

Π -Ware: An Embedded Hardware Description Language using Dependent Types

Author: João Paulo Pizani Flor
<joaopizani@uu.nl>

Supervisor: Wouter Swierstra
<w.s.swierstra@uu.nl>

Department of Information and Computing Sciences
Utrecht University

Tuesday 26th August, 2014

Introduction

What is Π -Ware

Background

Research Question

Research Question /
Methodology

DTP / Agda

Big picture

Agda

Π -Ware

Circuit Syntax

Semantics

Proofs

Conclusions

Summary

Future work



Universiteit Utrecht

What is Π -Ware

Introduction

What is Π -Ware

Background

Research Question

Research Question /
Methodology

DTP / Agda

Big picture

Agda

Π -Ware

Circuit Syntax

Semantics

Proofs

Conclusions

Summary

Future work



Universiteit Utrecht

What is Π -Ware

“ *Π -Ware* is a Domain-Specific Language (DSL) for hardware, embedded in the dependently-typed *Agda* programming language. It allows for the description, simulation, synthesis and verification of circuits, all in the same language.”

Introduction

What is Π -Ware

Background

Research Question

Research Question / Methodology

DTP / Agda

Big picture

Agda

Π -Ware

Circuit Syntax

Semantics

Proofs

Conclusions

Summary

Future work



Universiteit Utrecht

Background

Introduction

What is Π -Ware

Background

Research Question

Research Question /
Methodology

DTP / Agda

Big picture

Agda

Π -Ware

Circuit Syntax

Semantics

Proofs

Conclusions

Summary

Future work



Universiteit Utrecht

Hardware design is hard(er)

- ▶ Strict(er) correctness requirements
 - You can't simply *update* a full-custom chip after production
 - Intel Pentium's FDIIV
 - Expensive verification / validation
 - Up to 50% of development costs
- ▶ Low-level details (more) important
 - Layout / area
 - Power consumption / fault tolerance

Introduction

What is Π -Ware

Background

Research Question

Research Question / Methodology

DTP / Agda

Big picture

Agda

Π -Ware

Circuit Syntax

Semantics

Proofs

Conclusions

Summary

Future work



Universiteit Utrecht

Hardware design is growing

- ▶ Moore's law will still apply for some time
 - We can keep packing more transistors into same silicon area
- ▶ **But** optimizations in CPUs display diminishing returns
 - Thus, more algorithms *directly* in hardware

Introduction

What is Π -Ware

Background

Research Question

Research Question / Methodology

DTP / Agda

Big picture

Agda

Π -Ware

Circuit Syntax

Semantics

Proofs

Conclusions

Summary

Future work



Universiteit Utrecht

Hardware Description Languages

- ▶ All started in the 1980s
- ▶ *De facto* industry standards: VHDL and Verilog
- ▶ Were intended for *simulation*, not modelling or synthesis
 - *Unsynthesizable* constructs
 - Widely variable tool support

Introduction

What is Π-Ware

Background

Research Question

Research Question /
Methodology

DTP / Agda

Big picture
Agda

Π-Ware

- Circuit Syntax
- Semantics
- Proofs

Conclusions

Summary

Future work



Universiteit Utrecht

Functional Hardware Description

- ▶ A functional program describes a circuit
 - Easier to *reason* about program properties
 - Inherently *parallel* and *stateless* semantics
- ▶ Several *functional* HDLs during the 1980s
 - For example, μ FP [Sheeran, 1984]
- ▶ Later, *embedded* hardware DSLs
 - For example, Lava (Haskell) [Bjesse et al., 1998]

Introduction

What is Π -Ware

Background

Research Question

Research Question / Methodology

DTP / Agda

Big picture

Agda

Π -Ware

Circuit Syntax

Semantics

Proofs

Conclusions

Summary

Future work



Universiteit Utrecht

Embedded DSLs for Hardware

► Lava

- Simulation / Synthesis / Verification
- Limitations: almost untyped / no *size checks*

```
adder :: (Signal Bool, ([Signal Bool], [Signal Bool]))  
      -> ([Signal Bool], Signal Bool)
```

► Others:

- ForSyDe [Sander and Jantsch, 1999]
- Hawk [Launchbury et al., 1999], etc.

Introduction

What is Π -Ware

Background

Research Question

Research Question /
Methodology

DTP / Agda

Big picture
Agda

Π -Ware

Circuit Syntax
Semantics
Proofs

Conclusions

Summary
Future work



Universiteit Utrecht

Dependently-Typed Programming

- ▶ Dependent type systems: systems in which types can *depend on values*
- ▶ It makes a big difference:
 - More expressivity
 - *Certified programming*
- ▶ DTP often touted as “successor” of functional programming
 - Very well-suited for DSLs [Oury and Swierstra, 2008]

Introduction

What is Π -Ware

Background

Research Question

Research Question /
Methodology

DTP / Agda

Big picture

Agda

Π -Ware

Circuit Syntax

Semantics

Proofs

Conclusions

Summary

Future work



Universiteit Utrecht

Research Question / Methodology

Introduction

What is Π -Ware

Background

Research Question

Research Question /
Methodology

DTP / Agda

Big picture

Agda

Π -Ware

Circuit Syntax

Semantics

Proofs

Conclusions

Summary

Future work



Universiteit Utrecht

Research Question / Methodology

► **Question:**

- What are the improvements that Dependently-Typed Programming (DTP) can bring to hardware design?
 - Compared to other functional hardware languages

