

DEPARTAMENTO DE ENGENHARIA INFORMÁTICA
FACULDADE DE CIÊNCIAS E TECNOLOGIA
UNIVERSIDADE D
COIMBRA

João Silva

Performance Results Tables for thesis "Evaluation of Dynamic Analysis Tools in detecting OWASP Top 10 Vulnerabilities"

September 6, 2024

Contents

| 1 | Introduction | 2 |
|---|--|----|
| 2 | Performance Results of all DAST Tools for each Application | 3 |
| 3 | Performance Results for all Combinations of 2 and 3 DAST Tools without Weights | 15 |
| 4 | Performance Results for all Combinations of 2 DAST Tools with Weights | 18 |
| 5 | Conclusão | 37 |

1. Introduction

This document is used to present the results commented in thesis "Evaluation of Dynamic Analysis tools in detecting the Open Worldwide Application Security Project (OWASP) Top 10 vulnerabilities", chapter "Benchmark Results". The following information is present here:

- Results achieved by the tools in each application
- Results achieved by combinations of 2 and 3 tools without weights
- Results achieved by combinations of 2 tools with weights

2. Performance Results of all DAST Tools for each Application

This chapter provides a better ideia of how well the Dynamic Application Security Testing (DAST) tools worked in each application, demonstrating the True Positive (TP)s, False Positive (FP)s, True Negative (TN)s and False Negative (FN)s obtained. The conclusions about this chapter will be in section X of the mentioned thesis.

Results obtained in OWASP Benchmark

| Vulnerability | | | | | | | То | ols | | | | | | | |
|---|-----|-------|------|-----|------|-------|-----|-----|------|-------|-----|----|--------|------|-----|
| ID Name | | Total | | | OWAS | P ZAI | ? | | Burp | Suite | | | Iron ' | Wasp | |
| A1 Broken Access Control | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Bypassing Authorization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Path Traversal | 133 | 135 | 14 | 0 | 133 | 4 | 145 | 0 | 133 | 4 | 145 | 0 | 133 | 6 | 143 |
| Remote File Inclusion | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cross-Site Request Forgery | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A2 Cryptographic Failure | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Transmission of Information in Cleartext | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Untrusted/Invalid TLS Certificate | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A3 Injection | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| OS Command Injection | 126 | 125 | 0 | 68 | 58 | 0 | 125 | 11 | 115 | 0 | 125 | 21 | 105 | 0 | 125 |
| SQL Injection | 272 | 232 | 172 | 158 | 114 | 39 | 365 | 0 | 272 | 15 | 389 | 67 | 205 | 5 | 399 |
| LDAP Injection | 27 | 32 | 5 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 27 | 5 | 32 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 37 |
| Cross-Site Scripting | 246 | 209 | 476 | 190 | 56 | 178 | 507 | 74 | 172 | 476 | 209 | 5 | 241 | 0 | 685 |
| XPath Injection | 15 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 20 |
| HTTP Response Splitting | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A4 Insecure Design | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Exposed Improper Error Handling | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bad Security Design of Form Fields | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Method Tampering | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A5 Security Misconfiguration | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| XML External Entities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bad Programming of Cookies | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Insecure Use of Hard Coded Constants | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A6 Vulnerable and Outdated Components | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Insecure/Vulnerable Third-Party Software | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A7 Identification and Authentication Failures | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Bypassing Authentication | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Brute Force Attacks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Session Fixation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A8 Software and Data Integrity Failures | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Insecure Scope of Cookies | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Insecure Deserialization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A9 Security Logging and Monitoring Failures | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Improper Output Neutralization for Logs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A10 Server-Side Request Forgery | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Server-Side Request Forgery | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 2.1: DAST tools output in relation to OWASP Benchmark - Part1

| Vulnerability | | | | | | | | | То | ols | | | | | |
|---|-----|-------|------|-----|-----|-------|-----|----|-----|------|-----|-----|-------|--------|-------|
| ID Name | | Total | | | Acu | netix | | | Wa | piti | | OW | ASP Z | AP Plu | igins |
| A1 Broken Access Control | Р | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Bypassing Authorization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Path Traversal | 133 | 135 | 14 | 0 | 133 | 0 | 149 | 33 | 100 | 26 | 123 | 90 | 43 | 91 | 58 |
| Remote File Inclusion | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cross-Site Request Forgery | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A2 Cryptographic Failure | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Transmission of Information in Cleartext | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Untrusted/Invalid TLS Certificate | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A3 Injection | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| OS Command Injection | 126 | 125 | 0 | 0 | 126 | 0 | 125 | 20 | 106 | 19 | 106 | 78 | 48 | 80 | 45 |
| SQL Injection | 272 | 232 | 172 | 114 | 158 | 0 | 404 | 74 | 198 | 73 | 331 | 194 | 78 | 235 | 169 |
| LDAP Injection | 27 | 32 | 5 | 17 | 10 | 5 | 32 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 37 | 3 | 24 | 3 | 34 |
| Cross-Site Scripting | 246 | 209 | 476 | 70 | 176 | 0 | 685 | 70 | 176 | 55 | 630 | 114 | 132 | 135 | 550 |
| XPath Injection | 15 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 20 | 2 | 13 | 2 | 18 | 7 | 8 | 13 | 7 |
| HTTP Response Splitting | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A4 Insecure Design | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Exposed Improper Error Handling | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bad Security Design of Form Fields | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Method Tampering | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A5 Security Misconfiguration | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| XML External Entities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bad Programming of Cookies | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Insecure Use of Hard Coded Constants | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A6 Vulnerable and Outdated Components | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Insecure/Vulnerable Third-Party Software | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A7 Identification and Authentication Failures | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Bypassing Authentication | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Brute Force Attacks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Session Fixation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A8 Software and Data Integrity Failures | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Insecure Scope of Cookies | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Insecure Deserialization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A9 Security Logging and Monitoring Failures | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Improper Output Neutralization for Logs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A10 Server-Side Request Forgery | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Server-Side Request Forgery | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 2.2: DAST tools output in relation to OWASP Benchmark - Part2

Results obtained in WAVSEP Benchmark

| Vulnerability | | | | | | | | | То | ols | | | | | |
|---|-----|-------|------|-----|------|-------|-----|-----|------|-------|-----|-----|--------|------|-----|
| ID Name | | Total | | | OWAS | P ZAI |) | | Burp | Suite | | | Iron ' | Wasp | |
| A1 Broken Access Control | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Bypassing Authorization | 60 | 9 | 7 | 40 | 20 | 4 | 12 | 29 | 31 | 7 | 9 | 8 | 52 | 2 | 14 |
| Path Traversal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Remote File Inclusion | 108 | 6 | 402 | 44 | 64 | 0 | 408 | 59 | 49 | 3 | 405 | 0 | 108 | 0 | 408 |
| Cross-Site Request Forgery | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A2 Cryptographic Failure | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Transmission of Information in Cleartext | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Untrusted/Invalid TLS Certificate | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A3 Injection | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| OS Command Injection | 128 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 97 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 124 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 128 | 0 | 0 |
| SQL Injection | 161 | 10 | 7 | 0 | 161 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 161 | 3 | 14 | 0 | 161 | 7 | 10 |
| LDAP Injection | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cross-Site Scripting | 117 | 7 | 751 | 74 | 43 | 166 | 592 | 89 | 28 | 483 | 275 | 110 | 7 | 33 | 725 |
| XPath Injection | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HTTP Response Splitting | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A4 Insecure Design | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Exposed Improper Error Handling | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bad Security Design of Form Fields | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Method Tampering | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A5 Security Misconfiguration | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| XML External Entities | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Bad Programming of Cookies | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Insecure Use of Hard Coded Constants | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A6 Vulnerable and Outdated Components | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Insecure/Vulnerable Third-Party Software | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A7 Identification and Authentication Failures | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Bypassing Authentication | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Brute Force Attacks | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Session Fixation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A8 Software and Data Integrity Failures | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Insecure Scope of Cookies | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Insecure Deserialization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A9 Security Logging and Monitoring Failures | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Improper Output Neutralization for Logs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A10 Server-Side Request Forgery | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Server-Side Request Forgery | 817 | 8 | 115 | 117 | 700 | 31 | 92 | 350 | 467 | 66 | 57 | 118 | 699 | 0 | 123 |

Table 2.3: DAST tools output in relation to WAVSEP Benchmark - Part1

| Vulner | ability | | | | | | | | | To | ols | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|----|-------|------|-----|------|-------|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|-------|--------|-------|
| ID Name | | | Total | | | Acui | netix | | | Wa | piti | | OWA | ASP Z | AP Plu | igins |
| A1 Broken Access Control | I | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Bypassing Authorization | 6 | 60 | 9 | 7 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 16 | 60 | 0 | 9 | 7 | 60 | 0 | 9 | 7 |
| Path Traversal | (| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Remote File Inclusion | 10 | 08 | 6 | 402 | 36 | 72 | 339 | 69 | 0 | 108 | 64 | 344 | 0 | 108 | 0 | 408 |
| Cross-Site Request Forgery | (| | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A2 Cryptographic Failure | I | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Transmission of Information in C | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Untrusted/Invalid TLS Certifica | ite (| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A3 Injection | I | | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| OS Command Injection | 12 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 128 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 128 | 0 | 0 | 77 | 51 | 0 | 0 |
| SQL Injection | 16 | 61 | 10 | 7 | 0 | 161 | 0 | 17 | 93 | 68 | 0 | 17 | 25 | 136 | 10 | 7 |
| LDAP Injection | (| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cross-Site Scripting | 11 | 17 | 7 | 751 | 104 | 13 | 143 | 615 | 4 | 113 | 191 | 567 | 113 | 4 | 153 | 605 |
| XPath Injection | (| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HTTP Response Splitting | (| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A4 Insecure Design | | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Exposed Improper Error Handli | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bad Security Design of Form Fie | elds (| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Method Tampering | (| | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A5 Security Misconfiguration | I | | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| XML External Entities | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Bad Programming of Cookies | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Insecure Use of Hard Coded Co | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A6 Vulnerable and Outdated Co | | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Insecure/Vulnerable Third-Part | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A7 Identification and Authentic | cation Failures I | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Bypassing Authentication | (| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Brute Force Attacks | (| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Session Fixation | (| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A8 Software and Data Integrity | Failures I | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Insecure Scope of Cookies | (| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Insecure Deserialization | (| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A9 Security Logging and Monit | oring Failures I | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Improper Output Neutralization | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A10 Server-Side Request Forgery | , I | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Server-Side Request Forgery | 81 | 17 | 8 | 115 | 448 | 369 | 76 | 47 | 109 | 708 | 0 | 123 | 9 | 808 | 8 | 115 |

Table 2.4: DAST tools output in relation to WAVSEP Benchmark - Part2

Results obtained in WebGoat

| Vulnerability | | | | | | | | | То | ols | | | | | |
|---|----|-------|------|----|------|-------|----|----|------|-------|----|----|------|------|-----|
| ID Name | | Total | | - | OWAS | P ZAI |) | | Burp | Suite | | | Iron | Wasp | |
| A1 Broken Access Control | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Bypassing Authorization | 16 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 5 |
| Path Traversal | 4 | 12 | 48 | 2 | 2 | 49 | 11 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 60 |
| Remote File Inclusion | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cross-Site Request Forgery | 79 | 100 | 0 | 79 | 0 | 37 | 63 | 24 | 55 | 15 | 85 | 0 | 79 | 0 | 100 |
| A2 Cryptographic Failure | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Transmission of Information in Cleartext | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Untrusted/Invalid TLS Certificate | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| A3 Injection | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| OS Command Injection | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SQL Injection | 17 | 9 | 49 | 1 | 16 | 48 | 10 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 58 |
| LDAP Injection | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cross-Site Scripting | 6 | 5 | 12 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 13 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 13 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 17 |
| XPath Injection | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HTTP Response Splitting | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 |
| A4 Insecure Design | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Exposed Improper Error Handling | 16 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 16 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 13 |
| Bad Security Design of Form Fields | 80 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 80 | 0 | 54 | 1 | 79 | 0 | 54 |
| Method Tampering | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| A5 Security Misconfiguration | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| XML External Entities | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Bad Programming of Cookies | 18 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 14 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 17 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 17 | 0 | 2 |
| Insecure Use of Hard Coded Constants | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A6 Vulnerable and Outdated Components | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Insecure/Vulnerable Third-Party Software | 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 |
| A7 Identification and Authentication Failures | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Bypassing Authentication | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 |
| Brute Force Attacks | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 2 |
| Session Fixation | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A8 Software and Data Integrity Failures | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Insecure Scope of Cookies | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 |
| Insecure Deserialization | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| A9 Security Logging and Monitoring Failures | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Improper Output Neutralization for Logs | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| A10 Server-Side Request Forgery | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Server-Side Request Forgery | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |

Table 2.5: DAST tools output in relation to WebGoat - Part1

| Vulnerability | | | | | | | | | То | ols | | | | | |
|---|----|-------|------|----|-----|-------|-----|----|----|------|----|----|-------|--------|-------|
| ID Name | | Total | | | Acu | netix | | | Wa | piti | | OW | ASP Z | AP Plu | igins |
| A1 Broken Access Control | Р | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Bypassing Authorization | 16 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 15 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 14 | 0 | 5 |
| Path Traversal | 4 | 12 | 48 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 58 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 58 |
| Remote File Inclusion | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cross-Site Request Forgery | 79 | 100 | 0 | 3 | 76 | 0 | 100 | 32 | 47 | 12 | 88 | 79 | 0 | 49 | 51 |
| A2 Cryptographic Failure | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Transmission of Information in Cleartext | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Untrusted/Invalid TLS Certificate | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| A3 Injection | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| OS Command Injection | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SQL Injection | 17 | 9 | 49 | 1 | 16 | 0 | 58 | 2 | 15 | 3 | 55 | 4 | 13 | 2 | 56 |
| LDAP Injection | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cross-Site Scripting | 6 | 5 | 12 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 17 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 13 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 15 |
| XPath Injection | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HTTP Response Splitting | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 |
| A4 Insecure Design | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Exposed Improper Error Handling | 16 | 3 | 10 | 1 | 15 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 15 | 2 | 11 | 4 | 12 | 1 | 12 |
| Bad Security Design of Form Fields | 80 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 3 | 51 | 0 | 80 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 80 | 0 | 54 |
| Method Tampering | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| A5 Security Misconfiguration | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| XML External Entities | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Bad Programming of Cookies | 18 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 15 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 15 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 15 | 0 | 2 |
| Insecure Use of Hard Coded Constants | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A6 Vulnerable and Outdated Components | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Insecure/Vulnerable Third-Party Software | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| A7 Identification and Authentication Failures | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Bypassing Authentication | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 |
| Brute Force Attacks | 7 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 2 |
| Session Fixation | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| A8 Software and Data Integrity Failures | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Insecure Scope of Cookies | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 |
| Insecure Deserialization | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| A9 Security Logging and Monitoring Failures | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Improper Output Neutralization for Logs | 13 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| A10 Server-Side Request Forgery | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Server-Side Request Forgery | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 2.6: DAST tools output in relation to the WebGoat - Part2 $\,$

Results obtained in Juice Shop

| Vulnerability | | | | | | | | | То | ols | | | | | |
|---|----|-------|------|----|------|-------|----|----|------|-------|----|----|------|------|----|
| ID Name | | Total | | - | OWAS | P ZAI |) | | Burp | Suite | | | Iron | Wasp | |
| A1 Broken Access Control | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Bypassing Authorization | 25 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 10 |
| Path Traversal | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| Remote File Inclusion | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cross-Site Request Forgery | 21 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 8 |
| A2 Cryptographic Failure | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Transmission of Information in Cleartext | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Untrusted/Invalid TLS Certificate | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| A3 Injection | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| OS Command Injection | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| SQL Injection | 2 | 1 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 12 |
| LDAP Injection | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cross-Site Scripting | 6 | 3 | 13 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 16 |
| XPath Injection | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HTTP Response Splitting | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A4 Insecure Design | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Exposed Improper Error Handling | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 |
| Bad Security Design of Form Fields | 4 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 24 |
| Method Tampering | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A5 Security Misconfiguration | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| XML External Entities | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 |
| Bad Programming of Cookies | 11 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 1 |
| Insecure Use of Hard Coded Constants | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| A6 Vulnerable and Outdated Components | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Insecure/Vulnerable Third-Party Software | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| A7 Identification and Authentication Failures | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Bypassing Authentication | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| Brute Force Attacks | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Session Fixation | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| A8 Software and Data Integrity Failures | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Insecure Scope of Cookies | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| Insecure Deserialization | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| A9 Security Logging and Monitoring Failures | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Improper Output Neutralization for Logs | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| A10 Server-Side Request Forgery | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Server-Side Request Forgery | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |

Table 2.7: DAST tools output in relation to Juice Shop - Part1

| Vulnerability | | | | | | | | | To | ols | | | | | |
|---|----|-------|------|----|-----|-------|----|----|----|------|----|----|-------|--------|-------|
| ID Name | | Total | | | Acu | netix | | | Wa | piti | | OW | ASP Z | AP Plu | igins |
| A1 Broken Access Control | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Bypassing Authorization | 25 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 24 | 1 | 9 | 3 | 22 | 2 | 8 |
| Path Traversal | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 5 |
| Remote File Inclusion | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cross-Site Request Forgery | 21 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 21 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 18 | 1 | 7 |
| A2 Cryptographic Failure | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Transmission of Information in Cleartext | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Untrusted/Invalid TLS Certificate | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| A3 Injection | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| OS Command Injection | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| SQL Injection | 2 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 7 |
| LDAP Injection | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cross-Site Scripting | 6 | 3 | 13 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 16 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 13 |
| XPath Injection | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HTTP Response Splitting | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A4 Insecure Design | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Exposed Improper Error Handling | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 1 |
| Bad Security Design of Form Fields | 4 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 24 |
| Method Tampering | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A5 Security Misconfiguration | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| XML External Entities | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 |
| Bad Programming of Cookies | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 1 |
| Insecure Use of Hard Coded Constants | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| A6 Vulnerable and Outdated Components | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Insecure/Vulnerable Third-Party Software | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| A7 Identification and Authentication Failures | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Bypassing Authentication | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| Brute Force Attacks | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Session Fixation | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| A8 Software and Data Integrity Failures | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Insecure Scope of Cookies | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| Insecure Deserialization | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| A9 Security Logging and Monitoring Failures | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Improper Output Neutralization for Logs | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| A10 Server-Side Request Forgery | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Server-Side Request Forgery | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |

Table 2.8: DAST tools output in relation to Juice Shop - Part2 $\,$

Results obtained in Mutillidae II

| Vulnerability | | | | | | | | | То | ols | | | | | |
|---|----|-------|------|----|------|-------|----|----|------|-------|----|----|--------|------|----|
| ID Name | | Total | | | OWAS | P ZAI |) | | Burp | Suite | | | Iron ' | Wasp | |
| A1 Broken Access Control | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Bypassing Authorization | 20 | 0 | 14 | 1 | 19 | 0 | 14 | 1 | 19 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 14 |
| Path Traversal | 6 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| Remote File Inclusion | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cross-Site Request Forgery | 55 | 2 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 42 | 13 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 2 |
| A2 Cryptographic Failure | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Transmission of Information in Cleartext | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Untrusted/Invalid TLS Certificate | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| A3 Injection | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| OS Command Injection | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| SQL Injection | 17 | 0 | 21 | 6 | 11 | 15 | 6 | 4 | 13 | 4 | 17 | 2 | 15 | 0 | 21 |
| LDAP Injection | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Cross-Site Scripting | 45 | 5 | 36 | 23 | 22 | 27 | 14 | 22 | 23 | 9 | 32 | 1 | 44 | 0 | 41 |
| XPath Injection | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 |
| HTTP Response Splitting | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A4 Insecure Design | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Exposed Improper Error Handling | 37 | 4 | 71 | 12 | 25 | 66 | 9 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 75 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 75 |
| Bad Security Design of Form Fields | 21 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 15 |
| Method Tampering | 74 | 1 | 2 | 23 | 51 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 68 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 74 | 0 | 3 |
| A5 Security Misconfiguration | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| XML External Entities | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Bad Programming of Cookies | 13 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 11 | 0 | 3 |
| Insecure Use of Hard Coded Constants | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A6 Vulnerable and Outdated Components | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Insecure/Vulnerable Third-Party Software | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| A7 Identification and Authentication Failures | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Bypassing Authentication | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Brute Force Attacks | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Session Fixation | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| A8 Software and Data Integrity Failures | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Insecure Scope of Cookies | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Insecure Deserialization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A9 Security Logging and Monitoring Failures | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Improper Output Neutralization for Logs | 3 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| A10 Server-Side Request Forgery | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Server-Side Request Forgery | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |

Table 2.9: DAST tools output in relation to Mutillidae II - Part1

| Vulnerability | | | | | | | | | То | ols | | | | | |
|---|----|-------|------|----|-----|-------|----|----|----|------|----|----|-------|--------|-------|
| ID Name | | Total | | | Acu | netix | | | Wa | piti | | OW | ASP Z | AP Plu | igins |
| A1 Broken Access Control | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Bypassing Authorization | 20 | 0 | 14 | 1 | 19 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 20 | 7 | 7 |
| Path Traversal | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Remote File Inclusion | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cross-Site Request Forgery | 55 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 1 | 1 | 21 | 34 | 0 | 2 | 55 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| A2 Cryptographic Failure | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Transmission of Information in Cleartext | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Untrusted/Invalid TLS Certificate | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| A3 Injection | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| OS Command Injection | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| SQL Injection | 17 | 0 | 21 | 7 | 10 | 3 | 18 | 4 | 13 | 0 | 21 | 7 | 10 | 7 | 14 |
| LDAP Injection | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Cross-Site Scripting | 45 | 5 | 36 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 41 | 2 | 43 | 1 | 40 | 25 | 20 | 3 | 38 |
| XPath Injection | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 |
| HTTP Response Splitting | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A4 Insecure Design | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Exposed Improper Error Handling | 37 | 4 | 71 | 26 | 11 | 7 | 68 | 6 | 31 | 0 | 75 | 14 | 23 | 33 | 42 |
| Bad Security Design of Form Fields | 21 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 6 | 9 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 15 |
| Method Tampering | 74 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 74 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 74 | 0 | 3 | 30 | 44 | 0 | 3 |
| A5 Security Misconfiguration | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| XML External Entities | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Bad Programming of Cookies | 13 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 11 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 5 | 0 | 3 |
| Insecure Use of Hard Coded Constants | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A6 Vulnerable and Outdated Components | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Insecure/Vulnerable Third-Party Software | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A7 Identification and Authentication Failures | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Bypassing Authentication | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Brute Force Attacks | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Session Fixation | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| A8 Software and Data Integrity Failures | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Insecure Scope of Cookies | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Insecure Deserialization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A9 Security Logging and Monitoring Failures | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Improper Output Neutralization for Logs | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| A10 Server-Side Request Forgery | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Server-Side Request Forgery | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |

Table 2.10: DAST tools output in relation to Mutillidae II - Part2 $\,$

Results obtained in Altoro Mutual

| Vulnerability | | | | | | | | | То | ols | | | | | |
|---|----|-------|------|----|------|-------|----|----|------|-------|----|----|--------|------|----|
| ID Name | | Total | | (| OWAS | P ZAI | , | | Burp | Suite | | | Iron ' | Wasp | |
| A1 Broken Access Control | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Bypassing Authorization | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Path Traversal | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Remote File Inclusion | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cross-Site Request Forgery | 7 | 23 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 10 | 13 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 23 |
| A2 Cryptographic Failure | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Transmission of Information in Cleartext | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Untrusted/Invalid TLS Certificate | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| A3 Injection | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| OS Command Injection | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SQL Injection | 5 | 9 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 11 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 12 |
| LDAP Injection | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cross-Site Scripting | 5 | 4 | 51 | 5 | 0 | 51 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 52 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 53 |
| XPath Injection | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| HTTP Response Splitting | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A4 Insecure Design | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Exposed Improper Error Handling | 5 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 7 |
| Bad Security Design of Form Fields | 10 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 7 |
| Method Tampering | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| A5 Security Misconfiguration | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| XML External Entities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bad Programming of Cookies | 7 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 |
| Insecure Use of Hard Coded Constants | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| A6 Vulnerable and Outdated Components | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Insecure/Vulnerable Third-Party Software | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| A7 Identification and Authentication Failures | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Bypassing Authentication | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Brute Force Attacks | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Session Fixation | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A8 Software and Data Integrity Failures | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Insecure Scope of Cookies | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Insecure Deserialization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A9 Security Logging and Monitoring Failures | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Improper Output Neutralization for Logs | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| A10 Server-Side Request Forgery | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Server-Side Request Forgery | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 2.11: DAST tools output in relation to Altoro Mutual - Part1

| Vulnerability | | | | | | | | | То | ols | | | | | |
|---|----|-------|------|----|-----|-------|----|----|----|------|----|----|-------|--------|-------|
| ID Name | | Total | | | Acu | netix | | | Wa | piti | | OW | ASP Z | AP Plu | igins |
| A1 Broken Access Control | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Bypassing Authorization | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| Path Traversal | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Remote File Inclusion | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cross-Site Request Forgery | 7 | 23 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 23 | 2 | 5 | 19 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 23 | 0 |
| A2 Cryptographic Failure | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Transmission of Information in Cleartext | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Untrusted/Invalid TLS Certificate | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| A3 Injection | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| OS Command Injection | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SQL Injection | 5 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 9 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 6 |
| LDAP Injection | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cross-Site Scripting | 5 | 4 | 51 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 55 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 53 | 4 | 1 | 19 | 36 |
| XPath Injection | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| HTTP Response Splitting | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A4 Insecure Design | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Exposed Improper Error Handling | 5 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 7 |
| Bad Security Design of Form Fields | 10 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 7 |
| Method Tampering | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| A5 Security Misconfiguration | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| XML External Entities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bad Programming of Cookies | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 1 |
| Insecure Use of Hard Coded Constants | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| A6 Vulnerable and Outdated Components | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Insecure/Vulnerable Third-Party Software | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A7 Identification and Authentication Failures | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Bypassing Authentication | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Brute Force Attacks | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Session Fixation | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| A8 Software and Data Integrity Failures | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Insecure Scope of Cookies | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Insecure Deserialization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A9 Security Logging and Monitoring Failures | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Improper Output Neutralization for Logs | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| A10 Server-Side Request Forgery | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Server-Side Request Forgery | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 2.12: DAST tools output in relation to Altoro Mutual - Part2 $\,$

Results obtained in BWapp

| Vulnerability | | | | | | | | | То | ols | | | | | |
|---|----|-------|------|----|------|-------|----|----|------|-------|----|----|--------|------|----|
| ID Name | | Total | | | OWAS | P ZAI |) | | Burp | Suite | | | Iron ' | Wasp | |
| A1 Broken Access Control | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Bypassing Authorization | 5 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 3 |
| Path Traversal | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Remote File Inclusion | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cross-Site Request Forgery | 92 | 0 | 0 | 92 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 92 | 0 | 0 |
| A2 Cryptographic Failure | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Transmission of Information in Cleartext | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Untrusted/Invalid TLS Certificate | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| A3 Injection | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| OS Command Injection | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| SQL Injection | 15 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 10 | 6 | 1 |
| LDAP Injection | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Cross-Site Scripting | 15 | 1 | 52 | 8 | 7 | 45 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 4 | 49 | 3 | 12 | 4 | 49 |
| XPath Injection | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| HTTP Response Splitting | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A4 Insecure Design | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Exposed Improper Error Handling | 4 | 0 | 45 | 1 | 3 | 12 | 33 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 45 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 45 |
| Bad Security Design of Form Fields | 26 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 26 | 2 | 42 |
| Method Tampering | 8 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 1 |
| A5 Security Misconfiguration | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| XML External Entities | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Bad Programming of Cookies | 17 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 10 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 16 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 13 | 0 | 3 |
| Insecure Use of Hard Coded Constants | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A6 Vulnerable and Outdated Components | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Insecure/Vulnerable Third-Party Software | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| A7 Identification and Authentication Failures | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Bypassing Authentication | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| Brute Force Attacks | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 |
| Session Fixation | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A8 Software and Data Integrity Failures | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Insecure Scope of Cookies | 5 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| Insecure Deserialization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A9 Security Logging and Monitoring Failures | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Improper Output Neutralization for Logs | 13 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 5 | 0 | 1 |
| A10 Server-Side Request Forgery | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Server-Side Request Forgery | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

Table 2.13: DAST tools output in relation to the BWapp - Part1

| Vulnerability | | | | | | | | То | ols | | | | | | |
|---|----|-------|------|----|-----|-------|----|----|-----|------|----|----|-------|--------|-------|
| ID Name | | Total | | | Acu | netix | | | Wa | piti | | OW | ASP Z | AP Plu | ıgins |
| A1 Broken Access Control | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Bypassing Authorization | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| Path Traversal | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Remote File Inclusion | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cross-Site Request Forgery | 92 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 92 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A2 Cryptographic Failure | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Transmission of Information in Cleartext | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Untrusted/Invalid TLS Certificate | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| A3 Injection | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| OS Command Injection | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| SQL Injection | 15 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 11 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 13 | 0 | 7 |
| LDAP Injection | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Cross-Site Scripting | 15 | 1 | 52 | 2 | 13 | 0 | 53 | 2 | 13 | 0 | 53 | 9 | 6 | 16 | 37 |
| XPath Injection | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| HTTP Response Splitting | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A4 Insecure Design | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Exposed Improper Error Handling | 4 | 0 | 45 | 4 | 0 | 36 | 9 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 45 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 41 |
| Bad Security Design of Form Fields | 26 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 4 | 40 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 44 |
| Method Tampering | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 1 |
| A5 Security Misconfiguration | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| XML External Entities | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Bad Programming of Cookies | 17 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 15 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 12 | 0 | 3 |
| Insecure Use of Hard Coded Constants | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A6 Vulnerable and Outdated Components | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Insecure/Vulnerable Third-Party Software | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| A7 Identification and Authentication Failures | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Bypassing Authentication | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| Brute Force Attacks | 3 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 |
| Session Fixation | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| A8 Software and Data Integrity Failures | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Insecure Scope of Cookies | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - 5 | 0 | 0 |
| Insecure Deserialization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A9 Security Logging and Monitoring Failures | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Improper Output Neutralization for Logs | 13 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| A10 Server-Side Request Forgery | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Server-Side Request Forgery | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

Table 2.14: DAST tools output in relation to BWapp - Part2 $\,$

Results obtained in Piwigo

| Vulnerability | | | | | | | | | То | ols | | | | | |
|---|----|-------|------|----|------|-------|----|----|------|-------|-----|----|--------|------|-----|
| ID Name | | Total | | | OWAS | P ZAI | ? | | Burp | Suite | | | Iron ' | Wasp | |
| A1 Broken Access Control | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Bypassing Authorization | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Path Traversal | 0 | 2 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| Remote File Inclusion | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cross-Site Request Forgery | 6 | 34 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 25 | 9 | 6 | 0 | 15 | 19 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 34 |
| A2 Cryptographic Failure | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Transmission of Information in Cleartext | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Untrusted/Invalid TLS Certificate | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| A3 Injection | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| OS Command Injection | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SQL Injection | 10 | 92 | 17 | 0 | 10 | 13 | 96 | 0 | 10 | 3 | 106 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 108 |
| LDAP Injection | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cross-Site Scripting | 7 | 19 | 56 | 0 | 7 | 18 | 57 | 0 | 7 | 21 | 54 | 0 | 7 | 13 | 62 |
| XPath Injection | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HTTP Response Splitting | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A4 Insecure Design | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Exposed Improper Error Handling | 6 | 11 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 11 |
| Bad Security Design of Form Fields | 12 | 41 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 42 |
| Method Tampering | 4 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 5 |
| A5 Security Misconfiguration | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| XML External Entities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bad Programming of Cookies | 30 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 29 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 29 | 0 | 2 |
| Insecure Use of Hard Coded Constants | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A6 Vulnerable and Outdated Components | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Insecure/Vulnerable Third-Party Software | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| A7 Identification and Authentication Failures | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Bypassing Authentication | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Brute Force Attacks | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Session Fixation | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A8 Software and Data Integrity Failures | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Insecure Scope of Cookies | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| Insecure Deserialization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A9 Security Logging and Monitoring Failures | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Improper Output Neutralization for Logs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A10 Server-Side Request Forgery | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Server-Side Request Forgery | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 2.15: DAST tools output in relation to Piwigo - Part1

| Bypassing Authorization | Vulnerability | | | | | | | | | То | ols | | | | | |
|--|---|----|-------|------|----|------|-------|-----|----|----|------|----|----|-------|--------|-------|
| Bypassing Authorization | ID Name | | Total | | | Acui | netix | | | Wa | piti | | OW | ASP Z | AP Plu | igins |
| Path Traversal | A1 Broken Access Control | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Remote File Inclusion | Bypassing Authorization | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Cross-Site Request Forgery | Path Traversal | 0 | 2 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 12 |
| A | Remote File Inclusion | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Transmission of Information in Cleartext | Cross-Site Request Forgery | 6 | 34 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 4 | 2 | 10 | 24 | 6 | 0 | 29 | 5 |
| Untrusted/Invalid TLS Certificate | A2 Cryptographic Failure | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| A3 Injection | Transmission of Information in Cleartext | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| OS Command Injection | Untrusted/Invalid TLS Certificate | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| SQL Injection | A3 Injection | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| LDAP Injection | OS Command Injection | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cross-Site Scripting | SQL Injection | 10 | 92 | 17 | 0 | 10 | 2 | 107 | 1 | 9 | 11 | 98 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 106 |
| XPath Injection | LDAP Injection | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HTTP Response Splitting | | 7 | 19 | 56 | 0 | 7 | 6 | 69 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 74 | 3 | 4 | 13 | 62 |
| A4 Insecure Design | XPath Injection | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Exposed Improper Error Handling | HTTP Response Splitting | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bad Security Design of Form Fields | | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Method Tampering 4 0 5 0 4 0 5 2 2 0 5 A5 Security Misconfiguration P N FPFP TP FP TN TP FN FP TN XML External Entities 0 | | 6 | 11 | 0 | 4 | | 1 | 10 | 0 | | 0 | 11 | 6 | | 11 | 0 |
| A5 Security Misconfiguration | Bad Security Design of Form Fields | 12 | 41 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 40 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 42 |
| XML External Entities | | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bad Programming of Cookies 30 2 0 0 30 0 2 2 28 0 2 3 27 0 2 | A5 Security Misconfiguration | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Insecure Use of Hard Coded Constants | XML External Entities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| N FPF TP FN FP TN TP TN TP TN TP FN FP TN TP TN TN | Bad Programming of Cookies | 30 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 28 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 27 | 0 | 2 |
| Insecure Vulnerable Third-Party Software 2 0 0 0 2 0 0 0 2 0 0 | Insecure Use of Hard Coded Constants | 0 | - | | | | | | - | - | | - | | | | |
| A7 Identification and Authentication Failures P N FPFP TP FN FP TN TP TN TN | A6 Vulnerable and Outdated Components | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Bypassing Authentication 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Brute Force Attacks 2 0 0 0 2 0 0 1 1 0 0 2 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 | A7 Identification and Authentication Failures | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Session Fixation 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 | | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| A8 Software and Data Integrity Failures P N FPFP TP FN FP TN TP | | 2 | 0 | | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Insecure Scope of Cookies | Session Fixation | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Insecure Descrialization | | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| A9 Security Logging and Monitoring Failures P N FPFP TP FN FP TN TN TN TP FN FP TN TN TP FN FP TN TN TN TP FN F | Insecure Scope of Cookies | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | | | 0 | 8 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| Improper Output Neutralization for Logs 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | Insecure Deserialization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| A10 Server-Side Request Forgery P N FPFP TP FN FP TN TP FN FP TN TP FN FP TN | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | A10 Server-Side Request Forgery | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Server-Side Request Forgery 0< | Server-Side Request Forgery | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 2.16: DAST tools output in relation to Piwigo - Part2

Results obtained in Shopizer

| Vulnerability | | | | | | | | | То | ols | | | | | |
|---|----|-------|------|----|------|-------|----|----|------|-------|----|----|--------|------|----|
| ID Name | | Total | | | OWAS | P ZAI | ? | | Burp | Suite | | | Iron ' | Wasp | |
| A1 Broken Access Control | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Bypassing Authorization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Path Traversal | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Remote File Inclusion | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cross-Site Request Forgery | 26 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 6 | 13 | 13 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 6 |
| A2 Cryptographic Failure | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Transmission of Information in Cleartext | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Untrusted/Invalid TLS Certificate | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| A3 Injection | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| OS Command Injection | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SQL Injection | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| LDAP Injection | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cross-Site Scripting | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| XPath Injection | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HTTP Response Splitting | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A4 Insecure Design | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Exposed Improper Error Handling | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Bad Security Design of Form Fields | 97 | 92 | 0 | 0 | 97 | 0 | 92 | 0 | 97 | 0 | 92 | 0 | 97 | 0 | 92 |
| Method Tampering | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A5 Security Misconfiguration | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| XML External Entities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bad Programming of Cookies | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Insecure Use of Hard Coded Constants | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A6 Vulnerable and Outdated Components | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Insecure/Vulnerable Third-Party Software | 0 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| A7 Identification and Authentication Failures | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Bypassing Authentication | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Brute Force Attacks | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Session Fixation | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| A8 Software and Data Integrity Failures | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Insecure Scope of Cookies | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Insecure Deserialization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A9 Security Logging and Monitoring Failures | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Improper Output Neutralization for Logs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A10 Server-Side Request Forgery | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Server-Side Request Forgery | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 2.17: DAST tools output in relation to Shopizer - Part1

| Vulnerability | | | | | | | | | To | ols | | | | | |
|---|----|-------|------|----|-----|-------|----|----|----|------|----|----|-------|--------|-------|
| ID Name | | Total | | | Acu | netix | | | Wa | piti | | OW | ASP Z | AP Plu | igins |
| A1 Broken Access Control | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Bypassing Authorization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Path Traversal | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| Remote File Inclusion | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cross-Site Request Forgery | 26 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 25 | 0 | 6 | 17 | 9 | 5 | 1 | 26 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| A2 Cryptographic Failure | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Transmission of Information in Cleartext | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Untrusted/Invalid TLS Certificate | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| A3 Injection | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| OS Command Injection | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SQL Injection | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| LDAP Injection | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cross-Site Scripting | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| XPath Injection | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HTTP Response Splitting | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A4 Insecure Design | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Exposed Improper Error Handling | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Bad Security Design of Form Fields | 97 | 92 | 0 | 0 | 97 | 0 | 92 | 0 | 97 | 0 | 92 | 0 | 97 | 0 | 92 |
| Method Tampering | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A5 Security Misconfiguration | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| XML External Entities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bad Programming of Cookies | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Insecure Use of Hard Coded Constants | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A6 Vulnerable and Outdated Components | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Insecure/Vulnerable Third-Party Software | 0 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 17 |
| A7 Identification and Authentication Failures | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Bypassing Authentication | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Brute Force Attacks | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Session Fixation | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| A8 Software and Data Integrity Failures | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Insecure Scope of Cookies | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Insecure Deserialization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A9 Security Logging and Monitoring Failures | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Improper Output Neutralization for Logs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A10 Server-Side Request Forgery | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Server-Side Request Forgery | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 2.18: DAST tools output in relation to Shopizer - Part2 $\,$

Results obtained in PhpBB

| Vulnerability | | | | | | | | | То | ols | | | | | |
|---|----|-------|------|----|------|-------|----|----|------|-------|----|----|--------|------|----|
| ID Name | | Total | | | OWAS | P ZAI |) | | Burp | Suite | | | Iron ' | Wasp | |
| A1 Broken Access Control | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Bypassing Authorization | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Path Traversal | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Remote File Inclusion | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cross-Site Request Forgery | 20 | 7 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 17 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 7 |
| A2 Cryptographic Failure | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Transmission of Information in Cleartext | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Untrusted/Invalid TLS Certificate | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| A3 Injection | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| OS Command Injection | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SQL Injection | 0 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 |
| LDAP Injection | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Cross-Site Scripting | 0 | 4 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 |
| XPath Injection | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HTTP Response Splitting | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A4 Insecure Design | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Exposed Improper Error Handling | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Bad Security Design of Form Fields | 7 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 21 |
| Method Tampering | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A5 Security Misconfiguration | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| XML External Entities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bad Programming of Cookies | 6 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| Insecure Use of Hard Coded Constants | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A6 Vulnerable and Outdated Components | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Insecure/Vulnerable Third-Party Software | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| A7 Identification and Authentication Failures | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Bypassing Authentication | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Brute Force Attacks | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Session Fixation | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| A8 Software and Data Integrity Failures | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Insecure Scope of Cookies | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Insecure Deserialization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A9 Security Logging and Monitoring Failures | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Improper Output Neutralization for Logs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A10 Server-Side Request Forgery | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Server-Side Request Forgery | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |

Table 2.19: DAST tools output in relation to PhpBB - Part1

| Vulnerability | | | | | | | | | To | ols | | | | | |
|---|----|-------|------|----|-----|-------|----|----|----|------|----|----|-------|--------|-------|
| ID Name | | Total | | | Acu | netix | | | Wa | piti | | OW | ASP Z | AP Plu | ıgins |
| A1 Broken Access Control | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Bypassing Authorization | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| Path Traversal | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Remote File Inclusion | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cross-Site Request Forgery | 20 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 17 | 0 | 7 | 9 | 11 | 2 | 5 | 20 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| A2 Cryptographic Failure | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Transmission of Information in Cleartext | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Untrusted/Invalid TLS Certificate | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| A3 Injection | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| OS Command Injection | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SQL Injection | 0 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 48 |
| LDAP Injection | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Cross-Site Scripting | 0 | 4 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 39 |
| XPath Injection | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HTTP Response Splitting | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A4 Insecure Design | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Exposed Improper Error Handling | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Bad Security Design of Form Fields | 7 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 21 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 22 |
| Method Tampering | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A5 Security Misconfiguration | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| XML External Entities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bad Programming of Cookies | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 6 |
| Insecure Use of Hard Coded Constants | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A6 Vulnerable and Outdated Components | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Insecure/Vulnerable Third-Party Software | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| A7 Identification and Authentication Failures | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Bypassing Authentication | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Brute Force Attacks | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Session Fixation | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| A8 Software and Data Integrity Failures | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Insecure Scope of Cookies | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Insecure Deserialization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A9 Security Logging and Monitoring Failures | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Improper Output Neutralization for Logs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A10 Server-Side Request Forgery | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Server-Side Request Forgery | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |

Table 2.20: DAST tools output in relation to PhpBB - Part2

Results obtained in WordPress

| Vulnerability | | | | | | | | | То | ols | | | | | |
|---|----|-------|------|----|------|-------|----|----|------|-------|----|----|--------|------|----|
| ID Name | | Total | | | OWAS | P ZAI |) | | Burp | Suite | | | Iron ' | Wasp | |
| A1 Broken Access Control | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Bypassing Authorization | 0 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| Path Traversal | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| Remote File Inclusion | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cross-Site Request Forgery | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 |
| A2 Cryptographic Failure | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Transmission of Information in Cleartext | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Untrusted/Invalid TLS Certificate | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| A3 Injection | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| OS Command Injection | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SQL Injection | 0 | 2 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 22 |
| LDAP Injection | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cross-Site Scripting | 1 | 14 | 42 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 1 | 42 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 56 |
| XPath Injection | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HTTP Response Splitting | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A4 Insecure Design | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Exposed Improper Error Handling | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| Bad Security Design of Form Fields | 10 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 16 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 16 |
| Method Tampering | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A5 Security Misconfiguration | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| XML External Entities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bad Programming of Cookies | 13 | 7 | 0 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 7 |
| Insecure Use of Hard Coded Constants | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A6 Vulnerable and Outdated Components | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Insecure/Vulnerable Third-Party Software | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| A7 Identification and Authentication Failures | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Bypassing Authentication | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Brute Force Attacks | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Session Fixation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A8 Software and Data Integrity Failures | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Insecure Scope of Cookies | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| Insecure Deserialization | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| A9 Security Logging and Monitoring Failures | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Improper Output Neutralization for Logs | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| A10 Server-Side Request Forgery | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Server-Side Request Forgery | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |

Table 2.21: DAST tools output in relation to WordPress - Part1

| D | Vulnerability | | | | | | | | | To | ols | | | | | |
|---|---|----|-------|------|----|-----|-------|----|----|----|------|----|----|-------|--------|-------|
| Bypassing Authorization | ID Name | | Total | | | Acu | netix | | | Wa | piti | | OW | ASP Z | AP Plu | igins |
| Path Traversal | A1 Broken Access Control | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Remote File Inclusion | Bypassing Authorization | 0 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| Cross-Site Request Forgery | Path Traversal | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| A2 Cryptographic Failure | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | 0 | 0 | | |
| Transmission of Information in Cleartext | | | | | | | | | | - | | | - | | | |
| Untrusted/Invalid TLS Certificate | | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| A3 Injection | | | | | | 0 | | 0 | | | _ | | | | | 0 |
| OS Command Injection | Untrusted/Invalid TLS Certificate | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| SQL Injection | A3 Injection | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| LDAP Injection | OS Command Injection | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Cross-Site Scripting | | 0 | 2 | 23 | 0 | 0 | | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | - | 0 | 4 | 21 |
| XPath Injection | LDAP Injection | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HTTP Response Splitting | | 1 | 14 | 42 | 0 | 1 | | 56 | 0 | | 0 | 56 | | 1 | 7 | 49 |
| A4 Insecure Design | XPath Injection | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Exposed Improper Error Handling | HTTP Response Splitting | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bad Security Design of Form Fields | A4 Insecure Design | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Method Tampering | | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 |
| A5 Security Misconfiguration | | 10 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 3 | 13 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 16 |
| XML External Entities | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bad Programming of Cookies | A5 Security Misconfiguration | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Insecure Use of Hard Coded Constants | XML External Entities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A6 Vulnerable and Outdated Components P N FPFP TP FN FP TN TM TM TM TM TM TM TM | Bad Programming of Cookies | 13 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 7 |
| Insecure Vulnerable Third-Party Software 2 0 0 0 2 0 0 0 2 0 0 | Insecure Use of Hard Coded Constants | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A7 Identification and Authentication Failures P N FPFP TP FN FP TN TP FN FP TN TP FN FP TN | A6 Vulnerable and Outdated Components | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Bypassing Authentication | Insecure/Vulnerable Third-Party Software | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Brute Force Attacks 2 0 0 0 2 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 2 0 0 Session Fixation 0 | A7 Identification and Authentication Failures | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| Session Fixation 0 | Bypassing Authentication | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| A8 Software and Data Integrity Failures P N FPFP TP FN FP TN TP TN TP FN FP TN TP | | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Insecure Scope of Cookies | Session Fixation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Insecure Descrialization | A8 Software and Data Integrity Failures | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| A9 Security Logging and Monitoring Failures P N FPFP TP FN FP TN TP TN TN | Insecure Scope of Cookies | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| Improper Output Neutralization for Logs | Insecure Deserialization | 0 | 2 | | | | | | | | - | | | | | |
| A10 Server-Side Request Forgery P N FPFP TP FN FP TN TP FN FP TN TP FN FP TN TP FN FP TN | A9 Security Logging and Monitoring Failures | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| | | | 8 | 0 | | 0 | | 8 | | | | 8 | | | | 8 |
| Server-Side Request Forgery 0 2 0 0 0 2 0 0 2 0 0 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 | A10 Server-Side Request Forgery | P | N | FPFP | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN | TP | FN | FP | TN |
| | Server-Side Request Forgery | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |

Table 2.22: DAST tools output in relation to WordPress - Part2

3. Performance Results for all Combinations of 2 and 3 DAST Tools without Weights

This chapter provides the rankings obtained for combinations 2 and 3 DAST tools regarding each of the scenarios without using weights. This ranking will follow the methodology proposed in section X of the mentioned thesis, mainly disjunction of the tools results.

Results obtained in Combinations of 2 Tools

| В | usines | s Criti | cal | | Metric | Tiebreaker | He | eighten | ed Cri | tical | | Metric | Tiebreaker |
|-------|--------|---------|-------|--------|----------------|-------------|------------|-----------|---------|-------|------|-------------|------------|
| Comb. | TP | FN | FP | TN | Recall | Precison | Comb. | TP | FN | FP | TN | Rec.*Infor. | Recall |
| C, F | 1890 | 1623 | 1714 | 2657 | 53.8% | 52.44% | C, F | 1890 | 1623 | 1714 | 2657 | 30.82% | 53.8% |
| B, F | 1779 | 1734 | 2198 | 2173 | 50.64% | 44.73% | B, F | 1779 | 1734 | 2198 | 2173 | 25.41% | 50.64% |
| E, F | 1591 | 1922 | 1447 | 2924 | 45.29% | 52.37% | A, F | 1692 | 1821 | 1697 | 2674 | 26.33% | 48.16% |
| A, C | 1649 | 1864 | 1606 | 2765 | 46.94% | 50.66% | A, C | 1649 | 1864 | 1606 | 2765 | 25.86% | 46.94% |
| A, F | 1692 | 1821 | 1697 | 2674 | 48.16% | 49.93% | E, F | 1591 | 1922 | 1447 | 2924 | 25.4% | 45.29% |
| A, B | 1584 | 1929 | 2126 | 2245 | 45.09% | 42.7% | A, B | 1584 | 1929 | 2126 | 2245 | 21.74% | 45.09% |
| D, F | 1450 | 2063 | 1142 | 3229 | 41.28% | 55.94% | A, E | 1548 | 1965 | 1462 | 2909 | 24.37% | 44.06% |
| C, E | 1421 | 2092 | 1172 | 3199 | 40.45% | 54.8% | D, F | 1450 | 2063 | 1142 | 3229 | 23.76% | 41.28% |
| A, E | 1548 | 1965 | 1462 | 2909 | 44.06% | 51.43% | C, E | 1421 | 2092 | 1172 | 3199 | 22.98% | 40.45% |
| A, D | 1318 | 2195 | 1110 | 3261 | 37.52% | 54.28% | A, D | 1318 | 2195 | 1110 | 3261 | 21.03% | 37.52% |
| B, E | 1362 | 2151 | 1734 | 2637 | 38.77% | 43.99% | В, Е | 1362 | 2151 | 1734 | 2637 | 19.21% | 38.77% |
| B, C | 1338 | 2175 | 1822 | 2549 | 38.09% | 42.34% | B, C | 1338 | 2175 | 1822 | 2549 | 18.36% | 38.09% |
| D, C | 1062 | 2451 | 753 | 3618 | 30.23% | 58.51% | B, D | 1088 | 2425 | 1360 | 3011 | 15.46% | 30.97% |
| B, D | 1088 | 2425 | 1360 | 3011 | 30.97% | 44.44% | D, C | 1062 | 2451 | 753 | 3618 | 17.08% | 30.23% |
| D, E | 1015 | 2498 | 617 | 3754 | 28.89% | 62.19% | D, E | 1015 | 2498 | 617 | 3754 | 16.58% | 28.89% |
| | Best | Effort | | | Metric | Tiebreaker | N | ⁄inimu | ım Effo | ort | | Metric | Tiebreaker |
| Comb. | TP | FN | FP | TN | F-measure | Recall | Comb. | TP | FN | FP | TN | Markedness | Precision |
| C, F | 1890 | 1623 | 1714 | 2657 | 53.11% | 53.8% | D, E | 1015 | 2498 | 617 | 3754 | 61.12% | 62.19% |
| B, F | 1779 | 1734 | 2198 | 2173 | 47.5% | 50.64% | D, C | 1062 | 2451 | 753 | 3618 | 59.06% | 58.51% |
| A, F | 1692 | 1821 | 1697 | 2674 | 49.03% | 48.16% | D, F | 1450 | 2063 | 1142 | 3229 | 58.48% | 55.94% |
| A, C | 1649 | 1864 | 1606 | 2765 | 48.73% | 46.94% | C, E | 1421 | 2092 | 1172 | 3199 | 57.63% | 54.8% |
| E, F | 1591 | 1922 | 1447 | 2924 | 48.57% | 45.29% | A, D | 1318 | 2195 | 1110 | 3261 | 57.03% | 54.28% |
| A, E | 1548 | 1965 | 1462 | 2909 | 47.46% | 44.06% | C, F | 1890 | 1623 | 1714 | 2657 | 57.26% | 52.44% |
| D, F | 1450 | 2063 | 1142 | 3229 | 47.5% | 41.28% | E, F | 1591 | 1922 | 1447 | 2924 | 56.35% | 52.37% |
| C, E | 1421 | 2092 | 1172 | 3199 | 46.54% | 40.45% | A, E | 1548 | 1965 | 1462 | 2909 | 55.56% | 51.43% |
| A, B | 1584 | 1929 | 2126 | 2245 | 43.86% | 45.09% | A, C | 1649 | 1864 | 1606 | 2765 | 55.2% | 50.66% |
| B, E | 1362 | 2151 | 1734 | 2637 | 41.22% | 38.77% | A, F | 1692 | 1821 | 1697 | 2674 | 54.71% | 49.93% |
| B, C | 1338 | 2175 | 1822 | 2549 | 40.1% | 38.09% | B, F | 1779 | 1734 | 2198 | 2173 | 50.18% | 44.73% |
| A, D | 1318 | 2195 | 1110 | 3261 | 44.37% | 37.52% | B, D | 1088 | 2425 | 1360 | 3011 | 49.92% | 44.44% |
| B, D | 1088 | 2425 | 1360 | 3011 | 36.5% | 30.97% | B, E | 1362 | 2151 | 1734 | 2637 | 49.53% | 43.99% |
| D, C | 1062 | 2451 | 753 | 3618 | 39.86% | 30.23% | A, B | 1584 | 1929 | 2126 | 2245 | 48.24% | 42.7% |
| D, E | 1015 | 2498 | 617 | 3754 | 39.46% | 28.89% | B, C | 1338 | 2175 | 1822 | 2549 | 48.15% | 42.34% |
| A - (| OWAS! | P ZAP | B - I | Burp S | uite C - Iro | on Wasp D | - Acunetix | (E - 1 | Wapiti | F-(| DWAS | P ZAP + Plu | igins |

Table 3.1: Ranking of Combination of 2 Tools by scenario

Results obtained in Combinations of 3 Tools

| В | usines | s Criti | cal | | Metric | Tiebreaker | Не | ighten | ed Cri | tical | | Metric | Tiebreaker |
|---------|--------|---------|-------|--------|----------------|-------------|------------|-----------|---------|-------|------|-------------|------------|
| Comb. | TP | FN | FP | TN | Recall | Precison | Comb. | TP | FN | FP | TN | Rec.*Infor. | Recall |
| C, E, F | 2116 | 1397 | 2066 | 2305 | 60.23% | 50.6% | A, C, F | 2132 | 1381 | 2270 | 2101 | 33.0% | 60.69% |
| A, C, F | 2132 | 1381 | 2270 | 2101 | 60.69% | 48.43% | C, E, F | 2116 | 1397 | 2066 | 2305 | 34.02% | 60.23% |
| D, C, F | 1983 | 1530 | 1790 | 2581 | 56.45% | 52.56% | D, C, F | 1983 | 1530 | 1790 | 2581 | 32.6% | 56.45% |
| A, C, E | 1963 | 1550 | 2031 | 2340 | 55.88% | 49.15% | A, C, E | 1963 | 1550 | 2031 | 2340 | 30.57% | 55.88% |
| A, E, F | 1935 | 1578 | 2025 | 2346 | 55.08% | 48.86% | B, C, F | 2059 | 1454 | 2721 | 1650 | 28.24% | 58.61% |
| B, E, F | 2014 | 1499 | 2509 | 1862 | 57.33% | 44.53% | A, B, F | 2032 | 1481 | 2712 | 1659 | 27.71% | 57.84% |
| B, C, F | 2059 | 1454 | 2721 | 1650 | 58.61% | 43.08% | B, E, F | 2014 | 1499 | 2509 | 1862 | 28.64% | 57.33% |
| A, B, F | 2032 | 1481 | 2712 | 1659 | 57.84% | 42.83% | A, E, F | 1935 | 1578 | 2025 | 2346 | 29.95% | 55.08% |
| A, D, F | 1803 | 1710 | 1771 | 2600 | 51.32% | 50.45% | B, D, F | 1889 | 1624 | 2256 | 2115 | 27.47% | 53.77% |
| B, D, F | 1889 | 1624 | 2256 | 2115 | 53.77% | 45.57% | A, B, E | 1870 | 1643 | 2512 | 1859 | 25.49% | 53.23% |
| A, B, E | 1870 | 1643 | 2512 | 1859 | 53.23% | 42.67% | A, D, F | 1803 | 1710 | 1771 | 2600 | 28.44% | 51.32% |
| A, B, C | 1799 | 1714 | 2626 | 1745 | 51.21% | 40.66% | D, E, F | 1754 | 1759 | 1526 | 2845 | 28.71% | 49.93% |
| D, E, F | 1754 | 1759 | 1526 | 2845 | 49.93% | 53.48% | A, D, C | 1721 | 1792 | 1683 | 2688 | 27.06% | 48.99% |
| A, D, E | 1689 | 1824 | 1539 | 2832 | 48.08% | 52.32% | A, D, E | 1689 | 1824 | 1539 | 2832 | 27.13% | 48.08% |
| A, D, C | 1721 | 1792 | 1683 | 2688 | 48.99% | 50.56% | D, C, E | 1536 | 1977 | 1251 | 3120 | 25.16% | 43.72% |
| В, С, Е | 1745 | 1768 | 2254 | 2117 | 49.67% | 43.64% | A, B, C | 1799 | 1714 | 2626 | 1745 | 23.33% | 51.21% |
| A, B, D | 1676 | 1837 | 2187 | 2184 | 47.71% | 43.39% | В, С, Е | 1745 | 1768 | 2254 | 2117 | 24.37% | 49.67% |
| D, C, E | 1536 | 1977 | 1251 | 3120 | 43.72% | 55.11% | A, B, D | 1676 | 1837 | 2187 | 2184 | 23.3% | 47.71% |
| B, D, E | 1553 | 1960 | 1795 | 2576 | 44.21% | 46.39% | B, D, E | 1553 | 1960 | 1795 | 2576 | 22.8% | 44.21% |
| B, D, C | 1448 | 2065 | 1884 | 2487 | 41.22% | 43.46% | B, D, C | 1448 | 2065 | 1884 | 2487 | 20.22% | 41.22% |
| | Best | Effort | | · | Metric | Tiebreaker | N | Iinimu | ım Effe | ort | | Metric | Tiebreaker |
| Comb. | TP | FN | FP | TN | F-measure | Recall | Comb. | TP | FN | FP | TN | Markedness | Precision |
| A, C, F | 2132 | 1381 | 2270 | 2101 | 53.87% | 60.69% | D, C, E | 1536 | 1977 | 1251 | 3120 | 58.16% | 55.11% |
| C, E, F | 2116 | 1397 | 2066 | 2305 | 55.0% | 60.23% | D, E, F | 1754 | 1759 | 1526 | 2845 | 57.63% | 53.48% |
| B, E, F | 2014 | 1499 | 2509 | 1862 | 50.12% | 57.33% | D, C, F | 1983 | 1530 | 1790 | 2581 | 57.67% | 52.56% |
| D, C, F | 1983 | 1530 | 1790 | 2581 | 54.43% | 56.45% | A, D, E | 1689 | 1824 | 1539 | 2832 | 56.57% | 52.32% |
| A, C, E | 1963 | 1550 | 2031 | 2340 | 52.3% | 55.88% | C, E, F | 2116 | 1397 | 2066 | 2305 | 56.43% | 50.6% |
| A, E, F | 1935 | 1578 | 2025 | 2346 | 51.79% | 55.08% | A, D, C | 1721 | 1792 | 1683 | 2688 | 55.28% | 50.56% |
| A, D, F | 1803 | 1710 | 1771 | 2600 | 50.88% | 51.32% | A, D, F | 1803 | 1710 | 1771 | 2600 | 55.39% | 50.45% |
| D, E, F | 1754 | 1759 | 1526 | 2845 | 51.64% | 49.93% | A, C, E | 1963 | 1550 | 2031 | 2340 | 54.65% | 49.15% |
| A, D, E | 1689 | 1824 | 1539 | 2832 | 50.11% | 48.08% | A, E, F | 1935 | 1578 | 2025 | 2346 | 54.32% | 48.86% |
| B, C, F | 2059 | 1454 | 2721 | 1650 | 49.66% | 58.61% | A, C, F | 2132 | 1381 | 2270 | 2101 | 54.39% | 48.43% |
| A, B, F | 2032 | 1481 | 2712 | 1659 | 49.22% | 57.84% | B, D, E | 1553 | 1960 | 1795 | 2576 | 51.59% | 46.39% |
| B, D, F | 1889 | 1624 | 2256 | 2115 | 49.33% | 53.77% | B, D, F | 1889 | 1624 | 2256 | 2115 | 51.07% | 45.57% |
| A, B, E | 1870 | 1643 | 2512 | 1859 | 47.37% | 53.23% | B, E, F | 2014 | 1499 | 2509 | 1862 | 49.96% | 44.53% |
| A, B, C | 1799 | 1714 | 2626 | 1745 | 45.33% | 51.21% | В, С, Е | 1745 | 1768 | 2254 | 2117 | 49.06% | 43.64% |
| В, С, Е | 1745 | 1768 | 2254 | 2117 | 46.46% | 49.67% | B, D, C | 1448 | 2065 | 1884 | 2487 | 49.05% | 43.46% |
| A, D, C | 1721 | 1792 | 1683 | 2688 | 49.76% | 48.99% | A, B, D | 1676 | 1837 | 2187 | 2184 | 48.85% | 43.39% |
| A, B, D | 1676 | 1837 | 2187 | 2184 | 45.44% | 47.71% | B, C, F | 2059 | 1454 | 2721 | 1650 | 48.12% | 43.08% |
| B, D, E | 1553 | 1960 | 1795 | 2576 | 45.27% | 44.21% | A, B, F | 2032 | 1481 | 2712 | 1659 | 47.83% | 42.83% |
| D, C, E | 1536 | 1977 | 1251 | 3120 | 48.76% | 43.72% | A, B, E | 1870 | 1643 | 2512 | 1859 | 47.88% | 42.67% |
| B, D, C | 1448 | 2065 | 1884 | 2487 | 42.31% | 41.22% | A, B, C | 1799 | 1714 | 2626 | 1745 | 45.55% | 40.66% |
| A - (| OWASI | P ZAP | B - I | Burp S | uite C - Iro | on Wasp D | - Acunetix | : E - \ | Wapiti | F-C | DWAS | P ZAP + Plu | igins |

Table 3.2: Ranking of Combination of 3 Tools by scenario

4. Performance Results for all Combinations of 2 DAST Tools with Weights

This chapter provides the rankings obtained for combinations 2 DAST tools regarding each of the scenarios per vulnerability using weights. This ranking will follow the methodology proposed in section X of the mentioned thesis, mainly following the weights approach. Here will provided too the tables with weights used.

