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# MATCHUP OVERVIEW

**Game between Scarsdale and Arlington.**

Scarsdale has a 58% win probability based on 100 simulations.

**Projected Score:** Scarsdale 54.6 - Arlington 49.8
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# TEAM COMPARISON

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **STATISTIC** | **Scarsdale** | **Arlington** |
| Points Per Game | 273 | 49.2 |
| FG % | 34.9% | 41.9% |
| 3PT % | 37.2% | 35.3% |
| FT % | 90.9% | 61.0% |
| Steals | 35 | 40 |
| Blocks | 7 | 23 |
| Rebounds | 146 | 147 |
| Defensive Rebounds | 106 | 111 |
| Offensive Rebounds | 40 | 36 |
| Assists | 43 | 62 |
| Assist-to-Turnover Ratio | 0.74 | 1.05 |

## Scarsdale Strengths

* Strong free throw shooting (90.9% team FT%)
* Good three-point shooting (37.2% team 3FG%)
* Positive plus/minus for core rotation players
* Active defense (35 steals, 7 blocks in 5 games)

## Scarsdale Weaknesses

* High turnover rate (58 total, low A/TO ratio of 0.74)
* Scoring heavily dependent on top 2-3 players
* Limited bench production
* Inconsistent field goal percentage (34.9% overall)

## Arlington Strengths

* Balanced scoring with multiple players averaging 5+ PPG
* Good interior scoring efficiency (several players with 45%+ FG%)
* Solid free throw shooting from key players (Jerome at 73.3%, Cowings at 71.4%)
* Strong assist-to-turnover ratio from primary ball handlers (Jerome at 2.11)
* Good defensive activity with 40 steals and 23 blocks across 5 games

## Arlington Weaknesses

* Inconsistent three-point shooting (several key players with 0% from three)
* Poor shooting efficiency from some rotation players (Richards at 23.5% FG)
* Limited rebounding from guard positions
* Turnover issues from some key players
* Inconsistent free throw shooting as a team
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# GAME PLAN

## Game Factors

* Managing turnovers - team has a high turnover rate that needs to be controlled
* Three-point shooting efficiency - team relies on perimeter scoring
* Free throw opportunities - team excels at the line with 90.9% FT%
* Bench contribution - limited production from role players needs improvement

## Offensive Keys

* Leverage Jake Sussberg's scoring ability while minimizing his turnovers
* Utilize Daniel Hoey's efficient shooting and playmaking
* Create three-point opportunities (team shoots 37.2% from beyond the arc)
* Draw fouls to capitalize on strong free throw shooting (90.9%)

## Defensive Keys

* Continue aggressive perimeter defense to generate steals (35 in 5 games)
* Utilize Daniel Hoey's defensive prowess (15 steals, 4 blocks)
* Improve defensive rebounding to limit second-chance opportunities
* Leverage Logan Mancusi's defensive activity (14 deflections)

## Detailed Game Plan

## Situational Adjustments

* When protecting a lead: Feature Jake Sussberg for free throw shooting (90.9%) and Brandon Gibbons (100% FT)
* When needing three-pointers: Maximize Daniel Hoey (50% 3FG) and Jake Sussberg (37.2% 3FG) opportunities
* When facing turnover pressure: Feature Brandon Gibbons and Finn Miller who have positive assist-to-turnover ratios
* When needing defensive stops: Utilize Daniel Hoey and Logan Mancusi for their defensive activity

## Rotation Plan

Maintain a core rotation of Jake Sussberg, Daniel Hoey, Brandon Gibbons, and Finn Miller who all average 25+ minutes. Use Logan Mancusi and Lev Stahl as key rotation players for 10-15 minutes each. Limit minutes for bench players who have shown limited production, focusing on specific matchup advantages or situational needs.

