

# TnT : A file system synchronizer<sup>†</sup>

Justin Holmgren  
holmgren@mit.edu

Zach Kabelac  
zek@mit.edu

Pritish Kamath  
pritch@mit.edu

Deepak Vasisht  
deepakv@mit.edu

May 11, 2014

## Abstract

We build a peer-to-peer file-system synchronizer, based on [Tra](#), which is performant and resilient to system crashes. We implement our file-system synchronizer in Go and ensure that all the guarantees for a file synchronizer mentioned in Tra are satisfied. We handle the system going offline by storing the metadata on the disk and using a two-phase synchronization method to ensure that crashes do not effect the system adversely even if they happen during sync. We robustly test TnT’s correctness across 5 machines making 1 million directory changes and 1000 synchronizations across machines. Our results show that TnT successfully synchronizes across 5 machines.

## 1 Introduction

We implement a Tra based file system synchronization system. Our implementation, by design, ensures all the desirable properties mentioned in the introduction of Tra, i.e. no restriction on synchronization patterns, no false conflicts, no metadata for deleted files, network usage proportional to changed files and partial synchronizations within the file tree. The key

## 2 Goals

We plan to implement a file synchronization system based on [Tra](#). We plan to ensure that we achieve all the five objectives mentioned in the introduction of Tra Technical Report [1]. We will implement multiple versions starting from a basic version that just ensures correctness (including Tra’s “no false conflicts” guarantee) and optimize further for reduced network communication, and reduced metadata overhead. We plan to test it on up to 10 machines (or virtual machines, maybe on EC2) running concurrent updates to file systems.

## 3 System Design

Tra introduced the novel idea of *vector time pairs*, which allows Tra to provide very strong guarantees such as *no false positives* and *no meta-data for deleted files* among others. Our main system design is completely modelled after Tra.

---

<sup>†</sup>TnT stands for “TnT is not Tra”

### 3.1 File Watcher

TnT stores synchronization meta-data in the form of a *tree*, which stores modification histories and synchronization histories for every file/directory in the file system. We use the [inotify](#) linux package, that allows the system to recognize when a user makes changes to the file system (such as creates/edits/deletes of files/directories) in an interrupt based manner. This allows us to keep the meta-data up-to-date with the latest state of the file system.

### 3.2 Synchronization

Along the lines of Tra, we consider only one-directional synchronization. Any two machines can synchronize their file systems independent of the rest of the machines.

### 3.3 Failure Recovery

We use a two-phase synchronization method to ensure that crashes do not affect the consistency of the system, even if they happen during sync.

### 3.4 Meta-data costs

## 4 Implementation Details

We will use Go for our implementation because of its good support for OS-level operations like watching the file system, high-level programming features, as well as our experience using Go in 6.824.

We will iteratively improve our file synchronization system, starting with a minimum viable implementation. In particular, for our first iteration we will only try to achieve correctness using essentially the same techniques (the Vector Time pair algorithm) as in the Tra, but we will not implement any nonessential optimizations.

If we have more time, we will add the optimizations described in [1].

## 5 Project Evaluation

We will test the system developed on up to 5 concurrent machines for:

- ◇ **Correctness:** The most important aspect of a file synchronizer is that the directories be consistent across machines. To test the correctness of TnT, we test the case in which each machine independantly updates its respective file directory then randomly synchronizes with another machine. For this test, the machines are individual processes that watch over seperate file directories on one computer. The test will manipulate the files and TnT will synchronize it with other machines. The only way in which two machines can communicate is through the synchronization process.

The test updates a machine's files in a variety of ways on any branch of its directory. It creates and deletes files and directories, and also modifies the contents of files. The test does not check for renames or moves because TnT treats each of those actions as a delete then create. Once the updates and synchronizations are done, the test writes the

directories, files and their contents to a string and hashes that string to a number. If the hashed numbers are the same, then the directories are all up to date.

The test has the machines update their file directories 200 times, then it synchronizes two randomly selected machines. The process of update then synchronize occurs 100 times totaling 100,000 directory updates per test. We ran this test 10 times and our results show that the hashes are the same for each of the 10 experiments.

- ◇ **Metadata overhead:** the metadata used at any point of time should be proportional to the size of the file system tree. if files are deleted by all the synchronizing peers, they should not contribute to metadata.
- ◇ **Communications overhead:** The communication used should be proportional to the number of files changed, and the sizes of the files. In particular, it should not be proportional to the size of the file system tree.

## References

- [1] Russ Cox, William Josephson. [Tra Technical report](#)