Data Science Project October, 27 2016

# Question

Can you predict if a sitter will have churned 180 days after they were approved at the point when you approve their profile?

## Defining Churn

At 180 Days after your profile was first approved, you meet the following conditions:

Your profile is inactive (Explicit)

#### OR

 You have not had an **Event** in the past 100 days\* (Implicit)

\*Less than 10% of sitters return who haven't had an event in the past 100 days

#### Events:

- Booked a Stay
- Sent a Message
- Uploaded a Photo
- Scheduled a Meet and Greet
- Activated a Service
- Set Affirmative Weekend Availability

## Creating Churn Prediction

```
LEFT JOIN (SELECT uploader id,
      MAX(added) AS last photo added
     FROM images image ii
     WHERE content type id in (17, 36)
     GROUP BY 1) photos ON photos.uploader id = p.id
LEFT JOIN (SELECT provider id,
      MAX(cmg.added) AS last meet and greet
     FROM conversations meetandgreet cmg
     IOIN conversations conversation cc on cc.id = cmg.conversation id
     GROUP BY 1) meet greet ON meet greet.provider id = p.id
LEFT JOIN (SELECT cc.provider id,
     MAX(cm.sent) as last message sent
     FROM conversations message cm
     JOIN conversations conversation cc ON cc.id = cm.conversation id AND cm.sender id = cc.provider id
     GROUP BY 1) message ON message.provider id = p.id
WHERE
p.id > 121934
AND
COALESCE(serv.first approved,serv.first searchable date) < CURDATE() - INTERVAL 180 DAY
(serv.deactivated at < COALESCE(serv.first approved,serv.first searchable date) + INTERVAL 180 DAY
(booking.last booking BETWEEN COALESCE(serv.first approved,serv.first searchable date) + INTERVAL 80 DAY AND
COALESCE(serv.first approved,serv.first searchable date) + INTERVAL 180 DAY
activated.last activated BETWEEN COALESCE(serv.first approved,serv.first searchable date) + INTERVAL 80 DAY AND
COALESCE(serv.first approved,serv.first searchable date) + INTERVAL 180 DAY
searchable.last searchable BETWEEN COALESCE(serv.first approved,serv.first searchable date) + INTERVAL 80 DAY AND
COALESCE(serv.first approved,serv.first searchable date) + INTERVAL 180 DAY
OR
weekend availability.last weekend availability BETWEEN COALESCE(serv.first approved,serv.first searchable date) + INTERVAL 80
DAY AND COALESCE(serv.first approved,serv.first searchable date) + INTERVAL 180 DAY
OR
photos.last photo added BETWEEN COALESCE(serv.first approved,serv.first searchable date) + INTERVAL 80 DAY AND
COALESCE(serv.first approved,serv.first searchable date) + INTERVAL 180 DAY
meet greet.last meet and greet BETWEEN COALESCE(serv.first approved,serv.first searchable date) + INTERVAL 80 DAY AND
COALESCE(serv.first approved,serv.first searchable date) + INTERVAL 180 DAY
```

message.last message sent BETWEEN COALESCE(serv.first approved,serv.first searchable date) + INTERVAL 80 DAY AND

COALESCE(serv.first approved,serv.first searchable date) + INTERVAL 180 DAY)

SELECT DISTINCT p.id

FROM people\_person p

LEFT JOIN (SELECT provider\_id,

MIN(approved\_on) AS first\_approved,

MIN(searchable\_date) AS first\_searchable\_date,

MAX(active) AS currently\_active,

 ${\sf MAX}(searchable) \ {\sf AS} \ {\sf currently\_searchable},$ 

MAX(deactivated\_at) AS deactivated\_at

FROM services\_service serv

GROUP BY 1) serv ON serv.provider\_id = p.id

LEFT IOIN (SELECT provider id.

MAX(stay\_added) as last\_booking

FROM [b\_stays]

GROUP BY 1) booking ON booking.provider\_id = p.id

LEFT IOIN (SELECT ss.provider id.

