

UNIVERSITY OF COPENHAGEN

MSC IN ACTUARIAL MATHEMATICS

Exercises

Author:
JOAKIM BILYK

Date:
FEBRUARY 20, 2023



UNIVERSITY OF
COPENHAGEN

Abstract

This document contain exercises in probability theory and mathematical statistics applied in finance, life insurance and non-life insurance.

Keywords: *probability theory, insurance mathematics, life insurance, non-life insurance, stochastic differential equations.*

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Abbreviations	1
1.2	To-do work	2
2	Continuous Time Finance	3
2.1	Exercises Week 1	3
2.2	Exercises Week 2	8
2.3	Exercises Week 3	16
2.4	Exercises week 4	23
2.5	Exercises Week 5	31
2.6	Exercises Week 6	36
2.7	Exercises Week 7	38
3	Probabilistic Machine Learning	39

Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 Abbreviations

Below is given the abbreviations used when referencing to books:

Chapter	Abbreviation	Source
Basic Life Insurance Mathematics Stochastic Processes in Life Insurance Mathematics Life Insurance Mathematics	Asmussen	<i>Risk and Insurance: A Graduate Text</i> by Soren Asmussen and Mogens Steffensen (2020).
	Bladt	Notes from lectures in Liv2.
Topics in Life Insurance Mathematics Continuous Time Finance	Asmussen	<i>Risk and Insurance: A Graduate Text</i> by Soren Asmussen and Mogens Steffensen (2020).
	Bjork	<i>Arbitrage Theory in Continuous Time (Fourth edition)</i> by Thomas Bjork, Oxford University Press (2019).
Basic Non-Life Insurance Mathematics Stochastic Processes in Life Insurance Mathematics Topics in Non-Life Insurance Mathematics Probabilistic Machine Learning Quantative Risk Management Measure Theory	None	Slides from lectures.
	Bjork	<i>Arbitrage Theory in Continuous Time (Fourth edition)</i> by Thomas Bjork, Oxford University Press (2019).
	Protter	<i>Probability Essentials (2. edition)</i> by Jean Jacod and Philip Protter (2004).
Random Variables	Bjork	<i>Arbitrage Theory in Continuous Time (Fourth edition)</i> by Thomas Bjork, Oxford University Press (2019).
	Hansen	<i>Stochastic Processes (2. edition)</i> by Ernst Hansen (2021).
Discrete Time Stochastic Processes	Hansen	<i>Stochastic Processes (2. edition)</i> by Ernst Hansen (2021).

Chapter	Abbreviation	Source
Continuous Time Stochastic Processes	Bjork	<i>Arbitrage Theory in Continuous Time (Fourth edition)</i> by Thomas Bjork, Oxford University Press (2019).
Stochastic Calculus	Bjork	<i>Arbitrage Theory in Continuous Time (Fourth edition)</i> by Thomas Bjork, Oxford University Press (2019).
Linear Algebra	Bladt Wiki	Notes from lectures in Liv2. Wikipedia

1.2 To-do work

Chapter	Note	Progress
ML	Exercises week 1	

Chapter 2

Continuous Time Finance

2.1 Exercises Week 1

Probability exercises

Let $(W(t))_{t \geq 0}$ be a Brownian motion (Bjork, Definition 4.1).

Exercise 1. Show that the following processes also are Brownian motions.

- i. $(-W(t))_{t \geq 0}$ (symmetry)
- ii. For any $s \geq 0$, $(W(t+s) - W(s))_{t \geq 0}$ (time-homogeneity).
- iii. For every $c > 0$, $(cW(t/c^2))_{t \geq 0}$ (scaling).

Solution (i).

By assumption W is a Brownian motion and so it follows that

$$-W_0 = -1 \cdot 0 = 0$$

Furthermore, for $r < s \leq t < u$ it holds that $W_u - W_t$ and $W_s - W_r$ is independent. By separate transformations the independence property is preserved and $-(W_u - W_t)$ and $-(W_s - W_r)$ is independent. Next, for a normal distributed random variable $N \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ it holds, that for a scalar $c \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $cN \sim \mathcal{N}(c\mu, c^2\sigma^2)$. Then obviously;

$$-(W_t) = (-1)W_t \stackrel{d}{=} \mathcal{N}((-1) \cdot 0, (-1)^2(t-s)) \stackrel{d}{=} \mathcal{N}(0, t-s).$$

Lastly, let $\omega \in \Omega$ and consider the sample path $s \mapsto (-W_s)(\omega)$. Clearly for two continuous functions f and g it holds that $(g \circ f)$ is continuous. Then with $g(f) = -f$ and $f(t) = W_t(\omega)$ it follows that $(-W_t) = (g \circ W)(t)$ is also continuous.

Solution (ii).

Much like the previous exercise we define a new process and show the properties hold. Let $s \geq 0$ be chosen arbitrary. Now define $X_t = W(t+s) - W(s)$.

First, we let $t = 0$ and see

$$X_0 = W(0+s) - W(s) = W(s) - W(s) = 0.$$

Secondly, we have that for $r < u$:

$$X_u - X_r = W(u+s) - W(s) - (W(r+s) - W(s)) = W(u+s) - W(r+s) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, u+s-(r+s)) = \mathcal{N}(0, u-r).$$

and since for $r < u \leq k < l$ the translation $r+s < u+s \leq k+s < l+s$ still holds and $X_l - X_k = W(l+s) - W(k+s)$ and $X_u - X_r = W(u+s) - W(k+s)$ are independent. Finally since $W_t(\omega)$ is continuous in t hence the translation W_{t+s} is continuous. Adding a constant yields a function that is also continuous, hence X_t is continuous.

Solution (iii).

Let $c > 0$ be given. We show that

$$X_t = cW\left(\frac{t}{c^2}\right)$$

is a Brownian motion. We simply show the four properties. Let $t = 0$ and notice

$$X_0 = cW\left(\frac{0}{c^2}\right) = cW(0) = 0.$$

The second property follows from separate transformation and that for $r < u \leq s < t$ we consider

$$X_u - X_r = c\left(W\left(\frac{u}{c^2}\right) - W\left(\frac{r}{c^2}\right)\right) \quad \text{and} \quad X_t - X_s = c\left(W\left(\frac{t}{c^2}\right) - W\left(\frac{s}{c^2}\right)\right)$$

and since $c, r, u, t, s > 0$ we have the same order for the scaled version of r, u, t, s and hence we have two independent RV scaled by c . Then by separate transformations the variables is still independent. Next for the third property:

$$X_t - X_s = c\left(W\left(\frac{t}{c^2}\right) - W\left(\frac{s}{c^2}\right)\right) \sim \mathcal{N}\left(c \cdot 0, c^2\left(\frac{t}{c^2} - \frac{s}{c^2}\right)\right) = \mathcal{N}(0, t-s).$$

Where we use the properties of scaling a normal distributed random variable i.e. for $c > 0$ and $N \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ it follows that $cN \sim \mathcal{N}(c\mu, c^2\sigma^2)$. Finally, the forth property follows since $g(f) = cf$ is continuous and $h(t) = t/c^2$ is continuous, then for any continuous function $f(s)$ it follows that $(g \circ f \circ h) = g(f(h(t)))$ is continuous.

Proposition B.37. Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a given probability space, let \mathcal{G} be a sub-sigma-algebra of \mathcal{F} , and let X be a square integrable random variable. Consider the problem of minimizing

$$E[(X - Z)^2]$$

where Z is allowed to vary over the class of all square integrable \mathcal{G} measurable random variables. The optimal solution \hat{Z} is then given by.

$$\hat{Z} = E[X|\mathcal{G}].$$

Exercise 2. (*Bjork, exercise B.11.*) Prove proposition B.37 by going along the following lines.

- Prove that the “estimation error” $X - E[X|\mathcal{G}]$ is orthogonal to $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, P)$ in the sense that for any $Z \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, P)$ we have

$$E[Z \cdot (X - E[X|\mathcal{G}])] = 0$$

b. Now prove the proposition by writing

$$X - Z = (X - E[X|\mathcal{G}]) + (E[X|\mathcal{G}] - Z)$$

and use the result just proved.

Solution (a).

Let $X \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ be a random variable. Now consider an arbitrary $Z \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, P)$. Recall that $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F}$ and so X is also in $Z \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, P)$, as it is both square integrable and \mathcal{G} -measurable. Then

$$E[Z \cdot (X - E[X|\mathcal{G}])] = E[Z \cdot X] - E[Z \cdot E[X|\mathcal{G}]].$$

Then by using the law of total expectation and secondly that Z is \mathcal{G} -measurable we have that

$$E[Z \cdot X] = E[E[Z \cdot X|\mathcal{G}]] = E[Z \cdot E[X|\mathcal{G}]].$$

Combining the two equations gives the desired result.

Solution (b).

Obviously, we have that

$$X - Z = X - Z + E[X|\mathcal{G}] - E[X|\mathcal{G}] = (X - E[X|\mathcal{G}]) + (E[X|\mathcal{G}] - Z).$$

Then squaring the terms gives

$$(X - Z)^2 = (X - E[X|\mathcal{G}])^2 + (E[X|\mathcal{G}] - Z)^2 + 2(X - E[X|\mathcal{G}])(E[X|\mathcal{G}] - Z)$$

Taking expectation on each side and using linearity of the expectation we have that

$$E[(X - Z)^2] = E[(X - E[X|\mathcal{G}])^2] + E[(E[X|\mathcal{G}] - Z)^2] + 2E[(X - E[X|\mathcal{G}])(E[X|\mathcal{G}] - Z)].$$

We can now use that $E[X|\mathcal{G}] - Z$ is \mathcal{G} -measurable with the above result on the last term.

$$E[(X - Z)^2] = E[(X - E[X|\mathcal{G}])^2] + E[(E[X|\mathcal{G}] - Z)^2].$$

Now since X is given the term $E[(X - E[X|\mathcal{G}])^2]$ is simply a constant not depending on the choice of Z . The optimal choice of Z is then $E[X|\mathcal{G}]$ since this minimizes the second term. The statement is then proved.

Exercise 3. Discuss the following theory/results of Moment generating functions (Laplace transform).

Let X be a random variable with distribution function $F(x) = P(X \leq x)$ and Y be a random variable with distribution function $G(y) = P(Y \leq y)$.

Definition. The moment generating function or Laplace transform of X is

$$\psi_X(\lambda) = E[e^{\lambda X}] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\lambda x} dF(x)$$

provided the expectation is finite for $|\lambda| < h$ for some $h > 0$.

The MGF uniquely determine the distribution of a random variable, due to the following result.

Theorem 1. (*Uniqueness*) If $\psi_X(\lambda) = \psi_Y(\lambda)$ when $|\lambda| < h$ for some $h > 0$, then X and Y has the same distribution, that is, $F = G$.

There is also the following result of independence for Moment generating functions.

Theorem 1. (*Independence*) If

$$E[e^{\lambda_1 X + \lambda_2 Y}] = \psi_X(\lambda_1)\psi_Y(\lambda_2)$$

for $|\lambda_i| < h$ for $i = 1, 2$ for some $h > 0$, then X and Y are independent random variables.

