Advanced Econometrics 2 (2017/2018) Bootstrap Methods - UvA

Programming Assignment

Instructions: hand in before Friday 17:00 hours, 12 January 2018 in teams of 2.

- E-mail your programming code to: N.P.A.vanGiersbergen@UvA.nl.
- You may also e-mail your solutions (max. 4 pages text; including tables) assuming basic econometric knowledge.
- MATLAB code is preferred, but other languages are allowed (however, do not use standard R-bootstrap libraries!). Clarify your code with comments and include a statement of originality (see MATLAB code).
- The purpose of this assignment is for you to gain practical experience with resampling methods.
- Do not copy work of others. This will be considered as fraud!

In this assignments, you will investigate several methods to construct confidence intervals. Suppose

$$Z_i \sim N(0, s^2)$$
 and $X_i = \exp(Z_i)$,

so X_i is log-normally distributed with $\mu = E[X_i] = \exp(1/2s^2)$. We are interested in an equal-tailed two-sided confidence interval [l, u] for

$$\theta = \sin(\mu)$$

such that

$$P[l \le \theta \le u] = 95\%.$$

1. On Blackboard, you can find MATLAB code for generating the data for n = 50 based on REP = 1000 replications. Derive the standard error based on the delta method (see for instance Section 7.2.8 of Cameron and Trivedi). Simulate the coverage frequency (cov. freq.) of the first-order asymptotic approximation, i.e. the frequency that the confidence interval (CI)

$$[\hat{\theta} - 1.96SE(\hat{\theta}), \hat{\theta} + 1.96SE(\hat{\theta})]$$

contains the true parameter θ for s = 1.3.

2. Determine the minimal *n* (in multiples of 50) such that the cov. freq. of the CI of question 1 is contained in the interval

$$0.95 \pm 1.96\sqrt{0.95 \times 0.05/1000} = [0.9365, 0.9635].$$

For the questions below, consider n = 50 again.

3. Next, we consider the finite-sample properties of $\hat{\theta}$. Determine the bias of $\hat{\theta}$ using simulation. Code the bias-corrections based on the jackknife and the bootstrap (499 bootstrap replications):

$$\hat{\theta}_{jack}^{BC}$$
 and $\hat{\theta}_{boot}^{BC}$.

Using simulation, investigate which method seems to be able to correct the bias most accurately. Does it also improve the cov. freq. when using a bias-corrected CI, i.e.

$$[\hat{\theta}_{jack/boot}^{BC} - 1.96SE(\hat{\theta}), \hat{\theta}_{jack/boot}^{BC} + 1.96SE(\hat{\theta})]?$$

4. Next, investigate the effect of replacing the *SE* based on the delta method by the jackknife and bootstrap standard errors:

$$SE_{iack}(\hat{\theta})$$
 and $SE_{boot}(\hat{\theta})$.

So, simulate the cov. freq. of

$$[\hat{\theta} - 1.96SE_{jack/boot}(\hat{\theta}), \hat{\theta} + 1.96SE_{jack/boot}(\hat{\theta})].$$

- 5. Next, implement and investigate the performance of the following 95% equal-tailed two-sided bootstrap intervals: the percentile and percentile-*t*. Which method do you prefer? Are the results in line with the theory (you don't have to prove any claims).
- 6. Consider the cov. freq. of the two bootstrap intervals when you take the bias of the estimator into account, i.e. using $\hat{\theta}_{jack/boot}^{BC}$ as the center of the intervals.
- 7. Finally, consider bias correction in the t-ratio, i.e. take the quantiles of

$$T^* = \frac{\hat{\theta}^* - Bias_{jack}(\hat{\theta}) - \hat{\theta}}{SE(\hat{\theta}^*)}$$

for the percentile-t method (using $\hat{\theta}$ as the center). Comment on the performance and logic of this bootstrap implementation.

8. Describe (in some detail) how you would bootstrap in case of heteroskedasticity (so adding a non-constant variance to the DGP). You don't have to code (or simulate) the procedure.

Good luck!