

Geometric SMOTENC

A geometrically enhanced drop-in replacement for SMOTENC

Joao Fonseca^{1*}, Georgios Douzas¹, Fernando Bacao¹

¹NOVA Information Management School, Universidade Nova de Lisboa

*Corresponding Author

Postal Address: NOVA Information Management School, Campus de Campolide, 1070-312 Lisboa, Portugal

Telephone: +351 21 382 8610

This is an abstract.

1. Introduction

This is text [1].

2. Related Work

3. Motivation

4. Proposed Method

5. Methodology

5.1. Experimental Data

Table 1: Description of the datasets collected after data preprocessing. The sampling strategy is similar across datasets. Legend: (IR) Imbalance Ratio

Dataset	Metric	Non-Metric	Obs.	Min. Obs.	Maj. Obs.	IR	Classes
Abalone	1	7	4139	15	689	45.93	18
Adult	8	6	5000	1268	3732	2.94	2
Adult (10)	8	6	5000	451	4549	10.09	2
Annealing	4	6	790	34	608	17.88	4
Census	24	7	5000	337	4663	13.84	2
Contraceptive	4	5	1473	333	629	1.89	3
Contraceptive (10)	4	5	1036	62	629	10.15	3
Contraceptive (20)	4	5	990	31	629	20.29	3
Contraceptive (31)	4	5	973	20	629	31.45	3
Contraceptive (41)	4	5	966	15	629	41.93	3
Covertypes	2	10	5000	20	2449	122.45	7
Credit Approval	9	6	653	296	357	1.21	2
German Credit	13	7	1000	300	700	2.33	2
German Credit (10)	13	7	770	70	700	10.00	2
German Credit (20)	13	7	735	35	700	20.00	2
German Credit (30)	13	7	723	23	700	30.43	2
German Credit (41)	13	7	717	17	700	41.18	2
Heart Disease	5	5	740	22	357	16.23	5
Heart Disease (21)	5	5	735	17	357	21.00	5

5.2. Evaluation Measures

5.3. Machine Learning Algorithms

5.4. Experimental Procedure

5.5. Software Implementation

6. Results and Discussion

In this section we present the experimental results. We focus on the comparison of classification performance using oversamplers whose generation mechanism is compatible with datasets containing both continuous and categorical features.

6.1. Results

Table 2 presents the mean rankings of cross validation scores across the different combinations of oversamplers, metrics and classifiers. These results were calculated by assigning a ranking score for each oversampler from 1 (best) to 4 (worst) for each dataset, metric and classifier, based on the results reported in Table 7 (See Appendix).

Table 2: Mean rankings over the different datasets, folds and runs used in the experiment.

Classifier	Metric	G-SMOTENC	NONE	SMOTENC	ROS	RUS
DT	OA	1.66 ± 0.13	1.55 ± 0.21	3.16 ± 0.15	4.00 ± 0.07	4.63 ± 0.19
DT	F-Score	1.11 ± 0.07	3.21 ± 0.29	2.58 ± 0.17	3.53 ± 0.16	4.58 ± 0.19
DT	G-Mean	1.53 ± 0.20	4.89 ± 0.07	2.53 ± 0.17	2.47 ± 0.23	3.58 ± 0.23
KNN	OA	2.39 ± 0.12	1.32 ± 0.22	3.58 ± 0.15	2.97 ± 0.25	4.74 ± 0.16
KNN	F-Score	1.37 ± 0.15	3.37 ± 0.27	2.68 ± 0.20	2.95 ± 0.26	4.63 ± 0.17
KNN	G-Mean	1.74 ± 0.16	4.84 ± 0.11	2.63 ± 0.17	3.26 ± 0.25	2.53 ± 0.34
LR	OA	2.47 ± 0.14	1.32 ± 0.22	2.76 ± 0.17	3.66 ± 0.20	4.79 ± 0.16
LR	F-Score	1.89 ± 0.21	3.84 ± 0.27	2.05 ± 0.23	2.79 ± 0.24	4.42 ± 0.20
LR	G-Mean	1.97 ± 0.22	5.00 ± 0.00	3.29 ± 0.16	1.89 ± 0.16	2.84 ± 0.29
RF	OA	1.76 ± 0.09	1.24 ± 0.09	3.37 ± 0.11	3.66 ± 0.12	4.97 ± 0.03
RF	F-Score	1.26 ± 0.13	4.21 ± 0.24	2.68 ± 0.17	2.42 ± 0.21	4.42 ± 0.11
RF	G-Mean	1.68 ± 0.21	4.84 ± 0.15	2.89 ± 0.21	2.26 ± 0.22	3.32 ± 0.25