Example. Recall that the Moment generating function of a normal (Gaussian) distribution is given by

$$\psi_X(\lambda) = E[e^{\lambda X}] = \exp\left(\lambda\mu + \frac{\lambda^2}{2}\sigma^2\right)$$

where X is normally distributed with mean μ and variance σ^2 and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is a constant. Since a Brownian motion $W(t)$ is normally distributed with zero mean and variance t , we have that

$$E[\exp(\lambda W(t))] = \exp\left(\frac{\lambda^2}{2}t\right).$$

Discussion.

Exercise 4. (*Bjork, exercise C.8.(a-c)*) Let W be a Brownian motion. Notice that for the natural filtration $\mathcal{F}_s = \sigma(W_t | t \leq s)$ $W_t - W_s$ is independent of \mathcal{F}_s

- Show that W_t is a martingale.
- Show that $W_t^2 - t$ is a martingale.
- Show that $\exp(\lambda W_t - \frac{\lambda^2}{2}t)$ is a martingale.

Solution (a).

We show that for the natural filtration that W_t is a martingale. This include showing integrability and the martingale property. For the first we note that for a normal distributed random variable with mean 0 we have

$$E[|N|] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x| dF_N(x) = 2 \int_0^{\infty} x dF_N(x)$$

since the distribution is symmetric. Substituting the distribution function $\Phi(x) = P(N \leq x)$ in we see that

$$E[|N|] = 2 \int_0^{\infty} x d\Phi(x) = 2 \int_0^{\infty} x \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-x^2/(2\sigma^2)} dx = (*)$$

by substituting $u = x^2/(2\sigma^2)$ ($x = \sqrt{2\sigma^2 u}$) we have that

$$\frac{dx}{du} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2\sigma^2 u} 2\sigma^2 = (\sigma^2)^{3/2} \sqrt{2} u \iff dx = (\sigma^2)^{3/2} \sqrt{2} u du$$

hence

$$(*) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \int_0^{\infty} \sqrt{2\sigma^2 u} e^{-u} (\sigma^2)^{3/2} \sqrt{2} u du = \frac{2\sqrt{2\sigma^2} (\sigma^2)^{3/2} \sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \int_0^{\infty} \sqrt{u} e^{-u} u du.$$

This then simplify to

$$(*) = \frac{(2\sigma^2)^{3/2}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty u^{3/2} e^{-u} du = (2\sigma^2)^{1/2} \sqrt{\frac{2\sigma^2}{\pi}} \int_0^\infty u^{3/2} e^{-u} du = \sqrt{\frac{2\sigma^2}{\pi}} < \infty.$$

(Obviously the above is not derived correctly, but the end expression is valid, source: link) However since

$$W_t = W_t - 0 = W_t - W_0 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, t)$$

we have that $E|W_t| < \infty$ as desired.

Next, we have that

$$E[W_t|\mathcal{F}_s] = E[W_t - W_s|\mathcal{F}_s] + W_s = 0 + W_s = W_s.$$

In the above we used that $W_t - W_s$ is \mathcal{F}_s -measurable with mean 0. Then it follows that W_t is a martingale.

Solution (b).

Let $M_t = W_t^2 - t$. First, we observe that two measurable functions composed is still a measurable function. Hence we know that M_t is measurable wrt. the filtration since W_t is measurable and $w \mapsto w^2 + t$ is measurable. Secondly, we have that

$$E[|W_t^2 - t|] \leq E|W_t^2| + E|t| = t + t = 2t < \infty$$

where we use the triangle inequality. Thirdly, for the martingale property we have that for $t > s$:

$$E[M_t|\mathcal{F}_s] = E[W_t^2 - t|\mathcal{F}_s] = E[W_t^2 + W_s^2 - 2W_tW_s - W_s^2 + 2W_tW_s - t|\mathcal{F}_s]$$

which by linearity and independence of increments to the filtration gives

$$E[M_t|\mathcal{F}_s] = E[(W_t - W_s)^2 - W_s^2 + 2W_tW_s - t|\mathcal{F}_s] = t - s - t + E[2W_tW_s - W_s^2|\mathcal{F}_s]$$

However since W_s is measurable wrt. the filtration at time s the above is

$$E[M_t|\mathcal{F}_s] = 2W_sE[W_t|\mathcal{F}_s] - W_s^2 - s = 2W_s^2 - W_s^2 - s = W_s^2 - s = M_s.$$

Since from (a) we know that W_t is a martingale. Then we arrive at the desired result.

Solution (c).

Let $M_t = \exp\left(\lambda W_t - \frac{\lambda^2}{2}t\right)$. First, by composition of measurable functions M_t is \mathcal{F}_t -measurable. Secondly, we have using the MGF for a normal distributed random variable:

$$E|M_t| = E\left(\exp\left(\lambda W_t - \frac{\lambda^2}{2}t\right)\right) \leq E(\exp(\lambda W_t)) = \exp\left(\frac{\lambda^2}{2}t\right) < \infty.$$

Thirdly, we consider

$$E[M_t|\mathcal{F}_s] = E\left[\left(\exp\left(\lambda W_t - \frac{\lambda^2}{2}t\right)\right)\middle|\mathcal{F}_s\right] = \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda^2}{2}t\right) E[(\exp(\lambda W_t))|\mathcal{F}_s].$$

By adding and subtracting W_s in the exponent we get

$$\begin{aligned}
E[M_t|\mathcal{F}_s] &= \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda^2}{2}t\right) E[(\exp(\lambda(W_t - W_s) + \lambda W_s))|\mathcal{F}_s] \\
&= \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda^2}{2}t\right) \exp\left(\frac{\lambda^2}{2}(t-s)\right) E[(\exp(\lambda W_s))|\mathcal{F}_s].
\end{aligned}$$

Using that $E[(\exp(\lambda W_s))|\mathcal{F}_s] = \exp(\lambda W_s)$ and combining the exponents gives the desired:

$$E[M_t|\mathcal{F}_s] = \exp\left(\lambda W_s - \frac{\lambda^2}{2}s\right) = M_s.$$

2.2 Exercises Week 2

Exercise 1 (*Bjork 4.1*) Compute the stochastic differential dZ_t when

- $Z_t = e^{\alpha t}$.
- $Z_t = \int_0^t g_s dW_s$, where g is an adapted stochastic process.
- $Z_t = e^{\alpha W_t}$.
- $Z_t = e^{\alpha X_t}$, where X has stochastic differential $dX_t = \mu dt + \sigma dW_t$ and μ, σ is constants.
- $Z_t = X_t^2$, where X has stochastic differential $dX_t = \alpha X_t dt + \sigma X_t dW_t$.

Solution (a).

Let $Z_t = e^{\alpha t}$, then we see that $f(t, x) = e^{\alpha t}$ and the the following relevant derivatives is

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(t, x) = \alpha e^{\alpha t}, \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(t, x) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^2}(t, x) = 0.$$

Since Z does not depend on any stochastic process, we will content with $X_t = 0$, that is $\mu_t = \sigma_t = 0$. Then by theorem 4.11 (Ito's formula) we have

$$dZ_t = (\alpha e^{\alpha t} + 0 + 0) dt + 0 = \alpha e^{\alpha t} dt,$$

as expected. \square

Solution (b).

Let $Z_t = \int_0^t g_s dW_s$, where g is an adapted stochastic process. We see that if we set $X_t = \int_0^t g_s dW_s$ then

$$dX_t = 0 dt + g_t dW_t.$$

Then we have the function $f(t, x) = x$ and the relevant derivatives are:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(t, x) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(t, x) = 1, \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^2}(t, x) = 0.$$

This then gives

$$dZ_t = \left(0 + 0 + \frac{1}{2}g_t \cdot 0\right) dt + g_t \cdot 1 dW_t = g_t dW_t,$$

as expected. \square

Solution (c).

Let $Z_t = e^{\alpha W_t}$. Then we may set $X_t = W_t$ and we then have $\mu_t = 0$ and $\sigma_t = 1$. The function $f(t, x) = e^{\alpha x}$ and the relevant derivatives are:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(t, x) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(t, x) = \alpha e^{\alpha x}, \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^2}(t, x) = \alpha^2 e^{\alpha x}.$$

Then the dynamics of Z_t is as follows

$$\begin{aligned} dZ_t &= \left(0 + 0 + \frac{1}{2} 1^2 \alpha^2 e^{\alpha X_t}\right) dt + 1 \alpha e^{\alpha X_t} dW_t \\ &= \frac{\alpha^2}{2} e^{\alpha X_t} dt + \alpha e^{\alpha X_t} dW_t \\ &= \frac{\alpha^2}{2} Z_t dt + \alpha Z_t dW_t. \end{aligned}$$

As desired. \square .

Solution (d).

Let $Z_t = e^{\alpha X_t}$, where X has stochastic differential $dX_t = \mu dt + \sigma dW_t$ and μ, σ is constants. Then we have been given the definition of X_t and we set $f(t, x) = e^{\alpha x}$. The relevant derivatives are then:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(t, x) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(t, x) = \alpha e^{\alpha x}, \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^2}(t, x) = \alpha^2 e^{\alpha x}.$$

We may now derive the dynamics of Z_t :

$$\begin{aligned} dZ_t &= \left(0 + \mu \alpha e^{\alpha X_t} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \alpha^2 e^{\alpha X_t}\right) dt + \sigma \alpha e^{\alpha X_t} dW_t \\ &= \left(\mu + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \alpha\right) \alpha e^{\alpha X_t} dt + \sigma \alpha e^{\alpha X_t} dW_t \\ &= \left(\mu + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \alpha\right) \alpha Z_t dt + \sigma \alpha Z_t dW_t. \end{aligned}$$

As desired. \square .

Solution (e).

Let $Z_t = X_t^2$, where X has stochastic differential $dX_t = \alpha X_t dt + \sigma X_t dW_t$. Then we set $f(t, x) = x^2$ and the relevant derivatives are:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(t, x) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(t, x) = 2x, \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^2}(t, x) = 2.$$

Given this we have the dynamics of Z_t as follows

$$\begin{aligned} dZ_t &= \left(0 + \alpha X_t 2X_t + \frac{1}{2} (\sigma X_t)^2 2\right) dt + \sigma X_t 2X_t dW_t \\ &= (2\alpha + \sigma^2) X_t^2 dt + 2\sigma X_t^2 dW_t \\ &= (2\alpha + \sigma^2) Z_t dt + 2\sigma Z_t dW_t. \end{aligned}$$

As desired. \square .

Exercise 2 (*Bjork 4.2*) Compute the stochastic differential for Z when $Z_t = (X_t)^{-1}$ and X has the stochastic differential

$$dX_t = \alpha X_t dt + \sigma X_t dW_t.$$

Furthermore, by using the definition $Z = X^{-1}$ you can in fact express the right-hand side of dZ entirely in terms of Z itself (rather than in terms of X). Thus Z satisfies a stochastic differential equation. Which one?

Solution.

