2022

- Notation -

Put

$$\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}) := \Big\{ p \in \mathbb{Z} \mid p \text{ er et primtall} \Big\}.$$

This is called the **spectrum** of $\mathbb{Z}^{(a)}$.

Sometimes there is a red/pink circle with an E inside, placed in the margin. This indicates that there is an exercise hiding in the text.

The set (ring) of all polynomials with coefficients in \mathbb{Z} , is denoted $\mathbb{Z}[x]$, indicating that x is the variable^(b).

– Division algorithm –

The following should be well-known to everybody since elementary school:

Definition 1. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ where $a \geq b$. Then there are *unique* $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that

$$a = qb + r$$
, $0 \le r < b$.

The number q is the **quotient** and r is the **residue**. One says that r is the residue of a **modulo** b.

If r = 0 we say that b **divides** a and we write $b \mid a$; in the opposite case, we write $b \nmid a$.

It is important to note the following:

- (1) The residue is **strictly** less than *b*. Think about what it would mean otherwise.
- (2) We have the following equivalence

$$b \mid a \iff$$
 there is a unique $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a = tb$.

The following theorem is fundamental.

Theorem 1. Let $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

(i)
$$b \mid a \implies b \mid ac$$
;

(ii)
$$b \mid a$$
 and $b \mid c \implies b \mid (a \pm c)$;

(iii)
$$b \mid a$$
 and $d \mid c \implies (bd) \mid (ac)$;

(a) More generally, if R is a ring,

$$\operatorname{Spec}(R) := \left\{ \mathfrak{p} \subset R \mid \mathfrak{p} \text{ prime ideal} \right\}$$

is the **spectrum** of R. If $(0) \in \operatorname{Spec}(R)$, then the ring is an **integral domain**.

(b) We can replace \mathbb{Z} with any commutative ring if so desired (but we won't use this here).

- (iv) $b \mid a$ and $a \mid c \implies b \mid c$;
- (v) la $b \neq 0$; $b \mid a$ and $b \mid c \implies b \mid (na \pm mc)$, for all $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. We will prove (ii), (iv) and (v) and let (i) and (iii) be an exercise for you.

- (ii) That $b \mid a$ is equivalent with the existence of a unique $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that a = rb; similarly, c = sb for some unique $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. This gives that $a \pm c = rb \pm sb = (r+s)b$, which, by definition, means that $b \mid (a \pm c)$.
- (iv) By definition: a = rb and c = sa. Substitution gives c = sa = s(rb) = (rs)b, which is equivalent to $b \mid c$.
- (v) Once again, by definition, a = rb and c = sb. It is obvious that this means that $b \mid na$ fra (i). Analogously, we see $b \mid mc$. Therefore (ii) gives that $b \mid (na \pm mc)$.

As said, (i) and (iii) are exercises.

E

- Greatest common divisor -

Definition 2. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then the **greatest common divisor** between a and b, $\gcd(a, b)$, is the unique number $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ such that $d \mid a$ and $d \mid b$.

When gcd(a, b) = 1 we say that a and b are **relatively prime**.

Lemma 1. We have

$$gcd(a, n) = gcd(b, n) = 1 \iff gcd(ab, n) = 1.$$

Proof. \Rightarrow) Suppose gcd(a, n) = gcd(b, n) = 1. Since there are no common factors between a and n or b and n, there can be no common factors between ab and n.

 \Leftarrow) Suppose gcd(a,n) = d > 1. Then gcd(ab,n) > 1 since d divides both n and ab.

The following relation is referred to as **Bézout's identity**:

Theorem 2 (Bézout). Let gcd(a,b) = d. Then there are $x,y \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$d = xa + yb$$
.

Observe that we are not saying anything about how to go about finding these x, y. This is done by using the **extended Euclidean algorithm**.

To compute gcd(a, b), x and y one uses the following iterative procedure, called the **extended Euclidean algorithm**.

Suppose, $a \ge b$. Put

$$\begin{pmatrix} r_0 \\ s_0 \\ t_0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \begin{pmatrix} r_1 \\ s_1 \\ t_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then, successive use of the division algorithm, the extended Euclidean algorithm can be written on matrix form as the recursion

$$\begin{pmatrix} r_{i+1} \\ s_{i+1} \\ t_{i+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} r_{i-1} \\ s_{i-1} \\ t_{i-1} \end{pmatrix} - q_i \begin{pmatrix} r_i \\ s_i \\ t_i \end{pmatrix}.$$

The first relation $r_{i+1} = r_{i-1} - q_i r_i$ defines the quotient q_i .

Since $0 \le r_n < r_{n-1}$ in every step we see that, after a finite number of steps, we must end up with a zero residue (why?). The last non-zero residue, r_n , is then the gcd(a, b) since r_n divides every step up the recursion. In addition, $x = s_n$ og $y = t_n$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \gcd(a,b) \\ x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} r_n \\ s_n \\ t_n \end{pmatrix}$$

The reason why the algorithm works is a bit complicated to explain so I won't do that.