Weights used for each tool regarding all vulnerabilities and scenarios

| Vulnerability | | Bypassing | Authoriza | ation | Vulnerability | | Path Tr | aversal | |
|-----------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------------|------------------|---|------------------|------------------|
| Tool | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Tool | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| OWASP ZAP | 0.1429 | 0.1429 | 0.1429 | 0.1529 | OWASP ZAP | 0.1279 | 0.1429 | 0.1429 | 0.1279 |
| Burp Suite | 0.1379 | 0.1379 | 0.1379 | 0.1279 | Burp Suite | 0.1379 | 0.1379 | 0.1379 | 0.1379 |
| Iron Wasp | 0.1329 | 0.1329 | 0.1329 | 0.1379 | Iron Wasp | 0.1329 | 0.1329 | 0.1329 | 0.1329 |
| Acunetix | 0.1279 | 0.1279 | 0.1279 | 0.1329 | Acunetix | 0.1429 | 0.1279 | 0.1279 | 0.1529 |
| Wapiti | 0.1529 | 0.1479 | 0.1479 | 0.1479 | Wapiti | 0.1479 | 0.1479 | 0.1479 | 0.1429 |
| OZ Plugins | 0.1479 | 0.1529 | 0.1529 | 0.1429 | OZ Plugins | 0.1529 | 0.1529 | 0.1529 | 0.1479 |
| Vulnerability | | | File Inclusi | | Vulnerability | | | quest Forg | |
| Tool | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Tool | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| OWASP ZAP | 0.1479 | 0.1479 | 0.1479 | 0.1529 | OWASP ZAP | 0.1479 | 0.1479 | 0.1479 | 0.1479 |
| Burp Suite | 0.1529 | 0.1529 | 0.1529 | 0.1479 | Burp Suite | 0.1429 | 0.1429 | 0.1429 | 0.1379 |
| Iron Wasp | 0.1329 | 0.1329 | 0.1329 | 0.1404 | Iron Wasp | 0.1279 | 0.1279 | 0.1279 | 0.1279 |
| Acunetix | 0.1429 | 0.1429 | 0.1429 | 0.1279 | Acunetix | 0.1329 | 0.1329 | 0.1329 | 0.1429 |
| Wapiti | 0.1329 | 0.1329 | 0.1329 | 0.1329 | Wapiti | 0.1379 | 0.1379 | 0.1379 | 0.1329 |
| OZ Plugins | 0.1329 | 0.1329 | 0.1329 | 0.1404 | OZ Plugins | 0.1529 | 0.1529 | 0.1529 | 0.1529 |
| Vulnerability | | | | in Cleartext | Vulnerability | | | id TLS cer | |
| Tool OWASP ZAP | 0.1204 | 2 | 3 | 0.1204 | Tool OWASP ZAP | 0.1254 | 2 | 3 | 0.1254 |
| | 0.1304 0.1529 | 0.1304 0.1529 | 0.1304 0.1529 | 0.1304 | | 0.1354 | 0.1354 0.1504 | 0.1354 0.1504 | 0.1354 |
| Burp Suite | | | | 0.1454 | Burp Suite | 0.1504 | | | 0.1504 |
| Iron Wasp Acunetix | 0.1479 0.1404 | 0.1479 0.1404 | 0.1479 0.1404 | 0.1454 0.1454 | Iron Wasp | 0.1354 0.1354 | 0.1354 0.1354 | 0.1354 0.1354 | 0.1354 0.1354 |
| Wapiti | 0.1404 | 0.1404 | 0.1404 | 0.1454 | Acunetix Wapiti | 0.1504 | 0.1334 | 0.1334 | 0.1504 |
| OZ Plugins | 0.1404 | 0.1404 | 0.1404 | 0.1434 | OZ Plugins | 0.1354 | 0.1354 | 0.1354 | 0.1354 |
| Vulnerability | 0.1504 | | nd Injection | | Vulnerability | 0.1334 | | jection | 0.1554 |
| Tool | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Tool | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| OWASP ZAP | 0.1479 | 0.1479 | 0.1479 | 0.1529 | OWASP ZAP | 0.1429 | 0.1429 | 0.1429 | 0.1379 |
| Burp Suite | 0.1379 | 0.1329 | 0.1329 | 0.1429 | Burp Suite | 0.1279 | 0.1279 | 0.1279 | 0.1279 |
| Iron Wasp | 0.1429 | 0.1429 | 0.1429 | 0.1479 | Iron Wasp | 0.1329 | 0.1329 | 0.1329 | 0.1479 |
| Acunetix | 0.1279 | 0.1279 | 0.1279 | 0.1279 | Acunetix | 0.1379 | 0.1379 | 0.1379 | 0.1529 |
| Wapiti | 0.1529 | 0.1479 | 0.1479 | 0.1479 | Wapiti | 0.1479 | 0.1479 | 0.1479 | 0.1429 |
| OZ Plugins | 0.1529 | 0.1529 | 0.1529 | 0.1379 | OZ Plugins | 0.1529 | 0.1529 | 0.1529 | 0.1329 |
| Vulnerability | | LDAI | P Injection | | Vulnerability | | Cross-Site | Scripting | |
| Tool | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Tool | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| OWASP ZAP | 0.1354 | 0.1354 | 0.1354 | 0.1379 | OWASP ZAP | 0.1529 | 0.1529 | 0.1479 | 0.1379 |
| Burp Suite | 0.1354 | 0.1354 | 0.1354 | 0.1279 | Burp Suite | 0.1429 | 0.1379 | 0.1329 | 0.1279 |
| Iron Wasp | 0.1354 | 0.1354 | 0.1354 | 0.1379 | Iron Wasp | 0.1329 | 0.1329 | 0.1379 | 0.1529 |
| Acunetix | 0.1529 | 0.1529 | 0.1529 | 0.1529 | Acunetix | 0.1379 | 0.1429 | 0.1429 | 0.1479 |
| Wapiti | 0.1354 | 0.1354 | 0.1354 | 0.1379 | Wapiti | 0.1279 | 0.1279 | 0.1279 | 0.1329 |
| OZ Plugins | 0.1479 | 0.1479 | 0.1479 | 0.1479 | OZ Plugins | 0.1479 | 0.1479 | 0.1529 | 0.1429 |
| Vulnerability | | | n Injection | | Vulnerability | | | nse Splitti | |
| Tool | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Tool | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| OWASP ZAP | 0.1304 | 0.1304 | 0.1304 | 0.1304 | OWASP ZAP | 0.1404 | 0.1404 | 0.1404 | 0.1404 |
| Burp Suite | 0.1379 | 0.1379 | 0.1379 | 0.1429 | Burp Suite | 0.1404 | 0.1404 | 0.1404 | 0.1404 |
| Iron Wasp | 0.1429 | 0.1429 | 0.1429 | 0.1529 | Iron Wasp | 0.1404 | 0.1404 | 0.1404 | 0.1404 |
| Acunetix | 0.1304 | 0.1304 | 0.1304 | 0.1304 | Acunetix | 0.1404 | 0.1404 | 0.1404 | 0.1404 |
| Wapiti | 0.1479 | 0.1479 | 0.1479 | 0.1479 | Wapiti | 0.1404 | 0.1404 | 0.1404 | 0.1404 |
| OZ Plugins | 0.1529 | 0.1529 | 0.1529 | 0.1379 | OZ Plugins | 0.1404 | 0.1404 | 0.1404 | 0.1404 |
| Vulnerability | | sed Impro | | | Vulnerability | | , | ign of Forn | |
| Tool | 0.1420 | 0.1420 | 3 | 0.1270 | Tool | 0.1270 | 0.1270 | 3 | 0.1404 |
| OWASP ZAP | 0.1429 | 0.1429 | 0.1429 | 0.1279 | OWASP ZAP | 0.1379 0.1379 | 0.1379 | 0.1379 | 0.1404 |
| Burp Suite | 0.1304 | 0.1304 0.1304 | 0.1304 | 0.1504 0.1504 | Burp Suite | 0.1379 | 0.1379 0.1529 | 0.1379 0.1529 | 0.1404 0.1529 |
| Iron Wasp Acunetix | 0.1304 0.1529 | 0.1304 | 0.1304 0.1529 | 0.1304 | Iron Wasp Acunetix | 0.1379 | 0.1329 | 0.1329 | 0.1529 |
| Wapiti | 0.1329 | 0.1329 | 0.1329 | 0.1379 | Wapiti | 0.1379 | 0.1379 | 0.1379 | 0.1279 |
| OZ Plugins | 0.1379 | 0.1379 | 0.1379 | 0.1429 | OZ Plugins | 0.1379 | 0.1379 | 0.1379 | 0.1404 |
| Vulnerability | 0.17/2 | | l Tamperir | | Vulnerability | | | nal Entitie | |
| Tool | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Tool | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| OWASP ZAP | 0.1479 | 0.1479 | 0.1479 | 0.1379 | OWASP ZAP | 0.1379 | 0.1379 | 0.1379 | 0.1379 |
| Burp Suite | 0.1379 | 0.1379 | 0.1379 | 0.1379 | Burp Suite | 0.1379 | 0.1379 | 0.1379 | 0.1379 |
| Iron Wasp | 0.1304 | 0.1304 | 0.1304 | 0.1304 | Iron Wasp | 0.1379 | 0.1379 | 0.1379 | 0.1379 |
| Acunetix | 0.1304 | 0.1304 | 0.1304 | 0.1304 | Acunetix | 0.1379 | 0.1379 | 0.1379 | 0.1379 |
| Wapiti | 0.1379 | 0.1379 | 0.1379 | 0.1479 | Wapiti | 0.1529 | 0.1529 | 0.1529 | 0.1529 |
| OZ Plugins | 0.1529 | 0.1529 | 0.1529 | 0.1529 | OZ Plugins | 0.1379 | 0.1379 | 0.1379 | 0.1379 |
| Vulnerability | | d Progran | | | Vulnerability | | | Coded Co: | |
| Tool | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Tool | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| OWASP ZAP | 0.1304 | 0.1304 | 0.1304 | 0.1304 | OWASP ZAP | 0.1404 | 0.1404 | 0.1404 | 0.1404 |
| | | 1 | | | | | | | |

| Burp Suite 0.1379 0.1379 0.1379 0.1429 Burp Suite 0.1404 0.1404 0.1404 0.1404 Caunetix 0.1304 0.1304 0.1304 0.1304 0.1304 0.1304 0.1304 0.1304 0.1407 0.1479 0.1404 0.1504 0.1504 0.1454 0.1454 0.1454 0.1454 0.1454 0.1454 0.1454 0.1404 0.1404 0.1404 0.1404 0.1504 0.1404 0.1504 0.1459 0.1329 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|--------------|--------------|----------------|---------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|----------|--|--|--|
| Iron Wasp | 0.1429 | 0.1429 | 0.1429 | 0.1529 | Iron Wasp | 0.1404 | 0.1404 | 0.1404 | 0.1404 | | | |
| Acunetix | 0.1304 | 0.1304 | 0.1304 | 0.1304 | Acunetix | 0.1404 | 0.1404 | 0.1404 | 0.1404 | | | |
| Wapiti | 0.1479 | 0.1479 | 0.1479 | 0.1479 | Wapiti | 0.1404 | 0.1404 | 0.1404 | 0.1404 | | | |
| OZ Plugins | | | | | OZ Plugins | 0.1404 | 0.1404 | 0.1404 | 0.1404 | | | |
| Vulnerability | Insecure | /Vulnerab | le Third-Pa | arty Software | Vulnerability | Ву | passing A | uthenticati | on | | | |
| Tool | 1 | | | 4 | Tool | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | | | |
| OWASP ZAP | 0.1529 | 0.1529 | 0.1529 | 0.1379 | OWASP ZAP | 0.1454 | 0.1454 | 0.1454 | 0.1454 | | | |
| Burp Suite | 0.1404 | 0.1404 | 0.1404 | 0.1504 | Burp Suite | 0.1454 | 0.1454 | 0.1454 | 0.1454 | | | |
| Iron Wasp | 0.1329 | 0.1329 | 0.1329 | 0.1379 | Iron Wasp | 0.1329 | 0.1329 | 0.1329 | 0.1329 | | | |
| Acunetix | 0.1404 | 0.1404 | 0.1404 | 0.1504 | Acunetix | 0.1329 | 0.1329 | 0.1329 | 0.1329 | | | |
| Wapiti | 0.1479 | 0.1479 | 0.1479 | 0.1429 | Wapiti | 0.1329 | 0.1329 | 0.1329 | 0.1329 | | | |
| OZ Plugins | 0.1329 | 0.1329 | 0.1329 | 0.1404 | OZ Plugins | 0.1529 | 0.1529 | 0.1529 | 0.1529 | | | |
| Vulnerability | | Brute F | orce Attacl | KS | Vulnerability | | Session | Fixation | | | | |
| Tool | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Tool | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | | | |
| OWASP ZAP | 0.1479 | 0.1479 | 0.1479 | 0.1379 | OWASP ZAP | 0.1379 | 0.1379 | 0.1379 | 0.1379 | | | |
| Burp Suite | 0.1379 | 0.1379 | 0.1379 | 0.1279 | Burp Suite | 0.1379 | 0.1379 | 0.1379 | 0.1379 | | | |
| Iron Wasp | 0.1304 | 0.1304 | 0.1304 | 0.1504 | Iron Wasp | 0.1529 | 0.1529 | 0.1529 | 0.1529 | | | |
| Acunetix | 0.1304 | 0.1304 | 0.1304 | 0.1304 | Acunetix | 0.1379 | 0.1379 | 0.1379 | 0.1379 | | | |
| Wapiti | 0.1479 | 0.1529 | 0.1479 | 0.1529 | Wapiti | 0.1379 | 0.1379 | 0.1379 | 0.1379 | | | |
| OZ Plugins | 0.1529 | 0.1529 | 0.1529 | 0.1529 | OZ Plugins | 0.1379 | 0.1379 | 0.1379 | 0.1379 | | | |
| Vulnerability | | Insecure So | cope of Co | okies | Vulnerability | Ir | secure De | serializatio | on | | | |
| Tool | 1 | | | | Tool | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | | | |
| OWASP ZAP | 0.1304 | 0.1304 | 0.1304 | 0.1304 | OWASP ZAP | 0.1404 | 0.1404 | 0.1404 | 0.1404 | | | |
| Burp Suite | 0.1379 | 0.1379 | 0.1379 | 0.1429 | Burp Suite | 0.1404 | 0.1404 | 0.1404 | 0.1404 | | | |
| Iron Wasp | 0.1429 | 0.1429 | 0.1429 | 0.1529 | Iron Wasp | 0.1404 | 0.1404 | 0.1404 | 0.1404 | | | |
| Acunetix | 0.1304 | 0.1304 | 0.1304 | 0.1304 | Acunetix | 0.1404 | 0.1404 | 0.1404 | 0.1404 | | | |
| Wapiti | 0.1479 | 0.1479 | 0.1479 | 0.1479 | Wapiti | 0.1404 | 0.1404 | 0.1404 | 0.1404 | | | |
| OZ Plugins | 0.1529 | 0.1529 | 0.1529 | 0.1379 | OZ Plugins | 0.1404 | 0.1404 | 0.1404 | 0.1404 | | | |
| Vulnerability | Imprope | er Output 1 | Neutraliza | tion for Logs | Vulnerability | Serv | ver-Side Re | equest For | gery | | | |
| Tool | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Tool | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | | | |
| OWASP ZAP | 0.1479 | 0.1479 | 0.1479 | 0.1279 | OWASP ZAP | 0.1329 | 0.1429 | 0.1379 | 0.1329 | | | |
| Burp Suite | 0.1329 | 0.1329 | 0.1329 | 0.1429 | Burp Suite | 0.1479 | 0.1479 | 0.1479 | 0.1379 | | | |
| Iron Wasp | 0.1404 | 0.1404 | 0.1404 | 0.1504 | Iron Wasp | 0.1429 | 0.1379 | 0.1429 | 0.1529 | | | |
| Acunetix | 0.1404 | 0.1404 | 0.1404 | 0.1504 | Acunetix | 0.1529 | 0.1529 | 0.1529 | 0.1429 | | | |
| Wapiti | 0.1279 | 0.1279 | 0.1279 | 0.1379 | Wapiti | 0.1379 | 0.1329 | 0.1329 | 0.1479 | | | |
| OZ Plugins | 0.1529 | 0.1529 | 0.1529 | 0.1329 | OZ Plugins | 0.1279 | 0.1279 | 0.1279 | 0.1279 | | | |
| | 1 - Busii | ness Critica | al 2 - Hei | ghtened Critic | al 3 - Best Effor | rt 4 - Miı | nimum Eff | ort | <u> </u> | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 4.1: Weights of each tool for each scenario regarding all the vulnerabilities

