XY) into the decomposition */

Consider a relation R(ABCDE), and its FDs $F=\{ABCD \rightarrow E, E \rightarrow D, A \rightarrow B, AC \rightarrow D\}$.

Question: decompose R into 3NF tables.

• **Step 1:** Find keys

Consider a relation R(ABCDE), and its FDs $F=\{ABCD \rightarrow E, E \rightarrow D, A \rightarrow B, AC \rightarrow D\}$.

Question: decompose R into 3NF tables.

- Step 1: Find keys: AC
- Step 2: find minimal cover G of F

Consider a relation R(ABCDE), and its FDs $F=\{ABCD \rightarrow E, E \rightarrow D, A \rightarrow B, AC \rightarrow D\}$.

Question: decompose R into 3NF tables.

- Step 1: Find keys: AC
- Step 2: find minimal cover G of F: G={AC->E, E->D, A->B}

Consider a relation R(ABCDE), and its FDs $F=\{ABCD \rightarrow E, E \rightarrow D, A \rightarrow B, AC \rightarrow D\}$.

Question: decompose R into 3NF tables.

- **Step 1:** Find keys: AC
- Step 2: find minimal cover G of F: G={AC->E, E->D, A->B}
- Step 3: BCNF decomposition R(<u>ACBDE</u>) in BCNF according to G

Consider a relation R(ABCDE), and its FDs $F=\{ABCD \rightarrow E, E \rightarrow D, A \rightarrow B, AC \rightarrow D\}$.

Question: decompose R into 3NF tables.

- **Step 1:** Find keys
- Step 2: find minimal cover G of F
- Step 3: BCNF decomposition R(<u>ACBDE</u>) in BCNF according to G
 Step 4: Dependency-preserving decomposition:
 /* If X→Y is not preserved, add (XY) into the decomposition */
 R1(<u>ACBE</u>)
 R2(<u>ED</u>)

R1(ACE)

R2(AB)

Consider a relation R(ABCDE), and its FDs $F=\{ABCD \rightarrow E, E \rightarrow D, A \rightarrow B, AC \rightarrow D\}$.

Question: decompose R into 3NF tables.

- **Step 1:** Find keys
- Step 2: find minimal cover G of F
- Step 3: BCNF decomposition R(ACBDE) in BCNF according to G R(ABCDE)
 BCNF decomposition: ACE, AB, DE (note: the decomposition result can be different if we deal with A>B first)
 Step 4: Dependency-preserving decomposition: R1(ACBE)

/* If X→Y is not preserved, add (XY) into the decomposition */

R1(ACBE)

*/

A \rightarrow B

R1(ACE)

R2(AB)

Consider a relation R(ABCDE), and its FDs $F=\{ABCD \rightarrow E, E \rightarrow D, A \rightarrow B, AC \rightarrow D\}$.

Question: decompose R into 3NF tables.

- **Step 1:** Find keys
- Step 2: find minimal cover G of F

3NF decomposition (same as BCNF decomposition): ACE, AB, DE