Project SCC 2024

Report 2



Work done by:

João Lima nº 60350

Diogo Nunes nº 70502

Motivation

It was given to us the task to adapt and deploy the version of Tukano developed in the context of the first project assignment, using Docker and Kubernetes, as laaS facilities provided by Azure. This report's objective is to identify in what ways the goal was achieved, and explaining how it leverages the Azure laaS portfolio, by performing an evaluation of the performance.

What was achieved

In our project, we implemented:

- Deployment of application server in Minikube
- Deployment of PostgreSQL database in Minikube
- Deployment of Persistent Volume in Minikube
- Testing with artillery
- Deployment of caching service (Redis) in Minikube

Note: We ran out of funds in Azure, so we had to test the entire project with Minikube, that is why nothing is deployed in Azure.

Impact on TuKano

We will be looking at the results from both assignments, comparing them:

user_register

Azure

http.codes.400:	400
http.codes.404:	200
http.downloaded_bytes:	15200
http.request_rate:	6/sec
http.requests:	600
http.response_time:	
min:	2
max:	3446
mean:	94
median:	3
p95:	
p99:	820.7
http.response_time.4xx:	
min:	2
max:	3446

	- 1
mean:	
median:	
p95:	
p99:	820.7
http.responses:	
plugins.metrics-by-endpoint./tukano/rest/users/.c	codes.400: 200
plugins.metrics-by-endpoint./tukano/rest/users/{{	{ userId }}?pwd={{ pwd }}.co 200
plugins.metrics-by-endpoint./tukano/rest/users/{{	{ userId}}?pwd={{ pwd }}.cod 200
plugins.metrics-by-endpoint.response_time./tuka	ano/rest/users/:
min:	2
max:	356
mean:	5
median:	3
p95:	4
p99:	7
plugins.metrics-by-endpoint.response_time./tuka	ano/rest/users/{{ userId }}?pwd={{ pwd }}:
min:	
max:	10
mean:	2.8
median:	3
p95:	4
p99:	
plugins.metrics-by-endpoint.response_time./tuka	
min:	** ** ** ***
max:	
mean:	
median:	
p95:	
p99:	
vusers.completed:	
vusers.created:	
vusers.created_by_name.TuKanoWholeUserFlo	
vusers.failed:	
vusers.session_length:	
min:	102.8
max:	
mean:	
median:	
p95:	
•	
p99:	2030.2
Minikube	
http://doi.org/10.00	600
http.codes.200:	
http.downloaded_bytes:	
http.request_rate:	
http.requests:	600

http.response_time:	
min:	. 2
max:	309
mean:	7.4
median:	6
p95:	. 10.9
p99:	
http.response_time.2xx:	
min:	. 2
max:	309
mean:	7.4
median:	6
p95:	
p99:	
http.responses:	
plugins.metrics-by-endpoint./tukano-1/rest/users/.co	
plugins.metrics-by-endpoint./tukano-1/rest/users/{{ i	
plugins.metrics-by-endpoint./tukano-1/rest/users/{{ i	** * ***
plugins.metrics-by-endpoint.response_time./tukano-	** *
min:	
max:	
mean:	
median:	
p95:	
•	
p99:	
plugins.metrics-by-endpoint.response_time./tukano-	
min:	
max:	
mean:	
median:	
p95:	
p99:	
plugins.metrics-by-endpoint.response_time./tukano-	
min:	
max:	
mean:	
median:	
p95:	. 4
p99:	
vusers.completed:	200
vusers.created:	
$vusers.created_by_name.TuKanoWholeUserFlow:.$	200
vusers.failed:	0
vusers.session_length:	
min:	. 15.4
max:	365.2
mean:	26.5
median:	21.5

p95:	39.3
p99:	141.2

Conclusion

Minikube significantly outperforms Azure in handling the user_register tests, by having no error responses (400, 404), having generally lower latency and reduced variability in response times.