Results obtained in A1: Broken Access Control

| | | | | | A | 1: Broken A | ccess Contr | ol | | | | | |
|--------------|-----------|------------|---------|------------|------------------|------------------|--------------|-----------|------------|-------|------------|------------------|------------------|
| В | usines | s Criti | cal | | Metric | Tiebreaker | He | ighten | ed Cri | tical | | Metric | Tiebreaker |
| Comb. | TP | FN | FP | TN | Recall | Precison | Comb. | TP | FN | FP | TN | Rec.*Infor. | Recall |
| | | | | | | Bypassing A | uthorizatio | n | | | | | |
| A, E | 65 | 64 | 10 | 25 | 50.39% | 86.67% | A, F | 69 | 60 | 12 | 23 | 31.88% | 53.49% |
| В, Е | 65 | 64 | 10 | 25 | 50.39% | 86.67% | B, F | 69 | 60 | 12 | 23 | 31.88% | 53.49% |
| C, E | 65 | 64 | 10 | 25 | 50.39% | 86.67% | C, F | 69 | 60 | 12 | 23 | 31.88% | 53.49% |
| D, E | 65 | 64 | 10 | 25 | 50.39% | 86.67% | D, F | 69 | 60 | 12 | 23 | 31.88% | 53.49% |
| E, F | 65 | 64 | 10 | 25 | 50.39% | 86.67% | E, F | 69 | 60 | 12 | 23 | 31.88% | 53.49% |
| A, F | 69 | 60 | 12 | 23 | 53.49% | 85.19% | A, E | 65 | 64 | 10 | 25 | 30.69% | 50.39% |
| B, F | 69 | 60 | 12 | 23 | 53.49% | 85.19% | B, E | 65 | 64 | 10 | 25 | 30.69% | 50.39% |
| C, F | 69 | 60 | 12 | 23 | 53.49% | 85.19% | C, E | 65 | 64 | 10 | 25 | 30.69% | 50.39% |
| D, F | 69 | 60 | 12 | 23 | 53.49% | 85.19% | D, E | 65 | 64 | 10 | 25 | 30.69% | 50.39% |
| A, B | 44 | 85 | 1 | 34 | 34.11% | 97.78% | A, B | 44 | 85 | 1 | 34 | 22.38% | 34.11% |
| A, C A, D | 44 | 85 85 | 1 | 34 | 34.11% 34.11% | 97.78% 97.78% | A, C A, D | 44 | 85 85 | 1 | 34 34 | 22.38% 22.38% | 34.11% 34.11% |
| B, C | 31 | 98 | 0 | 35 | 24.03% | 100.0% | B, C | 31 | 98 | 0 | 35 | 14.9% | 24.03% |
| B, D | 31 | 98 | 0 | 35 | 24.03% | 100.0% | B, D | 31 | 98 | 0 | 35 | 14.9% | 24.03% |
| C, D | 10 | 119 | 1 | 34 | 7.75% | 90.91% | C, D | 10 | 119 | 1 | 34 | 4.07% | 7.75% |
| С, Б | 10 | 117 | 1 | 91 | 7.7570 | Path Tr | | 10 | 117 | 1 | 54 | 4.07 /0 | 7.7570 |
| A, F | 98 | 56 | 95 | 63 | 63.64% | 50.78% | A, F | 98 | 56 | 95 | 63 | 32.93% | 63.64% |
| B, F | 98 | 56 | 95 | 63 | 63.64% | 50.78% | B, F | 98 | 56 | 95 | 63 | 32.93% | 63.64% |
| C, F | 98 | 56 | 95 | 63 | 63.64% | 50.78% | C, F | 98 | 56 | 95 | 63 | 32.93% | 63.64% |
| D, F | 98 | 56 | 95 | 63 | 63.64% | 50.78% | D, F | 98 | 56 | 95 | 63 | 32.93% | 63.64% |
| E, F | 98 | 56 | 95 | 63 | 63.64% | 50.78% | E, F | 98 | 56 | 95 | 63 | 32.93% | 63.64% |
| A, E | 34 | 120 | 28 | 130 | 22.08% | 54.84% | A, E | 34 | 120 | 28 | 130 | 11.52% | 22.08% |
| B, E | 34 | 120 | 28 | 130 | 22.08% | 54.84% | B, E | 34 | 120 | 28 | 130 | 11.52% | 22.08% |
| C, E | 34 | 120 | 28 | 130 | 22.08% | 54.84% | C, E | 34 | 120 | 28 | 130 | 11.52% | 22.08% |
| D, E | 34 | 120 | 28 | 130 | 22.08% | 54.84% | D, E | 34 | 120 | 28 | 130 | 11.52% | 22.08% |
| A, B | 5 | 149 | 0 | 158 | 3.25% | 100.0% | A, B | 6 | 148 | 2 | 156 | 2.0% | 3.9% |
| B, C | 5 | 149 | 0 | 158 | 3.25% | 100.0% | A, C | 6 | 148 | 2 | 156 | 2.0% | 3.9% |
| A, C | 3 | 151 | 0 | 158 | 1.95% | 100.0% | A, D | 6 | 148 | 2 | 156 | 2.0% | 3.9% |
| A, D | 2 | 152 | 0 | 158 | 1.3% | 100.0% | B, C | 5 | 149 | 0 | 158 | 1.68% | 3.25% |
| B, D | 2 | 152 | 0 | 158 | 1.3% | 100.0% | B, D | 5 | 149 | 0 | 158 | 1.68% | 3.25% |
| C, D | 2 | 152 | 0 | 158 | 1.3% | 100.0% | C, D | 3 | 151 | 0 | 158 | 0.99% | 1.95% |
| | | | | | | Remote Fil | | | | | | | |
| A, B | 59 | 49 | 2 | 4 | 54.63% | 96.72% | A, B | 59 | 49 | 2 | 4 | 33.13% | 54.63% |
| B, C | 59 | 49 | 2 | 4 | 54.63% | 96.72% | B, C | 59 | 49 | 2 | 4 | 33.13% | 54.63% |
| B, D | 59 | 49 | 2 | 4 | 54.63% | 96.72% | B, D | 59 | 49 | 2 | 4 | 33.13% | 54.63% |
| B, E | 59 59 | 49 | 2 | 4 | 54.63% | 96.72% | B, E | 59 59 | 49 | 2 | 4 | 33.13% 33.13% | 54.63% |
| B, F A, C | 44 | 64 | 0 | 6 | 54.63% 40.74% | 96.72% 100.0% | B, F A, C | 44 | 64 | 0 | 6 | 28.67% | 54.63% 40.74% |
| A, D | 44 | 64 | 0 | 6 | 40.74% | 100.0% | A, D | 44 | 64 | 0 | 6 | 28.67% | 40.74% |
| A, E | 44 | 64 | 0 | 6 | 40.74% | 100.0% | A, E | 44 | 64 | 0 | 6 | 28.67% | 40.74% |
| A, F | 44 | 64 | 0 | 6 | 40.74% | 100.0% | A, F | 44 | 64 | 0 | 6 | 28.67% | 40.74% |
| C, D | 36 | 72 | 1 | 5 | 33.33% | 97.3% | C, D | 36 | 72 | 1 | 5 | 19.44% | 33.33% |
| D, E | 36 | 72 | 1 | 5 | 33.33% | 97.3% | D, E | 36 | 72 | 1 | 5 | 19.44% | 33.33% |
| D, F | 36 | 72 | 1 | 5 | 33.33% | 97.3% | D, F | 36 | 72 | 1 | 5 | 19.44% | 33.33% |
| C, E | 0 | 108 | 0 | 6 | 0.0% | 0.0% | C, E | 0 | 108 | 0 | 6 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| C, F | 0 | 108 | 0 | 6 | 0.0% | 0.0% | C, F | 0 | 108 | 0 | 6 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| E, F | 0 | 108 | 0 | 6 | 0.0% | 0.0% | E, F | 0 | 108 | 0 | 6 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| | | | | | | ross-Site Re | | ry | | | | | |
| A, F | 288 | 18 | 142 | 78 | 94.12% | 66.98% | A, F | 288 | 18 | 142 | 78 | 60.98% | 94.12% |
| B, F | 288 | 18 | 142 | 78 | 94.12% | 66.98% | B, F | 288 | 18 | 142 | 78 | 60.98% | 94.12% |
| C, F | 288 | 18 | 142 | 78 | 94.12% | 66.98% | C, F | 288 | 18 | 142 | 78 | 60.98% | 94.12% |
| D, F | 288 | 18 | 142 | 78 | 94.12% | 66.98% | D, F | 288 | 18 | 142 | 78 | 60.98% | 94.12% |
| E, F | 288 | 18 | 142 | 78 | 94.12% | 66.98% | E, F | 288 | 18 | 142 | 78 | 60.98% | 94.12% |
| A, B | 260 | 46 | 119 | 101 | 84.97% | 68.6% | A, B | 260 | 46 | 119 | 101 | 55.6% | 84.97% |
| A, C | 260 | 46 | 119 | 101 | 84.97% | 68.6% | A, C | 260 | 46 | 119 | 101 | 55.6% | 84.97% |
| A, D | 260 | 46 | 119 | 101 | 84.97% | 68.6% | A, D | 260 | 46 | 119 | 101 | 55.6% | 84.97% |
| A, E | 260 | 46 | 119 | 101 | 84.97% | 68.6% | A, E | 260 | 46 | 119 | 101 | 55.6% | 84.97% |
| B, D | 159 | 147 | 55 | 165 | 51.96% | 74.3% | B, C | 159 | 147 | 55 | 165 | 32.98% | 51.96% |
| B, C | 159 | 147 | 55 | 165 | 51.96% | 74.3% | B, D | 159 | 147 | 55 | 165 | 32.98% | 51.96% |
| B, E | 159 | 147 | 55 | 165 | 51.96% | 74.3% | B, E | 159 | 147 | 55 | 165 | 32.98% | 51.96% |
| C, E | 127 | 179 | 53 | 167 | 41.5% | 70.56% | C, E | 127 | 179 | 53 | 167 | 24.36% | 41.5% |
| D, E C, D | 127 48 | 179 258 | 53 9 | 167 211 | 41.5% | 70.56% | D, E C, D | 127 48 | 179 258 | 53 | 167 211 | 24.36% 8.75% | 41.5% 15.69% |
| C, D | 40 | 238 | ۶ | 411 | 15.69% | 84.21% | C, D | 40 | 238 | ا ا | 411 | 0.75% | 13.09% |

Table 4.2: Ranking of combinations of 2 SAST tools regarding their performance in category A1: Broken Access Control - Business and Heightened Critical Scenarios

| | | | | | A | 1: Broken A | ccess Contr | ol | | | | | |
|-------|-----|----------|------------|----------|------------------|-------------|--------------|-----|---------|-----|-----|------------|------------------|
| | | Effort | | | Metric | Tiebreaker | | | ım Effo | | | Metric | Tiebreaker |
| Comb. | TP | FN | FP | TN | F-measure | Recall | Comb. | TP | FN | FP | TN | Markedness | Precision |
| | | | | | | | uthorizatio | n | | | | | |
| A, F | 69 | 60 | 12 | 23 | 65.71% | 53.49% | B, D | 1 | 128 | 0 | 35 | 60.74% | 100.0% |
| B, F | 69 | 60 | 12 | 23 | 65.71% | 53.49% | A, B | 44 | 85 | 1 | 34 | 63.17% | 97.78% |
| C, F | 69 | 60 | 12 | 23 | 65.71% | 53.49% | A, C | 44 | 85 | 1 | 34 | 63.17% | 97.78% |
| D, F | 69 | 60 | 12 | 23 | 65.71% | 53.49% | A, D | 44 | 85 | 1 | 34 | 63.17% | 97.78% |
| E, F | 69 | 60 | 12 | 23 | 65.71% | 53.49% | A, E | 44 | 85 | 1 | 34 | 63.17% | 97.78% |
| A, E | 65 | 64 | 10 | 25 | 63.73% | 50.39% | A, F | 44 | 85 | 1 | 34 | 63.17% | 97.78% |
| B, E | 65 | 64 | 10 | 25 | 63.73% | 50.39% | B, C | 10 | 119 | 1 | 34 | 56.57% | 90.91% |
| C, E | 65 | 64 | 10 | 25 | 63.73% | 50.39% | C, D | 10 | 119 | 1 | 34 | 56.57% | 90.91% |
| D, E | 65 | 64 | 10 | 25 | 63.73% | 50.39% | B, E | 65 | 64 | 10 | 25 | 57.38% | 86.67% |
| A, B | 44 | 85 | 1 | 34 | 50.57% | 34.11% | C, E | 65 | 64 | 10 | 25 | 57.38% | 86.67% |
| A, C | 44 | 85 | 1 | 34 | 50.57% | 34.11% | D, E | 65 | 64 | 10 | 25 | 57.38% | 86.67% |
| A, D | 44 | 85 | 1 | 34 | 50.57% | 34.11% | E, F | 65 | 64 | 10 | 25 | 57.38% | 86.67% |
| B, C | 31 | 98 | 0 | 35 | 38.75% | 24.03% | B, F | 69 | 60 | 12 | 23 | 56.45% | 85.19% |
| B, D | 31 | 98 | 0 | 35 | 38.75% | 24.03% | C, F | 69 | 60 | 12 | 23 | 56.45% | 85.19% |
| C, D | 10 | 119 | 1 | 34 | 14.29% | 7.75% | D, F | 69 | 60 | 12 | 23 | 56.45% | 85.19% |
| | - | | | | | Path Tr | | | 1 | | | | |
| A, F | 98 | 56 | 95 | 63 | 56.48% | 63.64% | A, B | 5 | 149 | 0 | 158 | 75.73% | 100.0% |
| B, F | 98 | 56 | 95 | 63 | 56.48% | 63.64% | B, C | 5 | 149 | 0 | 158 | 75.73% | 100.0% |
| C, F | 98 | 56 | 95 | 63 | 56.48% | 63.64% | A, C | 3 | 151 | 0 | 158 | 75.57% | 100.0% |
| D, F | 98 | 56 | 95 | 63 | 56.48% | 63.64% | A, D | 2 | 152 | 0 | 158 | 75.48% | 100.0% |
| E, F | 98 | 56 | 95 | 63 | 56.48% | 63.64% | B, D | 2 | 152 | 0 | 158 | 75.48% | 100.0% |
| A, E | 34 | 120 | 28 | 130 | 31.48% | 22.08% | C, D | 2 | 152 | 0 | 158 | 75.48% | 100.0% |
| B, E | 34 | 120 | 28 | 130 | 31.48% | 22.08% | D, E | 2 | 152 | 0 | 158 | 75.48% | 100.0% |
| C, E | 34 | 120 | 28 | 130 | 31.48% | 22.08% | D, F | 2 | 152 | 0 | 158 | 75.48% | 100.0% |
| D, E | 34 | 120 | 28 | 130 | 31.48% | 22.08% | A, E | 34 | 120 | 28 | 130 | 53.42% | 54.84% |
| A, B | 6 | 148 | 2 | 156 | 7.41% | 3.9% | В, Е | 34 | 120 | 28 | 130 | 53.42% | 54.84% |
| A, C | 6 | 148 | 2 | 156 | 7.41% | 3.9% | C, E | 34 | 120 | 28 | 130 | 53.42% | 54.84% |
| A, D | 6 | 148 | 2 | 156 | 7.41% | 3.9% | A, F | 98 | 56 | 95 | 63 | 51.86% | 50.78% |
| B, C | 5 | 149 | 0 | 158 | 6.29% | 3.25% | B, F | 98 | 56 | 95 | 63 | 51.86% | 50.78% |
| B, D | 5 | 149 | 0 | 158 | 6.29% | 3.25% | C, F | 98 | 56 | 95 | 63 | 51.86% | 50.78% |
| C, D | 3 | 151 | 0 | 158 | 3.82% | 1.95% | E, F | 98 | 56 | 95 | 63 | 51.86% | 50.78% |
| С, Б | | 101 | | 130 | 3.0270 | | e Inclusion | 70 | _ 50 |)3 | 0.5 | 31.0070 | 30.7676 |
| A, B | 59 | 49 | 2 | 4 | 69.82% | 54.63% | A, B | 44 | 64 | 0 | 6 | 54.29% | 100.0% |
| B, C | 59 | 49 | 2 | 4 | 69.82% | 54.63% | A, C | 44 | 64 | 0 | 6 | 54.29% | 100.0% |
| B, D | 59 | 49 | 2 | 4 | 69.82% | 54.63% | A, D | 44 | 64 | 0 | 6 | 54.29% | 100.0% |
| В, Е | 59 | 49 | 2 | 4 | 69.82% | 54.63% | A, E | 44 | 64 | 0 | 6 | 54.29% | 100.0% |
| B, F | 59 | 49 | 2 | 4 | 69.82% | 54.63% | A, E | 44 | 64 | 0 | 6 | 54.29% | 100.0% |
| A, C | 44 | 64 | 0 | 6 | 57.89% | 40.74% | B, C | 59 | 49 | 2 | 4 | 52.13% | 96.72% |
| A, D | 44 | 64 | 0 | 6 | 57.89% | 40.74% | B, D | 59 | 49 | 2 | 4 | 52.13% | 96.72% |
| A, E | 44 | 64 | 0 | 6 | 57.89% | 40.74% | В, Е | 59 | 49 | 2 | 4 | 52.13% | 96.72% |
| A, E | 44 | 64 | 0 | 6 | 57.89% | 40.74% | B, F | 59 | 49 | 2 | 4 | 52.13% | 96.72% |
| C, D | 36 | 72 | 1 | 5 | 49.66% | 33.33% | C, D | 0 | 108 | 0 | 6 | 2.63% | 0.0% |
| D, E | 36 | 72 | 1 | 5 | 49.66% | 33.33% | C, E | 0 | 108 | 0 | 6 | 2.63% | 0.0% |
| D, E | 36 | 72 | 1 | 5 | 49.66% | 33.33% | C, E | 0 | 108 | 0 | 6 | 2.63% | 0.0% |
| C, E | 0 | 108 | 0 | 6 | 0.0% | 0.0% | D, E | 0 | 108 | 0 | 6 | 2.63% | 0.0% |
| C, E | 0 | 108 | 0 | 6 | 0.0% | 0.0% | D, E | 0 | 108 | 0 | 6 | 2.63% | 0.0% |
| E, F | 0 | 108 | 0 | 6 | 0.0% | 0.0% | E, F | 0 | 108 | 0 | 6 | 2.63% | 0.0% |
| Е, Г | | 100 | U | U | | | quest Forge: | | 100 | U | U | 2.03 /0 | 0.0 /0 |
| A, F | 288 | 18 | 142 | 78 | 78.26% | 94.12% | A, F | 288 | 18 | 142 | 78 | 74.11% | 66.98% |
| B, F | 288 | 18 | 142 | 78 78 | 78.26% | 94.12% | B, F | 288 | 18 | 142 | 78 | 74.11% | 66.98% |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| C, F | 288 | 18 | 142 142 | 78 78 | 78.26% | 94.12% | C, F | 288 | 18 | 142 | 78 | 74.11% | 66.98% 66.98% |
| D, F | 288 | 18 18 | 142 | 78 78 | 78.26% 78.26% | 94.12% | D, F | 288 | 18 | 142 | 78 | 74.11% | |
| E, F | 288 | | | | | 94.12% | E, F | 288 | 18 | 142 | 78 | 74.11% | 66.98% 68.6% |
| A, B | 260 | 46 | 119 | 101 | 75.91% | 84.97% | A, B | 260 | 46 | 119 | 101 | 68.65% | |
| A, C | 260 | 46 | 119 | 101 | 75.91% | 84.97% | A, C | 260 | 46 | 119 | 101 | 68.65% | 68.6% |
| A, D | 260 | 46 | 119 | 101 | 75.91% | 84.97% | A, D | 260 | 46 | 119 | 101 | 68.65% | 68.6% |
| A, E | 260 | 46 | 119 | 101 | 75.91% | 84.97% | A, E | 260 | 46 | 119 | 101 | 68.65% | 68.6% |

| B, C | 159 | 147 | 55 | 165 | 61.15% | 51.96% | B, D | 48 | 258 | 9 | 211 | 64.6% | 84.21% |
|-------|------|-----|-------|--------|----------------|------------|-------------|---------|--------|-----|------|-------------|--------|
| B, D | 159 | 147 | 55 | 165 | 61.15% | 51.96% | C, D | 48 | 258 | 9 | 211 | 64.6% | 84.21% |
| B, E | 159 | 147 | 55 | 165 | 61.15% | 51.96% | D, E | 48 | 258 | 9 | 211 | 64.6% | 84.21% |
| C, E | 127 | 179 | 53 | 167 | 52.26% | 41.5% | B, C | 159 | 147 | 55 | 165 | 63.59% | 74.3% |
| D, E | 127 | 179 | 53 | 167 | 52.26% | 41.5% | B, E | 159 | 147 | 55 | 165 | 63.59% | 74.3% |
| C, D | 48 | 258 | 9 | 211 | 26.45% | 15.69% | C, E | 127 | 179 | 53 | 167 | 59.41% | 70.56% |
| A - C | WASI | ZAP | B - B | urp Sı | iite C - Iro | n Wasp D | - Accunetix | (E - | Wapiti | F-(| OWAS | P ZAP + Plı | ıgins |

Table 4.3: Ranking of combinations of 2 SAST tools regarding their performance in category A1: Broken Access Control - Best and Minimum Effort Scenarios

Results obtained in A2: Cryptographic Failures

| | | | | | A | 2: Cryptogra | aphic Failur | es | | | | | |
|-------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------------|---------------|--------------|---------|--------|---------|------|-------------|------------|
| В | usines | s Critic | cal | | Metric | Tiebreaker | He | ighten | ed Cri | tical | | Metric | Tiebreaker |
| Comb. | TP | FN | FP | TN | Recall | Precison | Comb. | TP | FN | FP | TN | Rec.*Infor. | Recall |
| | | | | | | ssion of Info | | Clearte | ext | | | | |
| D, E | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100.0% | 100.0% | D, E | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| A, B | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 88.89% | 100.0% | A, B | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 88.89% | 88.89% |
| B, C | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 88.89% | 100.0% | B, C | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 88.89% | 88.89% |
| B, D | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 88.89% | 100.0% | B, D | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 88.89% | 88.89% |
| B, E | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 88.89% | 100.0% | B, E | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 88.89% | 88.89% |
| B, F | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 88.89% | 100.0% | B, F | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 88.89% | 88.89% |
| A, C | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 77.78% | 100.0% | A, C | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 77.78% | 77.78% |
| C, D | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 77.78% | 100.0% | C, D | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 77.78% | 77.78% |
| C, E | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 77.78% | 100.0% | C, E | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 77.78% | 77.78% |
| C, F | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 77.78% | 100.0% | C, F | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 77.78% | 77.78% |
| A, D | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 66.67% | 100.0% | A, D | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 66.67% | 66.67% |
| A, E | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 66.67% | 100.0% | A, E | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 66.67% | 66.67% |
| D, F | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 66.67% | 100.0% | D, F | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 66.67% | 66.67% |
| E, F | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 66.67% | 100.0% | E, F | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 66.67% | 66.67% |
| A, F | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, F | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| | | | | | Untr | usted/Inval | id TLS certi | ficate | | | | | |
| A, B | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 50.0% | 100.0% | A, B | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 37.5% | 50.0% |
| A, E | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 50.0% | 100.0% | A, E | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 37.5% | 50.0% |
| B, C | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 50.0% | 100.0% | B, C | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 37.5% | 50.0% |
| B, D | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 50.0% | 100.0% | B, D | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 37.5% | 50.0% |
| B, E | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 50.0% | 100.0% | B, E | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 37.5% | 50.0% |
| B, F | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 50.0% | 100.0% | B, F | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 37.5% | 50.0% |
| C, E | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 50.0% | 100.0% | C, E | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 37.5% | 50.0% |
| D, E | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 50.0% | 100.0% | D, E | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 37.5% | 50.0% |
| E, F | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 50.0% | 100.0% | E, F | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 37.5% | 50.0% |
| A, C | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, C | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| A, D | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, D | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| A, F | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, F | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| C, D | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0.0% | 0.0% | C, D | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| C, F | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0.0% | 0.0% | C, F | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| D, F | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0.0% | 0.0% | D, F | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| A - C | DWASI | PZAP | B - B | urp Su | uite C - Iro | on Wasp D | - Accunetion | (E - | Wapiti | i F-0 | OWAS | SP ZAP + Pl | ugins |