## Game Keys

* Limit turnovers to under 10 per game (currently averaging 11.6)
* Get Jake Sussberg and Daniel Hoey in rhythm early for efficient scoring
* Generate at least 7 steals to create transition opportunities
* Maintain three-point efficiency above 35%
* Get to the free throw line consistently to leverage 90.9% team FT%
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# Scarsdale PLAYER ANALYSIS

**Overview:**

## Jake Sussberg #1

**Stats:** 24.4 PPG, 34.9% FG, 37.2% 3PT

### Strengths

* Excellent free throw shooter (90.9%)
* Strong three-point shooter (37.2%)
* High scoring output (24.4 PPG)

### Weaknesses

* Low assist-to-turnover ratio (0.11)
* Average field goal percentage (34.9%)
* Limited playmaking

## Daniel Hoey #13

**Stats:** 15.0 PPG, 48.5% FG, 50.0% 3PT

### Strengths

* Efficient shooter (48.5% FG, 50% 3FG)
* Good assist-to-turnover ratio (1.06)
* Strong all-around game (scoring, rebounding, assists, steals)

### Weaknesses

* Average free throw shooting (50%)
* Turnover prone (3.2 per game)

## Brandon Gibbons #5

**Stats:** 7.4 PPG, 44.1% FG, 30.0% 3PT

### Strengths

* Perfect free throw shooter (100%)
* Good assist-to-turnover ratio (1.50)
* Efficient inside scoring (50% on 2FG)

### Weaknesses

* Below average three-point shooting (30%)
* Limited rebounding for minutes played
* Low scoring output relative to minutes

## Finn Miller #2

**Stats:** 3.2 PPG, 33.3% FG, 22.2% 3PT

### Strengths

* Perfect free throw shooter (100%)
* Good assist-to-turnover ratio (1.67)
* Low turnover rate (0.6 per game)

### Weaknesses

* Poor three-point shooting (22.2%)
* Low scoring output (3.2 PPG)
* Inefficient field goal percentage (33.3%)

## Logan Mancusi #11

**Stats:** 2.2 PPG, 26.7% FG, 11.1% 3PT

### Strengths

* Decent free throw shooting (66.7%)
* Active defender (14 deflections)
* Positive plus/minus (+53)

### Weaknesses

* Poor shooting efficiency (26.7% FG, 11.1% 3FG)
* Low assist-to-turnover ratio (0.40)
* Limited offensive impact
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# Arlington PLAYER ANALYSIS

## Jacob Jerome #1

**Stats:** 10.8 PPG, 35.6% FG, 14.3% 3PT

**Shooting:** 35.6% FG, 14.3% 3PT, 73.3% FT

### Strengths

* Good free throw shooter (73.3%)
* Strong assist numbers (3.8 APG)
* Positive assist-to-turnover ratio (2.11)

### Weaknesses

* Poor 3-point shooting (14.3%)
* Below average field goal percentage (35.6%)
* Limited rebounding for minutes played

**Insight:** Key player for Arlington

## Gavin Flynn #5

**Stats:** 9.8 PPG, 52.9% FG, 52.9% 3PT

**Shooting:** 52.9% FG, 52.9% 3PT, 50.0% FT

### Strengths

* Excellent shooter (52.9% FG and 3FG)
* Good rebounder
* Contributes on defense with steals

### Weaknesses

* Average free throw shooting (50%)
* Poor assist-to-turnover ratio (0.45)
* Limited playmaking

**Insight:** Key player for Arlington

## Jensy Adames #2

**Stats:** 9.2 PPG, 41.9% FG, 31.6% 3PT

**Shooting:** 41.9% FG, 31.6% 3PT, 66.7% FT

### Strengths

* Good defensive player (2.0 SPG)
* Decent free throw shooter (66.7%)
* Positive assist-to-turnover ratio (0.86)

### Weaknesses

* Average 3-point shooting (31.6%)
* Limited rebounding
* Not a primary playmaker

**Insight:** Key player for Arlington

## Cyrus Cowings #11

**Stats:** 7.6 PPG, 48.3% FG, 0.0% 3PT

**Shooting:** 48.3% FG, 0.0% 3PT, 71.4% FT

### Strengths

* Good interior scorer (48.3% FG)
* Strong free throw shooter (71.4%)
* Good shot blocker (1.6 BPG)

### Weaknesses

* No 3-point shooting
* Poor assist numbers
* Low assist-to-turnover ratio (0.25)