MAX(cd.added) as last activated

FROM common\_deactivatableauditlog cd

JOIN services\_service ss on ss.id = cd.object\_id AND cd.content\_type\_id = 155 AND

cd.activated = 1

GROUP BY 1) activated ON activated provider id = p.id

LEFT IOIN (SELECT ss.provider id.

MAX(ss.searchable date) as last searchable

FROM services service ss

WHERE searchable date is not null

GROUP BY 1) searchable ON searchable provider id = p.id

LEFT IOIN (SELECT person id.

MAX(added) as last weekend availability

FROM marketing\_weekendavailabilitysurvey

WHERE is available = 1

GROUP BY 1) weekend availability ON weekend availability.person id = p.id

# Data Set Sizing

All sitters approved > 180 days ago that have data sufficiency due to lack of data availability from very early sitters:

• 93,213

Of that group, number that were churned 180 days after approval:

• 47,657 (51.12%)

#### Feature Set

We can only look at variables known to us at the point at which the sitter's profile is sent for approval.

#### Initial Set:

Number of Photos	Location
Services Listed	Time to Complete Profile
Day of Week Availability	Dog owner
Protection Package	Children in Home
Building Type	Number of Testimonials Requested
Dogs Allowed on Furniture	Years of Dog Sitting Experience

## Creating the Feature Set

SELECT DISTINCT p.id. CASE WHEN photos.images\_count IS NOT NULL THEN photos.images\_count ELSE 0 END AS photos, ppl.zip AS zip code. CASE WHEN servhome.id IS NOT NULL THEN 1 ELSE 0 END AS listed boarding. CASE WHEN servtravel.id IS NOT NULL THEN 1 ELSE 0 END AS listed traveling. CASE WHEN servwalk.id IS NOT NULL THEN 1 ELSE 0 END AS listed\_walking, CASE WHEN servdropin.id IS NOT NULL THEN 1 ELSE 0 END AS listed dropin, CASE WHEN servdaycare, id IS NOT NULL THEN 1 ELSE 0 END AS listed daycare. datediff(COALESCE(serv info.first approved.serv info.first searchable date),serv info.first service) AS service added to complete. datediff(COALESCE(serv\_info.first\_approved,serv\_info.first\_searchable\_date),p.added) AS account\_added\_to\_complete, aap.mondav. aap.tuesday. aap.wednesday. aap.thursday, aap.friday, aap.saturdav. aap.sundav. CASE WHEN pet.id IS NOT NULL THEN 1 ELSE 0 END AS has\_dog, CASE WHEN jip.id IS NOT NULL THEN 1 ELSE 0 END AS protection package. CASE WHEN spp. years of experience IS NOT NULL THEN spp. years of experience ELSE 0 END AS years of experience. COALESCE(shsp.dogs\_allowed\_on\_bed,shsp.dogs\_allowed\_on\_furniture) AS dogs on furniture, CASE WHEN children 0 5 = 1 OR children 6 12 = 1 THEN 1 ELSE 0 END AS children in home. CASE WHEN building\_type = 'hs' THEN 1 ELSE 0 END AS building\_home, CASE WHEN building\_type = 'apt' THEN 1 ELSE 0 END AS building\_apartment, CASE WHEN building type = 'farm' THEN 1 ELSE 0 END AS building farm. CASE WHEN building\_type IS NULL THEN 1 ELSE 0 END AS building unknown,

testimonial\_requests FROM people person p

IOIN people personlocation ppl ON ppl.person id = p.id

LEFT JOIN services\_service servhome ON (servhome.provider\_id = p.id AND servhome.service\_type\_id = 1)

LEFT JOIN services\_service servtravel ON (servtravel.provider\_id = p.id AND servtravel.service\_type\_id = 2)

LEFT IOIN services service servwalk ON (servwalk.provider id = p.id AND servwalk.service type id = 3)

LEFT IOIN services service servdropin ON (servdropin.provider id = p.id AND servdropin.service type id = 4)

LEFT JOIN services\_service servdaycare ON (servdaycare.provider\_id = p.id AND servdaycare.service\_type\_id = 5)

CASE WHEN testimonials.testimonial\_requests IS NOT NULL THEN testimonials.testimonial\_requests ELSE 0 END AS

LEFT IOIN (SELECT provider id.

