Website Evaluation Form

Instructions for use:
This form is intended to serve as a starting point for the form you will distribute to your web site evaluators.
Before you distribute this form to your evaluators, you should complete Section I General Information with the URL of your web site and your name.

I. General Information

1. URL of site evaluated: https://jo015.github.io/islt736/finalproject/main

2. Author of site evaluated: Jennifer Morelock

3. Evaluator's first name: Haley

II. Web site was evaluated on the following system

1. Type of computer (Provide as much information as possible, i.e., Sony PC running Windows 7, Intel Pentium 4 , 2.80 GHz, 4 MB RAM):
   Surface Book – Windows - Intel core i5 6300u, 2.5 GHz – 64 bit – 8 GB RAM

2. Browser (e.g., Internet Explorer 10.0, Safari 7.0)
   Explorer Microsoft Edge

3. Internet connection (e.g., cable modem, DSL, corporate or institutional):
   Verizon Hotspot

III Design and Development

Instruction: For each of the questions below, rate your answer between one (strongly disagree) to five (strongly agree), and **explain your rating for the clarification**. Please take the time to explain your rationale in this form as it will help me write my Evaluation Report.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
DESIGN					
1. Document is subdivided into logical supporting pages or, if presented as a single page, it offers a table of contents with section links to avoid scrolling through entire document.	1	2	3	**4**	5

Please explain your feedback
   The pages were logical and there wasn't too much on one page

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
2. The site displays sound design principles, including uncluttered space, subdued backgrounds, and coordinated colors.	1	2	3	**4**	5

Please explain your feedback
   Everything looked liked it was suppose to be a Platte County Website

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
3. Navigation is intuitive, easy to understand, and provides flexibility in movement.	1	2	**3**	4	5

Please explain your feedback
  Maybe make it so you can just go back one step instead of all the way back to the start through your navigation

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
4. Homepage is linked from all subsequent pages.	1	2	3	4	**5**

Please explain your feedback

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
5. Multimedia elements have a clear purpose.	1	2	**3**	4	5

Please explain your feedback
  I think that there will be some, but right now, there isn't any

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
6. Multimedia elements load quickly or file size is indicated with user option to download.	1	2	3	4	5

Please explain your feedback

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
7. Images include alt, height, and width attributes and offer initial thumbnail graphic if file size is large.	1	2	3	4	5

Please explain your feedback

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
8. Text is easy to read and contrasts with background.	1	2	3	**4**	5

Please explain your feedback

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
9. Site content is widely accessible or appropriate adaptations or explanations have been made.	1	2	3	**4**	5

Please explain your feedback

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree

CONTENT

1. Pages have clear and appropriate title in title bar. 1 **2** 3 4 5

Please explain your feedback
The title bars don't always match what's on the page, they all have the same thing.

2. Links are appropriate, clearly labeled, and have a definite purpose. 1 2 3 **4** 5

Please explain your feedback

3. Links are up-to-date and functional. 1 2 **3** 4 5
Please explain your feedback
There are a few links that don't work

4. Useful content is embedded no further than two layers deep. 1 2 **3** 4 5
Please explain your feedback
You can find everything within 2 layers, but some of the pages are a little deeper

5. Site contains in-depth content that encourages users to return. 1 2 3 **4** 5
Please explain your feedback
As a teacher, I could see students using this page on a regular basis

6. Information is accurate and current. 1 2 3 **4** 5

Please explain your feedback

7. Site stimulates thinking and reflection or serves a useful purpose for an identified audience. 1 2 3 4 **5**

Please explain your feedback
All the information on this page is very useful for students and parents

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree

CREDIBILITY

1. Credibility is established by including information regarding author, affiliations, and credentials.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
	1	2	3	4	5

Please explain your feedback
As of now there is no teacher bio information.

2. Contact person with e-mail address is included.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
	1	2	3	4	5

Please explain your feedback

3. Site is bias-free or explicitly states point of view.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
	1	2	3	4	5

Please explain your feedback

4. Material is original or includes appropriate citations with no copyright infringement.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
	1	2	3	4	5

Please explain your feedback
There is not a lot of original content yet, but I assume by the names of pages that it will be added later

5. Correct punctuation, spelling, and grammar indicate care and attention to detail.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
	1	2	3	4	5

Please explain your feedback

6. Site indicates date(s) that material was created or updated.

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
	1	2	3	4	5

Please explain your feedback