► **Methodology:**

- Develop a hardware DSL, *embedded* in a dependently-typed language (Agda)
 - Allowing simulation, synthesis and verification

Introduction

What is Π-Ware

Background

Research Question

Research Question /
Methodology

DTP / Agda

Big picture

Agda

Π-Ware

Circuit Syntax

Semantics

Proofs

Conclusions

Summary

Future work



Universiteit Utrecht

Big picture

Introduction

What is Π -Ware
Background

Research Question

Research Question /
Methodology

DTP / Agda

Big picture
Agda

Π -Ware

Circuit Syntax
Semantics
Proofs

Conclusions

Summary
Future work



Dependently-Typed Programming

- ▶ Type checking requires *evaluation* of functions
 - We want `Vec Bool (2 + 2)` to unify with `Vec Bool 4`
- ▶ Consequence: all functions must be *total*
- ▶ Termination checker (heuristics)
 - Structurally-decreasing recursion
 - This passes the check:

```
add : ℕ → ℕ → ℕ
add zero    y = y
add (suc x') y = suc (add x' y)
```
 - This does not:

```
silly : ℕ → ℕ
silly zero    = zero
silly (suc n') = silly [ n' /2]
```

Introduction

What is Π -Ware

Background

Research Question

Research Question /
Methodology

DTP / Agda

Big picture

Agda

Π -Ware

Circuit Syntax

Semantics

Proofs

Conclusions

Summary

Future work



Universiteit Utrecht

Dependently-Typed Programming

- ▶ Dependent pattern matching can *rule out* impossible cases

- ▶ Classic example: *safe* **head** function

head : **Vec** α (**suc** n) $\rightarrow \alpha$

head ($x :: xs$) = x

- The **only** constructor returning **Vec** α (**suc** n) is **__::__**

Introduction

What is Π -Ware

Background

Research Question

Research Question /
Methodology

DTP / Agda

Big picture

Agda

Π -Ware

Circuit Syntax

Semantics

Proofs

Conclusions

Summary

Future work



Universiteit Utrecht

Dependent types as logic

- ▶ Programming language / Theorem prover
 - Types as propositions, terms as proofs [Wadler, 2014]

- ▶ Example:

- Given the relation:

```
data __≤__ : ℕ → ℕ → Set where
  z≤n : ∀ {n}                → zero ≤ n
  s≤s  : ∀ {m n} → m ≤ n → suc m ≤ suc n
```

- Proposition:

```
twoLEQFour : 2 ≤ 4
```

- Proof:

```
twoLEQFour = s≤s (s≤s z≤n)
s≤s (s≤s (z≤n : 0 ≤ 4)) : 1 ≤ 4 : 2 ≤ 4
```

Introduction

What is Π -Ware

Background

Research Question

Research Question /
Methodology

DTP / Agda

Big picture

Agda

Π -Ware

Circuit Syntax

Semantics

Proofs

Conclusions

Summary

Future work



Universiteit Utrecht

Agda

Introduction

What is Π -Ware

Background

Research Question

Research Question /
Methodology

DTP / Agda

Big picture

Agda

Π -Ware

Circuit Syntax

Semantics

Proofs

Conclusions

Summary

Future work



Universiteit Utrecht

Agda syntax for Haskell programmers

- ▶ Liberal identifier lexing (Unicode **everywhere**)
 - $a \equiv b + c$ is a valid identifier, $a \equiv b + c$ an expression
 - Used a lot in Agda's standard library: \times , \uplus , \wedge
 - And in Π -Ware: \mathbb{C} , $\llbracket c \rrbracket$, \Downarrow , \Uparrow
- ▶ *Mixfix* notation
 - $_[_]_ := _$ is the vector update function: $v \ [\# \ 3 \] := \text{true}$.
 - $_[_]_ \ v \ (\# \ 3) \ \text{true} \iff v \ [\# \ 3 \] := \text{true}$
- ▶ Almost nothing built-in
 - $_+_ \ : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ defined in `Data.Nat`
 - $\text{if_then_else_} : \text{Bool} \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \alpha$ defined in `Data.Bool`

Introduction

What is Π -Ware

Background

Research Question

Research Question / Methodology

DTP / Agda

Big picture

Agda

Π -Ware

Circuit Syntax

Semantics

Proofs

Conclusions

Summary

Future work



Universiteit Utrecht

Agda syntax for Haskell programmers

- ▶ Implicit arguments

- Don't have to be passed if Agda can **guess** it
- Syntax: $\varepsilon : \{ \alpha : \text{Set} \} \rightarrow \text{Vec } \alpha \text{ zero}$

► “For all” syntax: $\forall n \iff (n : _)$

- Where `_` means: guess this type (based on other args)
- Example:
 - $\forall n \rightarrow \text{zero} \leq n$
 - `data < : ℕ → ℕ → Set`

- ▶ It's common to combine both:

- $\forall \{ \alpha \ n \} \rightarrow \text{Vec } \alpha \ (\text{succ } n) \rightarrow \alpha \iff$
 $\{ \alpha : \quad \} \{ n : \quad \} \rightarrow \text{Vec } \alpha \ n \rightarrow \alpha$