upload_shorts

Azure

http.codes.403:
http.codes.404:
http.downloaded_bytes: 0
http.request_rate: 6/sec
http.requests: 60
http.response_time:
min: 3
max: 429
mean: 105.3
median: 175.9
p95:
p99:
http.response_time.4xx:
min: 3
max:
mean: 105.3
median: 175.9
p95:
p99: 210.6
http.responses: 60
plugins.metrics-by-endpoint./tukano/rest/blobs/{{ blobUrl }}.codes.403: 30
plugins.metrics-by-endpoint./tukano/rest/shorts/{{ userId }}?pwd={{ pwd }}.c 30
plugins.metrics-by-endpoint.response_time./tukano/rest/blobs/{{ blobUrl }}:
min: 3
max:
mean: 18
median: 4
p95: 5
p99: 5
plugins.metrics-by-endpoint.response_time./tukano/rest/shorts/{{ userId }}?pwd={{ pwd }}:
min:

max:	211
mean:	192.5
median:	190.6
p95:	202.4
p99:	206.5
vusers.completed:	30
vusers.created:	
vusers.created_by_name.Upload short:	30
vusers.failed:	0
vusers.session_length:	
min:	188.4
max:	671.7
mean:	220
median:	202.4
p95:	228.2
p99:	232.8
Minikube	
http.codes.200:	29
http.codes.204:	
http.codes.404:	
http.downloaded_bytes:	
http.request_rate:	
http.requests:	
http.response_time:	
min:	3
max:	82
mean:	10.5
median:	6
p95:	
p99:	82.3
http.response_time.2xx:	
min:	3
max:	82
mean:	10.6
median:	6
p95:	41.7
p99:	82.3
http.response_time.4xx:	
min:	6
max:	6
mean:	6
median:	6
p95:	6
p99:	6
http.responses:	60

plugins.metrics-by-endpoint./tukano-1/rest/blobs/{{ blobUrl }}.codes.204: 30 plugins.metrics-by-endpoint./tukano-1/rest/shorts/{{ id }}?pwd={{ pwd }}.cod... 29 plugins.metrics-by-endpoint./tukano-1/rest/shorts/{{ id }}?pwd={{ pwd }}.cod... 1 plugins.metrics-by-endpoint.response_time./tukano-1/rest/blobs/{{ blobUrl }}: max: 82 mean: 8.6 median: 4 p95: 34.1 p99: 41.7 plugins.metrics-by-endpoint.response_time./tukano-1/rest/shorts/{{ id }}?pwd={{ pwd }}: min: 6 median: 7 p95: 13.1 vusers.failed: 0 vusers.session length: max: 187.2 median: 19.1 p95: 58.6 p99: 71.5

Conclusion

Like the first example, Minikube is a better alternative than Azure:

- 1. In the first assignment, there were a lot more 403 and 404 responses whereas in this one only has one 404 error.
- 2. Minikube has better performance in general, with significantly lower response times and session lengths

Note: Minikube's 204 error is caused by the files in Artillery not uploading correctly (that is why the test fails in the beginning), so when we do the download operation, it returns *No Content* but the functionality is working.

realistic_flow

Azure

	40
errors.No shorts exist yet.:	
http.codes.404:	
http.downloaded_bytes:	
http.request_rate:	
http.requests:	20
http.response_time:	
min:	
max:	983
mean:	284
median:	190.6
p95:	757.6
p99:	757.6
http.response_time.4xx:	
min:	170
max:	983
mean:	284
median:	190.6
p95:	757.6
p99:	757.6
http.responses:	20
plugins.metrics-by-endpoint./tukano/rest/shorts	
plugins.metrics-by-endpoint./tukano/rest/shorts	
plugins.metrics-by-endpoint./tukano/rest/shorts	
plugins.metrics-by-endpoint./tukano/rest/shorts	
plugins.metrics-by-endpoint./tukano/rest/shorts	**
plugins.metrics-by-endpoint.response_time./tu	
}}/likes?pwd={{ pwd }}:	
min:	175
max:	
mean:	
median:	
p95:	
p99:	
plugins.metrics-by-endpoint.response_time./tu	
	ikano/resvsnorts/{{ useriu }}/reeu!pwu-{{ pwu
}}: min:	170
min:	
max:	
mean:	
median:	
p95:	
p99:	
plugins.metrics-by-endpoint.response_time./tu	kano/rest/shorts/{{ userId }}/followers?pwd={{
pwd }}:	
min:	983
max:	983
mean:	983
median:	982.6
p95:	982.6