MIN(added) AS first\_service, MIN(approved on) AS first approved.

MIN(searchable\_date) AS first\_searchable\_date,

MAX(active) AS currently active.

datediff(MIN(serv.added), MIN(serv.met requirements on)) AS added to complete

FROM services service serv

GROUP BY 1) serv\_info ON serv\_info.provider\_id = p.id

LEFT IOIN (SELECT uploader id.

COUNT(ii.id) AS images count

FROM images image ii

JOIN (SELECT provider\_id,

MIN(approved on) AS first approved.

MIN(searchable date) AS first searchable date

FROM services service serv

GROUP BY 1) serv ON serv.provider\_id = ii.uploader\_id

WHERE ii.added <= COALESCE(serv.first\_approved, serv.first\_searchable\_date)

GROUP BY 1) photos ON photos.uploader id = p.id

LEFT IOIN (SELECT aap, provider id.

MAX(aap.monday) AS monday,

MAX(aap.tuesday) AS tuesday.

MAX(aap.wednesday) AS wednesday.

MAX(aap.thursday) AS thursday.

MAX(aap.friday) AS friday,

MAX(aap.saturday) AS saturday, MAX(aap.sunday) AS sunday

FROM availability availabilitypreferences aap

GROUP BY 1) aap ON aap, provider id = p.id

LEFT IOIN pets pet pet ON pet.owner id = p.id

LEFT JOIN insurance\_insurancepurchase iip ON iip.person\_id = p.id

LEFT IOIN services provider profile spp ON spp.provider id = p.id

LEFT IOIN services hostingservicepreferences shsp ON shsp.provider id = p.id

LEFT IOIN (SELECT requester id.

COUNT(ptr.id) AS testimonial requests

FROM people\_testimonialrequest ptr

IOIN (SELECT provider id.

MIN(approved\_on) AS first\_approved,

MIN(searchable date) AS first searchable date

FROM services service serv

GROUP BY 1) serv ON serv provider id = ptr.requester id

WHERE ptr.added <= COALESCE(serv.first approved, serv.first searchable date)

GROUP BY 1) testimonials ON testimonials.requester id = p.id

WHERE p.id > 121934

AND COALESCE(serv info, first approved, serv info, first searchable date) < CURDATE() - INTERVAL 180 DAY GROUP BY 1

# Creating the Feature Set

I ran into difficulties when attempting to create the data set:

- The data set was too large to run in our regular analytics tool, meaning that I would need to run it in MySQL and export as a CSV rather than constantly having the list refreshed with the API.
- If I tried to put the churn query inside the feature set query so they would export as one file it would time out. I had to run them separately and merge in Excel, which took Excel about 30 minutes to accomplish.

# Evaluating the Feature Set

I ran into a few issues when evaluating the feature set:

- I did a lot of data cleaning while writing the SQL queries, but a few things managed to get through and caused some issues:
  - People input erroneous data for the number of years since they have been a dog sitter. One person put in 10,000 years and really screwed up the box plot.
  - Some people upload an insane amount of photos, or request an insane amount of testimonials. These data points caused evaluating via box plots to be difficult, but evaluating via groupby/mean was also difficult because there are so many possibilities for the number of photos or number of testimonials requested
- For location, I initially have used zip code, which I learned is too granular. Also, sometimes in our database a 9 digit zip code is used which gave an error re: multiple types of data in 1 column.

## Evaluating the Feature Set

After initial analysis, only a couple features which were initially evaluated stand out as likely to be an indicator for churn.

#### Services Listed

#### Saturday Availability

#### First Model Run

I used logistic regression and train/test/split to create an initial model.

My initial model accuracy was .578

When I ran the model against the holdout data, it .512

## Next Steps

Given the initial outcome and difficulties I encountered, here are my next steps:

- Add to & revise data set
  - Find new potential features to use in the model which might help it become more accurate
  - Determine how to deal with erroneous & ridiculous data points
- Review with internal teams at Rover
  - Gather feedback on if there are any other features the recommend
  - Review churn definition
- Improve model!