Introduction

What is Π-Ware

Background

Research Question

Research Question /
Methodology

DTP / Agda

Big picture

Agda

Π-Ware

Circuit Syntax

Semantics

Proofs

Conclusions

Summary

Future work



Universiteit Utrecht

Circuit Syntax

Introduction

What is Π -Ware

Background

Research Question

Research Question /
Methodology

DTP / Agda

Big picture

Agda

Π -Ware

Circuit Syntax

Semantics

Proofs

Conclusions

Summary

Future work



Universiteit Utrecht

Low-level circuits

- Structural representation
- Untyped but *sized*

data $\mathbb{C}' : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{Set}$

data \mathbb{C}' where

Nil : $\mathbb{C}' \text{ zero zero}$

Gate : $(g\# : \text{Gates\#}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}' (|\text{in}| g\#) (|\text{out}| g\#)$

Plug : $\forall \{i\ o\} \rightarrow (f : \text{Fin } o \rightarrow \text{Fin } i) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}' i\ o$

DelayLoop : $(c : \mathbb{C}' (i + l) (o + l)) \{\text{comb}'\ c\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}' i\ o$

$_ \gg' _ : \mathbb{C}' i\ m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}' m\ o \rightarrow \mathbb{C}' i\ o$

$_ |' _ : \mathbb{C}' i_1\ o_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}' i_2\ o_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}' (i_1 + i_2) (o_1 + o_2)$

$_ |+' _ : \mathbb{C}' i_1\ o \rightarrow \mathbb{C}' i_2\ o \rightarrow \mathbb{C}' (\text{succ } (i_1 \sqcup i_2))\ o$

Introduction

What is Π -Ware

Background

Research Question

Research Question / Methodology

DTP / Agda

Big picture

Agda

Π -Ware

Circuit Syntax

Semantics

Proofs

Conclusions

Summary

Future work



Universiteit Utrecht

Atoms

- ▶ How to carry values of an Agda type in *one* wire
- ▶ Defined by the `Atomic` type class in `PiWare.Atom`

`record Atomic` : `Set1` `where`
`field`

`Atom` : `Set`

`|Atom|−1` : \mathbb{N}

`n→atom` : `Fin (suc |Atom|−1)` \rightarrow `Atom`

`atom→n` : `Atom` \rightarrow `Fin (suc |Atom|−1)`

`inv−left` : $\forall i \rightarrow atom \rightarrow n (n \rightarrow atom i) \equiv i$

`inv−right` : $\forall a \rightarrow n \rightarrow atom (atom \rightarrow n a) \equiv a$

`|Atom|` = `suc |Atom|−1`

`Atom#` = `Fin |Atom|`

Introduction

What is Π -Ware

Background

Research Question

Research Question /
Methodology

DTP / Agda

Big picture

Agda

Π -Ware

Circuit Syntax

Semantics

Proofs

Conclusions

Summary

Future work



Universiteit Utrecht

Atomic instances

- ▶ Examples of types that can be Atomic
 - Bool, std_logic, other multi-valued logics
 - Predefined in the library: PiWare.Atom.Bool
- ▶ First, define how many atoms we are interested in
 - Need at least 1 (later why)

$|B|-1 = 1$

$|B| = \text{suc } |B|-1$

- ▶ Friendlier names for the indices (elements of Fin 2)

pattern False# = Fz

pattern True# = Fs Fz

Introduction

What is Π -Ware

Background

Research Question

Research Question / Methodology

DTP / Agda

Big picture

Agda

Π -Ware

Circuit Syntax

Semantics

Proofs

Conclusions

Summary

Future work



Universiteit Utrecht

Atomic instance (Bool)

- Bijection between $\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n < 2\}$ (Fin 2) and Bool

$n \rightarrow B = \lambda \{ \text{False\#} \rightarrow \text{false}; \text{True\#} \rightarrow \text{true} \}$

$B \rightarrow n = \lambda \{ \text{false} \rightarrow \text{False\#}; \text{true} \rightarrow \text{True\#} \}$

- Proof that $n \rightarrow B$ and $B \rightarrow n$ are inverses

$\text{inv-left-B} = \lambda \{ \text{False\#} \rightarrow \text{refl}; \text{True\#} \rightarrow \text{refl}; \}$

$\text{inv-right-B} = \lambda \{ \text{false} \rightarrow \text{refl}; \text{true} \rightarrow \text{refl} \}$

- With all pieces at hand, we construct the instance

$\text{Atomic-B} = \text{record} \{ \begin{array}{ll} \text{Atom} & = B \\ ; |\text{Atom}|-1 & = |B|-1 \\ ; n \rightarrow \text{atom} & = n \rightarrow B \\ ; \text{atom} \rightarrow n & = B \rightarrow n \\ ; \text{inv-left} & = \text{inv-left-B} \\ ; \text{inv-right} & = \text{inv-right-B} \end{array} \}$

Introduction

What is Π -Ware

Background

Research Question

Research Question / Methodology

DTP / Agda

Big picture

Agda

Π -Ware

Circuit Syntax

Semantics

Proofs

Conclusions

Summary

Future work



Universiteit Utrecht

Gates

- ▶ Circuits parameterized by collection of *fundamental gates*
- ▶ Examples:
 - {NOT, AND, OR} ([BoolTrio](#))
 - {NAND}
 - Arithmetic, Crypto, etc.
- ▶ The definition of what means to be such a collection is in [PiWare.Gates.Gates](#)

