

Air Traffic Monitoring

I4SWT Mandatory exercise

1 Introduction

In this exercise you will create an Air Traffic Monitoring system (ATM), which monitors air traffic in a given region of the airspace.

This note contains information on the following:

- A description of the problem domain, including terminology, for the exercise
- A description of the exercise (terms, resources, etc.)
- Requirements for the ATM system
- A time schedule for the hand-in of your exercise
- Rules etc. pertaining to your hand-in.

NOTE: This exercise is *mandatory*. This means that it is a prerequisite for your admission to the exam of this course that you have handed this exercise in and have a grade of **PASSED** (see details below).

You shall hand in in groups – no solo work. The guidelines for group work are stated in the note “Teams, lab exercises and hand-ins in I4SWT.pdf” available on the course Blackboard site under Lecture 01.1.

1.1 Problem domain



Figure 1: Air traffic. Each yellow or orange icon represents a commercial flight (flightradar24.com)

The airspace, particularly over the northern hemisphere, is crowded. A traditional radar picture showing “blips” of aircraft within a given region can easily become very complex, task-saturating the air traffic controller (ATC) and lead to dangerous situations.

To alleviate the ATC’s task and reduce the reliance on traditional radar systems, all commercial and military flights are equipped with a transponder which actively and continuously emits the flight’s position, speed, altitude, etc. This is

displayed on the ATC's screen as a "Track" and allows the ATC to read off a lot of information on the individual aircraft instead of remembering it or looking it up.

The reception of transponder signals is not limited to ATC centers. As a matter of fact, the signals can be received with a simple [USB dongle](#) (US\$ 6.49) and shown on your computer screen – or, one may access flightradar24.com to view the transponder signals mapped on geographical areas.

1.2 Exercise description

In this exercise, you are going to create a system which can monitor and present the air traffic in a given region and detect interesting events in the air traffic. The requirements are divided into two categories:

- The *System requirements* are given in Section 2. This encompasses the technical requirements for the system.
- The *Exercise solution requirements* are given in Section 3. This encompasses requirements for the contents of your solution, e.g. requirements for the use of unit testing, integration testing, continuous integration, etc.

Note that the requirements may change over the course of the exercise.

There are some resources available to you for the solution of the exercise. These are described in Section 4.

2 System requirements

The ATM system shall adhere to the following requirements:

2.1 Track rendition:

1. The system shall monitor one (1) airspace.
2. The system shall render all tracks currently in the monitored airspace.
3. The system shall not render any tracks that are outside the monitored airspace.
4. As a minimum, rendition of the tracks in the airspace shall be either to a file or to the console¹
5. The monitored airspace can be considered a box which is defined by its minimum and maximum coordinates and minimum and maximum altitudes, both in meters:
 - a. The south-west corner is at coordinate (10.000, 10.000)
 - b. The north-east corner is at coordinate (90.000, 90.000).
 - c. The lower altitude boundary of the monitored airspace is 500 meters and the upper boundary is 20.000 meters.
6. All tracks shall be rendered every time new transponder data is received.
7. Each track shall be displayed with the following data:
 - Tag (text string, 6 characters)
 - Current position (x-y, both in meters)
 - Current altitude (meters)
 - Current horizontal velocity (m/s)
 - Current compass course (degrees, 0 degrees is north)

2.2 Event detection, logging, and rendition:

8. When transponder data is received, the data shall be investigated for the occurrence of events (see below)
9. Events shall be prioritized into two categories: "Warning" and "Notification"
10. All occurrences of events shall be logged to a file.
 - Time of occurrence
 - Type of event
 - Event category
 - Tag of the involved track(s)
11. All current events shall be rendered onto the screen.
12. Only current events shall be rendered onto the screen, i.e., no history of events shall exist in the rendition of events.

2.2.1 "Separation" events

13. If the vertical separation between two tracks is less than 300 meters, and the horizontal separation of two tracks is less than 5.000 meters at the same time, the tracks are deemed as *conflicting*.
14. When two tracks become conflicting a "Separation" event shall be raised.
15. The "Separation" event shall be of the "Warning" category
16. A "Separation" event shall remain raised as long as the two tracks are conflicting.

¹ You may create a more sophisticated rendition such as a Graphical User Interface, but it is not required.

17. When two hitherto conflicting tracks are no longer in conflict, the associated “Separation”-event shall be inactivated.
18. The rendition of a “Separation” event shall include the tag of the involved tracks and the time of occurrence.

2.2.2 “Track Entered Airspace”-events

19. Whenever a new track enters the monitored airspace, a “Track Entered Airspace”-event shall be declared.
20. The “Track Entered Airspace” shall be of the “Notification” category
21. A “Track Entered Airspace”-event shall remain active for 5 seconds
22. The rendition of a “Track Entered Airspace” shall include the track involved and the time of occurrence.

2.2.3 “Track Left Airspace”-events

23. Whenever a track exits the monitored airspace, a “Track Left Airspace”-event shall be declared.
24. The “Track Left Airspace” shall be of the “Notification” category
25. A “Track Left Airspace”-event shall remain active for 5 seconds
26. The rendition of a “Track Left Airspace” shall include the track involved and the time of occurrence.

3 Exercise solution requirements

Your task in this exercise is to design, implement and test an ATM system. You must also document what you have done in a journal of the exercise. By doing this, you must demonstrate that you are proficient in both the course theory and the tools we have covered so far in the course.

The exercise forms the basis of the exam, which means two things:

- You must have your hand-in approved to be eligible for examination
- The more effort you put into this exercise, the better the result will be at the exam – this is a historical fact.

