Question	Procedural Programming Language Implementation	Objective Orientated Programming Language Implementation	Comparative Analysis
70-100% A	As for B plus does not contain any redundant or dead code. Indentation is appropriate and code is well commented. No errors in testing.	As for B plus does not contain any redundant or dead code. Indentation is appropriate and code is well commented. No errors in testing.	As for B plus demonstrates exceptional comprehension of theory including beyond that of core reading.
60-69% B	Code fulfils all the assignment requirements but contains redundant code and has poor/no indentation and commenting. No errors in testing.	Code fulfils all the assignment requirements but contains redundant code and has poor/no indentation and commenting. No errors in testing.	A critical analysis. Shows sound knowledge and clear understanding of concepts of comparative programming languages covered in the module. Has engaged with the literature (3 references). Analysis is supported by concrete code examples and references to the literature of comparative programming languages -concepts and constructs. Compares and contrasts both programming languages in detail - advantages/disadvantages well described.
5059% C	Code compiles and fulfils all the assignment requirements but with contains some errors on test cases.	Code compiles and fulfils all the assignment requirements but with contains some errors on test cases.	Shows evidence of relevant knowledge and understanding of comparative programming languages concepts covered in in the module. Has partially engaged with the literature (<3 references). Analysis is partially supported by relevant code examples and references to the literature of comparative programming languages - concepts and constructs Partial comparison and/or contrast between both programming languages – advantages/disadvantages partially described.
4049% D	Code compiles but only partially fulfils all the assignment requirements.	Code compiles but only partially fulfils all the assignment requirements	Reproduces basic knowledge of comparative programming languages concepts covered in in the module. Some engagement with the literature (<=1 references). Some attempt to support analysis with code examples and references to the literature. Some comparison and/or contrast between both programming languages – advantages/disadvantages mentioned briefly but not elaborated on.
<40 % Fail	Code does not compile although some attempt has been made to modify the source code to meet the task requirements. Is not an imperative programming language. No code supplied.	Code does not compile although some attempt has been made to modify the source code to meet the task requirements. Is not an object orientated programming language. No code supplied	Signs of emerging knowledge of basic concepts of comparative languages covered in the module. Some engagement with the literature (<1 references). Weak or little attempt to support analysis with references to the literature. Weak or no evidence of basic knowledge of comparative programming concepts but insufficient for progression. Some content irrelevant to assignment questions Does not compare and/or contrasts both programming languages — advantages/disadvantages not included.