Introduction

What is Π-Ware

Background

Research Question

Research Question /
Methodology

DTP / Agda

Big picture

Agda

П-Ware

Circuit Syntax

Semantics

Proofs

Conclusions

Summary

Future work



Universiteit Utrecht

The Gates type class

$W : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{Set}$

$W = \text{Vec Atom}$

record Gates : Set where

field

|Gates| : \mathbb{N}

|in| |out| : Fin |Gates| $\rightarrow \mathbb{N}$

spec : $(g : \text{Fin } |Gates|) \rightarrow (W \text{ (|in| } g) \rightarrow W \text{ (|out| } g))$

Gates# = Fin |Gates|

Introduction

What is Π -Ware

Background

Research Question

Research Question / Methodology

DTP / Agda

Big picture

Agda

Π -Ware

Circuit Syntax

Semantics

Proofs

Conclusions

Summary

Future work



Universiteit Utrecht

Gates instances

- ▶ Example: `PiWare.Gates.BoolTrio`
- ▶ First, how many gates are there in the library

`|BoolTrio| = 5`

- ▶ Then the friendlier names for the indices

```
pattern FalseConst# = Fz
pattern TrueConst#  = Fs Fz
pattern Not#        = Fs (Fs Fz)
pattern And#        = Fs (Fs (Fs Fz))
pattern Or#         = Fs (Fs (Fs (Fs Fz)))
```

Introduction

What is Π -Ware

Background

Research Question

Research Question /
Methodology

DTP / Agda

Big picture

Agda

Π -Ware

Circuit Syntax

Semantics

Proofs

Conclusions

Summary

Future work



Universiteit Utrecht

Gates instance (BoolTrio)

- Defining the *interfaces* of the gates

|in| FalseConst# = 0

|in| TrueConst# = 0

|in| Not# = 1

|in| And# = 2

|in| Or# = 2

|out| _ = 1

- And the specification function for each gate

spec-false _ = [false]

spec-true _ = [true]

spec-not $(x :: \varepsilon)$ = [not x]

spec-and $(x :: y :: \varepsilon)$ = [$x \wedge y$]

spec-or $(x :: y :: \varepsilon)$ = [$x \vee y$]

Introduction

What is Π -Ware

Background

Research

Question

Research Question /
Methodology

DTP / Agda

Big picture

Agda

Π -Ware

Circuit Syntax

Semantics

Proofs

Conclusions

Summary

Future work



Universiteit Utrecht

Gates instance (BoolTrio)

- Mapping each gate index to its respective specification

```
specs-BoolTrio FalseConst# = spec-false
```

```
specs-BoolTrio TrueConst# = spec-true
```

$$\text{specs} - \text{BoolTrio } \text{Not\#} = \text{spec} - \text{not}$$
$$\text{specs-BoolTrio } \text{And\#} = \text{spec-and}$$

```
specs-BoolTrio Or# = spec-or
```

- With all pieces at hand, we construct the instance

BoolTrio : Gates

```

BoolTrio = record { |Gates| = |BoolTrio|
                    ; |in|    = |in|
                    ; |out|   = |out|
                    ; spec    = specs-BoolTrio }

```

Introduction

What is Π-Ware

Background

Research Question

Research Question /
Methodology

DTP / Agda

Big picture

Agda

Π-Ware

Circuit Syntax

Semantics

Proofs

Conclusions

Summary

Future work



Universiteit Utrecht

High-level circuits

- ▶ User is not supposed to describe circuits at low level (\mathbb{C}')
- ▶ The high level circuit type (\mathbb{C}) allows for *typed* circuit interfaces

- Input and output indices are Agda types

```
data C (α β : Set) {i j : ℕ} : Set where
  MkC : { [ sα : ↓W↑ α {i} ] [ sβ : ↓W↑ β {j} ] }
        → C' i j → C α β {i} {j}
```

- ▶ **MkC** takes:

- Low level description (\mathbb{C}')
- Information on how to *synthesize* elements of α and β
 - Passed as *instance arguments* (class constraints)

Introduction

What is Π -Ware

Background

Research Question

Research Question /
Methodology

DTP / Agda

Big picture

Agda

Π -Ware

Circuit Syntax

Semantics

Proofs

Conclusions

Summary

Future work



Universiteit Utrecht

Synthesizable

- ▶ $\Downarrow W \Uparrow$ type class (pronounced Synthesizable)
 - Describes how to synthesize a given Agda type (α)
 - Two fields: from element of α to a *word* and back

```
record  $\Downarrow W \Uparrow$  ( $\alpha$  : Set) {i :  $\mathbb{N}$ } : Set where
  constructor  $\Downarrow W \Uparrow$ [_ , _]
  field
```

$$\Downarrow : \alpha \rightarrow W\ i$$
$$\Uparrow : W\ i \rightarrow \alpha$$

Introduction

What is Π -Ware

Background

Research Question

Research Question /
Methodology

DTP / Agda

Big picture

Agda

Π -Ware

Circuit Syntax

Semantics

Proofs

Conclusions

Summary

Future work



Universiteit Utrecht

$\Downarrow W \Uparrow$ instances

- ▶ Any *finite* type can have such an instance
- ▶ Predefined in the library: `Bool`; `_×_`; `_⊔_`; `Vec`
- ▶ Example: instance for products (`_×_`)