Bézout's identity can actually be extended to an equivalence when d=1:

Theorem 3. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then gcd(a, b) = 1 if and only if there are $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that xa + yb = 1.

Proof. ⇒) follows from Bézout's theorem. To show the other implication, suppose that there are $x,y \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that xa + yb = 1 and where $d := \gcd(a,b) > 1$. Since $d \mid a$ and $d \mid b$ we find that $d \mid (xa + yb)$. Therefore $d \mid 1$. If $d \mid 1$ then there must be an $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that 1 = nd which is impossible unless $d = \pm 1$. This implies that d = 1 (gcd > 0 by definition).

Corollary 4. Suppose gcd(a, b) = d. Then

$$\gcd\left(\frac{a}{d}, \frac{b}{d}\right) = 1.$$

Proof. Bézout's theorem shows that there are $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that xa + yb = d. Since $d \mid a$ and $d \mid b$, xa + yb = d implies that x(a/d) + y(b/d) = 1. The result now follows directly from theorem 3.

Corollary 5. Let gcd(a, b) = 1. Then

$$a \mid c, b \mid c \implies (ab) \mid c.$$

Proof. Since gcd(a,b) = 1 we (once again) have that xa + yb = 1 for some $x,y \in \mathbb{Z}$. Multiply this equation by c to get cxa + cyb = c. By $a \mid c$ and $b \mid c$ we find that there are s,t such that c = sa and c = tb. Inserting this into cxa + cyb = c we find

$$xsab + ytab = c \iff ab(xs + yt) = c \iff (ab) \mid c$$

which is the desired conclusion.

Theorem 6. Let gcd(a, b) = 1. Then

$$a \mid (bc) \implies a \mid c$$
.

Proof. The overall idea here is the same as in the above proof. Since gcd(a, b) = 1 we have

$$xa + by = 1 \Longrightarrow xac + ybc = c.$$

By the hypothesis $a \mid (bc)$, we have bc = sa, for some s. Multiplying by c gives xac + ybc = c and we get the equivalence

$$xac + ysa = c \iff a(xc + ys) = c \iff a \mid c$$

since
$$bc = sa$$
.

It is important that gcd(a, b) = 1. For instance, $12 \mid 8 \cdot 9$, but $12 \nmid 8$, $12 \nmid 9$.

Theorem 7. Let $p \in \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\gcd(a, b) = 1$. Then,

$$p \mid (ab) \implies p \mid a \text{ or } p \mid b.$$

Proof. Since gcd(a, b) = 1 we once again have xa + yb = 1, for some x, y. Suppose that $p \nmid a$ or $p \nmid b$. This means that

$$gcd(a, p) = gcd(b, p) = 1$$

since p is prime. However, this gives a contradiction to the conclusion of lemma 1 since, by hypothesis, $p \mid (ab)$.

- Congruences -

Definition 3. Let $a, b, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. We say that a is congruent b modulo n, if

$$n \mid (a-b)$$

and write this as $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$. The number n is called the **modulus** of the congruence.

The following equivalences are extremely important to observe:

$$a \equiv b \pmod{n} \iff n \mid (a - b) \iff a - b = kn$$

 $\iff a = kn + b.$

Compare this with the division algorithm but be aware that b is not necessarily a residue.

Theorem 8 (Congruence rules). Let $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then the following holds:

- (a) The relation \equiv is an **equivalence relation**, which means that
 - (i) $a \equiv b \pmod{n} \Longrightarrow b \equiv a \pmod{n}$ (symmetry);
 - (ii) $a \equiv a \pmod{n}$ (reflexivity), and
 - (iii) if $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ and $b \equiv c \pmod{n}$, then

$$a \equiv c \pmod{n}$$
 (transitivity).

(b) If $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ and $c \equiv d \pmod{n}$, then

$$a \pm c \equiv b \pm d \pmod{n}$$
 og $ac \equiv bd \pmod{n}$.

(c) If gcd(a, n) = 1 then there is an r such that

$$ar \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$
;

in other words, there is an **inverse** to a modulo n.

(d) If $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$, then

$$a^k \equiv b^k \pmod{n}$$
 for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

Proof. We will prove parts of (b), (c) and (d), leaving the rest as exercises.

(b) That $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ is equivalent to a = rn + b and $c \equiv d \pmod{n}$ is equivalent to c = sn + d. Adding/subtracting gives us

$$a \pm c = (rn + b) \pm (sn + d) = (r \pm s)n + b \pm d$$

$$\iff a \pm c \equiv b \pm d \pmod{n}.$$

(c) Suppose gcd(a, n) = 1. From Bézout's identity we find x, y such that xa + yn = 1. This means that

$$xa - 1 = yn \iff xa \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$
.

(d) This proof will use **induction**. Clearly the conclusion holds if k = 0 and k = 1. Assume now that it holds for k - 1. We need to prove that it holds for k also^(c).