Table 3 presents the mean cross validation scores. With exception to the OA metric, G-SMOTENC either outperformed or matched the the remaining oversamplers.

Table 3: Mean scores over the different datasets, folds and runs used in the experiment

Classifier	Metric	G-SMOTENC	NONE	SMOTENC	ROS	RUS
DT	OA	0.74 ± 0.04	0.75 ± 0.04	0.68 ± 0.04	0.66 ± 0.04	0.58 ± 0.04
DT	F-Score	0.56 ± 0.04	0.52 ± 0.04	0.54 ± 0.04	0.52 ± 0.04	0.48 ± 0.04
DT	G-Mean	0.69 ± 0.02	0.60 ± 0.02	0.68 ± 0.03	0.67 ± 0.03	0.65 ± 0.03
KNN	OA	0.69 ± 0.04	0.73 ± 0.05	0.67 ± 0.04	0.69 ± 0.05	0.57 ± 0.04
KNN	F-Score	0.53 ± 0.04	0.50 ± 0.04	0.52 ± 0.04	0.52 ± 0.04	0.46 ± 0.04
KNN	G-Mean	0.66 ± 0.03	0.58 ± 0.02	0.64 ± 0.03	0.62 ± 0.03	0.65 ± 0.03
LR	OA	0.68 ± 0.04	0.75 ± 0.04	0.68 ± 0.05	0.66 ± 0.04	0.58 ± 0.04
LR	F-Score	0.54 ± 0.04	0.52 ± 0.04	0.54 ± 0.04	0.53 ± 0.04	0.48 ± 0.04

Continued on next page

Table 3: Mean scores over the different datasets, folds and runs used in the experiment

Classifier	Metric	G-SMOTENC	NONE	SMOTENC	ROS	RUS
LR	G-Mean	0.69 \pm 0.02	0.60 \pm 0.02	0.68 \pm 0.02	0.69 \pm 0.02	0.67 \pm 0.03
RF	OA	0.74 \pm 0.04	0.76 \pm 0.04	0.69 \pm 0.04	0.69 \pm 0.04	0.59 \pm 0.04
RF	F-Score	0.57 \pm 0.04	0.48 \pm 0.04	0.55 \pm 0.04	0.55 \pm 0.04	0.49 \pm 0.04
RF	G-Mean	0.70 \pm 0.02	0.57 \pm 0.02	0.68 \pm 0.03	0.69 \pm 0.03	0.68 \pm 0.03

6.2. Statistical Analysis

The statistical analysis was developed using exclusively imbalance-appropriate metrics: F-Score and G-Mean.

Table 4: Results for Friedman test. Statistical significance is tested at a level of $\alpha = 0.05$. The null hypothesis is that there is no difference in the classification outcome across oversamplers.

Classifier	Metric	p-value	Significance
DT	F-Score	3.6e-10	True
DT	G-Mean	3.4e-10	True
KNN	F-Score	1.5e-08	True
KNN	G-Mean	2.5e-08	True
LR	F-Score	1.7e-07	True
LR	G-Mean	6.9e-10	True
RF	F-Score	9.8e-11	True
RF	G-Mean	6.7e-09	True

Table 5: Results for Wilcoxon signed-rank method. Statistical significance is tested at a level of $\alpha = 0.05$. The null hypothesis is that the performance of the proposed oversampler is similar to the remaining oversamplers.