We see that $f(t, x) = 1/x$ and so the relevant derivatives is

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(t, x) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(t, x) = -\frac{1}{x^2}, \quad \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(t, x) = \frac{2}{x^3}.$$

Then we by Ito's formula we have

$$\begin{aligned} dZ_t &= \left(0 - \alpha X_t \frac{1}{X_t^2} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 X_t^2 \frac{2}{X_t^3} \right) dt - \sigma X_t \frac{1}{X_t^2} dW_t \\ &= \left(-\alpha \frac{1}{X_t} + \sigma^2 \frac{1}{X_t} \right) dt - \sigma \frac{1}{X_t} dW_t \\ &= (\sigma^2 - \alpha) Z_t dt - \sigma Z_t dW_t. \end{aligned}$$

We also notice that

$$Z_t = \frac{1}{X_t} \Rightarrow dZ_t = d\left(\frac{1}{X_t}\right) = -\left(\frac{1}{X_t}\right)^2 dX_t = -Z_t^2(\alpha X_t dt + \sigma X_t dW_t)$$

Hence we may insert $X_t = Z_t^{-1}$ and obtain

$$dZ_t = -Z_t^2 \left(\alpha \frac{1}{Z_t} dt + \sigma \frac{1}{Z_t} dW_t \right) = -\alpha Z_t dt - \sigma Z_t dW_t.$$

Which clearly is faulty.. \square

Exercise 3. (*Bjork 4.3*) Let $\sigma(t)$ be a given deterministic function of time and define the process X by

$$X_t = \int_0^t \sigma(s) dW_s.$$

Use the technique discribed in example 4.17 in order to show that the characteristic function of X_t (for a fixed t) is given by

$$E[e^{iuX_t}] = \exp \left\{ -\frac{u^2}{2} \int_0^t \sigma^2(s) ds \right\}, \quad u \in \mathbb{R},$$

thus showing that X_t is normally distributed with zero mean and a variance given by

$$\text{Var}[X_t] = \int_0^t \sigma^2(s) ds.$$

Solution.

We follow along the lines of

1. Determine the dynamics of $Z_t = e^{iuX_t}$ (for fixed u).
2. Write the integral form of Z_t .
3. Take expectation.
4. Solve ODE.

“1)” Set $f(t, x) = e^{iuX_t}$ then the relevant derivatives are

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(t, x) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(t, x) = iue^{iuX_t} = iuZ_t, \quad \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(t, x) = i^2u^2e^{iuX_t} = -u^2Z_t.$$

Recall that $dX_t = \sigma(t) dW_t$, then by Ito's formula we have

$$dZ_t = \left(-\sigma(t)^2 \frac{1}{2} u^2 Z_t \right) dt + \sigma(t) iu Z_t dW_t. \quad (*)$$

“2)” We can now write (*) on integral form as below

$$Z_t = Z_0 - \frac{u^2}{2} \int_0^t \sigma^2(s) Z_s ds + iu \int_0^t \sigma(s) Z_s dW_s,$$

where $Z_0 = e^{iuX_0} = 1$.

“3)” Taking expectation now yields

$$E[Z_t] = 1 - \frac{u^2}{2} \int_0^t \sigma^2(s) E[Z_s] ds + iu E \left[\int_0^t \sigma(s) Z_s dW_s \right] = 1 - \frac{u^2}{2} \int_0^t \sigma^2(s) E[Z_s] ds,$$

since any expectation of an integral wrt. a Brownian motion is 0 (proposition 4.5).

“4)” Now we see that the t -derivative gives

$$dE[Z_t] = -\frac{u^2}{2} \sigma^2(t) E[Z_t] dt, \quad E[Z_0] = 1.$$

This is a ordinary differential equation with solution $y(t) = \exp\{-u^2/2 \int_0^t \sigma^2(s) ds\}$ (check by differentiating) hence

$$E[e^{iuX_t}] = E[Z_t] = \exp \left\{ -\frac{u^2}{2} \int_0^t \sigma^2(s) ds \right\}.$$

We recognize this as the characteristic function of a normally distributed random variable with variance $\int_0^t \sigma^2(s) ds$ as desired. (X_t follows this distributions since characteristic functions determine the distribution)
□

Exercise 4 (*Bjork 4.4*) Suppose that X has the stochastic differential

$$dX_t = \alpha X_t dt + \sigma_t dW_t,$$

where α is a real number and σ_t is a integrable adapted stochastic process. Use the technique in example 4.17 in order to determine the function $m(t) = E[X_t]$.

Solution.

We follow the same steps as the previous exercise. We have been given the dynamics of X hence we may write it on integral form.

$$X_t = X_0 + \alpha \int_0^t X_s \, ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s) \, dW_s.$$

Then taking expectation now gives

$$E[X_t] = X_0 + \alpha \int_0^t E[X_s] \, ds.$$

Hence $E[X_t]$ follows from the solution to the ODE below

$$dE[X_t] = \alpha E[X_t] \Rightarrow E[X_t] = C \cdot \exp\{\alpha t\}.$$

Then obviously $C = X_0$ and we arrive at the solution $E[X_t] = X_0 e^{\alpha t}$, where X_0 is some deterministic value. \square

Exercise 5 (*Bjork 4.5*) Suppose that the process X has a stochastic differential

$$dX_t = \mu_t \, dt + \sigma_t \, dW_t,$$

and that $\mu_t \geq 0$ with probability one for all $t \geq 0$. Show that this implies that X is a sub-martingale.

Solution.

Note that we are (strictly speaking) supposed to show adaptation and integrability, we will however only fokus on the submartingale property.

“ $E[X_t | \mathcal{F}_s] \geq X_s$ ” Intuitively speaking, the statement is obvious since we have with probability one a positive upwards drift with Brownian distortion (i.e. martingale). Formally, we will show the statement by first writing X_t on integral form

$$X_t = x_0 + \int_0^t \mu_s \, ds + \int_0^t \sigma_s \, dW_s.$$

And so

$$X_t - X_s = \int_s^t \mu_u \, du + \int_s^t \sigma_u \, dW_u.$$

We then have

$$\begin{aligned}
E[X_t \mid \mathcal{F}_s] - X_s &= E[X_t - X_s \mid \mathcal{F}_s] \\
&= E \left[\int_s^t \mu_u du + \int_s^t \sigma_u dW_u \mid \mathcal{F}_s \right] \\
&= E \left[\int_s^t \mu_u du \mid \mathcal{F}_s \right] + E \left[\int_s^t \sigma_u dW_u \mid \mathcal{F}_s \right] \\
&= E \left[\int_s^t \mu_u du \mid \mathcal{F}_s \right] \geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Then adding X_s to the above inequality yields the result. \square

Exercise 6 (*Bjork 4.7*) The objective of this exercise is to give an argument for the formal identity

$$dW_1(t) \cdot dW_2(t) = 0,$$

when W_1 and W_2 are independent Brownian motions. Let us therefore fix a time t , and divide the interval $[0, t]$ into equidistant points $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = t$, where $t_i = \frac{i}{n} \cdot t$. We use the notation

$$\Delta W_i(t_k) = W_i(t_k) - W_i(t_{k-1}), \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Now define Q_n by

$$Q_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \Delta W_1(t_k) \cdot \Delta W_2(t_k).$$

Show that $Q_n \rightarrow 0$ in L^2 , i.e. show that

$$E[Q_n] = 0, \text{Var}[Q_n] \rightarrow 0.$$

Solution.

We wish to show the statement

$$E[(Q_n - 0)^2] = E[Q_n^2] \rightarrow 0,$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Recall that

$$\text{Var}[Q_n] = E[Q_n^2] - E[Q_n]^2,$$

hence if Q_n has mean 0, then showing convergence in L^2 is equivalent to showing variance going to 0. Let us start by showing the mean is 0.

We have that

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_n &= \sum_{k=1}^n \Delta W_1(t_k) \cdot \Delta W_2(t_k) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^n (W_1(t_k) - W_1(t_{k-1})) \cdot (W_2(t_k) - W_2(t_{k-1})) \\
&\stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=} \sum_{k=1}^n XY,
\end{aligned}$$

where $X, Y \sim \mathcal{N}(0, t_k - t_{k-1}) = \mathcal{N}(0, 1/n)$ and independent random variable. This is justified since the increments of the Brownian motion has mean 0 and variance equal to the increment size. Now this implies, that we need to show that $E[XY] = 0$ and that $Var[XY]$ is sufficiently small in terms of n such that it is summable. We see that

$$E[XY] = E[X]E[Y] = 0^2 = 0.$$

Here we use independence. We now know that the mean is

$$E[Q_n] = \sum_{k=1}^n E[XY] = 0.$$

We know from basic properties of variance that

$$\begin{aligned}
Var(Q_n) &= \sum_{k=1}^n Var(XY) = \sum_{k=1}^n E[(XY)^2] \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{n^2} = \frac{1}{n^2} n \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

And so the result follows. \square

Exercise 7 (*Bjork 4.8*) Let X and Y be given as the solutions to the following system of stochastic differential equations.

$$\begin{aligned}
dX_t &= \alpha X_t dt - Y_t dW_t, & X_0 &= x_0, \\
dY_t &= \alpha Y_t dt + X_t dW_t, & Y_0 &= y_0.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the initial values x_0 and y_0 are deterministic constants.

- Prove that the process R defined by $R_t = X_t^2 + Y_t^2$ is deterministic.
- Compute $E[X_t]$.

Solution (a).

We see that

$$dR_t = d(X_t^2 + Y_t^2) = d(X_t^2) + d(Y_t^2)$$

Hence we may start by considering the dynamics of the processes X_t^2 and Y_t^2 . We see that for the process $Z_t = X_t^2$ we may set $f(t, x) = x^2$ and the relevant derivatives are

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(t, x) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(t, x) = 2x, \quad \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(t, x) = 2.$$

By Ito's formula we have

$$d(X_t^2) = (\alpha X_t^2 + Y_t^2) dt - 2X_t Y_t dW_t = 2(\alpha X_t^2 + Y_t^2) dt - 2X_t Y_t dW_t.$$

By the same concept we have

$$d(Y_t^2) = (\alpha Y_t^2 + X_t^2) dt + 2X_t Y_t dW_t = 2(\alpha Y_t^2 + X_t^2) dt + 2X_t Y_t dW_t.$$

Combining we get the dynamics

$$\begin{aligned} dR_t &= 2(\alpha X_t^2 + Y_t^2) dt - 2X_t Y_t dW_t \\ &\quad + 2(\alpha Y_t^2 + X_t^2) dt + 2X_t Y_t dW_t \\ &= (2\alpha + 1)(X_t^2 + Y_t^2) dt \\ &= (2\alpha + 1)R_t dt \end{aligned}$$

Hence R_t has deterministic derivative and therefore a deterministic process. In fact, the solution to above is

$$R_t = R_0 \exp\{(2\alpha + 1)t\} = (x_0^2 + y_0^2)e^{(2\alpha+1)t},$$

which is clearly deterministic. \square

Solution (b).

We start by acknowledging that the differential form of X may be written on integral form:

$$X_t = x_0 + \alpha \int_0^t X_s ds - \int_0^t Y_s dW_s.$$

Taking expectation we see that

$$E[X_t] = x_0 + \int_0^t E[X_s] ds$$

as the last term has mean 0 according to proposition 4.5. Then the above may be written on the differential form

$$dE[X_t] = E[X_t] dt$$

Hence we have that

$$E[X_t] = x_0 e^t.$$

Hence X_t has mean not depending on the trajectory of the sister-process Y_t . \square

2.3 Exercises Week 3

Exercise 1. (*Bjork 5.1*) Show that the scalar SDE

$$\begin{cases} dX_t = \alpha X_t dt + \sigma dW_t, \\ X_0 = x_0, \end{cases}$$

has the solution

$$X(t) = e^{\alpha t} x_0 + \sigma \int_0^t e^{\alpha(t-s)} dW_s,$$

by differentiating X as defined by the equation above and showing that X so defined satisfies the SDE.

