RETROSPECTIVE - SPRINT 1 Wk44/45

What went well?
We follow the sprint management schedules and fulfill the assigned tasks

What went wrong?
We did not strictly follow the Agile working method from the beginning. We spent quite a lot of time on artifacts. It seems more like a combination of waterfall and agile method

What can be done better?
We should strictly follow the Agile working method and allocate time properly for both artifacts and codes

RETROSPECTIVE - SPRINT 2 Wk46/47

What went well?
We work really well with each other as a team. Everyone can speak up their mind about any issues. When we don't completely agree in any solution, we will make the final solution by using democracy vote

We have learnt and improved ourselves during the process of making the group project. It is very efficiently to follow Agile model, we switch back and forth between the artifacts and the codes as it is necessary to update the artifacts to reflect what is presented in codes

What went wrong?
It is the first time we use Scrum Master to control our project, so there is still many rooms for improvement. We did not always prioritize the most important use case in every task we did, we quite often did tasks for both use cases at once instead

What can be done better?
We should have assigned every task to a certain use case. By sticking with the use case, which is prioritized by the product owner we can finish the most important use case first and increase the possibility to meet the deadline.