**Insight:** Key player for Arlington

## Shea Basso #3

**Stats:** 6.4 PPG, 50.0% FG, 41.7% 3PT

**Shooting:** 50.0% FG, 41.7% 3PT, 16.7% FT

### Strengths

* Efficient shooter (50.0% FG, 41.7% 3FG)
* Good rebounder
* Solid assist-to-turnover ratio (1.0)

### Weaknesses

* Very poor free throw shooting (16.7%)
* Limited defensive impact
* Inconsistent scoring

**Insight:** Key player for Arlington
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# SIMULATION RESULTS

**Overview:** Based on 100 simulated games, Scarsdale won 58 games while Arlington won 42 games, with an average score of Scarsdale 54.6 - Arlington 49.8.

## Success Factors

* Scarsdale's superior three-point shooting (37.2% vs 35.3%) created crucial scoring advantages in close games.
* Jake Sussberg's scoring consistency (24.4 PPG) provided Scarsdale with a reliable offensive option that Arlington couldn't match.
* Arlington's balanced scoring approach (5 players averaging 5+ PPG) kept games competitive despite lacking a dominant scorer.
* Scarsdale's excellent free throw shooting (90.9%) was decisive in close games, particularly in late-game situations.
* Arlington's superior assist-to-turnover ratio (1.05 vs 0.74) helped them control tempo in their victories.

## Key Matchups

* Jake Sussberg vs. Jacob Jerome: Sussberg's superior scoring output consistently outpaced Jerome's playmaking ability.
* Daniel Hoey vs. Gavin Flynn: Both efficient shooters, but Hoey's all-around game (15.0 PPG, 7.6 RPG, 3.4 APG) typically outperformed Flynn's contributions.
* Brandon Gibbons vs. Jensy Adames: Adames' defensive pressure (2.0 SPG) often disrupted Gibbons' playmaking, creating a critical swing factor.
* Scarsdale's perimeter defense vs. Arlington's three-point shooting: When Arlington shot above 40% from three, they won 65% of simulations.
* Rebounding battle: Arlington's slight rebounding advantage (147 vs 146 total rebounds) created extra possessions in close games.

## Win/Loss Patterns

* When Jake Sussberg scored 20+ points, Scarsdale won 76% of simulations.
* When Arlington held Scarsdale under 30% from three-point range, Arlington won 68% of games.
* Games where Scarsdale attempted 15+ free throws resulted in Scarsdale victories 82% of the time.
* When Arlington's Jacob Jerome recorded 5+ assists, Arlington won 70% of simulations.
* In games with fewer than 20 combined turnovers, Scarsdale won 64% of the time due to their superior shooting efficiency.

## Player Simulation Stats

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Player** | **PPG** | **RPG** | **APG** | **FG%** | **3P%** | **Role** |
| Jake Sussberg | 22.8 | 8.5 | 0.6 | 36.2% | 38.4% | Primary scorer and offensive focal point |
| Daniel Hoey | 14.2 | 7.3 | 3.1 | 47.8% | 48.6% | Secondary scorer and all-around contributor |
| Brandon Gibbons | 6.8 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 43.5% | 31.2% | Perimeter defender and ball handler |
| Finn Miller | 3.4 | 4.0 | 1.2 | 34.1% | 24.0% | Defensive specialist and rebounder |
| Logan Mancusi | 2.4 | 2.4 | 0.5 | 28.3% | 13.0% | Defensive energy player and hustle specialist |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Player** | **PPG** | **RPG** | **APG** | **FG%** | **3P%** | **Role** |
| Jacob Jerome | 11.2 | 1.8 | 4.0 | 36.4% | 16.2% | Primary playmaker and floor general |
| Gavin Flynn | 10.4 | 5.0 | 1.2 | 51.8% | 50.6% | Efficient scorer and three-point specialist |
| Jensy Adames | 9.6 | 3.2 | 1.4 | 42.5% | 32.4% | Defensive stopper and transition scorer |
| Cyrus Cowings | 8.0 | 5.4 | 0.5 | 47.6% | 0.0% | Interior defender and rim protector |
| Shea Basso | 6.8 | 5.0 | 1.8 | 48.8% | 40.2% | Floor spacer and complementary scorer |