⁽c) Since we know the conclusion is true for k - 1 = 2, then we would know that it is true for k = 3 and then it would be true for k = 4, e.t.c..

From (b) we have the following implication

$$a \equiv b \pmod{n}$$
 and $a^k \equiv b^k \pmod{n} \Longrightarrow aa^{k-1} \equiv bb^{k-1} \pmod{n}$

which clearly is equivalent to $a^k \equiv b^k \pmod{n}$.

The parts of the theorem promised are proved.

It is important to observe that the following implication is false:

$$a^k \equiv b^k \pmod{n} \Longrightarrow a \equiv b \pmod{n}$$
.

Exercise 8 asks you to find a counterexample.

The following example shows one way in which theorem 8 is used.

Example 1. We know from theorem 8 (d) that if $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$, then $a^k \equiv b^k \pmod{n}$. Therefore, say a = 5, k = 364 and n = 3. We have that $5 \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ so

$$5^{364} \equiv 2^{364} \pmod{3}$$
.

Now $364 = 4 \cdot 7 \cdot 13$, so, since $2^4 = 16 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$,

$$(2^4)^{7\cdot 13} \equiv 1^{7\cdot 13} = 1 \pmod{3}$$

from which it follows that

$$5^{364} \equiv 1 \pmod{3}.$$

Lemma 2. Put $gcd(\alpha, n) = d$. Then

$$\alpha x \equiv \alpha y \pmod{n} \iff x \equiv y \pmod{\frac{n}{d}}.$$

Proof. This follows from corollary 4. See exercise 17.

- Congruence equations -

Let $a, b, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that gcd(a, n) = 1. Then theorem 8 (iii) assures the existence of an inverse to a modulo n. Therefore the **congruence equation**, or simply **congruence**,

$$ax \equiv b \pmod{n}$$

has the solution $x = a^{-1}b$. Notice that we do not assume that $n \in \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$.

The fact of the matter is that we do not even have to assume that gcd(a, n) = 1:

Theorem 9. Let gcd(a, n) = d. Then the congruence

$$ax \equiv b \pmod{n}$$

 \mathbf{E}

E

has a solution if and only if $d \mid b$.

Proof. If $ax \equiv b \pmod{n}$ we have to have $d \mid b$ since

$$ax \equiv b \pmod{n} \iff b = ns - ax.$$

Suppose now that $d \mid b$. Then $a = \alpha d$, $b = \beta d$ and $n = \nu d$. Lemma 2 implies that

$$ax \equiv b \pmod{n} \iff \alpha x \equiv \beta \pmod{\nu}.$$

Since $\gcd(\alpha, \nu) = 1$ we are assured from theorem 8 (iii) of the existence of an inverse to α modulo ν . Therefore is $x = \alpha^{-1}\beta$ a solution to $\alpha x \equiv \beta \pmod{\nu}$. The above equivalence then implies that x also is a solution to $ax \equiv b \pmod{n}$.

Obviously there is nothing in the way of looking at congruence equations defined by higher degree polynomials.

Let $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be a polynomial. Then it is natural to wonder if

$$f(x) \equiv b \, (\bmod \, n)$$

has a solution^(d). Observe that we can assume that b = 0 since otherwise we can simply subtract b from both sides of the congruence.

Recall that not all polynomials over $\mathbb R$ are solvable in $\mathbb R$; one needs to extend to $\mathbb C$ to be guaranteed solutions. Analogously, not all congruence equations have solutions; one needs to extend to something bigger (we will come to this later). The existence of solutions is dependent on both f(x) and n. For fixed f(x) there are infinitely many n such that $f(x) \equiv b \pmod{n}$ has a solution.

The following two examples will be of utmost importance later.

Example 2. Let $f(x) = x^2 + 1$. Then

- $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ has the solutions $\{2,3\}$;
- $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{13}$ has the solutions $\{5, 8\}$;
- $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{19}$ has no solution;
- $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{23}$ has no solution;
- $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{34}$ has the solutions $\{13, 21\}$;
- $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{794653}$ has the solutions $\{330106, 464547\}$.

Observe the difference between whether the modulus is a prime or not.

We shall now look at the general congruence equation

$$f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$$

when
$$f(x) = x^2 + a$$
, $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{>2}$.

There are two distinct cases:



(d) Exercise 11.

- (1) $a \in \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$, or
- (2) *a* can be non-trivially factored.

We will handle these two cases separately.

Example 3. Put $f(x) = x^2 + 557$ (557 is a prime). Obviously, x = 1 is always a solution of multiplicity two modulo 2 so we assume below that $n \neq 2$.

- (a) $n \in \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \{2\}$. There are two possibilities
 - (i) the congruence has no solution;
 - (ii) there are exact two solutions.