Dataset	NONE	RAND-OVER	RAND-UNDER	SMOTENC
Abalone	1.6e-02	7.8e-03	7.8e-03	7.8e-03
Adult	2.3e-02	1.5e-01	4.6e-01	7.8e-03
Adult (10)	3.9e-02	1.1e-01	2.0e-01	3.9e-02
Annealing	7.8e-03	1.5e-01	7.8e-03	7.8e-03
Census	7.8e-03	3.9e-02	2.0e-01	1.1e-01
Contraceptive	1.6e-02	7.8e-03	3.9e-02	7.8e-03
Contraceptive (10)	7.8e-03	1.6e-02	7.8e-03	2.3e-02
Contraceptive (20)	7.8e-03	7.8e-02	7.8e-03	1.6e-02
Contraceptive (31)	7.8e-03	7.8e-02	7.8e-03	1.6e-02
Contraceptive (41)	7.8e-03	1.5e-01	7.8e-03	2.5e-01
Coverttype	1.6e-02	1.0e+00	7.8e-03	6.4e-01
Credit Approval	2.3e-02	1.5e-01	3.9e-02	1.8e-02
German Credit	7.8e-03	2.5e-01	1.6e-02	1.1e-01
German Credit (10)	1.6e-02	8.4e-01	6.4e-01	1.6e-02

Continued on next page

Table 5: Results for Wilcoxon signed-rank method. Statistical significance is tested at a level of $\alpha = 0.05$. The null hypothesis is that the performance of the proposed oversampler is similar to the remaining oversamplers.

Dataset	NONE	RAND-OVER	RAND-UNDER	SMOTENC
German Credit (20)	7.8e-03	1.5e-01	1.1e-01	2.3e-02
German Credit (30)	7.8e-03	3.9e-02	7.8e-02	1.6e-02
German Credit (41)	7.8e-03	7.8e-03	2.3e-02	3.9e-02
Heart Disease	7.8e-03	1.6e-02	7.8e-03	7.8e-03
Heart Disease (21)	7.8e-03	7.8e-03	7.8e-03	7.8e-03

Table 6: Adjusted p-values using the Holm-Bonferroni test. Statistical significance is tested at a level of $\alpha = 0.05$. The null hypothesis is that the benchmark methods perform similarly compared to the control method (G-SMOTENC).

Classifier	Metric	NONE	RAND-OVER	RAND-UNDER	SMOTENC
DT	F-Score	1.0e-04	5.5e-06	1.0e-06	1.0e-04
DT	G-Mean	4.5e-07	2.8e-02	2.9e-04	1.8e-03
KNN	F-Score	6.4e-04	7.2e-04	6.4e-04	2.2e-04
KNN	G-Mean	1.3e-05	6.5e-03	2.0e-01	9.6e-03
LR	F-Score	3.0e-03	6.2e-03	2.9e-04	6.1e-01
LR	G-Mean	1.3e-07	8.6e-01	2.4e-01	3.0e-04
RF	F-Score	1.4e-06	4.0e-03	1.4e-06	1.6e-04
RF	G-Mean	3.1e-06	2.5e-01	2.3e-02	8.8e-03

6.3. Discussion

7. Conclusion

References

- [1] N. V. Chawla, K. W. Bowyer, L. O. Hall, and W. P. Kegelmeyer, “SMOTE: Synthetic Minority Over-sampling Technique,” *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research*, vol. 16, pp. 321–357, jun 2002.

