

Retrospective Sprint 5

Scrummaster: Fredrik Viberg

Velocity: 46/54

PROs:

- We've tried to work around the problems and borrowing other groups cars.
- It's good that the report is on it's way. Everyone took part in the job to write and took responsibility.
- There is loads of results presented this week which is really good.
- The subgroups have solved their problems by themselves in a good way.
- We have been communicating with other groups, which is positive.
- The tasks for the report was very clear and it was easy to work with as it was so straight forward.


CONs:

- The hardware is still not to count on.
- Seems like that the team spirit has been a bit low for this sprint.
- The communication between our subgroups have not been perfect.
- Plan for testing for the upcoming sprint.
- Quite a lot of problems, we should document our problems that we find when testing.
- We need to know what we are aiming at.
- Philip has taken a lot of responsibility with the hardware which not have been fair. We should have thought about this earlier.
- It's hard to make sure that we deliver all that's expected from us
- We have not divided the work between us fairly in the subgroups and need to make sure that this will happen for the last sprint.


Notes: -

Below is the KPI #1, #2, #3 in said order:

Poäng för extraarbete orsakat av bristfällig kommunikation med produktägaren



Poäng per task



Fördelning av arbetsresurser