$$\Downarrow W \Uparrow - \times : \{ \mid s\alpha : \Downarrow W \Uparrow \alpha \{i\} \} \{ \mid s\beta : \Downarrow W \Uparrow \beta \{j\} \} \} \\ \rightarrow \Downarrow W \Uparrow (\alpha \times \beta)$$

$$\Downarrow W \Uparrow - \times \{ \mid s\alpha \} \{ \mid s\beta \} = \Downarrow W \Uparrow [\text{down} , \text{up}]$$

where $\text{down} : (\alpha \times \beta) \rightarrow W (i + j)$
 $\text{down } (a , b) = (\Downarrow a) ++ (\Downarrow b)$

$$\text{up} : W (i + j) \rightarrow (\alpha \times \beta)$$

$$\text{up } w \text{ with splitAt } i \ w$$

$$\text{up } .(\Downarrow a ++ \Downarrow b) \mid \Downarrow a , \Downarrow b , \text{refl} = \Uparrow \Downarrow a , \Uparrow \Downarrow b$$

Introduction

What is Π -Ware

Background

Research

Question

Research Question /
Methodology

DTP / Agda

Big picture

Agda

Π -Ware

Circuit Syntax

Semantics

Proofs

Conclusions

Summary

Future work



Synthesizable

- ▶ Both fields \Downarrow and \Uparrow should be inverses of each other
 - Due to how high-level simulation is defined using \Downarrow and \Uparrow
- ▶ Not enforced as a field of of $\Downarrow W \Uparrow$
 - Too big of a proof burden while quick prototyping

Introduction

What is Π-Ware

Background

Research Question

Research Question /
Methodology

DTP / Agda

Big picture

Agda

П-Ware

Circuit Syntax

Semantics

Proofs

Conclusions

Summary

Future work



Universiteit Utrecht

Semantics

Introduction

What is Π -Ware
Background

Research Question

Research Question /
Methodology

DTP / Agda

Big picture
Agda

Π -Ware

Circuit Syntax
Semantics
Proofs

Conclusions

Summary
Future work




Synthesis semantics

- ▶ Netlist: digraph with *gates* as nodes and *buses* as edges
- ▶ Synthesis semantics: given netlists of subcircuits, build combination

Nil : \mathbb{C} 0 0

$$\frac{i\ o : \mathbb{N} \quad f : \text{Fin } o \rightarrow \text{Fin } i}{\text{Plug } f : \mathbb{C} \ i\ o}$$

$$\frac{g\# : \text{Gate}\#}{\text{Gate } g\# : \mathbb{C} \ (\text{ins } g\#) \ (\text{outs } g\#)}$$

$$\frac{c : \mathbb{C} \ (i+1) \ (o+1)}{\text{DelayLoop} : \mathbb{C} \ i\ o}$$


Introduction

What is Π -Ware

Background

Research Question

Research Question / Methodology

DTP / Agda

Big picture

Agda

Π -Ware

Circuit Syntax

Semantics

Proofs


Conclusions

Summary


Future work

Synthesis semantics


$$\frac{c_1 : \mathbb{C} \text{ i m} \quad c_2 : \mathbb{C} \text{ m o}}{c_1 \gg' c_2 : \mathbb{C} \text{ i o}}$$



$$\frac{c_1 : \mathbb{C} \text{ i}_1 \text{ o}_1 \quad c_2 : \mathbb{C} \text{ i}_2 \text{ o}_2}{c_1 \mid' c_2 : \mathbb{C} (i_1 + i_2) (o_1 + o_2)}$$



$$\frac{c_1 : \mathbb{C} \text{ i}_1 \text{ o} \quad c_2 : \mathbb{C} \text{ i}_2 \text{ o}}{c_1 \mid + c_2 : \mathbb{C} (1 + (i_1 \sqcup i_2)) \text{ o}}$$



Introduction

What is Π -Ware

Background

Research Question

Research Question / Methodology

DTP / Agda

Big picture

Agda

Π -Ware

Circuit Syntax

Semantics

Proofs

Conclusions

Summary

Future work



Universiteit Utrecht

Synthesis semantics

Missing “pieces”:

► Adapt Atomic

- New field: a **VHDLTypeDecl**
 - Such as: **type** ident **is** (elem1, elem2);
 - Enumerations, integers (ranges), records.
- New field: **atomVHDL** : **Atom#** → **VHDLExpr**

► Adapt Gates

- For each gate, a corresponding **VHDLEntity**
- **netlist** : $(g\# : \text{Gates\#}) \rightarrow \text{VHDLEntity} (|in| \ g\#) (|out| \ g\#)$
 - The VHDL entity has the *interface* of corresponding gate