A. Appendix

Table 7: Wide optimal results

Dataset	Classifier	Metric	G-SMOTENC	NONE	SMOTENC	ROS	RUS
Abalone	DT	OA	0.221	0.256	0.190	0.203	0.207
Abalone	DT	F-Score	0.168	0.170	0.156	0.154	0.132
Abalone	DT	G-Mean	0.460	0.413	0.445	0.457	0.421
Abalone	KNN	OA	0.215	0.237	0.186	0.197	0.188
Abalone	KNN	F-Score	0.167	0.157	0.150	0.151	0.140
Abalone	KNN	G-Mean	0.429	0.391	0.409	0.397	0.421
Abalone	LR	OA	0.235	0.272	0.228	0.229	0.195
Abalone	LR	F-Score	0.189	0.180	0.186	0.179	0.166
Abalone	LR	G-Mean	0.473	0.415	0.466	0.456	0.441
Abalone	RF	OA	0.237	0.276	0.221	0.224	0.197
Abalone	RF	F-Score	0.194	0.174	0.180	0.184	0.162
Abalone	RF	G-Mean	0.486	0.416	0.461	0.465	0.448
Adult	DT	OA	0.830	0.835	0.785	0.800	0.785
Adult	DT	F-Score	0.767	0.763	0.754	0.755	0.744
Adult	DT	G-Mean	0.809	0.747	0.808	0.806	0.801
Adult	KNN	OA	0.786	0.805	0.781	0.763	0.761
Adult	KNN	F-Score	0.738	0.732	0.735	0.718	0.728
Adult	KNN	G-Mean	0.766	0.724	0.762	0.757	0.780
Adult	LR	OA	0.803	0.839	0.803	0.804	0.801
Adult	LR	F-Score	0.768	0.773	0.767	0.771	0.769
Adult	LR	G-Mean	0.813	0.758	0.805	0.815	0.815
Adult	RF	OA	0.820	0.832	0.757	0.755	0.753
Adult	RF	F-Score	0.769	0.739	0.727	0.729	0.728
Adult	RF	G-Mean	0.796	0.711	0.787	0.797	0.797
Adult (10)	DT	OA	0.930	0.928	0.822	0.789	0.775
Adult (10)	DT	F-Score	0.711	0.708	0.656	0.641	0.630
Adult (10)	DT	G-Mean	0.812	0.663	0.807	0.815	0.808
Adult (10)	KNN	OA	0.864	0.909	0.854	0.851	0.745
Adult (10)	KNN	F-Score	0.667	0.652	0.658	0.648	0.602
Adult (10)	KNN	G-Mean	0.745	0.629	0.747	0.722	0.783
Adult (10)	LR	OA	0.836	0.925	0.837	0.815	0.791
Adult (10)	LR	F-Score	0.666	0.705	0.667	0.663	0.647
Adult (10)	LR	G-Mean	0.804	0.663	0.787	0.811	0.814
Adult (10)	RF	OA	0.899	0.924	0.773	0.763	0.743
Adult (10)	RF	F-Score	0.718	0.615	0.620	0.624	0.610
Adult (10)	RF	G-Mean	0.809	0.579	0.786	0.806	0.806
Annealing	DT	OA	0.824	0.843	0.742	0.733	0.694
Annealing	DT	F-Score	0.736	0.643	0.732	0.724	0.683
Annealing	DT	G-Mean	0.914	0.738	0.909	0.906	0.880
Annealing	KNN	OA	0.849	0.847	0.829	0.854	0.508
Annealing	KNN	F-Score	0.780	0.724	0.747	0.783	0.476
Annealing	KNN	G-Mean	0.901	0.781	0.867	0.909	0.814
Annealing	LR	OA	0.572	0.814	0.573	0.566	0.510
Annealing	LR	F-Score	0.620	0.540	0.617	0.615	0.496
Annealing	LR	G-Mean	0.851	0.663	0.843	0.848	0.811
Annealing	RF	OA	0.868	0.868	0.729	0.733	0.637
Annealing	RF	F-Score	0.800	0.644	0.730	0.736	0.641