p99:	082.6
plugins.metrics-by-endpoint.response_time./tukane	
min:	
max:	
mean:	
median:	
p95:	
p99:	
plugins.metrics-by-endpoint.response_time./tukane	o/rest/snorts/{{ useria1 }}/{{ useria2
}}/followers?pwd={{ pwd }}:	
min:	
max:	
mean:	
median:	
p95:	175.9
p99:	
vusers.completed:	20
vusers.created:	30
vusers.created_by_name.Download short:	6
vusers.created_by_name.Follow user:	2
vusers.created_by_name.Get Short Likes:	4
vusers.created_by_name.Get User Follows:	1
vusers.created_by_name.Get User's Shorts:	6
vusers.created_by_name.Like short:	1
vusers.created_by_name.View feed:	10
vusers.failed:	
vusers.session_length:	
min:	178.5
max:	1003.4
mean:	291.6
median:	
p95:	
p99:	
poo	772.0
Minikube	
errors.Cannot read properties of undefined (readin	g 'ownerld'):9
http.codes.200:	•
http.codes.204:	
http.codes.404:	
http.downloaded_bytes:	
http.request_rate:	
http.requests:	
http.response_time:	······ - ·
min:	4
max:	10

mean: 7.2
median: 6
p95: 10.9
p99: 10.9
http.response_time.2xx:
min: 5
max: 16
mean: 7.5
median: 6
p95: 10.9
p99: 10.9
http.response_time.4xx:
min: 4
max: 5
mean: 4.5
median: 4
p95: 4
p99: 4
http.responses:
plugins.metrics-by-endpoint./tukano-1/rest/shorts/{{ id }}/feed?pwd={{ pwd } 11
plugins.metrics-by-endpoint./tukano-1/rest/shorts/{{ id }}/shorts.codes.200: 1
plugins.metrics-by-endpoint./tukano-1/rest/shorts/{{ id }}/{{ ownerId }}/lik 2
plugins.metrics-by-endpoint./tukano-1/rest/shorts/{{ userId1 }}/{{ userId2 } 7
plugins.metrics-by-endpoint.response_time./tukano-1/rest/shorts/{{ id }}/feed?pwd={{ pwd }}:
min: 5
max: 16
mean: 6.4
median: 5
p95:6
p99:6
plugins.metrics-by-endpoint.response_time./tukano-1/rest/shorts/{{ id }}/shorts:
min: 7
max: 7
mean: 7
median: 7
p95:7
p99:
plugins.metrics-by-endpoint.response_time./tukano-1/rest/shorts/{{ id }}/{{ ownerld
}}/likes?pwd={{ pwd }}:
min:
max: 5
mean: 4.5
median: 4
p95:4
p99: 4
plugins.metrics-by-endpoint.response_time./tukano-1/rest/shorts/{{ userId1 }}/{{ userId2
}}/followers?pwd={{ pwd }}:
min:

max:	11
mean:	9.3
median:	10.1
p95:	10.9
p99:	10.9
vusers.completed:	21
vusers.created:	30
vusers.created_by_name.Download short:	6
vusers.created_by_name.Follow user:	7
vusers.created_by_name.Get Short Likes:	3
vusers.created_by_name.Get User's Shorts:	1
vusers.created_by_name.Like short:	2
vusers.created_by_name.View feed:	11
vusers.failed:	9
vusers.session_length:	
min:	7.2
max:	26.7
mean:	14.1
median:	10.9
p95:	26.3
p99:	26.3

Conclusion

Same as the other two, Minikube outperforms Azure significantly. This way has less errors, with faster performance and shorter sessions, resulting in a better efficiency. We suspect that the majority of errors come from "follow" or "like" because we had some problems in the naming with @Jsonproperty that is why we have an "ownerId" error, but on postman it works.

Final Conclusion

Same as mentioned in the beginning, the objective was to compare the results of using Kubernetes. Although we know Minikube is the local version so it would have better latency than deploying to Azure, the performance analysis showed significantly better results when using Minikube. We can only conclude that Minikube resulted in a **better performance**.