Table 4.4: Ranking of combinations of 2 SAST tools regarding their performance in category A2: Cryptographic Failures - Business and Heightened Critical Scenarios

| | | | | | A | 2: Cryptogra | aphic Failur | es | | | | | |
|-------|------|--------|----|----|-----------|---------------|--------------|---------|---------|-----|----|------------|------------|
| | Best | Effort | | | Metric | Tiebreaker | N | /linimu | ım Effo | ort | | Metric | Tiebreaker |
| Comb. | TP | FN | FP | TN | F-measure | Recall | Comb. | TP | FN | FP | TN | Markedness | Precision |
| | | | | | Transmis | ssion of Info | rmation in (| Clearte | ext | | | | |
| D, E | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100.0% | 100.0% | D, E | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| A, B | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 94.12% | 88.89% | A, B | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 50.0% | 100.0% |
| B, C | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 94.12% | 88.89% | A, C | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 50.0% | 100.0% |
| B, D | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 94.12% | 88.89% | A, D | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 50.0% | 100.0% |
| B, E | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 94.12% | 88.89% | A, E | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 50.0% | 100.0% |
| B, F | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 94.12% | 88.89% | B, E | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 50.0% | 100.0% |

| 1 C | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 87.5% | 77.78% | рг | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 50.0% | 100.00/ |
|------|------|------|-------|---------|----------------|-------------|--------------|---------|---|---|------|--------|---------|
| A, C | | 2 | 0 | - | | | B, F | 8 | 1 | 0 | | | 100.0% |
| C, D | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 87.5% | 77.78% | C, D | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 50.0% | 100.0% |
| C, E | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 87.5% | 77.78% | C, F | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 50.0% | 100.0% |
| C, F | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 87.5% | 77.78% | D, F | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 50.0% | 100.0% |
| A, D | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 80.0% | 66.67% | E, F | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 50.0% | 100.0% |
| A, E | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 80.0% | 66.67% | B, C | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50.0% | 100.0% |
| D, F | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 80.0% | 66.67% | B, D | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50.0% | 100.0% |
| E, F | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 80.0% | 66.67% | C, E | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50.0% | 100.0% |
| A, F | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, F | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| | | | · | | Untr | usted/Inval | id TLS certi | ficate | | | | | |
| A, B | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 66.67% | 50.0% | A, B | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 93.75% | 100.0% |
| A, E | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 66.67% | 50.0% | A, E | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 93.75% | 100.0% |
| B, C | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 66.67% | 50.0% | B, C | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 93.75% | 100.0% |
| B, D | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 66.67% | 50.0% | B, D | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 93.75% | 100.0% |
| B, E | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 66.67% | 50.0% | B, E | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 93.75% | 100.0% |
| B, F | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 66.67% | 50.0% | B, F | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 93.75% | 100.0% |
| C, E | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 66.67% | 50.0% | C, E | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 93.75% | 100.0% |
| D, E | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 66.67% | 50.0% | D, E | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 93.75% | 100.0% |
| E, F | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 66.67% | 50.0% | E, F | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 93.75% | 100.0% |
| A, C | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, C | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 38.89% | 0.0% |
| A, D | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, D | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 38.89% | 0.0% |
| A, F | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, F | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 38.89% | 0.0% |
| C, D | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0.0% | 0.0% | C, D | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 38.89% | 0.0% |
| C, F | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0.0% | 0.0% | C, F | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 38.89% | 0.0% |
| D, F | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0.0% | 0.0% | D, F | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 38.89% | 0.0% |
| , | WASI | PZAP | B - B | Surp Si | uite C - Iro | | | C E - | | - | OWAS | | |
| | | | | | | - | | | - | | | | |

Table 4.5: Ranking of combinations of 2 SAST tools regarding their performance in category A2: Cryptographic Failures - Best and Minimum Effort Scenarios

Results obtained in A3: Injection

| Comb. TP FN FP TN Recall Recision Comb. TP FN FP TN Rec.*Infor. Rec.*Infor. <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>A3: Inj</th> <th>ection</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> | | | | | | | A3: Inj | ection | | | | | | |
|--|-------|----------|---------|-----|-----|--------|------------|-------------|----------|--------|-------|-----|-------------|------------|
| Command Injection | В | Susines | s Criti | cal | | Metric | Tiebreaker | He | eighten | ed Cri | tical | | Metric | Tiebreaker |
| A, F 159 103 80 45 60.69% 66.53% A, F 159 103 80 45 29.34% 60. B, F 159 103 80 45 60.69% 66.53% B, F 159 103 80 45 29.34% 60. C, F 159 103 80 45 60.69% 66.53% C, F 159 103 80 45 29.34% 60. D, F 159 103 80 45 60.69% 66.53% D, F 159 103 80 45 29.34% 60. E, F 159 103 80 45 60.69% 66.53% E, F 159 103 80 45 29.34% 60. A, B 99 163 0 125 37.79% 100.0% A, C 99 163 0 125 36.03% 37. A, D 99 163 0 125 37 | Comb. | TP | FN | FP | TN | Recall | Precison | Comb. | TP | FN | FP | TN | Rec.*Infor. | Recall |
| B, F 159 103 80 45 60.69% 66.53% B, F 159 103 80 45 29.34% 60. C, F 159 103 80 45 60.69% 66.53% C, F 159 103 80 45 29.34% 60. D, F 159 103 80 45 60.69% 66.53% D, F 159 103 80 45 29.34% 60. E, F 159 103 80 45 60.69% 66.53% E, F 159 103 80 45 29.34% 60. A, B 99 163 0 125 37.79% 100.0% A, B 99 163 0 125 37.79% 100.0% A, C 99 163 0 125 37.79% 100.0% A, E 99 163 0 125 37.79% 100.0% A, E 99 163 0 125 26.03% 37. | | <u>'</u> | | | , | | Command | l Injection | <u>'</u> | | | | | |
| C, F 159 103 80 45 60.69% 66.53% C, F 159 103 80 45 29.34% 60. D, F 159 103 80 45 60.69% 66.53% D, F 159 103 80 45 29.34% 60. E, F 159 103 80 45 60.69% 66.53% E, F 159 103 80 45 29.34% 60. A, B 99 163 0 125 37.79% 100.0% A, B 99 163 0 125 37.79% 100.0% A, C 99 163 0 125 26.03% 37. A, D 99 163 0 125 37.79% 100.0% A, D 99 163 0 125 26.03% 37. A, E 99 163 0 125 37.79% 100.0% A, E 99 163 0 125 26.03% <t< td=""><td>A, F</td><td>159</td><td>103</td><td>80</td><td>45</td><td>60.69%</td><td></td><td></td><td>159</td><td>103</td><td>80</td><td>45</td><td>29.34%</td><td>60.69%</td></t<> | A, F | 159 | 103 | 80 | 45 | 60.69% | | | 159 | 103 | 80 | 45 | 29.34% | 60.69% |
| D, F 159 103 80 45 60.69% 66.53% D, F 159 103 80 45 29.34% 60. E, F 159 103 80 45 60.69% 66.53% E, F 159 103 80 45 29.34% 60. A, B 99 163 0 125 37.79% 100.0% A, B 99 163 0 125 26.03% 37. A, C 99 163 0 125 37.79% 100.0% A, C 99 163 0 125 26.03% 37. A, D 99 163 0 125 37.79% 100.0% A, E 99 163 0 125 26.03% 37. B, C 22 240 0 125 8.4% 100.0% A, E 99 163 0 125 26.03% 37. B, C 22 240 0 125 8.4% | B, F | 159 | 103 | 80 | 45 | 60.69% | 66.53% | B, F | 159 | 103 | 80 | 45 | 29.34% | 60.69% |
| E, F | C, F | | | | 1 1 | | | | | | 1 | | | 60.69% |
| A, B 99 163 0 125 37.79% 100.0% A, B 99 163 0 125 26.03% 37. A, C 99 163 0 125 37.79% 100.0% A, C 99 163 0 125 26.03% 37. A, D 99 163 0 125 37.79% 100.0% A, D 99 163 0 125 26.03% 37. A, E 99 163 0 125 37.79% 100.0% A, E 99 163 0 125 26.03% 37. B, C 22 240 0 125 8.4% 100.0% B, C 22 240 0 125 4.55% 8. C, D 22 240 0 125 8.4% 100.0% C, D 22 240 0 125 4.55% 8. C, E 22 240 0 125 8.4% 100.0% C, E 22 240 0 125 4.55% 8. C, E 22 240 0 125 8.4% 100.0% C, E 22 240 0 125 4.55% 8. B, D 19 243 0 125 7.25% 100.0% B, E 21 241 19 106 3.72% 8.0 B, E 19 243 0 125 7.25% 100.0% D, E 21 241 19 106 3.72% 8.0 D, E 21 241 19 106 8.02% 52.5% B, D 19 243 0 125 3.89% 7.2 SQL Injection A, F 241 258 157 199 48.3% 60.55% A, F 241 258 157 199 25.16% 48 C, F 241 258 157 199 48.3% 60.55% C, F 241 258 157 199 25.16% 48 D, F 241 258 157 199 48.3% 60.55% D, F 241 258 157 199 25.16% 48 E, F 241 258 157 199 48.3% 60.55% D, F 241 258 157 199 25.16% 48 E, F 241 258 157 199 48.3% 60.55% D, F 241 258 157 199 25.16% 48 E, F 241 258 157 199 48.3% 60.55% E, F 241 258 157 199 25.16% 48 | D, F | 159 | 103 | 80 | | 60.69% | 66.53% | D, F | 159 | 103 | 80 | 45 | 29.34% | 60.69% |
| A, C 99 163 0 125 37.79% 100.0% A, C 99 163 0 125 26.03% 37. A, D 99 163 0 125 37.79% 100.0% A, D 99 163 0 125 26.03% 37. A, E 99 163 0 125 37.79% 100.0% A, E 99 163 0 125 26.03% 37. B, C 22 240 0 125 8.4% 100.0% B, C 22 240 0 125 4.55% 8. C, D 22 240 0 125 8.4% 100.0% C, D 22 240 0 125 4.55% 8. C, E 22 240 0 125 8.4% 100.0% C, E 22 240 0 125 4.55% 8. B, D 19 243 0 125 7.25% 1 | | | | 80 | | | | | | | 80 | | | 60.69% |
| A, D 99 163 0 125 37.79% 100.0% A, D 99 163 0 125 26.03% 37. A, E 99 163 0 125 37.79% 100.0% A, E 99 163 0 125 26.03% 37. B, C 22 240 0 125 8.4% 100.0% B, C 22 240 0 125 4.55% 8. C, D 22 240 0 125 8.4% 100.0% C, D 22 240 0 125 4.55% 8. C, E 22 240 0 125 8.4% 100.0% C, E 22 240 0 125 4.55% 8. B, D 19 243 0 125 7.25% 100.0% B, E 21 241 19 106 3.72% 8.0 D, E 21 241 19 106 8.02% 5 | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | 37.79% |
| A, E 99 163 0 125 37.79% 100.0% A, E 99 163 0 125 26.03% 37. B, C 22 240 0 125 8.4% 100.0% B, C 22 240 0 125 4.55% 8. C, D 22 240 0 125 8.4% 100.0% C, D 22 240 0 125 4.55% 8. C, E 22 240 0 125 8.4% 100.0% C, E 22 240 0 125 4.55% 8. C, E 22 240 0 125 8.4% 100.0% C, E 22 240 0 125 4.55% 8. B, D 19 243 0 125 7.25% 100.0% B, E 21 241 19 106 3.72% 8.0 B, E 19 243 0 125 7.25% 100.0% D, E 21 241 19 106 3.72% 8.0 D, E 21 241 19 106 8.02% 52.5% B, D 19 243 0 125 3.89% 7.2 SQL Injection A, F 241 258 157 199 48.3% 60.55% A, F 241 258 157 199 25.16% 48 C, F 241 258 157 199 48.3% 60.55% C, F 241 258 157 199 25.16% 48 D, F 241 258 157 199 48.3% 60.55% D, F 241 258 157 199 25.16% 48 E, F 241 258 157 199 48.3% 60.55% D, F 241 258 157 199 25.16% 48 E, F 241 258 157 199 48.3% 60.55% E, F 241 258 157 199 25.16% 48 | | 1 11 | | 0 | | | | | | | 0 | | | 37.79% |
| B, C 22 240 0 125 8.4% 100.0% B, C 22 240 0 125 4.55% 8. C, D 22 240 0 125 8.4% 100.0% C, D 22 240 0 125 4.55% 8. C, E 22 240 0 125 8.4% 100.0% C, E 22 240 0 125 4.55% 8. B, D 19 243 0 125 7.25% 100.0% B, E 21 241 19 106 3.72% 8.0 B, E 19 243 0 125 7.25% 100.0% D, E 21 241 19 106 3.72% 8.0 D, E 21 241 19 106 8.02% 52.5% B, D 19 243 0 125 3.89% 7.2 SQL Injection A, F 241 258 157 </td <td></td> <td>1 11</td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>37.79%</td> | | 1 11 | | 0 | | | | | | | 0 | | | 37.79% |
| C, D 22 240 0 125 8.4% 100.0% C, D 22 240 0 125 4.55% 8. C, E 22 240 0 125 8.4% 100.0% C, E 22 240 0 125 4.55% 8. B, D 19 243 0 125 7.25% 100.0% B, E 21 241 19 106 3.72% 8.0 D, E 21 241 19 106 8.02% 52.5% B, D 19 243 0 125 3.89% 7.2 SQL Injection A, F 241 258 157 199 48.3% 60.55% A, F 241 258 157 199 25.16% 48 B, F 241 258 157 199 48.3% 60.55% B, F 241 258 157 199 25.16% 48 C, F 241 258 | | 1 11 | | | | | | | | | | | | 37.79% |
| C, E 22 240 0 125 8.4% 100.0% C, E 22 240 0 125 4.55% 8. B, D 19 243 0 125 7.25% 100.0% B, E 21 241 19 106 3.72% 8.0 B, E 19 243 0 125 7.25% 100.0% D, E 21 241 19 106 3.72% 8.0 D, E 21 241 19 106 8.02% 52.5% B, D 19 243 0 125 3.89% 7.2 SQL Injection A, F 241 258 157 199 48.3% 60.55% A, F 241 258 157 199 25.16% 48 B, F 241 258 157 199 48.3% 60.55% B, F 241 258 157 199 25.16% 48 C, F 241 258 | | | | - | | | | | | | - | | | 8.4% |
| B, D 19 243 0 125 7.25% 100.0% B, E 21 241 19 106 3.72% 8.0 B, E 19 243 0 125 7.25% 100.0% D, E 21 241 19 106 3.72% 8.0 D, E 21 241 19 106 8.02% 52.5% B, D 19 243 0 125 3.89% 7.2 SQL Injection A, F 241 258 157 199 48.3% 60.55% A, F 241 258 157 199 25.16% 48 B, F 241 258 157 199 48.3% 60.55% C, F 241 258 157 199 25.16% 48 C, F 241 258 157 199 48.3% 60.55% D, F 241 258 157 199 25.16% 48 D, F 241 258 157 199 48.3% 60.55% D, F 241 258 157 199 25.16% 48 E, F 241 258 157 199 48.3% 60.55% E, F 241 258 157 199 25.16% 48 | | | | 0 | | | | | | | | | | 8.4% |
| B, E | | | | - | 1 1 | | | | | | | | | 8.4% |
| D, E 21 241 19 106 8.02% 52.5% B, D 19 243 0 125 3.89% 7.2 SQL Injection A, F 241 258 157 199 48.3% 60.55% A, F 241 258 157 199 25.16% 48 B, F 241 258 157 199 48.3% 60.55% B, F 241 258 157 199 25.16% 48 C, F 241 258 157 199 48.3% 60.55% C, F 241 258 157 199 25.16% 48 D, F 241 258 157 199 48.3% 60.55% D, F 241 258 157 199 25.16% 48 E, F 241 258 157 199 48.3% 60.55% D, F 241 258 157 199 25.16% 48 E, F 241 | , | | | 0 | | | | | | | | | | 8.02% |
| SQL Injection A, F 241 258 157 199 48.3% 60.55% A, F 241 258 157 199 25.16% 48 B, F 241 258 157 199 48.3% 60.55% B, F 241 258 157 199 25.16% 48 C, F 241 258 157 199 48.3% 60.55% C, F 241 258 157 199 25.16% 48 D, F 241 258 157 199 48.3% 60.55% D, F 241 258 157 199 25.16% 48 E, F 241 258 157 199 48.3% 60.55% E, F 241 258 157 199 25.16% 48 | | | 243 | - | 125 | | | | | 241 | 19 | | 3.72% | 8.02% |
| A, F 241 258 157 199 48.3% 60.55% A, F 241 258 157 199 25.16% 48 B, F 241 258 157 199 48.3% 60.55% B, F 241 258 157 199 25.16% 48 C, F 241 258 157 199 48.3% 60.55% C, F 241 258 157 199 25.16% 48 D, F 241 258 157 199 48.3% 60.55% D, F 241 258 157 199 25.16% 48 E, F 241 258 157 199 48.3% 60.55% E, F 241 258 157 199 25.16% 48 | D, E | 21 | 241 | 19 | 106 | 8.02% | | | 19 | 243 | 0 | 125 | 3.89% | 7.25% |
| B, F 241 258 157 199 48.3% 60.55% B, F 241 258 157 199 25.16% 48 C, F 241 258 157 199 48.3% 60.55% C, F 241 258 157 199 25.16% 48 D, F 241 258 157 199 48.3% 60.55% D, F 241 258 157 199 25.16% 48 E, F 241 258 157 199 48.3% 60.55% E, F 241 258 157 199 25.16% 48 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| C, F 241 258 157 199 48.3% 60.55% C, F 241 258 157 199 25.16% 48 D, F 241 258 157 199 48.3% 60.55% D, F 241 258 157 199 25.16% 48 E, F 241 258 157 199 48.3% 60.55% E, F 241 258 157 199 25.16% 48 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 48.3% |
| D, F 241 258 157 199 48.3% 60.55% D, F 241 258 157 199 25.16% 48 E, F 241 258 157 199 48.3% 60.55% E, F 241 258 157 199 25.16% 48 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 48.3% |
| E, F 241 258 157 199 48.3% 60.55% E, F 241 258 157 199 25.16% 48 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 48.3% |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 48.3% |
| Δ F | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | 48.3% |
| | A, E | 180 | 319 | 54 | 302 | 36.07% | 76.92% | A, E | 180 | 319 | 54 | 302 | 21.81% | 36.07% |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 36.07% |
| | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | 36.07% |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 36.07% |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 34.07% |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 34.07% |
| | A, D | | | 9 | | | | | | | 9 | | | 34.07% |
| | | | | | 1 1 | | | | | | - | | | 24.65% |
| C, D 123 376 0 356 24.65% 100.0% C, D 123 376 0 356 15.36% 24. | C, D | 123 | 376 | 0 | 356 | 24.65% | 100.0% | C, D | 123 | 376 | 0 | 356 | 15.36% | 24.65% |