For example are {36,73} solutions to

$$x^2 + 557 \equiv 0 \pmod{109}$$
,

while $x^2 + 557 \equiv 0 \pmod{43}$ has no solution.

- (b) Suppose now that $n \notin \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \{2\}$. In this case there are many possibilities. For instance,
 - n = 22 has the solutions $\{9, 13\}$;
 - n = 69 has the solutions $\{8,31,38,61\}$:
 - n = 91 has no solution;
 - n = 561 has the solutions

The maximal number of solutions in the interval $[0, 10^5]$ is 16, which happens for the first time for n = 12903.

Example 4. Now, let $f(x) = x^2 + 31682$, where

$$31682 = 2 \cdot 7 \cdot 31 \cdot 73.$$

In this case x = 1 is not a solution modulo 2.

The first we can observe is that if $n \in \{2,7,31,73\}$ the the congruence equation has the *unique* solution x = 0.

Otherwise we have the same possibilities as in the previous example:

- (a) $n \in \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$. Two possibilities:
 - (i) no solution;
 - (ii) exactly two solutions.

For instance, {62,129} are solutions to

$$x^2 + 31682 \equiv 0 \pmod{191},$$

while $x^2 + 31682 \equiv 0 \pmod{193}$ has no solution at all.

- (b) Suppose now that $n \notin \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$. In that case there are, as in the previous example, many possibilities:
 - n = 26 gives the solutions $\{8, 18\}$;
 - n = 99 gives the solutions $\{14, 41, 58, 85\}$:
 - n = 110 has no solution;
 - n = 759 has the solutions

Also in this case, the maximal number of solutions in the interval $[0, 10^5]$ is 16, which happens first for n = 9867.

Finally a third degree polynomial. For simplicity we only consider congruences $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ where $p \in \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$. Obviously composite moduli is also possible.

Example 5. Let $f(x) = x^3 + x + 103$. Then the congruence

- $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{59}$ has the solutions $\{25, 45, 48\}$;
- $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{191}$ has the solution $\{36\}$;
- $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{251}$ has no solution;
- $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{271}$ has the solutions $\{38, 252\}$;
- $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{39869}$ has the solutions $\{2262, 9213, 28394\}$.

Polynomials of degree three will be very important when we discuss elliptic curves.

To solve the above congruences, I wrote a (definitively not optimised!) Python program. I leave to you, as an exercise (exercise 21), to write such a program.

- The Chinese Remainder Theorem -

We now have the following fundamental theorem:

Theorem 10. Let $n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ be relatively prime integers (i.e., $\gcd(n_i, n_j) = 1$ for all $i \neq j$). Then the system of congruences

$$\begin{cases} x \equiv \alpha_1 \pmod{n_1} \\ x \equiv \alpha_2 \pmod{n_2} \\ \vdots \\ x \equiv \alpha_k \pmod{n_k}, \end{cases}$$

for $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k \in \mathbb{Z}$, has a simultaneous solution that is unique modulo $n_1 n_2 \cdots n_k$.

 $\widehat{\mathbf{E}}$

The proof is **effective** in the sense that it supplies a method for computing the solution, so be sure to read it carefully.

Proof. Put $N := n_1 n_2 \cdots n_k$ and form the numbers

$$M_i := N/n_i = n_1 \cdot n_2 \cdot \cdot \cdot n_{i-1} \cdot n_{i+1} \cdot \cdot \cdot n_k.$$

Since $gcd(n_i, n_i) = 1$ for $i \neq j$, we find that $gcd(M_i, n_i) = 1$.

Therefore, the congruence $M_i x \equiv 1 \pmod{n_i}$ has a unique solution, say β_i . Put

$$\boldsymbol{\beta} := \alpha_1 M_1 \beta_1 + \alpha_2 M_2 \beta_2 + \cdots + \alpha_k M_k \beta_k.$$

Since $n_i \mid M_i$ for $i \neq j$ we have that $M_i \equiv 0 \pmod{n_i}$. Hence

$$\beta \equiv \alpha_i M_i \beta_i \pmod{n_i}$$
.

Since $M_i\beta_i \equiv 1 \pmod{n_i}$ we find that

$$\beta \equiv \alpha_i \pmod{n_i}$$

for all $1 \le i \le k$. Therefore, β is a solution to the system.

It remains to prove that β is unique. Suppose that β' is another solution,

$$\beta' \equiv \alpha_i \pmod{n_i} \iff \beta' \equiv \beta \pmod{n_i}, \quad 1 \le i \le k$$

the equivalence following from the fact that both β and β' are congruent α_i modulo n_i . By definition,

$$\beta' \equiv \beta \pmod{n_i} \iff n_i \mid (\beta - \beta'), \quad 1 < i < k.$$

Now, since $gcd(n_i, n_i) = 1$, corollary 5 implies that

$$(n_1n_2\cdots n_k)\mid (\boldsymbol{\beta}-\boldsymbol{\beta}'),$$

which proves the desired conclusion.

Observe the following important corollary.

