Retrospective - Second sprint

Scrummaster: Jakob Erlandsson
Team effort: 19/50

Last weeks retrospective:
- Big subteams was converted to smaller teams
- Tasks have been more specified and are not just about code

PROs:
- Well executed sprint planning
- Completion of satisfactory work in spite of unexpected problems
- Break down of one big group into smaller subgroups of three people each.
- Daily scrum done with only one speaker from each subgroup.

CONS:
- Unforeseen hardware problems which led to unfinished tasks
- Not enough knowledge of Python
- Did not take problem with non-working cars serious enough early enough
- No planning for unexpected problems

Notes:
- Hardware issue are hard for us to resolve
- Work together more closely with other groups
- For next sprint our user stories should be more specific
- We should prevent us from getting stuck with a car with hardware issues, a good way can be to have someone responsible for the MOPED
- One idea for testing the car is to create a small test script to check all factors that we want to make sure works and run that script before we start working with our tasks
- It is very important that all our tasks are well described to make sure that we know exactly what to do. There should be no questions in regards to a task.

Below you will find KPI #1, #2, 3# in said order:

Poäng per task

Legend:
- Högsta poäng per task (red)
- Genomsnittlig poäng per task (blue)
- Lägsta poäng per task (green)

Fördelning av arbesresurser

Legend:
- Överbemanning
- Underbemanning