

1. Is the x_t random process in Eq. 1 wide-sense stationary (WSS)?

$$x_t = \phi x_{t-1} + w_t \quad (1)$$

where $\{w_t\}$ is a white noise random process with variance σ_w^2 . For this random process to be WSS, can the parameter ϕ take any value? Why or why not?

For (1) to be WSS:

i) μ has to be constant over time

ii) $\gamma(s, t)$ depends on s, t only through $|s - t|$ not individually, $|s - t| = \ell$

i) Applying linearity of E :

$$E[x_t] = \phi E[x_{t-1}] + E[w_t]$$

$$E[w_t] = 0 \text{ by definition}$$

$$E[x_t] = \phi E[x_{t-1}]$$

For μ to remain constant:

$$\mu = \phi \mu \Rightarrow \mu(1 - \phi) = 0$$

$$\mu = 0, \quad \phi = 1$$

$$\text{If } \phi = 1 \Rightarrow x_t = x_{t-1} + w_t \leftarrow \text{random walk which doesn't have a constant mean, it increases/decreases over time}$$

If μ constant, μ_t is too:

$$\mu_t = \phi \mu_{t-1} = \phi(\phi \mu_{t-2}) = \dots$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu_t = \phi^k \mu_{t-k}$$

If $|\phi| \geq 1$, ϕ^k grows without bound as $k \rightarrow \infty$ when $\phi > 1$ or oscillates when $\phi = -1$

However, if $|\phi| < 1$, $\phi^k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$

Thus the past values of the series affect the current value to a lesser extent \rightarrow stationarity.

$$\hookrightarrow \mu = 0 \text{ if } |\phi| < 1$$

$$\text{ii) } \text{cov}(x_s, x_t) = E[x_s x_t] - E[x_s] E[x_t]$$

$$E[x_t] = \mu = 0 \text{ from i)}$$

$$\text{cov}(x_s, x_t) = E[x_s x_t]$$

$$\text{Since } x_t = \phi x_{t-1} + w_t = \phi(\phi x_{t-2} + w_{t-1}) + w_t = \dots$$

$$\Rightarrow x_t = \underbrace{\phi^t}_{\text{init. condition}} x_0 + \sum_{k=0}^{t-1} \phi^k w_{t-k}$$

init. condition could be dropped assuming stationarity also it would vanish by applying the expectation in the next step.

$$\text{cov}(x_s, x_t) = E\left[\sum_{k=0}^{s-1} \phi^k w_{s-k} \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} \phi^j w_{t-j}\right]$$

White noise terms are independent:


$$= \sum_{k=0}^{(s-t)-1} \phi^k \phi^{15-t} \sigma_w^2 = \phi^{15-t} \frac{\sigma_w^2}{1 - \phi^2}, \text{ thus only depends on } |s - t| \text{ under condition } |\phi| < 1$$

Ans: $\mu = 0$ when $|\phi| < 1$.


2. Write code to generate the figures in the [lecture](#) slide titled *Analytical and estimated autocovariance function for AR(1)*. Provide the code and the generated figures.

Hint: you may want to modify the code in the solution of the [lecture](#) slide titled *Analytical and estimated autocovariance function for MA*.

N=100



N=100000



```

"""
Simulate an AR(1) time series with N=100 and N=100,000 samples,
 $\phi = 0.9$  and  $\sigma_w = 1.0$ . For each N, plot the analytical and estimated
autocovariance function.
=====
"""

#%%
# Import requirements
# -----

import os
import numpy as np
import plotly.graph_objects as go

#%%
# Define variables
# -----

srate = 1
T = 10000
sigma_w = 1.0
phi = 0.9
lags = np.arange(20)

#%%
# Create white noise
# -----
#

time = np.arange(0, T, 1.0/srate)
N = len(time)
w = np.random.normal(loc=0, scale=sigma_w, size=N)

#%%
# Simulate the AR process
xi = np.zeros(T)
xi[0] = w[0]
for t in range(1, T):
    xi[t] = phi * xi[t-1] + w[t]

#%%
# Analytical autocovariance
# From 1.  $\gamma(xt) = \phi^{**h} \sigma_w^{**2} / (1 - \phi^{**2})$ 

analytical_autocov = np.array([phi**h*(sigma_w**2/(1 - phi**2)) for h in lags])

#%%
# Estimated autocovariance
# Using the general formula for autocovariance