Continued on next page

Table 7: Wide optimal results

Dataset	Classifier	Metric	G-SMOTENC	NONE	SMOTENC	ROS	RUS
Annealing	RF	G-Mean	0.917	0.727	0.904	0.910	0.873
Census	DT	OA	0.942	0.943	0.894	0.844	0.795
Census	DT	F-Score	0.733	0.731	0.693	0.652	0.617
Census	DT	G-Mean	0.813	0.698	0.800	0.814	0.817
Census	KNN	OA	0.874	0.933	0.867	0.878	0.731
Census	KNN	F-Score	0.652	0.648	0.655	0.640	0.567
Census	KNN	G-Mean	0.767	0.620	0.768	0.733	0.794
Census	LR	OA	0.940	0.949	0.938	0.940	0.815
Census	LR	F-Score	0.760	0.743	0.760	0.762	0.639
Census	LR	G-Mean	0.807	0.707	0.782	0.801	0.837
Census	RF	OA	0.876	0.933	0.819	0.740	0.714
Census	RF	F-Score	0.679	0.483	0.636	0.580	0.562
Census	RF	G-Mean	0.827	0.500	0.818	0.822	0.814
Contraceptive	DT	OA	0.563	0.538	0.537	0.512	0.525
Contraceptive	DT	F-Score	0.549	0.518	0.529	0.507	0.520
Contraceptive	DT	G-Mean	0.661	0.630	0.646	0.630	0.641
Contraceptive	KNN	OA	0.465	0.478	0.455	0.435	0.468
Contraceptive	KNN	F-Score	0.460	0.462	0.450	0.432	0.461
Contraceptive	KNN	G-Mean	0.588	0.580	0.579	0.566	0.590
Contraceptive	LR	OA	0.515	0.514	0.514	0.510	0.510
Contraceptive	LR	F-Score	0.512	0.492	0.509	0.505	0.506
Contraceptive	LR	G-Mean	0.635	0.604	0.631	0.628	0.627
Contraceptive	RF	OA	0.553	0.557	0.540	0.534	0.526
Contraceptive	RF	F-Score	0.545	0.524	0.535	0.529	0.522
Contraceptive	RF	G-Mean	0.659	0.634	0.653	0.649	0.643
Contraceptive (10)	DT	OA	0.645	0.645	0.568	0.528	0.487
Contraceptive (10)	DT	F-Score	0.479	0.452	0.478	0.454	0.414
Contraceptive (10)	DT	G-Mean	0.644	0.584	0.648	0.637	0.610
Contraceptive (10)	KNN	OA	0.524	0.570	0.508	0.495	0.451
Contraceptive (10)	KNN	F-Score	0.419	0.404	0.410	0.404	0.368
Contraceptive (10)	KNN	G-Mean	0.576	0.529	0.561	0.569	0.561
Contraceptive (10)	LR	OA	0.516	0.622	0.506	0.489	0.476
Contraceptive (10)	LR	F-Score	0.431	0.375	0.426	0.425	0.411
Contraceptive (10)	LR	G-Mean	0.619	0.526	0.609	0.624	0.618
Contraceptive (10)	RF	OA	0.648	0.651	0.569	0.550	0.494
Contraceptive (10)	RF	F-Score	0.500	0.387	0.473	0.471	0.425
Contraceptive (10)	RF	G-Mean	0.656	0.542	0.639	0.650	0.625
Contraceptive (20)	DT	OA	0.671	0.659	0.612	0.556	0.456
Contraceptive (20)	DT	F-Score	0.475	0.430	0.459	0.428	0.371
Contraceptive (20)	DT	G-Mean	0.643	0.570	0.626	0.632	0.605
Contraceptive (20)	KNN	OA	0.556	0.600	0.529	0.541	0.442
Contraceptive (20)	KNN	F-Score	0.399	0.375	0.384	0.389	0.345
Contraceptive (20)	KNN	G-Mean	0.565	0.519	0.544	0.537	0.549
Contraceptive (20)	LR	OA	0.506	0.641	0.508	0.486	0.440
Contraceptive (20)	LR	F-Score	0.397	0.375	0.397	0.389	0.358
Contraceptive (20)	LR	G-Mean	0.608	0.523	0.604	0.613	0.585
Contraceptive (20)	RF	OA	0.668	0.674	0.588	0.562	0.475
Contraceptive (20)	RF	F-Score	0.473	0.384	0.450	0.436	0.389

