

Contents

1	Expression quantitative trait loci mapping in Brassica rapa.	1
1.0.1	Key words: Agriculture, field, expression traits, genomics, quantitative genetics	1
2	Introduction	1
3	Methods	2
3.0.1	Plant materials, experimental design, tissue collection	2
3.0.2	Total RNA isolation, RNA-seq library preparation, sequencing	3
3.0.3	RNA-Seq Read Processing	3
3.0.4	RNA-Seq Statistics	3
3.0.5	Expression QTL Mapping	3
4	Results	3
4.1	Expression QTL Overview	3
4.2	Hotspots	4
4.3	Expression QTL Overlapping Developmental QTL	4
5	Discussion	4
6	Figures	5
7	References	6

1 Expression quantitative trait loci mapping in Brassica rapa.

1.0.1 Key words: Agriculture, field, expression traits, genomics, quantitative genetics

2 Introduction

In the face of the change in climate we as human beings need to think about how we will grow food to feed 9 billion people. In order to feed these people we need to understand Clinton attics. Traits that are important for plant growth reproduction and development of been studied for a long time. These crates are quantitative and neuter because they involve many genes. A way to map the genotype to the phenotype is by using quantitative genetics. We can use quantitative genetics to understand complex traits By statistically associating the genotype to the phenotype. Two important phenotypes are plant leaf Lane and flowering time. Leaf length is important for how the plant captures energy and how this energy is converted to harvestable yield. Flowering time is an important trait because it determines when in developmental time there will be a large transition. In a previous paper

we developed a new genetic map for this population. The new genetic map provided two major advantages. Firstly, it was much denser than the previous map allowing for more refined QTL architecture. Secondly, it provided us with the genomic anchors for each of the molecular markers to convert genetic distance into physical distance along each of the chromosomes. This is very powerful because the genome has been sequenced and the genomic coordinates of each of the genes have been roughly placed on the genome. Therefore if the QTL can be placed in context of physical markers, causative genes underlying the QTL can theoretically be identified. There are many caveats to this assumption, but advances in statistical modeling provide ways to combine these different pieces of biological information into one coherent model of the underlying process.

One challenging problem facing quantitative genetic studies is finding the actual causative genes. If the assumption held that differences in gene expression underlying a QTL could help to determine what genes are involved in the phenotype then quantifying a genes expression. Messenger RNA is an intermediate form of biological information and is often used as a molecular proxy for cellular processes. The simplest use of mRNA is making comparisons between two groups of treatments to see if gene expression is different on a gene by gene basis. However, with advances in sequencing technology, it is now possible to quantify all of the genes that are being expressed in a given sample using RNA-seq. If this rich source of biological data is quantified on all the individuals in a genetic mapping population, the gene expression values themselves can be treated as molecular traits. This then allows the genetic basis of gene expression to be determined. If there are quantitative genetic loci that are controlling gene expression in a region of the genome that is also controlling a physiological phenotype, these two processes can be correlated with one another to find potential connections.

The genes, proteins, and metabolites in developmental pathways do not act in isolation, but rather in a network. Quantifying any form of biological information within the network provides snapshot views of what is occurring at that level of biological organization. This can be combined with computational modeling in order to infer what might be occurring at a different level of biological organization. Network modeling is a powerful approach towards the goal of combining different pieces of biological information. If network modeling is further combined with other techniques, such as quantitative genetics, the possibilities increase even further.

For this paper, we combined different forms of biological information to narrow down gene candidates for consistent physiological and development QTL. We will step through our thought process for collecting and integrating increasingly complex data sets towards finding the potential causative genes underlying these QTL. We will first describe an experiment just involving the parents of the genetic mapping population and then move on to quantifying gene expression across the entire population. At each step we will constantly ask what new form of information does this increasingly complex data give us? Then discuss the limitations of each form of information. We will finish by giving suggestions as to what genes are likely candidates for the QTL of interest.

3 Methods

3.0.1 Plant materials, experimental design, tissue collection

The field site was located at the University of Wyoming Agricultural Experimental Station in Laramie, Wyoming. This study focused on 125 recombinant inbred lines and the two parental genotypes of the Brassica rapa IRR1 population (described in F. L. Iniguez-Luy et al. (2009), M. T. Brock et al. (2010)). Individual plants were sown on XXX potting media on XXXX date in a greenhouse and transplanted to the field on XXXX date following established protocols (J. M. Dechaine, Brock, and Weinig (2014)). Each biological replicate of each genotype filled one of five blocks. After plants were established in the field three weeks, apical meristem tissue was collected from individual replicate

plants into 1.5 mL eppendorf tubes and immediately flash frozen in liquid N and stored at -80C until RNA-isolation.