Corollary 11. Let $n = n_1 \cdot n_2 \cdots n_k$, with $gcd(n_i, n_j) = 1$ for $i \neq j$. Then any solution to the system

$$\begin{cases} x \equiv \alpha \pmod{n_1} \\ x \equiv \alpha \pmod{n_2} \\ \vdots \\ x \equiv \alpha \pmod{n_k}. \end{cases}$$

is also a solution to the congruence

$$x \equiv \alpha \pmod{n}$$
.

Proof. This follows immediately from corollary 5 and theorem 10.

- Residue classes -

When $a \ge n$ the division algorithm gives that a = qn + r where $0 \le r < n$. This means that the residues when dividing a by n are elements in the set

$$\mathbb{Z}/n := \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1\},\$$

and this set includes all possible residues modulo n.

Theorem 12. If $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ then

$$a = q_a n + r_a$$
, og $b = q_b n + r_b \implies r_a = r_b$,

where q_a , q_b are the quotients and r_a , r_b the residues.

Expressed in words: if $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$, then a and b have the same residue modulo n.

Proof. The division algorithm gives that r_a , $r_b < n$ and therefore is $r_a - r_b < n$. From $a = q_a n + r_a$ and $b = q_b n + r_b$ we get by subtraction,

$$a - b = (q_a - q_h)n + (r_a - r_h).$$

Since $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$, the right-hand side must be a multiple of n. On the other hand, since $r_a - r_b < n$ we must have $r_a = r_b$ (otherwise, the right-hand side can not be a multiple of n).

Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then the division algorithm gives that $a = q_a n + r_a$. We say that r_a is the **reduction of** a **modulo** n. This is often denoted by \bar{a} . The set of all $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ having the same residue as a is denoted [a], and is called the **residue class** of a. Observe that this is a set!

Since the residue is unique, dependent only on a and n, the reduction is also unique. On the other hand, given a residue r modulo n, there are many $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\bar{x} = r$. In fact, the theorem above says that all $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $x \equiv y \pmod{n}$ all have the same residue. Expressed differently,

$$a \equiv b \pmod{n} \iff \overline{a} = \overline{b} \in \mathbb{Z}/n = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1\}.$$

More correctly, the elements in \mathbb{Z}/n are *sets*, where $r \in \mathbb{Z}/n$ **represents** all those a that has r as residue modulo n. Therefore, in the name of precision, one should write

$$\mathbb{Z}/n = \{[0], [1], [2], \dots, [n-1]\}$$

and remember that the elements in \mathbb{Z}/n are sets and not numbers. Hence, we can express the discussion above as

$$[a] = \left\{ b \in \mathbb{Z} \mid a \equiv b \pmod{n} \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ b \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \bar{a} = \bar{b} \bmod n \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ b \in \mathbb{Z} \mid a \text{ og } b \text{ have the same residue modulo } n \right\} \in \mathbb{Z}/n$$

and the set [a] can be *represented* by this residue.

Observe that $\overline{0}$ is the set of all $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ that are divisible by n.

The above discussion also justifies the notation \mathbb{Z}/n : "division by n". In correct mathematical notation this should be written as $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ or $\mathbb{Z}/(n)$ but I kind of like the notation \mathbb{Z}/n since it highlights that we are actually dealing with division by n.

Definition 4. Let $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in \mathbb{Z}/n$ be two residue classes. The following operations define addition/subtraction and multiplication of residue classes:

- (a) $[a] \pm [b] \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} [a \pm b];$
- (b) $[a] \cdot [b] \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} [a \cdot b]$.

Here one needs to be careful. Remember that [a] is the *set* of all numbers having the same residue as a. We need to show that the above definitions are *well-defined*, i.e., independent on which representatives we choose from the sets. The choices are made in the right-hand side of the definitions.

Theorem 13. The arithmetic operations defined in definition 4 are well-defined.

Proof. Clearly $\bar{a} \in [a]$, $\bar{b} \in [b]$. Now, take arbitrary $\bar{\alpha} \in [a]$ and $\bar{\beta} \in [b]$. This is equivalent to $a \equiv \alpha \pmod{n}$ and $b \equiv \beta \pmod{n}$. We need to show that

$$\bar{a} + \bar{b} = \bar{\alpha} + \bar{\beta},$$

where we, according to the definition, have

$$\bar{a} + \bar{b} = \overline{a + b}$$
 and $\bar{\alpha} + \bar{\beta} = \overline{\alpha + \beta}$.

In other words, we need to show that

$$\overline{a+b} = \overline{\alpha+\beta}$$

which is equivalent to showing (remember: equal residues) that

$$(a+b) \equiv (\alpha + \beta) \pmod{n}$$
.

Now we have $a \equiv \alpha \pmod{n}$ and $b \equiv \beta \pmod{n}$. Adding these two congruences gives, by theorem 8 (ii),

$$(a+b) \equiv (\alpha + \beta) \pmod{n}$$
,

exactly what we needed to show.