Continued on next page

Table 7: Wide optimal results

Dataset	Classifier	Metric	G-SMOTENC	NONE	SMOTENC	ROS	RUS
Contraceptive (20)	RF	G-Mean	0.659	0.535	0.641	0.670	0.633
Contraceptive (31)	DT	OA	0.667	0.670	0.608	0.604	0.440
Contraceptive (31)	DT	F-Score	0.454	0.441	0.438	0.453	0.346
Contraceptive (31)	DT	G-Mean	0.642	0.577	0.605	0.655	0.592
Contraceptive (31)	KNN	OA	0.563	0.633	0.545	0.550	0.405
Contraceptive (31)	KNN	F-Score	0.403	0.385	0.384	0.378	0.298
Contraceptive (31)	KNN	G-Mean	0.574	0.527	0.544	0.531	0.511
Contraceptive (31)	LR	OA	0.500	0.656	0.508	0.483	0.423
Contraceptive (31)	LR	F-Score	0.379	0.376	0.379	0.374	0.336
Contraceptive (31)	LR	G-Mean	0.597	0.523	0.579	0.585	0.580
Contraceptive (31)	RF	OA	0.681	0.683	0.608	0.583	0.442
Contraceptive (31)	RF	F-Score	0.450	0.378	0.434	0.435	0.349
Contraceptive (31)	RF	G-Mean	0.647	0.531	0.630	0.640	0.600
Contraceptive (41)	DT	OA	0.651	0.666	0.588	0.566	0.433
Contraceptive (41)	DT	F-Score	0.459	0.426	0.408	0.409	0.336
Contraceptive (41)	DT	G-Mean	0.622	0.573	0.579	0.589	0.555
Contraceptive (41)	KNN	OA	0.563	0.611	0.546	0.538	0.395
Contraceptive (41)	KNN	F-Score	0.393	0.373	0.381	0.370	0.289
Contraceptive (41)	KNN	G-Mean	0.542	0.515	0.550	0.526	0.515
Contraceptive (41)	LR	OA	0.525	0.658	0.524	0.504	0.435
Contraceptive (41)	LR	F-Score	0.389	0.375	0.393	0.387	0.336
Contraceptive (41)	LR	G-Mean	0.606	0.520	0.604	0.627	0.569
Contraceptive (41)	RF	OA	0.665	0.681	0.598	0.588	0.415
Contraceptive (41)	RF	F-Score	0.444	0.378	0.418	0.429	0.323
Contraceptive (41)	RF	G-Mean	0.612	0.528	0.616	0.616	0.566
Covertypes	DT	OA	0.580	0.705	0.587	0.567	0.450
Covertypes	DT	F-Score	0.484	0.490	0.481	0.475	0.361
Covertypes	DT	G-Mean	0.769	0.671	0.758	0.758	0.700
Covertypes	KNN	OA	0.690	0.700	0.683	0.699	0.454
Covertypes	KNN	F-Score	0.532	0.457	0.535	0.561	0.367
Covertypes	KNN	G-Mean	0.745	0.642	0.753	0.763	0.691
Covertypes	LR	OA	0.637	0.721	0.640	0.611	0.472
Covertypes	LR	F-Score	0.516	0.507	0.526	0.492	0.353
Covertypes	LR	G-Mean	0.792	0.678	0.786	0.790	0.697
Covertypes	RF	OA	0.598	0.704	0.583	0.587	0.485
Covertypes	RF	F-Score	0.517	0.360	0.507	0.519	0.394
Covertypes	RF	G-Mean	0.800	0.572	0.799	0.804	0.737
Credit Approval	DT	OA	0.867	0.847	0.862	0.861	0.865
Credit Approval	DT	F-Score	0.867	0.845	0.862	0.861	0.865
Credit Approval	DT	G-Mean	0.874	0.848	0.869	0.867	0.872
Credit Approval	KNN	OA	0.870	0.865	0.868	0.870	0.865
Credit Approval	KNN	F-Score	0.869	0.864	0.867	0.869	0.864
Credit Approval	KNN	G-Mean	0.871	0.865	0.868	0.871	0.866
Credit Approval	LR	OA	0.873	0.868	0.871	0.874	0.873
Credit Approval	LR	F-Score	0.873	0.868	0.871	0.874	0.873
Credit Approval	LR	G-Mean	0.877	0.873	0.877	0.879	0.878
Credit Approval	RF	OA	0.876	0.877	0.871	0.868	0.868
Credit Approval	RF	F-Score	0.876	0.877	0.871	0.868	0.868