3.0.1.1 TODO:

Parental Field Data Description- 2010 or 2011? **ATTENTION UPENDRA**

3.0.2 Total RNA isolation, RNA-seq library preparation, sequencing

RNA was extracted and purified from all samples using a RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen) combined with DNaseI (Qiagen) to remove contaminating DNA. Quantity and quality of isolated RNA were quantified on a Nanodrop ND 1000 (NanoDrop technologies). RNA-seq libraries were made following (Devisetty et al. (2015)). Samples were pooled into 8 large pools and sequenced on X lanes on the Illumina Genome Analyzer (GAIIx) as 100-bp single end reads. **ATTENTION UPENDRA**

3.0.3 RNA-Seq Read Processing

The raw reads were quality scored and mapped to the Chifu genome v1.5. Counts of uniquely mapped reads were generated for each sample following Devisetty et al. (2015). **ATTENTION UPENDRA**

3.0.4 RNA-Seq Statistics

Counts files generated from this pipeline were analysed using the Limma/Voom package in R using genotype and replicates as factors in a simple regression model((???), (???)). Calculated model fitted values for each gene for each individual genotype were generated and used for Expression QTL Mapping.

3.0.5 Expression QTL Mapping

Gene expression values for each per genotype were first mapped using the scanone() function in the R/QTL package ((???)).

3.0.5.1 TODO:

BLAST, Permutation

4 Results

4.1 Expression QTL Overview

Of the X genes that were differentially expressed between the parental samples, X had significant expression QTL associated with them. Of these, X were cis and X were trans expression QTL to the physical location of the gene on the chromosome. Of the X number of total genes expressed in the samples, X had significant expression QTL meeting our LOD significance threshold (LOD > 4, Figure 1). The expression QTL were distributed throughout all 10 chromosomes of Brassica

rapa (Table X, Chromosome distribution) with the cis-effect expression QTL forming the distinctive cis-diagonal band (Figure 1). There were many more cis-effect (**8907**, Figure 2) expression QTL than trans-effect (**3749**, Figure 3) QTL.

There were many large effect cis expression QTL in this study. The top three cis-effect eQTL with LOD scores of over 100 were located on Chromosomes A01, A02, A06. The largest cis-effect eQTL (chromosome A02) is protein of unknown function (LOD 287; Figure 1). The second largest cis-effect (A06) is AT3G49640 ($E=9e-177$) | FAD binding / catalytic/ tRNA dihydrouridine synthase (LOD 186; Figure 1). Third largest cis effect (A01) starch synthase - AT3G01180 ($E=1e-058$) AtSS2 | AtSS2 (starch synthase 2); transferase, transferring (LOD 160; Figure 1).

4.2 Hotspots

Trans expression QTL hotspots were located on chromosomes A01, A02, A06, A09, A10 (Fig 4). The trans expression QTL hotspots on A02 and A06 line up with known flowering time genes (Fig 4, Supplemental Figure 1). Trans hotspots line up on LF1 portion of the genome (Fig 5)

Hotspot on 6 effects many genes but signal is more diffuse across the chromosome. Many more flowering genes on this chromosome than the others? Or just the major flowering time genes in the pathway? Hammond et al. (2011) found that there were enriched regions for Phosphorus.

4.3 Expression QTL Overlapping Developmental QTL

M. T. Brock et al. (2010) found that there were significant flowering time and leaf length QTL for this population. With the new genetic map created in (???), we were able to refine the QTL boundries and overlap them with the expression QTL data from this study. In these studies there were flowering QTL on on A03, A07, A10 and leaf length QTL on A01, A03, A06, A10.

4.3.0.1 TODO:

trans effect eqtl with lod over 100: Largest trans-effect eQTL are mostly proteins of unknown function. Is it possible that these are just misplaced in the genome and are actually cis effect. Might be fixed with new mapping?

5 Discussion

This paper is a follow-up to two previous publications M. T. Brock et al. (2010) and Covington2016. These papers examined the genetic architecture of the important traits of flowering time and leaf length. M. T. Brock et al. (2010) quantified these important traits and mapped them using a first version of a genetic map produced by the creators of the mapping population . Covington2016 followed up on both of these papers by creating a new genetic map out of molecular markers derived from RNA-seq data. One of the main conclusions from Covington2016 was that the new genetic map could refine genetic architecture for traits already mapped and provided known genomic locations of the molecular markers used to create the map. These three papers opened up the possibilities of this papers to follow-up and try to estimate genes that are involved in genetic architecture of the trait rather than just the genomic regions. This lays the ground work for combining data sets across biological scales.

Traits that are segregating in a population have a molecular mechanistic underpinning. In the case of a recombinant in-bred line population, there are only two allelic states. This is an advantage

because there is less concern about how heterozygous individuals at a locus are manifesting the trait being studied. One of the molecular mechanisms that can explain a trait of interest is differences in gene expression between the two allelic states. Or, to put it another way, the causative gene for the physiological phenotype could be manifest by a difference in how that gene is expressed. If a region of the genome conferring the difference in phenotype is known, a simple question is if there are any differences in gene expression between the parents of the population in that region. If there are differences between many genes, how then to choose? Do any of the genes make sense according to what is known about the trait *and* are differentially expressed between the parents? We blah blah blah... need to answer this question first with the data.

