

Lévy Characterization of Brownian motion and proof of Girsanov theorem (d=1)

Theorem (Lévy Characterization of Brownian motion).

Let $X(t)$ be an Ito process with $X(0) = 0$. Then $X(t)$ is a Brownian motion if and only if the two processes $X(t)$ and $X^2(t) - t$ are (continuous) martingales.

Proof. Assume $X(t)$ is a Brownian motion then we have that $X(t)$ and $X^2(t) - t$ are martingales.

Assume $X(t)$ and $Y(t) = X^2(t) - t$ are martingales. Since $X(t)$ is an Ito process and a martingale then for an integrand $\varphi(t)$ the stochastic integral $\varphi(t) dX(t)$ ($= \varphi(t)\sigma(t) dW^P(t)$) is a martingale by Lemma 4.10. By Ito formula, the dynamics of $Y(t)$ is

$$dY(t) = 2X(t)dX(t) + (dX(t))^2 - dt.$$

Since $dY(t)$ and $2X(t)dX(t)$ are martingales then $(dX(t))^2 = dt$ by Lemma 4.10. For fix $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ we define $f(t, x) = e^{\theta x - (\theta^2/2)t}$ and apply Ito formula

$$\begin{aligned} df(t, X(t)) &= f_t(t, X(t)) dt + f_x(t, X(t)) dX(t) + \frac{1}{2} f_{xx}(t, X(t)) (dX(t))^2 \\ &= -\frac{\theta^2}{2} f(t, X(t)) dt + f_x(t, X(t)) dX(t) + \frac{\theta^2}{2} f(t, X(t)) dt \\ &= f_x(t, X(t)) dX(t). \end{aligned}$$

Then $f(t, X(t))$ is also a martingale. For $0 \leq s < t$ the martingale property imply that

$$\mathbf{E}[e^{\theta X(t) - (\theta^2/2)t} | \mathcal{F}_s] = e^{\theta X(s) - (\theta^2/2)s}$$

and multiply with $e^{-\theta X(s)}$ and $e^{(\theta^2/2)t}$ we get that

$$\mathbf{E}[e^{\theta(X(t) - X(s))} | \mathcal{F}_s] = e^{(\theta^2/2)(t-s)}.$$

By the definition of conditional expectation we get for $A \in \mathcal{F}_s$ that

$$\mathbf{E}[e^{\theta(X(t) - X(s))} 1_A] = \mathbf{E}[e^{(\theta^2/2)(t-s)} 1_A] = e^{(\theta^2/2)(t-s)} \mathbf{P}(A).$$

Thus $X(t) - X(s)$ is normally distributed with mean 0, variance $t - s$, and is independent of \mathcal{F}_s . Thus $X(t)$ is a Brownian motion.

Proof of Girsanov theorem (Theorem 12.3) with $d = 1$.

Using Lévy Characterization of Brownian motion to show that $W^Q(t)$ is a Brownian motion under \mathbf{Q} we have to verify that $W^Q(t)$ and $W^Q(t)^2 - t$ are martingales under \mathbf{Q} . By Proposition C.13 this is equivalent to show that $W^Q(t)L(t)$ and $(W^Q(t)^2 - t)L(t)$ are martingales under \mathbf{P} . First we compute

$$\begin{aligned} d(W^Q(t)L(t)) &= L(t) dW^Q(t) + W^Q(t) dL(t) + dW^Q(t) dL(t) \\ &= L(t) (dW^Q(t) - \phi(t) dt) + W^Q(t)\phi(t)L(t) dW^P(t) + \phi(t)L(t) dt \\ &= L(t)(1 + W^Q(t)\phi(t)) dW^P(t) \end{aligned}$$

and next

$$\begin{aligned} d((W^Q(t)^2 - t)L(t)) &= -L(t) dt + 2W^Q(t)L(t) dW^Q(t) + L(t) (dW^Q(t))^2 + (W^Q(t)^2 - t) dL(t) + 2W^Q(t) dW^Q(t) dL(t) \\ &= -L(t) dt + 2W^Q(t)L(t) (dW^P(t) - \phi(t) dt) + L(t) dt \\ &\quad + (W^Q(t)^2 - t)\phi(t)L(t) dW^P(t) + 2W^Q(t)\phi(t)L(t) dt \\ &= L(t)(2W^Q(t) + (W^Q(t)^2 - t)\phi(t)) dW^P(t). \end{aligned}$$

We see that the two processes are martingales under \mathbf{P} .