| | B, C | 74 | 425 | 5 | 351 | 14.83% | 93.67% | B, C | 74 | 425 | 5 | 351 | 8.41% | 14.83% |
|--|-------|-------|-----|---------|--------|----------------|-------------|-------------|------|--------|-----------|------|-------------|---------|
| B, D | , | · | | | | | | | · | | | | | |
| C, D | | | 12 | | | | | | | | | 27 | | |
| D, E 17 12 5 27 58,62% 77,27% D, E 17 12 5 27 41,91% 58,62% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D, F | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A, F 3 26 3 29 10.34% 50.0% A, F 3 26 3 29 5.22% 10.34% C, F 3 26 3 29 5.22% 10.34% A, B 0 29 0 32 20.0% 0.0% A, B 0 29 0 32 0.0% 0.0% A, C 0 29 0 32 0.0% 0.0% A, C 0 29 0 32 0.0% 0.0% A, E 0 29 0 32 0.0% 0.0% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B, F 3 26 3 29 10.34% 50.0% B, F 3 26 3 29 5.22% 10.34% E, F 3 26 3 29 5.22% 10.34% A, B 0 29 0 32 0.0% 0.0% A, C 0 29 0 32 0.0% 0.0% A, E 0 29 0 32 0.0% 0.0% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| C, F 3 26 3 29 10.34% 50.0% C, F 3 26 3 29 5.22% 10.34% A, B 0 29 0 32 0.0% 0.0% A, B 0 29 0 32 0.0% 0.0% A, C 0 29 0 32 0.0% 0.0% A, C 0 29 0 32 0.0% 0.0% A, E 0 29 0 32 0.0% 0.0% A, E 0 29 0 32 0.0% 0.0% B, C 0 29 0 32 0.0% 0.0% A, E 0 29 0 32 0.0% 0.0% B, E 0 29 0 32 0.0% 0.0% A, E 0 29 0 32 0.0% 0.0% B, E 0 29 0 32 0.0% 0.0% B, E 0 29 0 32 0.0% 0.0% C, E 0 29 0 32 0.0% 0.0% B, E 0 29 0 32 0.0% 0.0% A, D 301 147 179 93 67.19% 62.71% A, B 301 147 179 93 34.06% 67.19% A, D 301 147 179 93 67.19% 62.71% A, B 301 147 179 93 34.06% 67.19% A, E 301 147 179 93 67.19% 62.71% A, B 301 147 179 93 34.06% 67.19% A, E 301 147 179 93 67.19% 62.71% A, B 301 147 179 93 34.06% 67.19% A, F 301 147 179 93 67.19% 62.71% A, E 301 147 179 93 34.06% 67.19% A, F 301 147 179 93 67.19% 62.71% A, E 301 147 179 93 34.06% 67.19% A, F 301 147 179 93 67.19% 62.71% A, E 301 147 179 93 34.06% 67.19% A, F 301 147 179 93 67.19% 62.71% A, E 301 147 179 93 34.06% 67.19% A, F 301 147 179 10 27.238 81.51% B, F 299 799 121 151 34.69% 60.04% C, F 299 799 121 151 60.04% 68.97% C, F 299 799 121 151 34.69% 60.04% B, F 299 799 121 151 60.04% 68.97% E, F 299 799 121 151 34.69% 60.04% B, C 194 254 44 228 43.3% 81.51% B, E 194 254 44 228 27.53% 43.3% B, E 194 254 44 228 43.3% 81.51% B, E 194 254 44 228 27.53% 43.3% B, E 194 254 44 228 43.3% 81.51% B, E 194 257 10 272 27.56% 39.51% D, E 177 271 0 272 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E, F 3 26 3 29 10.34% 50.0% E, F 3 26 3 29 5.22% 10.34% A, B 0 29 0 32 0.0% 0.0% A, C 0 29 0 32 0.0% 0.0% A, E 0 0 32 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% A, E 0 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% A, E 0 0 3 0 2 0.0% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A, B 0 29 0 32 0.0% 0.0% A, B 0 29 0 32 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% A, E 0 29 0 32 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% A, E 0 29 0 32 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% B, E 0 29 0 32 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% B, E 0 29 0 32 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% B, E 0 29 0 32 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% B, E 0 29 0 32 0.0% 0.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A, C 0 29 0 32 0.0% 0.0% A, C 0 29 0 32 0.0% 0.0% B, C 0 29 0 32 0.0% 0.0% B, E 0 29 0 32 0.0% 0.0% B, E 0 29 0 32 0.0% | | _ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A, E | | _ | | - | | | | | | | | | | |
| B, C | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B, E | | _ | | _ | | | | | | | - | | | |
| C,E | | _ | | - | | | | | | | | | | |
| A, B 301 147 179 93 67.19% 62.71% A, B 301 147 179 93 34.06% 67.19% A, C 301 147 179 93 67.19% 62.71% A, C 301 147 179 93 34.06% 67.19% A, D 301 147 179 93 67.19% 62.71% A, D 301 147 179 93 34.06% 67.19% A, E 301 147 179 93 67.19% 62.71% A, D 301 147 179 93 34.06% 67.19% A, E 301 147 179 93 67.19% 62.71% A, E 301 147 179 93 34.06% 67.19% A, E 301 147 179 93 67.19% 62.71% A, E 301 147 179 93 34.06% 67.19% A, E 301 147 179 93 40.66% 67.19% A, E 301 147 179 93 40.66% 67.19% A, E 301 147 179 93 40.66% 67.19% A, E 301 147 179 93 34.06% 67.19% A, E 301 147 179 147 179 121 151 14.69% 60.04% A, E 301 147 179 179 121 151 14.69% 60.04% A, E 301 147 179 121 151 14.69% 60.04% A, E 301 147 179 121 151 14.69% 60.04% A, E 301 147 147 147 147 147 147 147 147 147 14 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A, B 301 147 179 93 67.19% 62.71% A, B 301 147 179 93 34.06% 67.19% A, C 301 147 179 93 67.19% 62.71% A, C 301 147 179 93 34.06% 67.19% A, E 301 147 179 93 67.19% 62.71% A, E 301 147 179 93 34.06% 67.19% A, E 301 147 179 93 67.19% 62.71% A, E 301 147 179 93 34.06% 67.19% A, F 301 147 179 93 67.19% 62.71% A, E 301 147 179 93 34.06% 67.19% B, F 269 179 121 151 60.04% 68.97% B, F 269 179 121 151 34.69% 60.04% C, F 269 179 121 151 60.04% 68.97% C, F 269 179 121 151 34.69% 60.04% C, F 269 179 121 151 60.04% 68.97% C, F 269 179 121 151 34.69% 60.04% E, F 269 179 121 151 60.04% 68.97% D, F 269 179 121 151 34.69% 60.04% E, F 269 179 121 151 60.04% 68.97% B, F 269 179 121 151 34.69% 60.04% E, F 269 179 121 151 60.04% 68.97% B, F 194 254 44 228 43.3% 81.51% B, C 194 254 44 228 27.53% 35.1% C, D 177 271 0 272 39.51% 100.0% C, D 177 271 0 272 27.55% 39.51% D, E 177 271 0 272 39.51% 100.0% C, D 177 271 0 272 27.56% 39.51% C, E 121 327 2 270 27.01% 98.37% C, E 121 327 2 270 17.05% 27.01% B, F 7 15 13 8 31.82% 35.0% D, F 7 15 13 8 11.12% 31.82% B, F 7 15 13 8 31.82% 35.0% D, F 7 15 13 8 11.12% 31.82% B, F 7 15 13 8 31.82% 35.0% D, F 7 15 13 8 11.12% 31.82% B, F 7 15 13 8 31.82% 35.0% D, F 7 15 13 8 11.12% 31.82% B, E 4 18 3 18 18.18% 57.14% D, E 4 18 3 18 94.5% 18.18% B, E 4 18 3 18 18.18% 57.14% D, | C, E | | | | - J | 0.070 | | Scripting | | | | - J | 0.070 | 0.070 |
| A, C 301 147 179 93 67.19% 62.71% A, C 301 147 179 93 34.06% 67.19% A, E 301 147 179 93 67.19% 62.71% A, E 301 147 179 93 34.06% 67.19% A, F 301 147 179 93 67.19% 62.71% A, E 301 147 179 93 34.06% 67.19% A, F 301 147 179 93 67.19% 62.71% A, F 301 147 179 93 34.06% 67.19% A, F 301 147 179 93 67.19% 62.71% A, E 301 147 179 93 34.06% 67.19% A, F 301 147 179 93 67.19% 62.71% A, F 301 147 179 93 34.06% 67.19% A, F 301 147 179 93 67.19% 62.71% A, F 301 147 179 93 34.06% 67.19% A, F 301 147 179 93 67.19% 62.71% A, F 301 147 179 93 34.06% 67.19% C, F 269 179 121 151 60.04% 68.97% B, F 269 179 121 151 34.69% 60.04% E, F 269 179 121 151 60.04% 68.97% B, F 269 179 121 151 34.69% 60.04% B, C 194 254 44 228 43.3% 81.51% B, E 194 254 44 228 27.53% 43.3% B, E 194 254 44 228 43.3% 81.51% B, E 194 254 44 228 27.53% 43.3% B, E 194 254 44 228 43.3% 81.51% B, D 177 271 0 272 27.56% 39.51% C, D 177 271 0 272 39.51% 100.0% C, D 177 271 0 272 27.56% 39.51% C, E 121 327 2 270 27.01% 98.37% C, E 121 327 2 270 17.05% 27.01% A, F 7 15 13 8 31.82% 35.0% A, F 7 15 13 8 11.12% 31.82% C, F 7 15 13 8 31.82% 35.0% A, F 7 15 13 8 11.12% 31.82% C, F 7 15 13 8 31.82% 35.0% A, F 7 15 13 8 11.12% 31.82% C, F 7 15 13 8 31.82% 35.0% A, F 7 15 13 8 11.12% 31.82% C, F 7 15 13 8 31.82% 35.0% A, F 7 15 13 8 11.12% 31.82% C, F 7 15 13 8 31.82% 35.0% A, F 7 15 13 8 11.12% 31.82% C, F 7 15 13 8 31.82% 35.0% A, F 7 15 | A, B | 301 | 147 | 179 | 93 | 67.19% | | | 301 | 147 | 179 | 93 | 34.06% | 67.19% |
| A, D 301 147 179 93 67.19% 62.71% A, D 301 147 179 93 34.06% 67.19% A, E 301 147 179 93 34.06% 67.19% A, F 301 147 179 93 34.06% 67.19% B, F 269 179 121 151 60.04% 68.97% B, F 269 179 121 151 34.69% 60.04% 68.97% C, F 269 179 121 151 60.04% 68.97% C, F 269 179 121 151 34.69% 60.04% 68.97% C, F 269 179 121 151 27.56% 27.56% 39.51% C, F 27.10% 27.20 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A, E 301 147 179 93 67.19% 62.71% A, E 301 147 179 93 34.06% 67.19% B, F 269 179 121 151 60.04% 68.97% B, F 269 179 121 151 34.69% 60.04% 68.97% B, F 269 179 121 151 34.69% 60.04% 68.97% D, F 269 179 121 151 34.69% 60.04% 68.97% D, F 269 179 121 151 34.69% 60.04% 68.97% D, F 269 179 121 151 34.69% 60.04% 68.97% D, F 269 179 121 151 34.69% 60.04% 68.97% D, F 269 179 121 151 34.69% 60.04% 68.97% D, F 269 179 121 151 34.69% 60.04% 68.97% D, F 269 179 121 151 34.69% 60.04% 68.97% D, F 269 179 121 151 34.69% 60.04% 68.97% D, F 269 179 121 151 34.69% 60.04% 68.97% D, F 269 179 121 151 34.69% 60.04% 68.97% D, F 269 179 121 151 34.69% 60.04% 68.97% D, F 269 179 121 151 34.69% 60.04% B, C 194 254 44 228 43.3% 81.51% B, C 194 254 44 228 27.53% 43.3% 81.51% B, C 194 254 44 228 27.53% 43.3% 81.51% B, D 177 271 0 272 27.56% 39.51% D, E 177 271 0 272 27.56% 39.51% D, E 177 271 0 272 27.56% 39.51% D, E 177 271 0 272 27.56% 39.51% C, E 121 327 2 270 17.05% 27.01% 27.00% | , | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B, F 269 179 121 151 60.04% 68.97% C, F 269 179 121 151 34.69% 60.04% C, F 269 179 121 151 34.69% 60.04% C, F 269 179 121 151 34.69% 60.04% E, E 27.56% 39.51% E, E 27.76% 27.76% 39.51% E, E 27.76% 27.76% 39.51% E, E 27.76% E, E 27.76% 39.51% E, E 27.76% E, E 27.76% 39.51% E, E 27.76% E, | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| C, F 269 179 121 151 60.04% 68.97% C, F 269 179 121 151 34.69% 60.04% E, F 269 179 121 151 60.04% 68.97% D, F 269 179 121 151 34.69% 60.04% B, C 194 254 44 228 43.3% 81.51% B, C 194 254 44 228 27.53% 43.3% B, E 194 254 44 228 43.3% 81.51% B, E 194 254 44 228 27.53% 43.3% B, E 194 254 44 228 43.3% 81.51% B, D 177 271 0 272 27.55% 39.51% C, D 177 271 0 272 39.51% 100.0% C, D 177 271 0 272 27.56% 39.51% C, E 121 327 2 270 27.01% 98.37% C, E 121 327 2 270 17.05% 27.01% B, F 7 15 13 8 31.82% 35.0% A, F 7 15 13 8 11.12% 31.82% B, F 7 15 13 8 31.82% 35.0% C, F 7 15 13 8 11.12% 31.82% C, F 7 15 13 8 31.82% 35.0% C, F 7 15 13 8 11.12% 31.82% E, F 7 15 13 8 31.82% 35.0% C, F 7 15 13 8 11.12% 31.82% E, F 7 15 13 8 31.82% 35.0% C, F 7 15 13 8 11.12% 31.82% E, F 7 15 33 8 31.82% 35.0% C, F 7 15 13 8 11.12% 31.82% E, F 7 15 33 8 31.82% 35.0% E, F 7 15 13 8 11.12% 31.82% E, F 7 15 33 8 31.82% 35.0% E, F 7 15 13 8 11.12% 31.82% E, F 7 15 33 8 31.82% 35.0% E, F 7 15 13 8 11.12% 31.82% E, F 7 15 33 8 31.82% 35.0% E, F 7 15 13 8 11.12% 31.82% E, F 7 15 33 8 31.82% 35.0% E, F 7 15 13 8 11.12% 31.82% E, F 7 15 33 8 31.82% 35.0% E, F 7 15 33 8 11.12% 31.82% E, F 7 15 33 8 31.82% 35.0% E, F 7 15 33 8 31.82% 35.0% E, F 7 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 | | 269 | 179 | 121 | 151 | | | | 269 | 179 | 121 | 151 | | |
| D, F 269 179 121 151 60.04% 68.97% D, F 269 179 121 151 34.69% 60.04% B, C 194 254 44 228 43.3% 81.51% B, C 194 254 44 228 27.53% 43.3% B, D 194 254 44 228 43.3% 81.51% B, D 177 171 0 272 27.55% 43.3% C, D 177 271 0 272 39.51% 100.0% C, D 177 271 0 272 27.56% 39.51% D, E 177 271 0 272 39.51% 100.0% C, D 177 271 0 272 27.56% 39.51% D, E 177 271 0 272 39.51% 100.0% D, E 177 271 0 272 27.56% 39.51% D, E 177 271 0 272 39.51% 100.0% D, E 177 271 0 272 27.56% 39.51% D, E 177 271 0 272 39.51% 100.0% D, E 177 271 0 272 27.56% 39.51% D, E 7 15 13 8 31.82% 35.0% D, E 177 271 0 272 27.56% 39.51% D, F 7 15 13 8 31.82% 35.0% D, E 177 271 0 272 27.56% 39.51% D, F 7 15 13 8 31.82% 35.0% D, F 7 15 13 8 11.12% 31.82% D, F 7 15 13 8 31.82% 35.0% D, F 7 15 13 8 11.12% 31.82% E, F 7 15 13 8 31.82% 35.0% E, F 7 15 13 8 11.12% 31.82% E, F 7 15 13 8 31.82% 35.0% E, F 7 15 13 8 11.12% 31.82% E, F 7 15 13 8 31.82% 35.0% E, F 7 15 13 8 11.12% 31.82% E, F 7 15 13 8 31.82% 35.0% E, F 7 15 13 8 11.12% 31.82% E, F 7 15 13 8 31.82% 35.0% E, F 7 15 13 8 11.12% 31.82% E, F 7 15 13 8 31.82% 35.0% E, F 7 15 13 8 11.12% 31.82% E, F 7 15 13 8 31.82% 35.0% E, F 7 15 13 8 11.12% 31.82% E, F 7 15 13 8 31.82% 35.0% E, F 7 15 13 8 11.12% 31.82% E, F 7 15 13 8 31.82% 35.0% E, F 7 15 13 8 31.82% 31.82% E, F 7 15 13 8 31.82% 35.0% E, F 7 15 13 8 31.82% 31.82% 31.82% 31.82% 32.2% 32.2% 32.2% 32.2% | C, F | 269 | 179 | | | | 68.97% | C, F | 269 | | | | | |
| B, C 194 254 44 228 43.3% 81.51% B, E 194 254 44 228 43.3% 81.51% B, E 194 254 44 228 43.3% 81.51% B, E 194 254 44 228 43.3% 81.51% B, D 177 271 0 272 27.56% 39.51% C, D 177 271 0 272 39.51% 100.0% C, D 177 271 0 272 27.56% 39.51% D, E 177 271 0 272 27.61% 39.51% 100.0% D, E 177 271 0 272 27.56% 39.51% C, E 121 327 2 270 27.01% 98.37% C, E 121 327 27.56% 39.51% C, E 121 327 2 270 27.01% 38.81% 38.76% A, F 7 15 13 8 11.12% | D, F | 269 | 179 | 121 | 151 | 60.04% | 68.97% | D, F | 269 | 179 | 121 | 151 | 34.69% | 60.04% |
| B, D | E, F | 269 | 179 | 121 | 151 | 60.04% | 68.97% | E, F | 269 | 179 | 121 | 151 | 34.69% | 60.04% |
| B, E | | 194 | 254 | 44 | 228 | | | | 194 | 254 | 44 | 228 | | |
| C, D 177 271 0 272 39.51% 100.0% C, D 177 271 0 272 29.51% 100.0% D, E 177 271 0 272 27.56% 39.51% C, E 121 327 2 270 27.01% 98.37% C, E 121 327 2 27.05% 39.51% C, E 121 327 2 270 17.05% 27.01% XPath Injection A, F 7 15 13 8 31.82% 35.0% A, F 7 15 13 8 11.12% 31.82% C, F 7 15 13 8 31.82% 35.0% C, F 7 15 13 8 11.12% 31.82% D, F 7 15 13 8 31.82% 35.0% D, F 7 15 13 8 11.12% 31.82% A, E 4 18 | B, D | 194 | 254 | 44 | 228 | 43.3% | 81.51% | B, E | 194 | 254 | 44 | 228 | 27.53% | 43.3% |
| D, E | | | | 44 | | | | | | | | | | |
| C, E 121 327 2 270 27.01% 98.37% C, E 121 327 2 270 17.05% 27.01% XPAth Injection A, F 7 15 13 8 31.82% 35.0% A, F 7 15 13 8 11.12% 31.82% B, F 7 15 13 8 31.82% 35.0% C, F 7 15 13 8 11.12% 31.82% C, F 7 15 13 8 31.82% 35.0% C, F 7 15 13 8 11.12% 31.82% D, F 7 15 13 8 31.82% 35.0% E, F 7 15 13 8 11.12% 31.82% A, E 4 18 3 18 18.18% 57.14% A, E 4 18 3 18 18.18% C, E 4 18 3 18 | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | |
| A, F | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A, F 7 15 13 8 31.82% 35.0% A, F 7 15 13 8 11.12% 31.82% B, F 7 15 13 8 31.82% 35.0% C, F 7 15 13 8 11.12% 31.82% D, F 7 15 13 8 31.82% 35.0% D, F 7 15 13 8 11.12% 31.82% D, F 7 15 13 8 31.82% 35.0% E, F 7 15 13 8 11.12% 31.82% A, E 4 18 3 18 18.18% 57.14% A, E 4 18 3 18 18.18% C, E 4 18 3 18 18.18% 57.14% B, E 4 18 3 18 9.45% 18.18% D, E 4 18 3 18 18.18% 57.14% D, E | C, E | 121 | 327 | 2 | 270 | 27.01% | | | 121 | 327 | 2 | 270 | 17.05% | 27.01% |
| B, F 7 15 13 8 31.82% 35.0% B, F 7 15 13 8 11.12% 31.82% C, F 7 15 13 8 31.82% 35.0% C, F 7 15 13 8 11.12% 31.82% E, F 7 15 13 8 31.82% 35.0% E, F 7 15 13 8 11.12% 31.82% A, E 4 18 3 18 18.18% 57.14% A, E 4 18 3 18 18.18% B, E 4 18 3 18 18.18% 57.14% C, E 4 18 3 18 9.45% 18.18% D, E 4 18 3 18 18.18% 57.14% C, E 4 18 3 18 9.45% 18.18% D, E 4 18 3 18 18.18% 57.14% D, E | | | | | | -1.0-0/ | | | | | | | 111101 | 21.020/ |
| C, F 7 15 13 8 31.82% 35.0% C, F 7 15 13 8 11.12% 31.82% D, F 7 15 13 8 31.82% 35.0% D, F 7 15 13 8 11.12% 31.82% E, F 7 15 13 8 31.82% 35.0% E, F 7 15 13 8 11.12% 31.82% A, E 4 18 3 18 18.18% 57.14% A, E 4 18 3 18 18.18% 57.14% B, E 4 18 3 18 18.18% C, E 4 18 3 18 18.18% 57.14% D, E 4 18 3 18 9.45% 18.18% D, E 4 18 3 18 18.18% 57.14% D, E 4 18 3 18 9.45% 18.18% D, E | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D, F | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E, F 7 15 13 8 31.82% 35.0% E, F 7 15 13 8 11.12% 31.82% A, E 4 18 3 18 18.18% 57.14% A, E 4 18 3 18 9.45% 18.18% B, E 4 18 3 18 18.18% 57.14% C, E 4 18 3 18 9.45% 18.18% D, E 4 18 3 18 18.18% 57.14% C, E 4 18 3 18 9.45% 18.18% D, E 4 18 3 18 18.18% 57.14% D, E 4 18 3 18 9.45% 18.18% D, E 4 18 3 18 18.18% 57.14% D, E 4 18 3 18 9.45% 18.18% D, E 2 20 0 21 9.09% 10.00% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A, E 4 18 3 18 18.18% 57.14% A, E 4 18 3 18 9.45% 18.18% B, E 4 18 3 18 18.18% 57.14% B, E 4 18 3 18 19.45% 18.18% C, E 4 18 3 18 18.18% 57.14% C, E 4 18 3 18 19.45% 18.18% D, E 4 18 3 18 18.18% 57.14% D, E 4 18 3 18 9.45% 18.18% D, E 4 18 3 18 9.45% 18.18% 57.14% D, E 4 18 3 18 9.45% 18.18% D, D 2 20 0 21 9.09% 100.0% A, C 2 20 0 21 4.96% 9.09% A, B 1 21 0 21 4.55% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B, E 4 18 3 18 18.18% 57.14% B, E 4 18 3 18 9.45% 18.18% C, E 4 18 3 18 18.18% 57.14% C, E 4 18 3 18 19.45% 18.18% D, E 4 18 3 18 18.18% 57.14% D, E 4 18 3 18 9.45% 18.18% A, C 2 20 0 21 9.09% 100.0% A, C 2 20 0 21 4.96% 9.09% B, C 2 20 0 21 9.09% 100.0% A, C 2 20 0 21 4.96% 9.09% C, D 2 20 0 21 4.96% 9.09% 4.55% 100.0% A, D 0 21 4.96% 9.09% A, B 1 21 0 21 4.96% 9.09% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| C, E 4 18 3 18 18.18% 57.14% C, E 4 18 3 18 9.45% 18.18% D, E 4 18 3 18 18.18% 57.14% D, E 4 18 3 18 9.45% 18.18% A, C 2 20 0 21 9.09% 100.0% A, C 2 20 0 21 4.96% 9.09% B, C 2 20 0 21 9.09% 100.0% B, C 2 20 0 21 4.96% 9.09% A, B 1 21 0 21 4.55% 100.0% A, B 1 21 0 21 4.96% 9.09% A, B 1 21 0 21 4.55% 100.0% A, B 1 21 0 21 2.38% 4.55% B, D 1 21 0 22 0 21 0.0% <td></td> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D, E 4 18 3 18 18.18% 57.14% D, E 4 18 3 18 9.45% 18.18% A, C 2 20 0 21 9.09% 100.0% A, C 2 20 0 21 4.96% 9.09% B, C 2 20 0 21 9.09% 100.0% C, D 2 20 0 21 4.96% 9.09% C, D 2 20 0 21 9.09% 100.0% C, D 2 20 0 21 4.96% 9.09% A, B 1 21 0 21 4.55% 100.0% A, B 1 21 0 21 4.55% 100.0% A, B 1 21 0 21 2.38% 4.55% B, D 1 21 0 21 4.55% 100.0% A, D 0 22 0 21 0.0% A, D 0 <td></td> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A, C 2 20 0 21 9.09% 100.0% A, C 2 20 0 21 4.96% 9.09% B, C 2 20 0 21 9.09% 100.0% B, C 2 20 0 21 4.96% 9.09% C, D 2 20 0 21 4.96% 9.09% A, B 1 21 0 21 4.55% 100.0% A, B 1 21 0 21 4.55% B, D 1 21 0 21 4.55% 100.0% B, D 1 21 0 21 2.38% 4.55% B, D 1 21 0 22 0 21 4.55% 100.0% A, D 0 22 0 21 0.0% 0.0% A, D 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% A, B 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B, C 2 20 0 21 9.09% 100.0% B, C 2 20 0 21 4.96% 9.09% C, D 2 20 0 21 9.09% 100.0% C, D 2 20 0 21 4.96% 9.09% A, B 1 21 0 21 4.55% 100.0% A, B 1 21 0 21 2.38% 4.55% B, D 1 21 0 21 4.55% 100.0% B, D 1 21 0 21 2.38% 4.55% A, D 0 22 0 21 0.0% 0.0% A, D 0 22 0 21 0.0% 0.0% A, B 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% A, B 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% A, C 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% A, E 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| C, D 2 20 0 21 9.09% 100.0% C, D 2 20 0 21 4.96% 9.09% A, B 1 21 0 21 4.55% 100.0% A, B 1 21 0 21 2.38% 4.55% B, D 1 21 0 21 4.55% 100.0% B, D 1 21 0 21 2.38% 4.55% A, D 0 22 0 21 0.0% 0.0% A, D 0 22 0 21 0.0% 0.0% HTTP Response Splitting A, B 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% A, B 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% A, C 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% A, C 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% A, D 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 9.09% |
| A, B 1 21 0 21 4.55% 100.0% A, B 1 21 0 21 2.38% 4.55% B, D 1 21 0 21 4.55% 100.0% B, D 1 21 0 21 2.38% 4.55% A, D 0 22 0 21 0.0% 0.0% A, D 0 22 0 21 0.0% 0.0% HTTP Response Splitting A, B 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% A, B 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% A, C 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% A, C 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% A, D 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% A, D 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% A, E 0 3 0 2 0.0% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B, D 1 21 0 21 4.55% 100.0% B, D 1 21 0 21 2.38% 4.55% A, D 0 22 0 21 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% HTTP Response Splitting A, B 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% A, B 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% A, C 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% A, C 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% A, D 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% A, D 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% A, E 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% A, F 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% B, C 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% B, C 0 3 0 2 0. | , | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A, D 0 22 0 21 0.0% 0.0% A, D 0 22 0 21 0.0% 0.0% HTTP Response Splitting A, B 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% A, B 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% A, C 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% A, C 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% A, D 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% A, D 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% A, E 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% A, F 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% A, F 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% A, F 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% B, C 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HTTP Response Splitting A, B | | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A, B 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% A, B 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% A, C 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% A, C 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% A, D 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% A, D 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% A, E 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% A, F 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% A, F 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% A, F 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% B, C 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% B, C 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% B, D 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% B, E 0 3 0 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>`</td> <td></td> <td>I</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>g</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> | | | | ` | | I | | | g | | | | | |
| A, D 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% A, D 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% A, E 0 3 0 2 0.0% | A, B | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | | 0.0% | | ~ | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| A, D 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% A, D 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% A, E 0 3 0 2 0.0% | A, C | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, C | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | | 0.0% |
| A, F 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% A, F 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% B, C 0 3 0 2 0.0% | A, D | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0.0% | 0.0% | | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | | 0.0% |
| B, C 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% B, C 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% B, D 0 3 0 2 0.0% | A, E | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, E | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | | 0.0% |
| B, D 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% B, D 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% B, E 0 3 0 2 0.0% | | 0 | | 0 | | | | | 0 | | 0 | | | 0.0% |
| B, E 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% B, E 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% B, F 0 3 0 2 0.0% | , | _ | | _ | | | | | | | | | | |
| B, F 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% B, F 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% C, D 0 3 0 2 0.0% | | _ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| C, D 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% C, D 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% C, E 0 3 0 2 0.0% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| C, E 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% C, E 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% C, F 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% C, F 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% D, E 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% D, E 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% D, F 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% D, F 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% E, F 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| C, F 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% C, F 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% D, E 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% D, E 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% D, F 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% D, F 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% E, F 0 3 0 2 0.0% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D, E 0 3 0 2 0.0% D, E 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% D, F 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% D, F 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% E, F 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% E, F 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D, F 0 3 0 2 0.0% D, F 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% E, F 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% E, F 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% | , | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E, F 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% E, F 0 3 0 2 0.0% 0.0% | , | _ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | _ | | _ | | | | | | | | | | |
| A - OWAST ZAP + B - burp Suite + C - Iron Wasp + D - Accunetix + E - Wapiti + F - OWAST ZAP + Plugins | | | _ | | | | | | | _ | | | | |
| | A - C | VVASI | ZAP | 1 B - B | urp St | iite C - Iro | n vvasp L | - Accunetix | KIE- | vvapit | l f - (| OWAS | r ZAr + Pli | agins |