Continued on next page

Table 7: Wide optimal results

Dataset	Classifier	Metric	G-SMOTENC	NONE	SMOTENC	ROS	RUS
Credit Approval	RF	G-Mean	0.879	0.879	0.876	0.872	0.873
German Credit	DT	OA	0.704	0.713	0.702	0.660	0.644
German Credit	DT	F-Score	0.662	0.608	0.654	0.633	0.623
German Credit	DT	G-Mean	0.681	0.608	0.667	0.663	0.660
German Credit	KNN	OA	0.681	0.718	0.682	0.670	0.641
German Credit	KNN	F-Score	0.653	0.628	0.650	0.636	0.616
German Credit	KNN	G-Mean	0.675	0.621	0.668	0.656	0.642
German Credit	LR	OA	0.727	0.751	0.729	0.724	0.712
German Credit	LR	F-Score	0.695	0.681	0.697	0.697	0.686
German Credit	LR	G-Mean	0.722	0.672	0.713	0.720	0.713
German Credit	RF	OA	0.760	0.741	0.739	0.737	0.700
German Credit	RF	F-Score	0.701	0.580	0.702	0.709	0.680
German Credit	RF	G-Mean	0.715	0.588	0.716	0.730	0.719
German Credit (10)	DT	OA	0.909	0.906	0.804	0.713	0.696
German Credit (10)	DT	F-Score	0.575	0.539	0.572	0.526	0.511
German Credit (10)	DT	G-Mean	0.628	0.535	0.629	0.644	0.631
German Credit (10)	KNN	OA	0.787	0.913	0.757	0.835	0.684
German Credit (10)	KNN	F-Score	0.578	0.581	0.558	0.573	0.528
German Credit (10)	KNN	G-Mean	0.662	0.559	0.643	0.588	0.667
German Credit (10)	LR	OA	0.839	0.904	0.831	0.799	0.682
German Credit (10)	LR	F-Score	0.619	0.596	0.610	0.620	0.550
German Credit (10)	LR	G-Mean	0.683	0.578	0.675	0.716	0.722
German Credit (10)	RF	OA	0.910	0.909	0.865	0.877	0.696
German Credit (10)	RF	F-Score	0.624	0.476	0.614	0.661	0.557
German Credit (10)	RF	G-Mean	0.653	0.500	0.646	0.709	0.729
German Credit (20)	DT	OA	0.952	0.952	0.875	0.795	0.668
German Credit (20)	DT	F-Score	0.573	0.525	0.559	0.522	0.457
German Credit (20)	DT	G-Mean	0.666	0.529	0.679	0.690	0.629
German Credit (20)	KNN	OA	0.856	0.952	0.826	0.905	0.679
German Credit (20)	KNN	F-Score	0.561	0.535	0.528	0.556	0.491
German Credit (20)	KNN	G-Mean	0.692	0.527	0.635	0.570	0.709
German Credit (20)	LR	OA	0.913	0.952	0.910	0.838	0.680
German Credit (20)	LR	F-Score	0.596	0.534	0.593	0.553	0.473
German Credit (20)	LR	G-Mean	0.651	0.531	0.627	0.661	0.682
German Credit (20)	RF	OA	0.954	0.952	0.920	0.931	0.709
German Credit (20)	RF	F-Score	0.597	0.488	0.574	0.572	0.493
German Credit (20)	RF	G-Mean	0.681	0.500	0.625	0.674	0.691
German Credit (30)	DT	OA	0.968	0.963	0.885	0.856	0.628
German Credit (30)	DT	F-Score	0.558	0.509	0.526	0.506	0.413
German Credit (30)	DT	G-Mean	0.686	0.509	0.631	0.602	0.565
German Credit (30)	KNN	OA	0.902	0.968	0.849	0.935	0.697
German Credit (30)	KNN	F-Score	0.530	0.492	0.512	0.519	0.473
German Credit (30)	KNN	G-Mean	0.681	0.500	0.588	0.536	0.705
German Credit (30)	LR	OA	0.921	0.967	0.918	0.877	0.611
German Credit (30)	LR	F-Score	0.578	0.516	0.577	0.537	0.421
German Credit (30)	LR	G-Mean	0.649	0.510	0.650	0.661	0.660
German Credit (30)	RF	OA	0.968	0.968	0.942	0.954	0.705
German Credit (30)	RF	F-Score	0.592	0.492	0.563	0.589	0.474