Solution.

We move forward by rewriting the solution in terms of three processes Z , Y and R as

$$X_t = \underbrace{x_0 e^{\alpha t}}_{:=Y_t} + \underbrace{\sigma e^{\alpha t}}_{:=Z_t} \underbrace{\int_0^t e^{-\alpha s} dW_s}_{R_t} = Y_t + Z_t \cdot R_t.$$

We furthermore see easily that the dynamics of the processes individually has dynamics

$$\begin{aligned} dY_t &= \alpha x_0 e^{\alpha t} dt = \alpha Y_t dt, & Y_0 &= x_0, \\ dZ_t &= \alpha \sigma e^{\alpha t} dt = \alpha Z_t dt, & Z_0 &= \sigma, \\ dR_t &= e^{-\alpha t} dW_s, & R_0 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

We then have the following function

$$f(t, y, z, r) = y + zr.$$

With the following multi-dimensional process

$$dM_t = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha Y_t \\ \alpha Z_t \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} dt + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{-\alpha t} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} dW_t \\ dW_t \\ dW_t \end{bmatrix},$$

with

$$C = \sigma \sigma^\top = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{-2\alpha t} \end{bmatrix}^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{-2\alpha t} \end{bmatrix}.$$

That is $X_t = f(t, M_t)$. We can then use the multidimensional version of Ito's formula.

$$\begin{aligned}
 dX_t &= df(t, M_t) \\
 &= \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(t, M_t) + \sum_{i=1}^3 \mu_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i}(t, M_t) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^3 C_t^{ij} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^i \partial x^j}(t, M_t) \right) dt + \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i}(t, M_t) \sigma_t^i dW_t \\
 &= (0 + \alpha Y_t + \alpha Z_t R_t) dt + Z_t e^{-\alpha t} dW_t \\
 &= \left(\alpha x_0 e^{\alpha t} + \alpha \sigma e^{\alpha t} \int_0^t e^{-\alpha s} dW_s \right) dt + \sigma e^{\alpha t} e^{-\alpha t} dW_t \\
 &= \left(\alpha x_0 e^{\alpha t} + \alpha \sigma \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\alpha} dW_s \right) dt + \sigma dW_t \\
 &= \alpha X_t dt + \sigma dW_t.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then this solution does in fact satisfies the differential form. We furthermore have that $X_0 = x_0$ and the desired result follows. \square

Exercise 2. (*Bjork 5.5*) Suppose that X satisfies the SDE

$$dX_t = \alpha X_t dt + \sigma X_t dW_t.$$

Now define Y by $Y_t = X_t^\beta$, where β is a real number. Then Y is also a GBM process. Compute dY_t and find out which SDE Y satisfies.

Solution.

If we set $f(t, x) = x^\beta$, we have the relevant derivatives as follows

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(t, x) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(t, x) = \beta x^{\beta-1}, \quad \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(t, x) = \beta(\beta-1)x^{\beta-2}.$$

Then by applying Ito's formula we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 dY_t &= df(t, X_t) \\
 &= \left(0 + \beta X_t^{\beta-1} \alpha X_t + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 X_t^2 \beta(\beta-1) X_t^{\beta-2} \right) dt + \sigma X_t \beta X_t^{\beta-1} dW_t \\
 &= \left(\alpha \beta + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \beta(\beta-1) \right) X_t^\beta dt + \sigma \beta X_t^\beta dW_t \\
 &= \left(\alpha \beta + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \beta(\beta-1) \right) Y_t dt + \sigma \beta Y_t dW_t \\
 &= \alpha^Y Y_t dt + \sigma^Y Y_t dW_t,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha^Y = (\alpha \beta + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \beta(\beta-1))$ and $\sigma^Y = \sigma \beta$. Furthermore $Y_0 = y_0 = x_0^\beta$. Then by definition of GBM we have that Y_t is a GBM as desired. \square

Exercise 3. (*Bjork 5.6*) Suppose that X satisfies the SDE

$$dX_t = \alpha X_t dt + \sigma X_t dW_t,$$

and Y satisfies

$$dY_t = \gamma Y_t dt + \delta Y_t dV_t,$$

where V is a Brownian motion which is independent of W . Define $Z = X/Y$ and derive an SDE for Z by computing dZ . If X is nominal income and Y describe inflation then Z describes real income.

Solution.

We have that for the function $f(t, x, y) = x/y$ and wish to determine the derivative of the stochastic process $Z_t = f(t, X_t, Y_t)$. We do this by applying Ito's formula in the multidimensional case. That is

$$\begin{aligned} df(t, X_t, Y_t) &= \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(t, X_t, Y_t) dt + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(t, X_t, Y_t) dX_t + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(t, X_t, Y_t) dY_t \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(t, X_t, Y_t) (dX_t)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2}(t, X_t, Y_t) (dY_t)^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y}(t, X_t, Y_t) (dX_t)(dY_t) \\ &= \frac{1}{Y_t} (\alpha X_t dt + \sigma X_t dW_t) - \frac{X_t}{Y_t^2} (\gamma Y_t dt + \delta Y_t dV_t) + \frac{1}{2} 2 \frac{X_t}{Y_t^3} (\gamma Y_t dt + \delta Y_t dV_t)^2 \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{Y_t^2} (\gamma Y_t dt + \delta Y_t dV_t) (\alpha X_t dt + \sigma X_t dW_t) \end{aligned}$$

Calculating further gives

$$\begin{aligned} (dY_t)^2 &= \gamma^2 Y_t^2 (dt)^2 + \delta^2 Y_t^2 (dV_t)^2 + 2\gamma Y_t \delta Y_t dt \cdot dV_t \\ &= 0 + \delta^2 Y_t^2 dt + 0 = \delta^2 Y_t^2 dt \\ (dX_t)(dY_t) &= (\gamma Y_t dt + \delta Y_t dV_t)(\alpha X_t dt + \sigma X_t dW_t) \\ &= \gamma Y_t \alpha X_t (dt)^2 + \gamma Y_t \sigma X_t dt \cdot dW_t \\ &+ \delta Y_t \alpha X_t dt \cdot dV_t + \gamma Y_t \sigma X_t (dW_t)(dV_t) \\ &= 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} df(t, X_t, Y_t) &= \alpha \frac{X_t}{Y_t} dt + \sigma \frac{X_t}{Y_t} dW_t - \gamma \frac{X_t Y_t}{Y_t^2} dt + \delta \frac{X_t Y_t}{Y_t^2} dV_t \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} 2 \frac{X_t}{Y_t^3} \delta^2 Y_t^2 dt \\ &= (\alpha Z_t - \gamma Z_t + Z_t \delta^2) dt + \sigma Z_t dW_t + \delta Z_t dV_t \\ &= (\alpha - \gamma + \delta^2) Z_t dt + \sigma Z_t dW_t + \delta Z_t dV_t. \end{aligned}$$

As desired the above is the SDE for the process Z_t . \square

Exercise 4. (*Bjork 5.9*) Use a stochastic representation result in order to solve the following boundary value problem in the domain $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}$.

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} + \mu x \frac{\partial F}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 x^2 \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2} = 0,$$

with $F(T, x) = \log(x^2)$. Here μ and σ are assumed to be known constants.

Solution.

We use proposition 5.5 Feymann-Kac with $\mu(t, x) = \mu x$ and $\sigma(t, x) = \sigma x$. We know that, given that the process

$$\sigma X_t \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(x, X_t) \in \mathcal{L}^2,$$

Then F has stochastic representation

$$F(t, x) = E[\log(X_T^2) \mid X_t = x],$$

with stochastic process X_t satisfying the SDE

$$dX_t = \mu X_t dt + \sigma X_t dW_t, \quad X_t = x.$$

Now, since X_t satisfies the above SDE, we see that X_t is a GBM. Then by proposition 5.2 we have

$$X_T = x \exp \left\{ \left(\mu - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \right) (T - t) + \sigma (W_T - W_t) \right\}.$$

Inserting this we find that

$$\begin{aligned} F(t, x) &= E [\log(x^2 \exp \{ (2\mu - \sigma^2) (T - t) + 2\sigma(W_T - W_t) \}) \mid X_t = x] \\ &= E [\log(x^2) + (2\mu - \sigma^2) (T - t) + 2\sigma(W_T - W_t) \mid X_t = x] \\ &= 2 \log(x) + (2\mu - \sigma^2) (T - t) + 2\sigma E [W_T - W_t \mid X_t = x] \\ &= 2 \log(x) + (2\mu - \sigma^2) (T - t). \end{aligned}$$

Using that the Brownian motion has increments with mean 0. \square

Exercise 5. (*Bjork 5.13*) Solve the boundary value problem

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}(t, x, y) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2}(t, x, y) + \frac{1}{2} \delta^2 \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial y^2}(t, x, y) = 0,$$

with $F(T, x, y) = xy$.

Solution.

We see if this problem fit into the context of Feymann-Kac's multi-dimensional proposition 5.8. Comparing the above PDE with the propositions we see that

$$\mu_i(t, X_t, Y_t) = 0$$

for $i = 1, 2$ representing the assets X_t and Y_t . We furthermore have the matrix C

$$\begin{aligned} C &= \sigma(t, X_t, Y_t) \sigma(t, X_t, Y_t)^\top \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{1,1} & \sigma_{1,2} \\ \sigma_{2,1} & \sigma_{2,2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{1,1} & \sigma_{2,1} \\ \sigma_{1,2} & \sigma_{2,2} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{1,1}^2 + \sigma_{1,2}^2 & \sigma_{1,1}\sigma_{2,1} + \sigma_{2,2}\sigma_{1,2} \\ \sigma_{1,1}\sigma_{2,1} + \sigma_{2,2}\sigma_{1,2} & \sigma_{2,2}^2 + \sigma_{2,1}^2 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Where we have that the only none-zero entrances is the diagonal with

$$\begin{aligned} C_{1,1} &= \sigma_{1,1}^2 + \sigma_{1,2}^2 = \sigma^2, \\ C_{2,2} &= \sigma_{2,2}^2 + \sigma_{2,1}^2 = \delta^2. \end{aligned}$$

and obviously $r = 0$ and $\Phi(x, y) = xy$. From proposition 5.8 we then have that F has stochastic representation:

$$F(t, x, y) = e^{-r(T-t)} E^Q[\Phi(X_T, Y_T) \mid X_t = x, Y_t = y] = E^Q[X_T Y_T \mid X_t = x, Y_t = y]$$

Having given the C matrix we have the following

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \delta^2 \end{bmatrix} = \sigma \sigma^\top \iff \sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma & 0 \\ 0 & \delta \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence in the stochastic representation X and Y has dynamics

$$\begin{cases} dX_t = \sigma dW_t \\ dY_t = \delta dV_t. \end{cases}$$

where W and B are independent Brownian motions. In particular we have that X and Y are maringales and independent i.e.

$$F(t, x, y) = E_{X_t=x}^Q[X_T] \cdot E_{Y_t=y}^Q[Y_T] = xy.$$

And so we arrive at the desired result. \square

Exercise 6. (*Exam 2017/18, problem 1, question (a)-(b)*) Let W_t denote a Brownian motion and let

$$\mathcal{F}_t = \mathcal{F}_t^W = \sigma(\{W_s \mid 0 \leq s \leq t\}).$$

Let $T > 0$ be a given and fixed time.