Introduction

What is Π-Ware

Background

Research Question

Research Question /
Methodology

DTP / Agda

Big picture

Agda

П-Ware

Circuit Syntax

Semantics

Proofs

Conclusions

Summary

Future work



Universiteit Utrecht

Combinational simulation (excerpt)

$$\llbracket _ \rrbracket' : \forall \{i\ o\} \rightarrow (c : \mathbb{C}'\ i\ o) \{p : \text{comb}'\ c\} \rightarrow (\mathbb{W}\ i \rightarrow \mathbb{W}\ o)$$
$$[\text{Nil}]' = \text{const } \varepsilon$$
$$\llbracket \text{Gate } g^\# \rrbracket' = \text{spec } g^\#$$
$$[\![\text{Plug } p \]\!] = \text{plugOutputs } p$$
$$\llbracket \text{DelayLoop } c \rrbracket' \{ () \} v$$
$$\llbracket c_1 \gg' c_2 \rrbracket' \{p_1, p_2\} = \llbracket c_2 \rrbracket' \{p_2\} \circ \llbracket c_1 \rrbracket' \{p_1\}$$
$$\llbracket _+ ' _ \{i_1\} \ c_1 \ c_2 \rrbracket' \{p_1, p_2\} =$$

$$\llbracket \llbracket c_1 \rrbracket' \{p_1\}, \llbracket c_2 \rrbracket' \{p_2\} \rrbracket' \circ \text{untag } \{i_1\}$$

► Remarks:

- Proof requires c to be combinational
- **Gate** case uses specification function
- **DelayLoop** case can be *discharged*

Introduction

What is Π-Ware

Background

Research Question

Research Question / Methodology

DTP / Agda

Big picture

Agda

Π-Ware

Circuit Syntax

Semantics

Proofs

Conclusions

Summary

Future work



Universiteit Utrecht

Causal stream functions

Solution: sequential simulation based on *causal* stream function

Some definitions:

- ▶ Causal context: past + present values

$$\Gamma c : (\alpha : \text{Set}) \rightarrow \text{Set}$$

$$\Gamma c \alpha = \alpha \times \text{List } \alpha$$

- ▶ Causal stream function: produces **one** (current) output

$$_ \Rightarrow^c _ : (\alpha \beta : \text{Set}) \rightarrow \text{Set}$$

$$\alpha \Rightarrow^c \beta = \Gamma c \alpha \rightarrow \beta$$

Introduction

What is Π -Ware

Background

Research Question

Research Question / Methodology

DTP / Agda

Big picture

Agda

Π -Ware

Circuit Syntax

Semantics

Proofs

Conclusions

Summary

Future work



Universiteit Utrecht

Sequential simulation

- ▶ We can then “run” the step-by-step function to produce a whole **Stream**

- Idea from “The Essence of Dataflow Programming” [Uustalu and Vene, 2005]

$\text{runc}' : (\alpha \Rightarrow_{\mathbf{c}} \beta) \rightarrow (\Gamma_{\mathbf{c}} \alpha \times \text{Stream } \alpha) \rightarrow \text{Stream } \beta$

$\text{runc}' f ((x^0, x^-), (x^1 :: x^+)) =$
 $f (x^0, x^-) :: \# \text{runc}' f ((x^1, x^0 :: x^-), \mathbf{b} x^+)$

$\text{runc} : (\alpha \Rightarrow_{\mathbf{c}} \beta) \rightarrow (\text{Stream } \alpha \rightarrow \text{Stream } \beta)$

$\text{runc} f (x^0 :: x^+) = \text{runc}' f ((x^0, []), \mathbf{b} x^+)$

- ▶ Obtaining the stream-based simulation function:

$\llbracket _ \rrbracket *' : \forall \{i \ o\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}' \ i \ o \rightarrow (\text{Stream } (\mathbf{W} \ i) \rightarrow \text{Stream } (\mathbf{W} \ o))$

$\llbracket _ \rrbracket *' = \text{runc} \circ \llbracket _ \rrbracket_{\mathbf{c}}$

Introduction

What is Π -Ware

Background

Research Question

Research Question / Methodology

DTP / Agda

Big picture

Agda

Π -Ware

Circuit Syntax

Semantics

Proofs

Conclusions

Summary

Future work



Universiteit Utrecht

Proofs

Introduction

What is Π-Ware

Background

Research Question

Research Question / Methodology

DTP / Agda

Big picture

Agda

Π-Ware

Circuit Syntax

Semantics

Proofs

Conclusions

Summary

Future work



Properties of circuits

- ▶ Tests and proofs about circuits depend on the *semantics*
 - We focused on the functional simulation semantics
 - Other possibilities (gate count, critical path, etc.)
- ▶ Very simple sample circuit to illustrate: XOR

Introduction

What is Π-Ware

Background

Research Question

Research Question / Methodology

DTP / Agda

Big picture

Agda

Π-Ware

Circuit Syntax

Semantics

Proofs

Conclusions


Summary

Future work



Universiteit Utrecht

Sample circuit: XOR



$\underline{v} C : C (B \times B) B$

$\underline{v} C = \text{pFork} x$

$\gg (\neg C \parallel id C \gg \wedge C) \parallel (id C \parallel \neg C \gg \wedge C)$

$\gg v C$

Introduction

What is Π -Ware

Background

Research

Question

Research Question /
Methodology

DTP / Agda

Big picture

Agda

Π -Ware

Circuit Syntax

Semantics

Proofs

Conclusions

Summary

Future work



Universiteit Utrecht

Specification of XOR

- ▶ To define *correctness* we need a *specification function*
 - Listing all possibilities (truth table)
 - Based on pre-existing functions (standard library)
- ▶ Truth table