```

```

n = len(xi)
mean = np.mean(xi)
est_autocov = np.zeros(len(lags))

for i in range(len(lags)):
    est_autocov[i] = np.mean((xi[i:] - mean) * (xi[:n-i] - mean))

#%%
# Plot true and estimated autocovariance for the AR process.
# -----
#

fig = go.Figure()
trace = go.Scatter(x=time, y=xi, mode="lines+markers")
fig.add_trace(trace)
fig.update_layout(xaxis=dict(title="Time (sec)"), yaxis=dict(title="x"))

if not os.path.exists("figures"):
    os.mkdir("figures")

fig.write_html(f"figures/whiteNoiseSamplesN{T}.html")
# fig.write_image(f"figures/whiteNoiseSamplesN{T}.png")

fig = go.Figure()
trace = go.Scatter(x=lags, y=analytical_autocov, mode="lines+markers",
name="analytical")
fig.add_trace(trace)
trace = go.Scatter(x=lags, y=est_autocov, mode="lines+markers", name="estimated")
fig.add_trace(trace)
fig.update_layout(title=f"N={T}", xaxis=dict(title="Lag (samples)"),
yaxis=dict(title="Autocovariance"))

if not os.path.exists("figures"):
    os.mkdir("figures")

fig.write_html(f"figures/ARAutoCovN{T}.html")
# fig.write_image(f"figures/whiteNoiseAutoCorN{T}.png")

fig

# %%

```


3. (optional) For the random walk with drift model:

- Calculate the covariance function $\gamma(s, t)$ and use it to derive the variance function $\text{var}(t)$.
- To check that your variance function is correct, complete and execute [this](#) python script. It plots 100 samples of the random walk with drift model (coloured traces), with the mean (solid line) and 95% confidence bands (dashed lines). See Figure 1. At any time point (abscissa) you should observe that 95% of the traces (5 traces) are above the upper line or below the lower line.
- Is the random walk with drift process wide-sense stationary? Why or why not?

$$\begin{aligned}
 a) \quad x_t &= \theta + x_{t-1} + w_t \\
 &= \theta + \theta + x_{t-2} + w_{t-1} + w_t \\
 &\vdots \\
 &= t \cdot \theta + x_0 + w_1 + w_2 + \dots + w_t \\
 &= \theta t + x_0 + \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} w_{t-i}
 \end{aligned}$$

likewise

$$x_s = \theta s + x_0 + \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} w_{s-i}$$

$$\gamma(s, t) = E[x_s x_t] - E[x_s] E[x_t]$$

$$E[x_t] = \theta t + \cancel{x_0} \leftarrow \text{assuming } x_0 = 0$$

$$E[x_s] = \theta s + \cancel{x_0}$$

$$x_s x_t = \left(\theta t + x_0 + \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} w_{t-i} \right) \left(\theta s + x_0 + \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} w_{s-i} \right)$$

$$E[w_t] = 0 \quad E[w_t w_s] = \sigma_w^2 \delta_{ts} \quad (\text{From properties})$$

↑
Kronecker delta

$$E[x_s x_t] = \sigma_w^2 s t + E[x_0^2] + \sigma_w^2 \min(s, t)$$

Ans.:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \gamma(s, t) &= \cancel{\sigma_w^2 s t} + \cancel{E[x_0^2]} + \sigma_w^2 \min(s, t) - (\theta s \cdot \theta t) \\
 &= \sigma_w^2 \min(s, t)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{var}(t) = \text{cov}(t, t) = \sigma_w^2 \min(t, t) = \sigma_w^2 t$$

c) For WSS:

i) The mean is constant and does not depend on time

ii) $\gamma(s, t)$ depends on s and t only through their difference

i) $E[x_t] = \theta t + x_0$ - dependent on time!

(Linearly) Also μ is not constant across t (by visual inspect.)

ii) $\gamma(s, t) = \sigma_w^2 (s, t)$ - depends on individual values of s and t , not their abs. difference.

Ans.: No!