Let $f(t)$ be a bounded deterministic continuous function. Define the two processes

$$\begin{cases} X_t = \int_0^t f(u) dW_u, \\ M_t^{(\lambda)} = \exp \left\{ \lambda X_t - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \int_0^t f^2(u) du \right\}, \end{cases}$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is a constant.

a. Show that $M^{(\lambda)}$ is a martingale with $E[M_t^{(\lambda)}] = 1$.

Let $0 < s < t$ and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ be given and fixed.

b. i. Show that

$$\begin{aligned} M_s^{(\lambda_1)} &= E \left[\frac{M_s^{(\lambda_1)} M_t^{(\lambda_2)}}{M_s^{(\lambda_2)}} \mid \mathcal{F}_s \right] \\ &= E \left[\exp \left\{ \lambda_1 X_s + \lambda_2 (X_t - X_s) - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_1^2 \int_0^s f^2(u) du - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2^2 \int_s^t f^2(u) du \right\} \mid \mathcal{F}_s \right] \end{aligned}$$

ii. Show that X_s and $X_t - X_s$ are normally distributed and independent.

Solution (a).

First, we see that since X_t is on integral form we know that

$$\begin{cases} dX_t = f(t) dW_t \\ X_0 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Hence we may represent M as $M_t^{(\lambda)} = g(t, X_t, Y_t)$ given by

$$g(t, x, y) = \exp \left\{ \lambda x - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} y \right\},$$

where $Y_t = \int_0^t f^2(u) du$ with dynamics

$$\begin{cases} dY_t = f^2(t) dt \\ Y_0 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Hence by the multidimensional Ito's formula we have the dynamics of M given by

$$\begin{aligned} dM_t^{(\lambda)} &= g_t dt + g_x dX_t + g_y dY_t + \frac{1}{2} g_{yy} (dY_t)^2 + \frac{1}{2} g_{xx} (dX_t)^2 + f_{xy} (dX_t)(dY_t) \\ &= 0 + \lambda g dX_t - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} g dY_t + 0 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 g (dX_t)^2 + 0 \\ &= \lambda M_t^{(\lambda)} f(t) dW_t - \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 M_t^{(\lambda)} f^2(t) dt + \frac{1}{2} \lambda M_t^{(\lambda)} f^2(t) dt \\ &= \lambda f(t) M_t^{(\lambda)} dW_t, \end{aligned}$$

And so we see that M is a martingale as it only has dynamics wrt. the Brownian motion W (assuming $\lambda f_t M_t^{(\lambda)} \in \mathcal{L}^2$). Furthermore we have that

$$M_0^{(\lambda)} = g(0, X_0, Y_0) = \exp \left\{ \lambda X_0 - \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 Y_0 \right\} = e^0 = 1$$

and so we have $E[M_t^{(\lambda)}] = M_0^{(\lambda)} = 1$ as desired. \square

Solution (b).

“(i)” We have from the previous exercise

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{M_s^{(\lambda_1)} M_t^{(\lambda_2)}}{M_s^{(\lambda_2)}} \\ &= \exp \left\{ \lambda_1 X_s - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_1^2 \int_0^s f^2(u) du \right\} \exp \left\{ \lambda_2 X_t - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2^2 \int_0^t f^2(u) du \right\} \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2^2 \int_0^s f^2(u) du - \lambda_2 X_s \right\} \\ &= \exp \left\{ \lambda_1 X_s - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_1^2 \int_0^s f^2(u) du + \lambda_2 X_t - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2^2 \int_0^t f^2(u) du + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2^2 \int_0^s f^2(u) du - \lambda_2 X_s \right\} \\ &= \exp \left\{ \lambda_1 X_s + \lambda_2 (X_t - X_s) - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_1^2 \int_0^s f^2(u) du - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2^2 \int_s^t f^2(u) du \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and so the conclusion follows. \square

“(ii)” We have that from lemma 4.18 that

$$X_s = \int_0^s f(u) dW_u \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \int_0^s f^2(u) dW_u\right)$$

furthermore we have that

$$X_t - X_s = \int_s^t f(u) dW_u \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \int_s^t f^2(u) dW_u\right).$$

In regard to the independence claim we could check identity below

$$E[e^{t_1 X} e^{t_2 Y}] = E[e^{t_1 X}] E[e^{t_2 Y}]$$

where X, Y are independent random variables. The above identity holds if and only if X and Y are independent. From above we have that

$$M_s^{(\lambda_1)} = E[e^{\lambda_1 X_s} e^{\lambda_2 (X_t - X_s)} \mid \mathcal{F}_s] e^{-\frac{1}{2} \lambda_1^2 \int_0^s f^2(u) du - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2^2 \int_s^t f^2(u) du}$$

and so taking expectation we have

$$1 = E[e^{\lambda_1 X_s} e^{\lambda_2 (X_t - X_s)}] e^{-\frac{1}{2} \lambda_1^2 \int_0^s f^2(u) du - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2^2 \int_s^t f^2(u) du}$$

Which the gives

$$E[e^{\lambda_1 X_s} e^{\lambda_2 (X_t - X_s)}] = e^{\frac{1}{2} \lambda_1^2 \int_0^s f^2(u) du + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2^2 \int_s^t f^2(u) du} = E[e^{\lambda_1 X_s}] E[e^{\lambda_2 (X_t - X_s)}]$$

and so the conclusion is that X_s and $X_t - X_s$ are independent. \square

Exercise 7. (Exam 2019/20, problem 1, question (a))

Solution.

Exercise 8. (Exam 2020/21, problem 1, question (a)-(b))

Solution.

Extra-Exercise 1. (Bjork 5.7)

Solution.

Extra-Exercise 2. (Bjork 5.8)

Solution.

Extra-Exercise 3. (Bjork 5.10)

Solution.

Extra-Exercise 4. (Bjork 5.11)

Solution.

Extra-Exercise 5. (Bjork 5.12)

Solution.

2.4 Exercises week 4

Exercise 1. (*Bjork 7.1*) Consider the standard Black-Scholes model and a T -claim \mathcal{X} of the form $\mathcal{X} = \Phi(S_t)$. Denote the corresponding arbitrage free price processes by Π_t .

- a. Show that, under the martingale measure Q , Π_t has a local rate of return equal to the short rate r . In other words show that Π_t has a differential of the form

$$d\Pi_t = r\Pi_t dt + g_t dW_t^Q.$$

Hint: Use the Q -dynamics of S together with the fact that F satisfies the pricing PDE.

- b. Show that, under the martingale measure Q , the process $Z_t = \frac{\Pi_t}{B_t}$ is a **martingale**. More precisely show that the stochastic differential for Z has zero drift term, i.e. is of the form

$$dZ_t = Z_t \sigma_t^Z dW_t^Q.$$

Determine also the diffusion process Σ_t^Z (in terms of the pricing function F and its derivatives).

Solution (a).

First we have that the dynamics of S and B are given by

$$\begin{aligned} dS_t &= \mu S_t dt + \sigma S_t dW_t, \\ dB_t &= rB_t dt. \end{aligned}$$

We know that $\Pi_t[X] = F(t, S_t)$ for some smooth function F hence by Ito's formula we have

$$\begin{aligned} d\Pi_t &= \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}(t, S_t) dt + \frac{\partial F}{\partial s}(t, S_t) dS_t + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial s^2}(t, S_t) (dS_t)^2 \\ &= F_t dt + F_s(rS_t dt + \sigma S_t dW_t^Q) + \frac{1}{2} F_{ss}(rS_t dt + \sigma S_t dW_t^Q)^2 \\ &= (F_t + rS_t F_s) dt + F_s \sigma S_t dW_t^Q + \frac{1}{2} F_{ss} \sigma^2 S_t^2 dt \\ &= (F_t + rS_t F_s + \frac{1}{2} F_{ss} \sigma^2 S_t^2) dt + F_s \sigma S_t dW_t^Q. \end{aligned}$$

By setting $g_t = F_s \sigma S_t$ and restating the Black-Scholes equation we have

$$rF = F_t + rS_t F_s + \frac{1}{2} F_{ss} \sigma^2 S_t^2$$

hence

$$d\Pi_t = rF dt + g_t dW_t^Q$$

as desired. \square

Solution (b).

Consider the stochastic process $Z_t = F(t, \Pi_t, B_t)$ given by $F(t, \pi, b) = \frac{\pi}{b}$. Then by Ito's formula we have the dynamics of Z as

$$\begin{aligned}
dZ_t &= F_t dt + F_\pi d\Pi_t + F_b dB_t + \frac{1}{2}F_{\pi\pi} (d\Pi_t)^2 + \frac{1}{2}F_{bb} (dB_t)^2 + F_{b\pi} (d\Pi_t)(dB_t) \\
&= 0 + \frac{1}{B_t} d\Pi_t - \frac{\Pi_t}{B_t^2} dB_t + \frac{1}{2}0g_t dt + \frac{\Pi_t}{B_t^3}0 - \frac{1}{B_t^2}0 \\
&= \frac{1}{B_t}(r\Pi_t dt + g_t dW_t^Q) - \frac{\Pi_t}{B_t^2}(rB_t dt) \\
&= \frac{1}{B_t}(r\Pi_t dt - r\Pi_t dt + g_t dW_t^Q) \\
&= \frac{1}{B_t}g_t dW_t^Q.
\end{aligned}$$

hence we have that Z_t is a Q -martingale since it only has dynamics in terms of the Brownian motion W^Q . Additionally we may represent the process as

$$Z_t = \Pi_0 + \int_0^t \frac{g_s}{B_s} dW_s^Q.$$

From this it is clear that Z_t is a Q -martingale. \square

Exercise 2. (*Bjork 7.2*) Consider the standard Black-Scholes model. An innovative company, F&H Inc., has produced the derivative “the Golden Logarithm”, henceforth abbreviated as the GL . The holder of a GL with maturity time T , denoted as GL_t , will, at time T , obtain the sum $\Phi(S_T) = \log S_T$. Note that if $S_T < 1$ this means that the holder has to pay a positive amount to F&H Inc. Determine the arbitrage free price process for the GL_t .

Solution.