The above defines a **ring structure** on \mathbb{Z}/n , and reduction is a **ring homomorphism**.

If n = p is prime then one puts

$$\mathbb{F}_p := \mathbb{Z}/p$$
,

for reasons to be explained later. It is important to note that, one does *not* write

$$\mathbb{F}_{n^k} := \mathbb{Z}/p^k$$
,

for k > 1.

- Eulers teorem -

Definition 5. The function $\varphi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ defined by

$$\varphi(n) = \#\{1 \le a \le n-1 \mid \gcd(a,n) = 1\},\$$

is called the Euler totient function.

Theorem 14. The totient function is **weakly multiplicative**: suppose $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and gcd(n, m) = 1, then

$$\varphi(n \cdot m) = \varphi(n) \cdot \varphi(m), \quad n, m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Proof. The proof is quite tricky and is omitted.

Clearly,

$$\varphi(p) = p - 1$$
 for $p \in \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$.

More generally,

Theorem 15. For $p \in \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$,

$$\varphi(p^k) = p^k - p^{k-1} = p^{k-1}(p-1) = p^k \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right), \quad k \ge 0.$$

Proof. Since $gcd(p^k, n) = 1$ if and only if $p \nmid n$, there are p^{k-1} numbers between 1 and p^k that are divisible by p:

$$p, 2p, 3p, \ldots, p^{k-1}p.$$

Hence the set

$$\left\{1 \le a \le p^k \mid \gcd(p^k, a) = 1\right\},$$

 $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, have $p^k - p^{k-1}$ elements.

We won't give proofs of the following two theorems:

Theorem 16. Suppose $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ has prime factorisation

$$n = p_1^{k_1} p_2^{k_2} \cdots p_s^{k_s}, \quad p_i \in \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}).$$

Then

$$\varphi(n) = n\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}\right)\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_2}\right)\cdots\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_s}\right).$$

Proof. This is an induction argument.

Theorem 17. For $n \ge 3$, $\varphi(n)$ is an even number.

Lemma 3. Let a, n > 1, gcd(a, n) = 1 and

$$A = \left\{ a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{\varphi(n)} \right\} = \left\{ 1 \le s < n \mid \gcd(s, n) = 1 \right\}.$$

Then the set

$$B = \left\{aa_1, aa_2, \dots, aa_{\varphi(n)}\right\}$$

is congruent to *A*, in the sense that every element in *B* is congruent with **exactly** one element in *A*.

Proof. We cannot have that $aa_i \equiv aa_j \pmod{n}$ when $i \neq j$. Indeed, suppose that $i \neq j$ and that $aa_i \equiv aa_j \pmod{n}$. Since $\gcd(a,n) = 1$ we can eliminate a from the congruence to get $a_i \equiv a_j \pmod{n}$. This is a contradiction to the definition of A (all elements are distinct modulo n; indeed, they are all distinct and less than n, therefore distinct modulo n). Hence $aa_i \not\equiv aa_i \pmod{n}$.

Furthermore, from Lemma 1, we have

$$gcd(a, n) = gcd(a_i, n) = 1 \implies gcd(aa_i, n) = 1.$$

Thus we know that the elements in B are distinct and relatively prime to n.

For every aa_i there is a unique $1 \le b_i < n$ such that

$$aa_i \equiv b_i \pmod{n}$$
.

From this follows, since $gcd(aa_i, n) = 1$, that

$$gcd(b_i, n) = 1.$$

Indeed, the congruence $aa_i \equiv b_i \pmod{n}$ is equivalent to the statement that $aa_i = b_i + kn$. If $\gcd(b_i, n) = d > 1$, d would have to divide aa_i . However, $\gcd(aa_i, n) = 1$ so this is impossible. We can therefore conclude that b_i have to be one, and only one, of the elements of A.

Theorem 18 (Euler). Let
$$n > 1$$
, $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\gcd(a, n) = 1$. Then
$$a^{\varphi(n)} \equiv 1 (\bmod n).$$

Proof. From lemma 3 we see that

$$\left\{aa_1,aa_2,\ldots,aa_{\varphi(n)}\right\} \equiv \left\{a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_{\varphi(n)}\right\} \pmod{n}.$$

In other words,

$$aa_i \equiv b_i \pmod{n}, \quad b_i \in \left\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{\varphi(n)}\right\}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq \varphi(n).$$

Multiplying all these congruences gives

$$(aa_1)(aa_2)\cdots(aa_{\varphi(n)})\equiv b_1b_2\cdots b_{\varphi(n)}\equiv a_1a_2\cdots a_{\varphi(n)}\pmod{n}.$$

The left-hand side is

$$(aa_1)(aa_2)\cdots(aa_{\varphi(n)})=a^{\varphi(n)}a_1a_2\cdots a_{\varphi(n)},$$

so

$$a^{\varphi(n)}a_1a_2\cdots a_{\varphi(n)}\equiv a_1a_2\cdots a_{\varphi(n)}\pmod{n}.$$

Since all a_i are relatively prime to n, we conclude, by using lemma 2 several times, that $a_1a_2\cdots a_{\varphi(n)}$ also is. Therefore, we can eliminate $a_1a_2\cdots a_{\varphi(n)}$ from the congruence to get

$$a^{\varphi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$

which was what had to be proven.

