Jessico Noel Problem Set 9) "I pleage my honor that I have abided by the Stevens Honor System" Problem 1 Show that the following language is decidable by giving a highlevel description of a TM that decides the language. } < Ms; M (s a PDA and LCM) is an infinite language} On input < M7: 1) Convert M to a CFG A and take note of A's pumping length (let this be p) 2) In addition to the CFG let B be a regular expression that is made up of all Strings greater than on equal to length p. 3) Let CFG C > L(c) = L(A) n L(B) 4) If L(c) \$0, then ACCEPT 5) If L(c) =0, then REJECT · Since PDAS can Store an infinite amount the result of all strings of length p or greater intersected with the CFG OF M itself, this proves the language is decidable. 2) Snow { <6>: G: s a CFG over {a,b} and a + 1 L(G) + 03 decidable On input < G7: 1) construct a CFG A such that L(A) = a\* () L(C) 2) Let R be a decider for a decidable language. Using R, test Wheener L(H)=0 3) If ((H)= & then REJECT If L(H) & O then ACCEPT

	and the same of th
3)	Let A be a TM recognizable language of strings that encode TMS
	that are decident. Prove that there is a decidable language which is not
	decided by an TM in A. (Hint: Start W/ an enumerator for A)
	The state of the s
	Let E be the enumerator A.
	L)
	Let <mu> be the Kin output of E.</mu>
	On input X:
	1) It x is not within the language of the alphabet of A. REJECT
	2) Use E to enumerate up until <mx> (and enumerate attack)</mx>
	(A ni comaso in A)
	3) Run Mk on input x
	4) If Mix accept, REJECT - If Mx rejects, ACCEPT
	• In this case Mx is decidable language "not decided by any TM
	in A", so this theorem holds.
n)	
٦)	Consider the problem of determining whether a TM on input
	we ever attempts to move its head left when its head is on
	the lest-most tape. a) Formulate this problem as a decision problem
	L = & TM, W7: M attempts to move its head lett when its head is on the
P)	On input < M, w>:  Nett most take Call?
	1) Let A' be a turning machine constructed from
	2) Mark the topenest forthe left end of the tape as #
	3) Run A' on <m, w="">, when # is seen move to the right</m,>
	and the right
	animadore are seems in assess and Wattempts to reach the lettmost tape
	4) Move A' lett when M accepts w
•	. If were to run & M on A, if M accepts we know that
	A will also accept. Therefore M to dees decides L.
	However, when A continues to move test while on the finites
	lett of the tope, M will half on accept w. Because of this
	we have a contradiction which proves that L is undecidable.
	proves that I is undecidable.