$\underline{\text{VC}}\text{-spec-table} : (B \times B) \rightarrow B$

$\underline{\text{VC}}\text{-spec-table} \text{ (false , false) } = \text{false}$

$\underline{\text{VC}}\text{-spec-table} \text{ (false , true) } = \text{true}$

$\underline{\text{VC}}\text{-spec-table} \text{ (true , false) } = \text{true}$

$\underline{\text{VC}}\text{-spec-table} \text{ (true , true) } = \text{false}$

Introduction

What is Π -Ware

Background

Research Question

Research Question / Methodology

DTP / Agda

Big picture

Agda

Π -Ware

Circuit Syntax

Semantics

Proofs

Conclusions

Summary

Future work



Universiteit Utrecht

Proof of XOR (truth table)

$$\underline{\text{vC-proof-table}} : \llbracket \underline{\text{vC}} \rrbracket (a, b) \equiv \underline{\text{vC-spec-table}} (a, b)$$

```
VC-proof-table  false  false  = refl
```

$$\text{VC-proof-table } \text{false } \text{true} = \text{refl}$$

```
VC-proof-table  true  false = refl
```

$$\text{VC-proof-table} \quad \text{true} \quad \text{true} \quad = \text{refl}$$

► Proof by *case analysis*

- Can probably be automated by *reflection* [van der Walt and Swierstra, 2013]

Introduction

What is Π-Ware

Background

Research Question

Research Question /
Methodology

DTP / Agda

Big picture

Agda

Π-Ware

Circuit Syntax

Semantics

Proofs

Conclusions

Summary

Future work



Universiteit Utrecht

Specification of XOR

- ▶ Based (`_xor_`) from `Data.Bool`

`_xor_` : $B \rightarrow B \rightarrow B$

`true xor b` = `not b`

`false xor b` = `b`

- ▶ Adapted interface to match exactly `⋈C`

`⋈C-spec-subfunc` : $(B \times B) \rightarrow B$

`⋈C-spec-subfunc` = `uncurry' _xor_`

Introduction

What is Π -Ware

Background

Research Question

Research Question /
Methodology

DTP / Agda

Big picture

Agda

Π -Ware

Circuit Syntax

Semantics

Proofs

Conclusions

Summary

Future work



Universiteit Utrecht

Proof of XOR (pre-existing)

- Proof based on $\forall C\text{-spec-subfunc}$

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\text{VC-proof-subfunc}} &: \llbracket \underline{\text{VC}} \rrbracket (a, b) \equiv \underline{\text{VC-spec-subfunc}} (a, b) \\ \underline{\text{VC-proof-subfunc}} &= \underline{\text{VC-xor-equiv}} \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Need a lemma to complete the proof

- Circuit is defined using {NOT, AND, OR}
- xor is defined directly by pattern matching

$$\underline{\text{C}}\text{-xor-equiv} : (\text{not } a \wedge b) \vee (a \wedge \text{not } b) \equiv (a \text{ xor } b)$$

Introduction

What is Π-Ware

Background

Research

Question

a, b) Research Question / Methodology

DTP / Agda

Big picture

Agda

Π-Ware

Circuit Syntax

Semantics

Proofs

Conclusions

Summary

Future work



Universiteit Utrecht

Circuit “families”

- ▶ We can also prove properties of circuit “families”
- ▶ Example: an AND gate definition with generic number of inputs

$\text{andN}' : \forall n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}' \ n \ 1$

$\text{andN}' \ \text{zero} = \text{TC}'$

$\text{andN}' \ (\text{suc } n) = \text{idC}' \mid' \text{andN}' \ n \ \gg' \wedge \mathbb{C}'$

- ▶ Example proof: when all inputs are **true**, output is **true**
 - For *any* number of inputs
 - Proof by induction on n (number of inputs)

Introduction

What is Π -Ware

Background

Research Question

Research Question / Methodology

DTP / Agda

Big picture

Agda

Π -Ware

Circuit Syntax

Semantics

Proofs

Conclusions

Summary

Future work



Universiteit Utrecht

Problems

- This proof is done at the *low level*

$$\begin{aligned}\text{proof-andN}' &: \forall n \rightarrow \llbracket \text{andN}' n \rrbracket' (\text{replicate true}) \equiv [\text{true}] \\ \text{proof-andN}' \text{ zero} &= \text{refl} \\ \text{proof-andN}' (\text{suc } n) &= \text{cong } (\text{spec-and} \circ (_ :: _ \text{ true})) \\ &\quad (\text{proof-andN}' n)\end{aligned}$$

- Still problems with inductive proofs in the high level
 - Guess: definition of \mathbb{C} and $\llbracket _ \rrbracket$ prevent goal reduction

Introduction

What is Π -Ware

Background

Research Question

Research Question / Methodology

DTP / Agda

Big picture

Agda

Π -Ware

Circuit Syntax

Semantics

Proofs

Conclusions

Summary


Future work



Universiteit Utrecht

Sequential proofs

- ▶ Example of sequential circuit: a *register*



- Respective Π -Ware circuit description

$$\text{reg} : \mathbb{C} (B \times B) B$$

```
reg = delayC (arr >> mux2to1 >> xC)
```

where $\text{arr} = (\uparrow\downarrow\mathbb{C} \parallel \text{id}\mathbb{C}) \gg \text{ALRC} \gg (\text{id}\mathbb{C} \parallel \uparrow\downarrow\mathbb{C})$