We know that in the BS model the simple derivative has to have the smooth pricing function $F(t, s)$ that is the solution to the boundary value problem.

$$\begin{cases} F_t(t, s) + rsF_s(t, s) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 s^2 F_{ss}(t, s) - rF(t, s) = 0 \\ F(T, s) = \Phi(s). \end{cases}$$

Which has the stochastic representation (proposition 7.11) given by the risk neutral valuation formula:

$$F(t, s) = e^{-r(T-t)} E_{t,s}^Q[\Phi(S_T)] = e^{-r(T-t)} E_{t,s}^Q[\log(S_T)],$$

with S_t having dynamics

$$dS_t = rS_t dt + \sigma S_t dW_t^Q.$$

Then by Ito's formula on the function $f(t, s) = \log(s)$ we have

$$d(\log(S_t)) = df(t, S_t) = f_t dt + f_s dS_t + \frac{1}{2}f_{ss}(dS_t)^2.$$

Since $f_t = 0$ and $f_s = 1/s$ and $f_{ss} = -1/s^2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d(\log(S_t)) &= \frac{1}{S_t} (rS_t dt + \sigma S_t dW_t^Q) - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 S_t^2 \frac{1}{S_t^2} dt \\
&= \left(r - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \right) dt + \sigma dW_t^Q.
\end{aligned}$$

Then given that $S_t = s$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\log(S_u) &= \log s + \int_t^u \left(r - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \right) dv + \sigma \int_t^u dW_v^Q \\
&= \log s + \left(r - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \right) (u - t) + \sigma (W_u^Q - W_t^Q)
\end{aligned}$$

Taking expectation we then have

$$E^Q[\log(S_T) \mid S_t = s] = \log s + \left(r - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \right) (T - t),$$

given that

$$\Pi_t(\Phi(S_T)) = e^{-r(T-t)} \log s + e^{-r(T-t)} \left(r - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \right) (T - t).$$

The arbitrage free price is the the above. \square

Exercise 3. (*Bjork 7.4*) Consider the standard Black-Scholes model. Derive the arbitrage free price process for the T -claim X where X is given by $X = S_T^\beta$. Here β is a known constant.

Solution.

We may solve the pricing problem by evaluating the risk neutral valuation formula 7.11 given by

$$F(t, s) = e^{-r(T-t)} E_{t,s}^Q[\Phi(S_T)] = e^{-r(T-t)} E_{t,s}^Q[S_T^\beta].$$

We know that S_t is a Geometric Brownian motion with drift $(r - \sigma^2/2)$ and diffusion σ i.e.

$$S_T = s \cdot \exp \left\{ \left(r - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \right) (T - t) + \sigma (W_T^Q - W_t^Q) \right\}$$

wrt. the martingale-measure Q . Here we assume that $S_t = s$. Then we know that S_T^β is likewise a GBM given as

$$S_T^\beta = s^\beta \cdot \exp \left\{ \beta \left(r - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \right) (T - t) + \beta \sigma (W_T^Q - W_t^Q) \right\}.$$

Evaluating the price process then becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_t &= e^{-r(T-t)} E^Q \left[s^\beta \cdot \exp \left\{ \beta \left(r - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \right) (T - t) + \beta \sigma (W_T^Q - W_t^Q) \right\} \right] \\
&= e^{-r(T-t)} s^\beta e^{\beta(r - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2)(T-t)} E^Q \left[e^{\beta \sigma (W_T^Q - W_t^Q)} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Evaluating the expectation may be done by calculating the MGF of a $\mathcal{N}(0, T-t)$ variable i.e.

$$E^Q \left[e^{\beta \sigma (W_T^Q - W_t^Q)} \right] = e^{0 \cdot 1 + (T-t)(\beta \sigma)^2 / 2} = e^{\beta^2 \sigma^2 \frac{T-t}{2}}.$$

Combining these two equations yields

$$\log \Pi_t = -r(T-t) + \beta \log s + \beta \left(r - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \right) (T-t) + \beta^2 \sigma^2 \frac{T-t}{2} = -r(T-t) + \beta \log s + \left(\beta r + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \beta (\beta - 1) \right) (T-t).$$

We arrive at the arrived result. \square

Exercise 4. (*Bjork 7.5*) A so-called **binary option** is a claim which pays a certain amount if the stock prices at a certain date falls within some pre-specified interval. Otherwise nothing will be paid out. Consider a binary option which pays K dollars to the holder at date T if the stock price at time T is in the interval $[\mu, \beta]$. Determine the arbitrage free price. The pricing formula will involve the standard Gaussian cumulative distribution function N .

Solution.

First we see that the claim may be written on the form

$$\Phi(S_T) = 1_{S_T \in [a, b]} K,$$

using the values $a < b$ for the interval endpoints (instead of μ, β). We then by the risk neutral valuation formula must have

$$\Pi_t = e^{-r(T-t)} E_{t,s}^Q [1_{S_T \in [a, b]} K].$$

Under closer inspection we see that we must evaluating the expectation of the indicator under the measure Q . Then we have

$$E_{t,s}^Q [1_{S_T \in [a, b]}] = Q(S_T \in [a, b] \mid S_t = s) = (*).$$

When assuming $S_t = s$ we must have that under Q that

$$S_T = s \cdot \exp \left\{ \left(r - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \right) (T-t) + \sigma (W_T^Q - W_t^Q) \right\}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(*) &= Q(S_T \leq b \mid S_t = s) - Q(S_T < a \mid S_t = s) \\
&= Q(S_T \leq b \mid S_t = s) - Q(S_T \leq a \mid S_t = s) \\
&= Q\left(s \cdot \exp\left\{\left(r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\right)(T-t) + \sigma(W_T^Q - W_t^Q)\right\} \leq b\right) \\
&\quad - Q\left(s \cdot \exp\left\{\left(r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\right)(T-t) + \sigma(W_T^Q - W_t^Q)\right\} \leq a\right) \\
&= Q\left(\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{T-t}}(W_T^Q - W_t^Q) \leq \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{T-t}}\left\{\log b - \log s + \left(\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 - r\right)(T-t)\right\}\right) \\
&\quad - Q\left(\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{T-t}}(W_T^Q - W_t^Q) \leq \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{T-t}}\left\{\log a - \log s + \left(\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 - r\right)(T-t)\right\}\right) \\
&= N\left(\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{T-t}}\left\{\log b - \log s + \left(\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 - r\right)(T-t)\right\}\right) \\
&\quad - N\left(\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{T-t}}\left\{\log a - \log s + \left(\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 - r\right)(T-t)\right\}\right) \\
&= N(d_b) - N(d_a),
\end{aligned}$$

as $W_T^Q - W_t^Q$ is $\mathcal{N}(0, T-t)$ distributed under the Q -measure. The function d_c is defined as

$$d_c = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{T-t}}\left\{\log c - \log s + \left(\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 - r\right)(T-t)\right\},$$

as expected. We then arrive at the price

$$\Pi_t = e^{-r(T-t)}K(N(d_b) - N(d_a)),$$

as desired. \square

Exercise 5. (*Bjork 7.6*) Consider the standard Black-Scholes model. Derive the arbitrage free price process for the T -claim X where X is given by $X = \frac{S_{T_1}}{S_{T_0}}$. The times T_0 and T_1 are given and the claim is paid out at time T_1 .

Solution.

Firstly, we may use that S is a GBM. Setting $S_t = s$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
X &= \frac{S_{T_1}}{S_{T_0}} = \frac{s \cdot \exp\left\{\left(r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\right)(T_1 - t) + \sigma(W_{T_1}^Q - W_t^Q)\right\}}{s \cdot \exp\left\{\left(r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\right)(T_0 - t) + \sigma(W_{T_0}^Q - W_t^Q)\right\}} \\
&= \exp\left\{\left(r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\right)(T_1 - t - T_0 + t) + \sigma(W_{T_1}^Q - W_t^Q - W_{T_0}^Q + W_t^Q)\right\} \\
&= \exp\left\{\left(r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\right)(T_1 - T_0) + \sigma(W_{T_1}^Q - W_{T_0}^Q)\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then it follows from proposition 7.11 that the price process is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_t &= e^{-r(T_1-t)} E_{t,s}^Q[X] \\
&= e^{-r(T_1-t)} E^Q \left[\exp \left\{ \left(r - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \right) (T_1 - T_0) + \sigma (W_{T_1}^Q - W_{T_0}^Q) \right\} \right] \\
&= \exp \left\{ -r(T_1 - t) + \left(r - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \right) (T_1 - T_0) \right\} E^Q \left[\exp \left\{ \sigma (W_{T_1}^Q - W_{T_0}^Q) \right\} \right] \\
&= \exp \left\{ -r(T_1 - t) + \left(r - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \right) (T_1 - T_0) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 (T_1 - T_0) \right\} \\
&= \exp \{ -r(T_1 - t) + r(T_1 - T_0) \} \\
&= \exp \{ -r(T_1 + T_0 - T_1 - t) \} = \exp \{ -r(T_0 - t) \}
\end{aligned}$$

as desired. \square

Exercise 6. (*Exam 2017/18, problem 2, question (c)-(d)*) Consider a standard Black-Scholes model, that is, a model consisting of a bank account B_t with P -dynamics given by

$$dB_t = rB_t dt, \quad B_0 = 1$$

and a stock S_t with P -dynamics given by

$$dS_t = \alpha S_t dt + \sigma S_t d\bar{W}_t, \quad S_0 = s > 0$$

where $r, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\sigma > 0$ are constants and \bar{W}_t is a P -Brownian motion. Let $T > 0$ be a given and fixed date.

Consider the derivative that at time T pays

$$X = \max \{ \min \{ S_T, K_2 \}, K_1 \},$$

where $0 < K_1 < K_2$ are constants.

- a. Determine the arbitrage free price of derivative X at time $t < T$.

Consider a new derivative that at time T pays

$$Y = (S_T^2 - K^2)^+ - (K^2 - S_T^2)^+.$$

- b.
 - i. Determine the arbitrage free price of derivative Y at time $t < T$.
 - ii. Find a hedging portfolio for derivative Y .

Let $h(t) = (h_0(t), h_1(t))$ be a portfolio where

$$h_0(t) = -e^{r(T-2t)+\sigma^2(T-t)} S^2(t)$$

is the number of units in the bank account at time t and

$$h_1(t) = 2e^{(r+\sigma^2)(T-t)} S(t)$$

is the number of shares in the stock at time t . Let $V^h(t)$ denote the associated value process.

- c. Determine whether the portfolio h is self-financing or not.
- d. Compute $V^h(T)$.

Solution (b).