3.1 General requirements

There are certain requirements to your way of working:

- You must work in teams of 3-4 members of this system. Not 2, not 5 (unless explicitly allowed by the teacher of the course)
- You must split the responsibility of implementation and testing of the system classes between you - no 3-4 man peer programming!
- You must use a Git repository to share and version-control your code.
- You must use continuous integration system (CI) to run your tests etc. The CI server should be used in accordance with the guidelines for continuous integration, e.g. “frequent commits”.

3.2 Requirements for continuous integration

You must set up at least 3 dependent build jobs²:

1. Unit testing build job – runs all unit tests of your project, and code coverage.
2. Integration testing build job – Runs all integration tests of your project.
3. Code metrics & quality build job – runs all code metrics and quality tests of your project (e.g .FxCop, Code Metrics, ...)

3.3 Requirements for your journal

You are required to hand in a short report (6-10 pages) along with an implementation of your system. The purpose of the report is for you to demonstrate *reflection* on how you designed, implemented, and tested your system. A non-exhaustive list of questions that can help you reflect on the exercise is:

- What software architecture did you arrive at for the system, and how did you arrive at it?
- How did you divide the software classes between group members for implementation and test? Why did you divide it as you did?
- What strategy did you select for your integration test? Why?
- How did the use of a CI server help you – did it help you at all? How/why not?

In general, describe anything good or bad you have learned from this exercise in the report.

Apart from this, the report *must* contain:

- A front page identifying the following:
 - Your team number
 - All participants in the team (name, study number and email address)
 - The URL of each of the CI build jobs of your project.
- Class diagrams and sequence diagrams to explain the structure and behavior of your system
- A dependency tree for your software to support your integration tests.

² “Dependent”: When one job finishes successfully, the dependent build job(s) execute.

4 Resources

This section lists the resources available to you for the solution of this exercise

4.1 Transponder Receiver

You are provided with a C# class library (DLL) representing the driver for a *Transponder Receiver*, i.e. a driver for hardware that is capable of receiving transponder data. You can create a new *ITransponderReceiver* object by means of a factory and sign up to the C# event exposed and start receiving raw transponder data. Using this data, you can derive more information on the individual tracks and detect interesting events as required.

Details of the interface of the *ITransponderReceiver* interface are given below:

4.1.1 ITransponderReceiver

The *ITransponderReceiver* interface contains the following, see below

- A .NET event data type, [RawTransponderDataEventArgs](#)
- A .NET event, *TransponderDataReady*,

To receive transponder data (see Section 4.3), simply subscribe to the *TransponderDataReady* event of an implementation of *ITransponderReceiver*

```
namespace TransponderReceiver
{
    public class RawTransponderDataEventArgs : EventArgs
    {
        public RawTransponderDataEventArgs(List<string> transponderData)
        {
            TransponderData = transponderData;
        }

        public List<string> TransponderData { get; }
    }

    public interface ITransponderReceiver
    {
        event EventHandler<RawTransponderDataEventArgs> TransponderDataReady;
    }
}
```

4.2 TransponderReceiverFactory

The *TransponderReceiverFactory* class is a factory for objects which implement the *ITransponderReceiver* interface. Use the static *CreateTransponderReceiver()* this factory to obtain an *ITransponderReceiver* object.

```
namespace TransponderReceiver
{
    public class TransponderReceiverFactory
    {
        public static ITransponderReceiver CreateTransponderDataReceiver()
        {
        }
    }
}
```

4.3 Transponder data format

As evident from the above, transponder data is provided in “raw” format. When you receive a *TransponderDataReady* event, the accompanying event data will contain raw data on the tracks in a list of strings. Each string represents a track and contains individual track data items, separated by a semicolon (;). The format is as follows:

Data item	Description	Description
1	Tag	Track tag (text)
2	X coordinate	Track X coordinate in meters

3	Y coordinate	Track Y coordinate in meters
4	Altitude	Track altitude in meters
5	Timestamp	Timestamp of the above data ("yyymmddhhmmssfff")

As an example, a raw transponder data item containing "ATR423;39045;12932;14000;20151006213456789" can be interpreted as follows:

- Tag: ATR423
- X coordinate: 39045 meters
- Y coordinate: 12932 meters
- Altitude: 14000 meters
- Timestamp: October 6th, 2015, at 21:34:56 and 789 milliseconds

5 Evaluation of your hand-in

5.1 Evaluation criteria

The evaluation of your exercise depends on the criteria are as follows:

1. Does your software work according to the requirements stipulated in the exercise text?
2. Do you have proper unit tests and integration tests in place?
3. Does pushing changes to the related Git repository initiate the automatic build of the Jenkins unit test build job, and does the Jenkins build job pull and build your solution from the Git repository?
4. Does the Jenkins unit test build job execute the unit tests defined in the solution and report the result of the unit test run properly?
5. Does the Jenkins integration test build job start automatically when the unit test build job completes successfully?
6. Does the Jenkins code metrics & quality build job start automatically when the integration build job completes?
7. Does your report contain sufficient reflections on what you have done, and why?
8. Does your report contain class and sequence diagrams to explain the structure and behavior of your system?
9. Does your report contain a dependency tree, and is this dependency tree related to the set of integration tests for your system?

5.2 Evaluation grades

The evaluation of this exercise will have 1 of 3 possible grades:

"PASSED"

Your hand-in is of sufficient quality to pass the criteria for approval

"FAILED – RESUBMIT"

Your hand-in is of insufficient quality to pass the criteria for approval. You are granted one resubmittal. The requirements and deadline for this resubmittal will be conveyed along with the grade. The resubmittal will be graded either "PASSED" or "FAILED" (no 2nd resubmittal)

"FAILED"

Your hand-in is of insufficient quality to pass the criteria for approval. You are not granted resubmittal.

Note that your hand-in must be graded PASSED (in either first or second attempt) for the members of the group to be admitted to the exam in the course.