Introduction

What is Π-Ware

Background

Research

Question

Research Question / Methodology

DTP / Agda

Big picture

Agda

Π-Ware

Circuit Syntax

Semantics

Proofs

Conclusions

Summary

Future work



Universiteit Utrecht

Register example

- ▶ Example (test case) of register behaviour

loads inputs : Stream Bool

loads = true :: # (true :: # (false :: # repeat false))

inputs = true :: # (false :: # (true :: # repeat false))

actual = take 42 ([reg] * \$ zipWith _,_ inputs loads)

test-reg = actual \equiv true \triangleleft false \triangleleft replicate false

- ▶ Still problems with *infinite* expected vs. actual comparisons
 - Normal Agda equality ($_ \equiv _$) does not work
 - Need to use *bisimilarity*

Introduction

What is Π -Ware

Background

Research Question

Research Question / Methodology

DTP / Agda

Big picture

Agda

Π -Ware

Circuit Syntax

Semantics

Proofs

Conclusions

Summary

Future work



Summary

Introduction

What is Π -Ware

Background

Research Question

Research Question /
Methodology

DTP / Agda

Big picture

Agda

Π -Ware

Circuit Syntax

Semantics

Proofs

Conclusions

Summary

Future work



What Π -Ware achieves

- ▶ Several design activities in the *same language*
 - Description (untyped / typed)
 - Simulation
 - Synthesis
 - Verification (inductive families of circuits)
- ▶ Well-typed descriptions (\mathbb{C}) at *compile time*
 - Low-level descriptions (\mathbb{C}') / netlists are *well-sized*
- ▶ Type safety and totality of simulation due to Agda

Introduction

What is Π-Ware

Background

Research Question

Research Question /
Methodology

DTP / Agda

Big picture

Agda

Π-Ware

Circuit Syntax

Semantics

Proofs

Conclusions

Summary

Future work



Universiteit Utrecht

Current limitations / trade-offs

- ▶ Interface of generated netlists is always *flat*
 - One input, one output

```
entity fullAdd8 is
port (
  inputs   : in  std_logic_vector(16 downto 0);
  outputs  : out std_logic_vector(8  downto 0)
);
end fullAdd8;
```

- ▶ Due to the indices of \mathbb{C}' (naturals)
 - Can't distinguish $\mathbb{C}'(1 + 8 + 8)(8 + 1)$ from $\mathbb{C}'179$

Introduction

What is Π -Ware

Background

Research Question

Research Question /
Methodology

DTP / Agda

Big picture

Agda

Π -Ware

Circuit Syntax

Semantics

Proofs

Conclusions

Summary

Future work



Universiteit Utrecht

Current limitations / trade-offs

- ▶ Proofs for high-level families of circuits
 - Probably due to definitions of \mathbb{C} and $\llbracket _ \rrbracket$
- ▶ Proofs with infinite comparisons (sequential circuits)

Introduction

What is Π -Ware

Background

Research Question

Research Question / Methodology

DTP / Agda

Big picture

Agda

Π -Ware

Circuit Syntax

Semantics

Proofs

Conclusions

Summary

Future work



Universiteit Utrecht

Future work

Introduction

What is Π -Ware
Background

Research Question

Research Question /
Methodology

DTP / Agda

Big picture
Agda

Π -Ware

Circuit Syntax
Semantics
Proofs

Conclusions

Summary
Future work



Thank you!

Questions?

Mede mogelijk gemaakt door:

Utrechts
Universiteitsfonds



Universiteit Utrecht



Universiteit Utrecht

References I



SIGPLAN Not., 43(9):39–50.

Future work



References II



Sander, I. and Jantsch, A. (1999).

Formal system design based on the synchrony hypothesis, functional models, and skeletons.

In *VLSI Design, 1999. Proceedings. Twelfth International Conference On*, pages 318–323. IEEE.



Sheeran, M. (1984).

MuFP, a language for VLSI design.

In *Proceedings of the 1984 ACM Symposium on LISP and Functional Programming*, LFP '84, pages 104–112, New York, NY, USA. ACM.



Uustalu, T. and Vene, V. (2005).

The essence of dataflow programming.

In *Proceedings of the Third Asian Conference on Programming Languages and Systems*, APLAS'05, pages 2–18, Berlin, Heidelberg. Springer-Verlag.

Introduction

What is Π -Ware

Background

Research Question

Research Question / Methodology

DTP / Agda

Big picture

Agda

Π -Ware

Circuit Syntax

Semantics

Proofs

Conclusions


Summary

Future work



Universiteit Utrecht

References III

 van der Walt, P. and Swierstra, W. (2013).
Engineering proof by reflection in Agda.
In Implementation and Application of Functional Languages, pages 157–173. Springer.

 Wadler, P. (2014).
Propositions as types.
Unpublished note, <http://homepages.inf.ed.ac.uk/wadler/papers/propositions-as-types/propositions-as-types.pdf>.

Introduction

What is Π -Ware

Background

Research Question

Research Question / Methodology

DTP / Agda

Big picture

Agda

Π -Ware

Circuit Syntax

Semantics

Proofs

Conclusions

Summary

Future work



Universiteit Utrecht