(i): We start by seeing that the derivative pays out

$$Y = \begin{cases} S_T^2 - K^2 & \text{if } S_T^2 \geq K^2, \\ -(K^2 - S_T^2) & \text{if } S_T^2 < K^2. \end{cases}$$

hence the payout is $Y = S_T^2 - K^2 = \Phi(S_T)$ where $\Phi(s) = s^2 - K^2$. That is Y is in fact a simple claim. We have from the risk neutral valuation formula 7.11 that

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_t[Y] &= e^{-r(T-t)} E_{t,s}^Q[S_T^2 - K^2] \\ &= e^{-r(T-t)} E_{t,s}^Q[S_T^2] - e^{-r(T-t)} K^2. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that under the martingale measure Q we have that S_t is a GBM hence

$$S_t = s \cdot \exp \left\{ \left(r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \right) (T-t) + \sigma (W_T^Q - W_t^Q) \right\}$$

then

$$S_T^2 = s^2 \cdot \exp \left\{ 2 \left(r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \right) (T-t) + 2\sigma (W_T^Q - W_t^Q) \right\}.$$

Inserting this into the risk neutral valuation formula we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_t[Y] &= e^{-r(T-t)} E_{t,s}^Q[S_T^2] - e^{-r(T-t)} K^2 \\ &= e^{-r(T-t)} s^2 e^{2(r-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)(T-t)} E^Q \left[\exp \left\{ 2\sigma (W_T^Q - W_t^Q) \right\} \right] - e^{-r(T-t)} K^2 \\ &= e^{-r(T-t)} s^2 e^{2(r-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)(T-t)} e^{\frac{1}{2}4\sigma^2(T-t)} - e^{-r(T-t)} K^2 \\ &= e^{-r(T-t)} \left(s^2 e^{(2r-\sigma^2)(T-t)+\frac{1}{2}4\sigma^2(T-t)} - K^2 \right) \\ &= e^{-r(T-t)} \left(s^2 e^{(2r+\sigma^2)(T-t)} - K^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

The arbitrage free price of the derivative is then given above. \square

(ii): From theorem 8.5 we can determine a hedging portfolio with weightings

$$\begin{aligned} w_t^B &= \frac{\Pi_t - S_t \frac{\partial \Pi}{\partial s}}{\Pi_t} \\ &= 1 - \frac{S_t 2S_t e^{-r(T-t)} e^{(2r+\sigma^2)(T-t)}}{e^{-r(T-t)} (S_t^2 e^{(2r+\sigma^2)(T-t)} - K^2)} \\ &= 1 - \frac{2S_t^2 e^{(2r+\sigma^2)(T-t)}}{S_t^2 e^{(2r+\sigma^2)(T-t)} - K^2} \\ &= 1 - \frac{2}{1 - K^2 S_t^{-2} e^{(2r+\sigma^2)(t-T)}} \\ w_t^S &= \frac{2}{1 - K^2 S_t^{-2} e^{(2r+\sigma^2)(t-T)}}. \end{aligned}$$

In absolute terms we will hold the portfolio

$$\begin{aligned}
 h_t^S &= 2S_t e^{-r(T-t)} e^{(2r+\sigma^2)(T-t)} \\
 h_t^B &= \frac{e^{-r(T-t)} (s^2 e^{(2r+\sigma^2)(T-t)} - K^2) - S_t h_t^S}{B_t} \\
 &= \frac{e^{-r(T-t)} (s^2 e^{(2r+\sigma^2)(T-t)} - K^2) - S_t h_t^S}{e^{rt}} \\
 &= e^{-rT} s^2 e^{(2r+\sigma^2)(T-t)} - e^{-rT} K^2 - e^{-rt} S_t h_t^S.
 \end{aligned}$$

The portfolio above will hedge Y with probability one. \square

Solution (c).

We assume no dividends and no consumption that is $c_t = 0$ and $dD_t^i = 0$ for $i = 0, 1$. Then the portfolio is self-financing if and only if the value process has dynamics.

$$h_0(t) dB_t + h_1(t) dS_t = 0$$

This is given in lemma 6.12.

THE BELOW IS IN WORKS AND NOT CORRECT!

Now we have that the value process is given by

$$V_t^h = h_0(t)B_t + h_1(t)S_t.$$

Using the representation $V_t^h = f(h_0(t), B_t) + f(h_1(t), S_t)$ given by $f(x, y) = xy$ we have

$$dV_t^h = df(h_0(t), B_t) + df(h_1(t), S_t).$$

Using Ito's formula on each term we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 df(h_0(t), B_t) &= B_t dh_0(t) + h_0(t) dB_t + (dB_t)(dh_0(t)), \\
 df(h_1(t), S_t) &= S_t dh_1(t) + h_1(t) dS_t + (dS_t)(dh_1(t)),
 \end{aligned}$$

since of cause $f_{xx} = f_{yy} = 0$. We can the determine the dynamics of the portfolio by

$$\begin{aligned}
 dh_0(t) &= -(-2t - \sigma^2)S_t^2 e^{r(T-2t)+\sigma^2(T-t)} dt \\
 &\quad - 2S_t e^{r(T-2t)+\sigma^2(T-t)} dS_t \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{2} 2e^{r(T-2t)+\sigma^2(T-t)} (dS_t)^2 \\
 &= (-2t - \sigma^2)h_0(t) dt + \frac{2}{S_t} h_0(t) (\mu S_t dt + \sigma S_t dW_t) + \frac{1}{S_t^2} h_0(t) \sigma^2 S_t^2 dt \\
 &= (\mu - 1)2h_0(t) dt + 2\sigma h_0(t) dW_t
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
dh_1(t) &= (-r - \sigma^2)2e^{(r+\sigma^2)(T-t)}S_t dt \\
&\quad + 2e^{(r+\sigma^2)(T-t)} dS_t + 0 \\
&= (-r - \sigma^2)h_1(t) dt + \frac{1}{S_t}h_1(t)(\mu S_t dt + \sigma S_t dW_t) \\
&= (-r - \sigma^2 + \mu)h_1(t) dt + h_1(t)\sigma dW_t
\end{aligned}$$

And so in total

$$\begin{aligned}
dV_t^h(t) &= df(h_0(t), B_t) + df(h_1(t), S_t) \\
&= B_t dh_0(t) + h_0(t) dB_t + (dB_t)(dh_0(t)) \\
&\quad + S_t dh_1(t) + h_1(t) dS_t + (dS_t)(dh_1(t)) \\
&= B_t ((\mu - 1)2h_0(t) dt + 2\sigma h_0(t) dW_t) + h_0(t) rB_t dt + 0 \\
&\quad + S_t ((-r - \sigma^2 + \mu)h_1(t) dt + h_1(t)\sigma dW_t) + h_1(t) (\mu S_t dt + \sigma S_t dW_t) + \sigma^2 S_t h_1(t) dt \\
&= [B_t(\mu - 1)2h_0(t) + h_0(t)rB_t + S_t(-r - \sigma^2 + \mu)h_1(t) + h_1(t)\mu S_t + \sigma^2 S_t h_1(t)] dt \\
&\quad + [B_t 2\sigma h_0(t) + S_t h_1 \sigma + h_1 \sigma S_t] dW_t \\
&= [(2\mu - 2 + r)B_t h_0(t) + (-r + 2\mu)S_t h_1(t)] dt \\
&\quad + [B_t h_0(t) + h_1 S_t] 2\sigma dW_t \\
&= V_t^h 2\mu dt + V_t^h dW_t
\end{aligned}$$

Solution (d).

We compute V_T^h easily by inserting h_0 and h_1 below

$$\begin{aligned}
V_T^h &= B_T h_0(T) + S_T h_1(T) \\
&= B_T \left(-e^{r(T-2T)+\sigma^2(T-T)} S_T^2 \right) + S_T \left(2e^{(r+\sigma^2)(T-T)} S_T \right) \\
&= -S_T^2 + 2S_T^2 = S_T^2.
\end{aligned}$$

and so h hedge the payout $\Phi(S_T) = S_T^2$. \square

Solution.

Exercise 7. (*Exam 2018/19, problem 1*)

Solution.

Exercise 8. (*Exam 2018/19, problem 2, question (a)*)

Solution.

Extra-Exercise 1. (*Bjork 7.7*)

Solution.

2.5 Exercises Week 5

Exercise 1. (*Bjork 10.1*) Consider the standard Black-Scholes model. Fix the time of maturity T and consider the following T -claim X :

$$X = \begin{cases} K & \text{if } S_T \leq A, \\ K + A - S_T & \text{if } A < S_T < K + A, \\ 0 & \text{if } S_T > K + A. \end{cases}$$

This contract can be replicated using a portfolio consisting solely of bonds, stock, and European call options, which is constant over time. Determine this portfolio as well as the arbitrage free price of the contract.

Solution.

We see that the put option with strike $K + A$ gives the payout

$$P_{K+A}(S_T) = \begin{cases} K + A - S_T & \text{if } S_T \leq A, \\ K + A - S_T & \text{if } A < S_T < K + A, \\ 0 & \text{if } S_T > K + A. \end{cases}$$

Hence this asset will “almost” gives the wanted payout except for the event $(S_T \leq A)$. If we find an asset giving the payout $A - S_T$ if and only if the event $(S_T \leq A)$ occurs, we may short this asset. It happens that the put with strike A has the wanted payout that is

$$P_A(S_T) = \begin{cases} A - S_T & \text{if } S_T \leq A, \\ 0 & \text{if } A < S_T < K + A, \\ 0 & \text{if } S_T > K + A. \end{cases}$$

Then making the portfolio of one long position in the put P_{K+A} and a short position in the put P_A will replicate X . We know from the put-call parity that this exact portfolio may be replicated by $K + A - A = K$ long zero-coupon bonds, long call with strike $K + A$ and short call with strike A . Notice no position is taking on the underlying stock since we both go long and short on a put. Let us check if this portfolio give the wanted payout:

$$V_T^h = \begin{cases} K + 0 - 0 = K & \text{if } S_T \leq A, \\ K + 0 - (S_T - A) = K + A - S_T & \text{if } A < S_T < K + A, \\ K + (S_T - K - A) - (S_T - A) = 0 & \text{if } S_T > K + A. \end{cases}$$

Then the portfolio give the desired payout. Given the price process for the call option and the zero-coupon bond we have that the value process is given by

$$\begin{aligned} V_t^h &= K e^{-r(T-t)} + c(K + A; t, T) - c(A; t, T) \\ &= e^{-r(T-t)} \{K + (K + A)N(d_2(K + A; t, S_t)) - AN(d_2(A; t, S_t))\} \\ &\quad + S_t \{N(d_1(K + A; t, S_t)) - N(d_1(A; t, S_t))\} \end{aligned}$$

with d_1 and d_2 as given in the Black-Scholes formula. The price of the portfolio is given by the above value process. \square

Exercise 2. (*Bjork 10.2*) The setup is the same as the previous exercise. Here the contract is a so-called **straddle**, defined by

$$X = \begin{cases} K - S_T & \text{if } 0 < S_T \leq K, \\ S_T - K & \text{if } S_T > K. \end{cases}$$

Determine the constant replicating portfolio as well as the arbitrage free price of the contract.

Solution.

We search for portfolio paying the payout above. Recall that a call option with strike K has payout $S_T - K$ if $S_T \geq K$ and a put option with strike K has payout $K - S_T$ if $S_T \leq K$. Hence by longing one call with strike K and long one put with strike K will give the desired payout.

We know that we can replicate this portfolio by buying K zero-coupon bonds, long two call options with strike K and shorting the underlying stock. Lets see what this yields

$$V_T^h = \begin{cases} K + 2 \cdot 0 - S_T = K - S_T & \text{if } 0 < S_T \leq K, \\ K + 2 \cdot (S_T - K) - S_T = S_T - K & \text{if } S_T > K. \end{cases}$$

As desired. The price is the then value process with

$$\Pi_t = V_t^h = K e^{-r(T-t)} + 2c(t, T) - S_t.$$

Giving the desired result. \square

Exercise 3. (*Bjork 10.3*) The setup is the same as the previous exercise. We will now study a so-called bull spread (see Fig. 10.7). With this contract we can, to a limited extent, take advantage of an increase in the market price while being protected from a decrease. The contract is defined by

$$X = \begin{cases} B & \text{if } S_T > B, \\ S_T & \text{if } A \leq S_T \leq B, \\ A & \text{if } S_T < A. \end{cases}$$

We have of course the relation $A < B$. Determine the constant replicating portfolio as well as the arbitrage free price of the contract.

Solution.