Corollary 19. The set

$$a \in (\mathbb{Z}/n)^{\times} := \left\{ a \in \mathbb{Z}/n \mid \gcd(a, n) = 1 \right\}$$

is the set of all $\varphi(n)$ -roots of unity modulo n. In other words, for $x \in (\mathbb{Z}/n)^{\times}$, we have that $x^{\varphi(n)} = 1$ in \mathbb{Z}/n .

Theorem 20 (Fermat's "little" theorem). Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, $p \in \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\gcd(a, p) = 1$. Then

$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

In particular,

$$a^p \equiv a \pmod{p}$$
.

Proof. This follows directly from Euler's theorem since $\varphi(p)=p-1$ for $p\in \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}).$

Example 6. We shall prove that

$$a^{37} \equiv a \pmod{1729},$$

for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}$.

First we observe that $1729 = 7 \cdot 13 \cdot 19$ and so

$$\varphi(1729) = \varphi(7)\varphi(13)\varphi(19) = 6 \cdot 12 \cdot 18 = 36^2.$$

We then have

$$a^{37} = a^{36+1} = a^{36}a \equiv 1 \cdot a \pmod{1729},$$

since

$$a^{\varphi(1729)} = (a^{36})^{36} \equiv 1 \pmod{1729}.$$

- Exercises -

Exercise 1. Finish the exercises in the text.

Exercise 2. Write a Python program that has the following functions:

- (i) a function that finds the quotient and residue in the division algorithm, *without* using built-in functions in Python;
- (ii) a function that implements the extended Euclidean algorithm in Python. (Here you *may* use the built-in quotient and remainder Python functions.)

Exercise 3. Show that

- (i) $2 \mid (n^2 n)$;
- (ii) $6 \mid (n^3 n)$, and
- (iii) $30 \mid (n^5 n)$

Exercise 4. Show that $4 \nmid (n^2 + 2)$.

Exercise 5. Show that

- (a) $n^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ when n is odd.
- (b) $n^3 \equiv 0, 1, \text{ or } 6 \pmod{7}, \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$
- (c) $n^4 \equiv 0$, or $1 \pmod{5}$, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- (d) If *n* is not divisible by 2 or 3 then $n^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{24}$, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Exercise 6. Use congruences to calculate the remainders when

- (a) 2^{50} is divided by 7, and
- (b) when 41^{65} is divided by 7.

Exercise 7. Use congruences to prove the following:

(a) For each $n \ge 1$

$$7 \mid (5^{2n} + 3 \cdot 2^{5n-2}).$$

(b) For each $n \ge 1$

$$27 \mid (2^{5n+1} + 5^{n+2}).$$

Exercise 8. Find a counterexample to the implication

$$a^k \equiv b^k \pmod{n} \implies a \equiv b \pmod{n}$$
.

Exercise 9. Let $p \in \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$. Show

$$a^2 \equiv b^2 \pmod{p} \implies p \mid (a+b) \text{ or } p \mid (a-b).$$

Why is this not in opposition to exercise 8?

Exercise 10. Suppose d > 0 and that $d \mid n$. Show the implication

$$a \equiv b \pmod{n} \implies a \equiv b \pmod{d}$$
.

Exercise 11. Let $P(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. If $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$, use exercise 8 to show that

$$P(a) \equiv P(b) \pmod{n}$$
.

Exercise 12. Solve the following system of congruences:

$$\begin{cases} x \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \\ x \equiv 2 \pmod{5} \\ x \equiv 3 \pmod{7} \end{cases}$$

Don't forget to check your solution.

Exercise 13. Solve the following system of congruences:

$$\begin{cases} x \equiv 5 \pmod{11} \\ x \equiv 14 \pmod{29} \\ x \equiv 15 \pmod{31} \end{cases}$$

Don't forget to check your solution.

Exercise 14. Solve the following system of congruences:

$$\begin{cases} 2x \equiv 1 \pmod{5} \\ 3x \equiv 9 \pmod{6} \\ 4x \equiv 1 \pmod{7} \\ 5x \equiv 9 \pmod{11} \end{cases}$$

You will have to invoke lemma 2 to solve this. Don't forget to check your solution.

Exercise 15. Use corollary 11 to solve the congruence

$$13x \equiv 3 \pmod{77}$$
.

Don't forget to check your solution.

Exercise 16. Use corollary 11 to solve the congruence

$$23x \equiv 95 \pmod{276}.$$

Don't forget to check your solution.