What does eQTL data add to the picture? The limitation of using only parental gene expression data is that there may be more subtle differences in expression of the causative gene. Quantifying gene expression in all the individuals in the population is the next step. This allowed us to ask what genes are differentially expressed between the parents that are also differentially expressed in the population between the allelic states. The assumption here is that if there are no differentially expressed genes between the parents for a given genomic location, then either the ability to detect differences is not high enough, or gene expression is not the regulatory level. To add information to this story, we measured gene expression in the population in meristematic tissue pre-flowering. This allowed us to treat gene expression as a quantitative trait. We could then determine if the gene expression differences had the same genetic pattern as the physiological traits of interest. If the pattern is the same, then we used probabilistic modeling to determine if the variance in the population for the physiological trait could be explained by the differences in gene expression. Connecting all of these components was the ultimate goal of doing this large experiment in the first place.

Compare this to other work that has been done in *B. rapa*. Hotspot on 6 effects many genes but signal is more diffuse across the chromosome. Also, hotspot in Hammond et al. (2011). Wonder if this is the same hotspot? They showed enrichment for P related genes. Test this. Artifact?

If there is sequence level variation in the genes that could explain the other variation observed in the phenotypes, then we should be able to pick up on that variation. We can connect the pieces of information on a per gene basis, or chromosome block basis. Then we can compare those differences to the Proven results. The proven results show whether a SNP is related to an amino acid change, and if that amino acid change is related to differences in function for the protein.

6 Figures

6.0.0.1 Figure 1

Figure 1: Whole genome differential gene expression between R500 and IMB211. Displayed is the t-statistic of the difference in expression across X number of tissue types (open symbols) and X number of environments (closed symbols).

6.0.0.2 Figure 2

Figure 2: Whole genome expression quantitative trait loci (QTL). This plot displays local (cis) and distal (trans) gene expression. QTL are considered cis if they occur within X distance of the gene's physical position, or trans otherwise. Data points are false colored to represent the Likelihood Odds Ratio (LOD) significance score from black to blue.

6.0.0.3 Figure 3

Figure 3: Genome wide *cis* effect expression QTL distribution and significance score. Overlaid are red tick marks for genes differentially expressed in the parental dataset and displaying a significant *cis* eQTL.

6.0.0.4 Figure 4

Figure 4: Genome wide *trans* effect expression QTL distribution and significance score. Blue boxes surround *trans* eQTL hotspot peaks determined through permutation tests. Red ticks denote genomic location of flowering time genes.

>

Figure [rils-merged.parent-based-snps.png]: Plot of merged data from all RILs genotyped at parent-based SNP set. Figure legend text goes here. Figure legend text goes here. Figure legend text goes here.

<figcaption>We created many art products over the four days that were digital, conceptual, sculpture

7 References

- Brock, M. T., J. M. Dechaine, F. L. Iniguez-Luy, J. N. Maloof, J. R. Stinchcombe, and C. Weinig. 2010. "Floral Genetic Architecture: An Examination of QTL Architecture Underlying Floral (Co)Variation Across Environments." *Genetics* 186 (4) (December): 1451–1465. doi:[10.1534/genetics.110.119982](https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.110.119982).
- Dechaine, Jennifer M., Marcus T. Brock, and Cynthia Weinig. 2014. "QTL Architecture of Reproductive Fitness Characters in Brassica Rapa." *BMC Plant Biology* 14 (1): 1.
- Devisetty, U. K., M. F. Covington, A. V. Tat, S. Lekkala, and J. N. Maloof. 2015. "Polymorphism Identification and Improved Genome Annotation of Brassica Rapa Through Deep RNA Sequencing." *G3* 4 (11) (August): 2065–2078. doi:[10.1534/g3.114.012526](https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.114.012526).
- Hammond, J. P., S. Mayes, H. C. Bowen, N. S. Graham, R. M. Hayden, C. G. Love, W. P. Spracklen, et al. 2011. "Regulatory Hotspots Are Associated with Plant Gene Expression Under Varying Soil Phosphorus Supply in Brassica Rapa." *PLANT PHYSIOLOGY* 156 (3) (July): 1230–1241. doi:[10.1104/pp.111.175612](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.111.175612).
- Iniguez-Luy, Federico Luis, Lewis Lukens, Mark W. Farnham, Richard M. Amasino, and Thomas C. Osborn. 2009. "Development of Public Immortal Mapping Populations, Molecular Markers and Linkage Maps for Rapid Cycling Brassica Rapa and B. Oleracea." *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 120 (1) (December): 31–43. doi:[10.1007/s00122-009-1157-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-009-1157-4).