Again we search for a replicating portfolio. If we long one stock we get the payout S_T only and so we want to receive an additional payout on the events S_T falls outside the interval $[A, B]$. We want to have an asset paying $-(S_T - A)$ on the event $S_T < A$ and an asset paying $-(S_T - B)$ on the event $S_T > B$. The two assets are: one put with strike A giving the payout $A - S_T$ and a short position call option with strike B . Hence we may replicate the put with A bonds, one call and a short position. In total we hold A bonds, one call option with strike A and a short on a call with strike B . We will then receive the payout:

$$V_T^h = \begin{cases} A + S_T - A - (S_T - B) = B & \text{if } S_T > B, \\ A + S_T - A - 0 = S_T & \text{if } A \leq S_T \leq B, \\ A + 0 + 0 = A & \text{if } S_T < A. \end{cases}$$

As desired. The value process then give the portfolio price:

$$\Pi_t = V_t^h = A e^{-r(T-t)} + c(A; t, T) - c(B; t, T)$$

as desired. \square

Exercise 4. (*Exam 2017/18, problem 2, question (a)-(b)*) Consider a standard Black-Scholes model, that is, a model consisting of a bank account B_t with P -dynamics given by

$$dB_t = rB_t dt, \quad B_0 = 1$$

and a stock S_t with P -dynamics given by

$$dS_t = \alpha S_t dt + \sigma S_t d\bar{W}_t, \quad S_0 = s > 0$$

where $r, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\sigma > 0$ are constants and \bar{W}_t is a P -Brownian motion. Let $T > 0$ be a given and fixed date.

Consider the derivative that at time T pays

$$X = \max \{ \min \{ S_T, K_2 \}, K_1 \},$$

where $0 < K_1 < K_2$ are constants.

- a. Determine the arbitrage free price of derivative X at time $t < T$.

Consider a new derivative that at time T pays

$$Y = (S_T^2 - K^2)^+ - (K^2 - S_T^2)^+.$$

- b. i. Determine the arbitrage free price of derivative Y at time $t < T$.
ii. Find a hedging portfolio for derivative Y .

Let $h(t) = (h_0(t), h_1(t))$ be a portfolio where

$$h_0(t) = -e^{r(T-2t)+\sigma^2(T-t)} S^2(t)$$

is the number of units in the bank account at time t and

$$h_1(t) = 2e^{(r+\sigma^2)(T-t)} S(t)$$

is the number of shares in the stock at time t . Let $V^h(t)$ denote the associated value process.

- c. Determine whether the portfolio h is self-financing or not.
d. Compute $V^h(T)$.

Solution (a).

We see that the derivative is the bull spread given by the payout function

$$X = \begin{cases} K_2 & \text{if } S_T > K_2, \\ S_T & \text{if } K_1 \leq S_T \leq K_2, \\ K_1 & \text{if } S_T < K_1. \end{cases}$$

We know from exercise 10.3 that this can be replicated by holding K_1 bonds, one call option with strike K_1 and a short on a call with strike K_2 . The arbitrage free price of the derivative is then the value process of the mentioned portfolio i.e.

$$\Pi_t[X] = K_1 e^{-r(T-t)} + c(K_1; t, T) - c(K_2; t, T),$$

where c denotes the pricing function for a European call option (non-instructive parameters suppressed). \square

Solution (b).

(i): We start by seeing that the derivative pays out

$$Y = \begin{cases} S_T^2 - K^2 & \text{if } S_T^2 \geq K^2, \\ -(K^2 - S_T^2) & \text{if } S_T^2 < K^2. \end{cases}$$

hence the payout is $Y = S_T^2 - K^2 = \Phi(S_T)$ where $\Phi(s) = s^2 - K^2$. That is Y is in fact a simple claim. We have from the risk neutral valuation formula 7.11 that

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_t[Y] &= e^{-r(T-t)} E_{t,s}^Q[S_T^2 - K^2] \\ &= e^{-r(T-t)} E_{t,s}^Q[S_T^2] - e^{-r(T-t)} K^2. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that under the martingale measure Q we have that S_t is a GBM hence

$$S_t = s \cdot \exp \left\{ \left(r - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \right) (T-t) + \sigma (W_T^Q - W_t^Q) \right\}$$

then

$$S_T^2 = s^2 \cdot \exp \left\{ 2 \left(r - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \right) (T-t) + 2\sigma (W_T^Q - W_t^Q) \right\}.$$

Inserting this into the risk neutral valuation formula we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_t[Y] &= e^{-r(T-t)} E_{t,s}^Q[S_T^2] - e^{-r(T-t)} K^2 \\ &= e^{-r(T-t)} s^2 e^{2(r-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)(T-t)} E^Q \left[\exp \left\{ 2\sigma (W_T^Q - W_t^Q) \right\} \right] - e^{-r(T-t)} K^2 \\ &= e^{-r(T-t)} s^2 e^{2(r-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)(T-t)} e^{\frac{1}{2} 4\sigma^2 (T-t)} - e^{-r(T-t)} K^2 \\ &= e^{-r(T-t)} \left(s^2 e^{(2r-\sigma^2)(T-t) + \frac{1}{2} 4\sigma^2 (T-t)} - K^2 \right) \\ &= e^{-r(T-t)} \left(s^2 e^{(2r+\sigma^2)(T-t)} - K^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

The arbitrage free price of the derivative is then given above. \square

(ii): From theorem 8.5 we can determine a hedging portfolio with weightings

$$\begin{aligned} w_t^B &= \frac{\Pi_t - S_t \frac{\partial \Pi}{\partial s}}{\Pi_t} \\ &= 1 - \frac{S_t 2S_t e^{-r(T-t)} e^{(2r+\sigma^2)(T-t)}}{e^{-r(T-t)} (S_t^2 e^{(2r+\sigma^2)(T-t)} - K^2)} \\ &= 1 - \frac{2S_t^2 e^{(2r+\sigma^2)(T-t)}}{S_t^2 e^{(2r+\sigma^2)(T-t)} - K^2} \\ &= 1 - \frac{2}{1 - K^2 S_t^{-2} e^{(2r+\sigma^2)(t-T)}} \\ w_t^S &= \frac{2}{1 - K^2 S_t^{-2} e^{(2r+\sigma^2)(t-T)}}. \end{aligned}$$

In absolute terms we will hold the portfolio

$$\begin{aligned}
h_t^S &= 2S_t e^{-r(T-t)} e^{(2r+\sigma^2)(T-t)} \\
h_t^B &= \frac{e^{-r(T-t)} (s^2 e^{(2r+\sigma^2)(T-t)} - K^2) - S_t h_t^S}{B_t} \\
&= \frac{e^{-r(T-t)} (s^2 e^{(2r+\sigma^2)(T-t)} - K^2) - S_t h_t^S}{e^{rt}} \\
&= e^{-rT} s^2 e^{(2r+\sigma^2)(T-t)} - e^{-rT} K^2 - e^{-rt} S_t h_t^S.
\end{aligned}$$

The portfolio above will hedge Y with probability one. \square

Exercise 5. (*Exam 2019/20, problem 2*)

Solution.

Exercise 6. (*Exam 2020/21, problem 2, question (a)-(b)*)

Solution.

Exercise 7. (*Exam 2020/21, problem 3, question (b)*)

Solution.

Extra-Exercise 1. (*Bjork 10.4*)

Solution.

2.6 Exercises Week 6

Exercise 1. (*Exam 2017/18, problem 1, question (c)*) Let W_t denote a Brownian motion and let

$$\mathcal{F}_t = \mathcal{F}_t^W = \sigma(\{W_s \mid 0 \leq s \leq t\}).$$

Let $T > 0$ be a given and fixed time.

Let $f(t)$ be a bounded deterministic continuous function. Define the two processes

$$\begin{cases} X_t = \int_0^t f(u) dW_u, \\ M_t^{(\lambda)} = \exp \left\{ \lambda X_t - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \int_0^t f^2(u) du \right\}, \end{cases}$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is a constant.

c. Compute the mean value of $M_T^{(\lambda)} \log(M_T^{(\lambda)})$.

Solution (c).

We recall the definition of $M_t^{(\lambda)}$ and observe that

$$\log M_t^{(\lambda)} = \lambda X_t - \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 \int_0^t f^2(u) du.$$

Furthermore we have the dynamics of $M^{(\lambda)}$ given by the differential form

$$dM_t^{(\lambda)} = \lambda f(t) M_t^{(\lambda)} dW_t.$$

with $M_0^{(\lambda)} = 1$. Since we know that $M_t^{(\lambda)}$ is a martingale we have

$$E^P[M_T^{(\lambda)}] = E^P[M_0^{(\lambda)}] = 1,$$

and so we may define a new probability measure as

$$d\tilde{P} = M_T^{(\lambda)} dP$$

on \mathcal{F}_T . We then have a new Brownian motion \tilde{W} such that

$$dW_t = \lambda f(t) dt + d\tilde{W}_t.$$

We can then see

$$\begin{aligned} E^P[M_T^{(\lambda)} \log M_T^{(\lambda)}] &= \int M_T^{(\lambda)} \log M_T^{(\lambda)} dP = \int M_T^{(\lambda)} \log M_T^{(\lambda)} \frac{1}{M_T^{(\lambda)}} d\tilde{P} \\ &= \int \log M_T^{(\lambda)} d\tilde{P} = E^{\tilde{P}}[\log M_T^{(\lambda)}]. \end{aligned}$$

Then we can evaluate the mean value by seeing the X has representation wrt. \tilde{P} by

$$X_t = \int_0^t f(u) (\lambda f(u) du + d\tilde{W}_u) = \lambda \int_0^t f^2(u) du + \int_0^t f(u) d\tilde{W}_u.$$

Giving that

$$\begin{aligned} E^P[M_T^{(\lambda)} \log M_T^{(\lambda)}] &= E^{\tilde{P}}[\log M_T^{(\lambda)}] \\ &= E^{\tilde{P}} \left[\lambda X_T - \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 \int_0^T f^2(u) du \right] \\ &= E^{\tilde{P}} \left[\lambda^2 \int_0^T f^2(u) du + \lambda \int_0^T f(u) d\tilde{W}_u - \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 \int_0^T f^2(u) du \right] \\ &= \lambda E^{\tilde{P}} \left[\frac{1}{2} \lambda \int_0^T f^2(u) du + \int_0^T f(u) d\tilde{W}_u \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 \int_0^T f^2(u) du + \lambda E^{\tilde{P}} \left[\int_0^T f(u) d\tilde{W}_u \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 \int_0^T f^2(u) du \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\tilde{X}_T = \int_0^T f(u) d\tilde{W}_u,$$

is a \tilde{P} -martingale. \square

Exercise 2. (*Exam 2018/19, problem 2, question (b)i and (c)-(d)*)

Solution.

Exercise 3. (*Exam 2019/20, problem 1, question (b)-(c)*)

Solution.

Exercise 4. (*Exam 2019/20, problem 3, question (b)*)

Solution.

Exercise 5. (*Exam 2020/21, problem 1, question (c)*)

Solution.

Exercise 6. (*Exam 2020/21, problem 2, question (c)-(d)*)

Solution.

2.7 Exercises Week 7

Exercise 1. (*Exam 2018/19, problem 2, question (b).ii*)

Solution.

Exercise 2. (*Exam 2017/18, problem 3*)

Solution.

Exercise 3. (*Exam 2018/19, problem 3*)

Solution.

Exercise 4. (*Exam 2019/20, problem 3, question (a) and (c)-(e)*)

Solution.

Exercise 5. (*Exam 2020/21, problem 3, question (a) and (c)*)

Solution.

Chapter 3

Probabilistic Machine Learning