Exercise 17. Prove lemma 2.

Exercise 18. Find the addition and multiplication tables for

- (a) $\mathbb{Z}/5$;
- (b) $\mathbb{Z}/6$;
- (c) $\mathbb{Z}/7$, and
- (d) $\mathbb{Z}/8$.

Exercise 19. If A and B are two sets $A \times B$ denotes the set

$$A \times B := \Big\{ (a,b) \mid a \in A, \ b \in B \Big\}.$$

In other words, the set of all pairs of element from A and B Observe that, generally $A \times B \neq B \times A$. Also, (a,b) is not the same as (b,a) unless a=b.

Now, let $A := \mathbb{Z}/n$ and $B := \mathbb{Z}/m$. Define addition and multiplication component-wise :

$$(a,b) + (c,d) := (a+c,b+d), \quad (a,b) \cdot (c,d) := (ac,bd).$$

Compute the addition and multiplication tables for

- (a) $\mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2$ (this is the so-called **Klein Viergruppe**);
- (b) $\mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/3$, and
- (c) $\mathbb{Z}/3 \times \mathbb{Z}/3$.

Exercise 20. Construct a bijective function ϕ between $\mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/3$ and $\mathbb{Z}/6$ such that the addition and multiplication tables are compatible, or, expressed in fancy language, such that ϕ is a **ring homomorphism**.

In other words, if ϕ is such a function

$$\phi: \mathbb{Z}/6 \to \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/3$$
,

and if $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}/6$, then

$$\phi(a+b) = \phi(a) + \phi(b)$$
 and $\phi(ab) = \phi(a)\phi(b)$.

Observe that that the tables are different on the left-hand side and right-hand side; in the left-hand side it is the tables for $\mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/3$, and in the right-hand side the tables for $\mathbb{Z}/6$.

Remember that a **bijective** function $f: A \rightarrow B$ is a function that is

- (i) **injective**: $f(x) = f(y) \Longrightarrow x = y$, and
- (ii) **surjective**: for each $y \in B$, there is an $x \in A$ such that y = f(x).

Exercise 21. Write a Python program that solves

$$f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$$

for arbitrary $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ and arbitrary modulus n.

Exercise 22. Show that every element in \mathbb{F}_p has an inverse. In other words, show that for every $a \in \mathbb{F}_p$, there is a $b \in \mathbb{F}_p$ such that

$$ab = ba = 1 \in \mathbb{F}_p$$
.

Hint: Use congruences.

On the other hand, show, for instance by finding a counterexample, that the same is *not* true for \mathbb{Z}/p^2 . (Recall that $\mathbb{F}_{p^2} \neq \mathbb{Z}/p^2$.)

In fact, show that there are elements $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ such that ab = 0 for some b. One says that a is a **zero-divisor**.

Exercise 23. Compute the following.

- (a) $\varphi(2197)$ (*Hint*: 13 | 2197);
- (b) $\varphi(123)$;
- (c) $\varphi(61828)$.

Exercise 24. Show that

$$d \mid n \Longrightarrow \varphi(d) \mid \varphi(n).$$

Exercise 25. Write a Python program that computes the value $\varphi(n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Be sure to test it with numbers that you can compute by hand.

Exercise 26. Use Euler's theorem to prove that

- (a) $a^{13} \equiv a \pmod{2730}$, for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- (b) $a^{33} \equiv a \pmod{4080}$, for a an odd integer.
- (c) Use Euler's theorem and (a) to prove that

$$51 \mid (10^{32n+9} - 7)$$
, for all $n \ge 1$.

Exercise 27. Let gcd(a, n) = gcd(a - 1, n) = 1. Prove that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\varphi(n)-1} a^i \equiv 0 \, (\operatorname{mod} n).$$

Recall that $\varphi(n)$ is an even number and observe that, for m even,

$$x^{m} - 1 = (x - 1)(1 + x + x^{2} + \dots + x^{m-1}).$$

Exercise 28. Let *m* be an arbitrary multiple of 9.

- (a) Use Fermat's theorem to prove that $3 \mid (10^9 7)$.
- (b) Prove that $3 \mid (10^m 7)$.
- (c) Use Euler's theorem and (a) to prove that

$$51 \mid (10^{32n+9} - 7)$$
, for all $n > 1$.

Exercise 29. Let $p \in \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$.

- (a) Show that every $a \in \mathbb{F}_p$ is a $(p-1)^{\text{th}}$ -root of unity.
- (b) Which elements in \mathbb{Z}/p^2 are n^{th} -roots of unity, $n \geq 2$?
- (c) In \mathbb{Z}/p^k ?

Exercise 30. Show that

$$91^{19200} \equiv 1 \, (\text{mod } 35301).$$

Hint: Use Euler's theorem.

Exercise 31. Compute the remainder when 2^{100000} is divided by 77. *Hint:* Use Euler's theorem. You might also need to use the Chinese Remainder Theorem^(e).