Continued on next page

Table 7: Wide optimal results

Dataset	Classifier	Metric	G-SMOTENC	NONE	SMOTENC	ROS	RUS
German Credit (30)	RF	G-Mean	0.689	0.500	0.601	0.606	0.679
German Credit (41)	DT	OA	0.976	0.971	0.916	0.905	0.635
German Credit (41)	DT	F-Score	0.563	0.493	0.544	0.502	0.408
German Credit (41)	DT	G-Mean	0.636	0.497	0.615	0.520	0.524
German Credit (41)	KNN	OA	0.929	0.976	0.876	0.944	0.674
German Credit (41)	KNN	F-Score	0.524	0.494	0.500	0.502	0.440
German Credit (41)	KNN	G-Mean	0.593	0.500	0.558	0.516	0.630
German Credit (41)	LR	OA	0.940	0.976	0.943	0.927	0.641
German Credit (41)	LR	F-Score	0.546	0.494	0.552	0.515	0.420
German Credit (41)	LR	G-Mean	0.602	0.500	0.592	0.598	0.597
German Credit (41)	RF	OA	0.976	0.976	0.961	0.969	0.636
German Credit (41)	RF	F-Score	0.598	0.494	0.566	0.591	0.413
German Credit (41)	RF	G-Mean	0.621	0.500	0.622	0.614	0.572
Heart Disease	DT	OA	0.532	0.566	0.509	0.473	0.430
Heart Disease	DT	F-Score	0.371	0.322	0.342	0.331	0.295
Heart Disease	DT	G-Mean	0.588	0.534	0.563	0.545	0.515
Heart Disease	KNN	OA	0.538	0.564	0.535	0.534	0.504
Heart Disease	KNN	F-Score	0.363	0.287	0.360	0.352	0.341
Heart Disease	KNN	G-Mean	0.571	0.509	0.571	0.560	0.557
Heart Disease	LR	OA	0.558	0.584	0.557	0.536	0.480
Heart Disease	LR	F-Score	0.397	0.329	0.395	0.374	0.333
Heart Disease	LR	G-Mean	0.601	0.539	0.601	0.603	0.567
Heart Disease	RF	OA	0.553	0.601	0.546	0.539	0.480
Heart Disease	RF	F-Score	0.385	0.314	0.366	0.360	0.326
Heart Disease	RF	G-Mean	0.600	0.531	0.580	0.569	0.566
Heart Disease (21)	DT	OA	0.532	0.566	0.512	0.486	0.431
Heart Disease (21)	DT	F-Score	0.376	0.296	0.341	0.336	0.311
Heart Disease (21)	DT	G-Mean	0.598	0.509	0.558	0.562	0.538
Heart Disease (21)	KNN	OA	0.561	0.569	0.543	0.541	0.491
Heart Disease (21)	KNN	F-Score	0.385	0.312	0.365	0.363	0.334
Heart Disease (21)	KNN	G-Mean	0.589	0.520	0.570	0.566	0.546
Heart Disease (21)	LR	OA	0.573	0.592	0.565	0.547	0.525
Heart Disease (21)	LR	F-Score	0.408	0.331	0.405	0.387	0.343
Heart Disease (21)	LR	G-Mean	0.638	0.540	0.610	0.602	0.583
Heart Disease (21)	RF	OA	0.577	0.608	0.565	0.561	0.517
Heart Disease (21)	RF	F-Score	0.417	0.323	0.390	0.383	0.337
Heart Disease (21)	RF	G-Mean	0.621	0.536	0.596	0.593	0.567