Table 4.6: Ranking of combinations of 2 SAST tools regarding their performance in category A3: Injection - Business and Heightened Critical Scenarios

| | | | | | | A3: Inj | ection | | | | | | |
|--------------|------------|------------|-----|----------|------------------|-------------------|--------------|---------|------------|-----|------------|----------------|------------------|
| | Best | Effort | | | Metric | Tiebreaker | N | ⁄linimı | ım Effo | ort | | Metric | Tiebreaker |
| Comb. | TP | FN | FP | TN | F-measure | Recall | Comb. | TP | FN | FP | TN | Markedness | Precision |
| | 1 | 100 | | | 1 | Command | | | | | | | 100.00/ |
| A, F | 159 | 103 | 80 | 45 | 63.47% | 60.69% | A, B | 99 | 163 | 0 | 125 | 71.7% | 100.0% |
| B, F | 159 | 103 | 80 | 45 | 63.47% | 60.69% | A, C | 99 | 163 | 0 | 125 | 71.7% | 100.0% |
| C, F | 159 159 | 103 103 | 80 | 45 45 | 63.47% | 60.69% | A, D | 99 | 163 163 | 0 | 125 125 | 71.7% 71.7% | 100.0% |
| D, F E, F | 159 | 103 | 80 | 45 | 63.47% 63.47% | 60.69% 60.69% | A, E A, F | 99 | 163 | 0 | 125 | 71.7% | 100.0% 100.0% |
| А, В | 99 | 163 | 0 | 125 | 54.85% | 37.79% | В, С | 22 | 240 | 0 | 125 | 67.12% | 100.0% |
| A, C | 99 | 163 | 0 | 125 | 54.85% | 37.79% | C, D | 22 | 240 | 0 | 125 | 67.12% | 100.0% |
| A, D | 99 | 163 | 0 | 125 | 54.85% | 37.79% | C, E | 22 | 240 | 0 | 125 | 67.12% | 100.0% |
| A, E | 99 | 163 | 0 | 125 | 54.85% | 37.79% | C, F | 22 | 240 | 0 | 125 | 67.12% | 100.0% |
| В, С | 22 | 240 | 0 | 125 | 15.49% | 8.4% | B, D | 19 | 243 | 0 | 125 | 66.98% | 100.0% |
| C, D | 22 | 240 | 0 | 125 | 15.49% | 8.4% | B, E | 19 | 243 | 0 | 125 | 66.98% | 100.0% |
| C, E | 22 | 240 | 0 | 125 | 15.49% | 8.4% | B, F | 19 | 243 | 0 | 125 | 66.98% | 100.0% |
| В, Е | 21 | 241 | 19 | 106 | 13.91% | 8.02% | D, F | 159 | 103 | 80 | 45 | 48.47% | 66.53% |
| D, E | 21 | 241 | 19 | 106 | 13.91% | 8.02% | E, F | 159 | 103 | 80 | 45 | 48.47% | 66.53% |
| B, D | 19 | 243 | 0 | 125 | 13.52% | 7.25% | D, E | 21 | 241 | 19 | 106 | 41.52% | 52.5% |
| | 1 | | | | · | SQL In | jection | | | | | | |
| A, F | 241 | 258 | 157 | 199 | 53.73% | 48.3% | A, D | 123 | 376 | 0 | 356 | 74.32% | 100.0% |
| B, F | 241 | 258 | 157 | 199 | 53.73% | 48.3% | B, D | 123 | 376 | 0 | 356 | 74.32% | 100.0% |
| C, F | 241 | 258 | 157 | 199 | 53.73% | 48.3% | C, D | 123 | 376 | 0 | 356 | 74.32% | 100.0% |
| D, F | 241 | 258 | 157 | 199 | 53.73% | 48.3% | D, E | 123 | 376 | 0 | 356 | 74.32% | 100.0% |
| E, F | 241 | 258 | 157 | 199 | 53.73% | 48.3% | D, F | 123 | 376 | 0 | 356 | 74.32% | 100.0% |
| A, B | 170 | 329 | 9 | 347 | 50.15% | 34.07% | A, B | 170 | 329 | 9 | 347 | 73.15% | 94.97% |
| A, C | 170 | 329 | 9 | 347 | 50.15% | 34.07% | A, F | 170 | 329 | 9 | 347 | 73.15% | 94.97% |
| A, D | 170 | 329 | 9 | 347 | 50.15% | 34.07% | A, C | 74 | 425 | 5 | 351 | 69.45% | 93.67% |
| A, E | 180 | 319 | 54 | 302 | 49.11% | 36.07% | B, C | 74 | 425 | 5 | 351 | 69.45% | 93.67% |
| B, E | 180 | 319 | 54 | 302 | 49.11% | 36.07% | C, E | 74 | 425 | 5 | 351 | 69.45% | 93.67% |
| C, E | 180 | 319 | 54 | 302 | 49.11% | 36.07% | C, F | 74 | 425 | 5 | 351 | 69.45% | 93.67% |
| D, E | 180 | 319 | 54 | 302 | 49.11% | 36.07% | A, E | 180 | 319 | 54 | 302 | 62.78% | 76.92% |
| B, D | 123 | 376 | 0 | 356 | 39.55% | 24.65% | B, E | 180 | 319 | 54 | 302 | 62.78% | 76.92% |
| C, D | 123 | 376 | 0 | 356 | 39.55% | 24.65% | E, F | 180 | 319 | 54 | 302 | 62.78% | 76.92% |
| B, C | 74 | 425 | 5 | 351 | 25.61% | 14.83% LDAP Is | B, F | 241 | 258 | 157 | 199 | 52.05% | 60.55% |
| A, D | 17 | 12 | 5 | 27 | 66.67% | 58.62% | A, D | 17 | 12 | 5 | 27 | 73.25% | 77.27% |
| B, D | 17 | 12 | 5 | 27 | 66.67% | 58.62% | B, D | 17 | 12 | 5 | 27 | 73.25% | 77.27% |
| C, D | 17 | 12 | 5 | 27 | 66.67% | 58.62% | C, D | 17 | 12 | 5 | 27 | 73.25% | 77.27% |
| D, E | 17 | 12 | 5 | 27 | 66.67% | 58.62% | D, E | 17 | 12 | 5 | 27 | 73.25% | 77.27% |
| D, F | 17 | 12 | 5 | 27 | 66.67% | 58.62% | D, F | 17 | 12 | 5 | 27 | 73.25% | 77.27% |
| A, F | 3 | 26 | 3 | 29 | 17.14% | 10.34% | A, F | 3 | 26 | 3 | 29 | 51.36% | 50.0% |
| B, F | 3 | 26 | 3 | 29 | 17.14% | 10.34% | B, F | 3 | 26 | 3 | 29 | 51.36% | 50.0% |
| C, F | 3 | 26 | 3 | 29 | 17.14% | 10.34% | C, F | 3 | 26 | 3 | 29 | 51.36% | 50.0% |
| E, F | 3 | 26 | 3 | 29 | 17.14% | 10.34% | E, F | 3 | 26 | 3 | 29 | 51.36% | 50.0% |
| A, B | 0 | 29 | 0 | 32 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, B | 0 | 29 | 0 | 32 | 26.23% | 0.0% |
| A, C | 0 | 29 | 0 | 32 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, C | 0 | 29 | 0 | 32 | 26.23% | 0.0% |
| A, E | 0 | 29 | 0 | 32 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, E | 0 | 29 | 0 | 32 | 26.23% | 0.0% |
| B, C | 0 | 29 | 0 | 32 | 0.0% | 0.0% | В, С | 0 | 29 | 0 | 32 | 26.23% | 0.0% |
| В, Е | 0 | 29 | 0 | 32 | 0.0% | 0.0% | B, E | 0 | 29 | 0 | 32 | 26.23% | 0.0% |
| C, E | 0 | 29 | 0 | 32 | 0.0% | 0.0% | C, E | 0 | 29 | 0 | 32 | 26.23% | 0.0% |
| | | | | | | Cross-Site | | | | | | | |
| A, B | 301 | 147 | 179 | 93 | 64.87% | 67.19% | A, D | 177 | 271 | 0 | 272 | 75.05% | 100.0% |
| A, C | 301 | 147 | 179 | 93 | 64.87% | 67.19% | B, D | 177 | 271 | 0 | 272 | 75.05% | 100.0% |
| A, D | 301 | 147 | 179 | 93 | 64.87% | 67.19% | D, E | 177 | 271 | 0 | 272 | 75.05% | 100.0% |
| A, E | 301 | 147 | 179 | 93 | 64.87% | 67.19% | D, F | 177 | 271 | 0 | 272 | 75.05% | 100.0% |
| A, F | 269 | 179 | 121 | 151 | 64.2% | 60.04% | A, C | 121 | 327 | 2 | 270 | 71.8% | 98.37% |
| B, F | 269 | 179 | 121 | 151 | 64.2% | 60.04% | B, C | 121 | 327 | 2 | 270 | 71.8% | 98.37% |
| C, F | 269 | 179 | 121 | 151 | 64.2% | 60.04% | C, D | 121 | 327 | 2 | 270 | 71.8% | 98.37% |
| D, F | 269 | 179 | 121 | 151 | 64.2% | 60.04% | C, E | 121 | 327 | 2 | 270 | 71.8% | 98.37% |
| E, F | 269 | 179 | 121 | 151 | 64.2% | 60.04% | C, F | 121 | 327 | 2 | 270 | 71.8% | 98.37% |
| B, E | 194 | 254 | 44 | 228 | 56.56% | 43.3% | A, F | 269 | 179 | 121 | 151 | 57.37% | 68.97% |
| B, D | 177 | 271 | 0 | 272 | 56.64% | 39.51% | B, F | 269 | 179 | 121 | 151 | 57.37% | 68.97% |
| C, D | 177 | 271 | 0 | 272 | 56.64% | 39.51% | E, F | 269 | 179 | 121 | 151 | 57.37% | 68.97% |
| D, E | 177 | 271 | 0 | 272 | 56.64% | 39.51% | B, E | 83 | 365 | 45 | 227 | 51.59% | 64.84% |
| B, C | 121 | 327 | 2 | 270 | 42.38% | 27.01% | A, B | 301 | 147 | 179 | 93 | 50.73% | 62.71% |
| C, E | 121 | 327 | 2 | 270 | 42.38% | 27.01% | A, E | 301 | 147 | 179 | 93 | 50.73% | 62.71% |
| Λ.Τ. | l 7 | 1.5 | 12 | 0 | 22.220/ | XPath I | | | 20 | 0 | 21 | 7E (10/ | 100.00/ |
| A, F | 7 | 15 | 13 | 8 | 33.33% | 31.82% | A, C | 2 | 20 | 0 | 21 | 75.61% | 100.0% |

| B, F | 7 | 15 | 13 | 8 | 33.33% | 31.82% | B, C | 2 | 20 | 0 | 21 | 75.61% | 100.0% |
|-------|-------|------|-------|---------|----------------|------------|--------------|-----|--------|-----|------|-------------|--------|
| C, F | 7 | 15 | 13 | 8 | 33.33% | 31.82% | C, D | 2 | 20 | 0 | 21 | 75.61% | 100.0% |
| D, F | 7 | 15 | 13 | 8 | 33.33% | 31.82% | C, E | 2 | 20 | 0 | 21 | 75.61% | 100.0% |
| E, F | 7 | 15 | 13 | 8 | 33.33% | 31.82% | C, F | 2 | 20 | 0 | 21 | 75.61% | 100.0% |
| A, E | 4 | 18 | 3 | 18 | 27.59% | 18.18% | A, B | 1 | 21 | 0 | 21 | 75.0% | 100.0% |
| B, E | 4 | 18 | 3 | 18 | 27.59% | 18.18% | B, D | 1 | 21 | 0 | 21 | 75.0% | 100.0% |
| C, E | 4 | 18 | 3 | 18 | 27.59% | 18.18% | B, F | 1 | 21 | 0 | 21 | 75.0% | 100.0% |
| D, E | 4 | 18 | 3 | 18 | 27.59% | 18.18% | A, E | 4 | 18 | 3 | 18 | 53.57% | 57.14% |
| A, C | 2 | 20 | 0 | 21 | 16.67% | 9.09% | B, E | 4 | 18 | 3 | 18 | 53.57% | 57.14% |
| B, C | 2 | 20 | 0 | 21 | 16.67% | 9.09% | D, E | 4 | 18 | 3 | 18 | 53.57% | 57.14% |
| C, D | 2 | 20 | 0 | 21 | 16.67% | 9.09% | E, F | 4 | 18 | 3 | 18 | 53.57% | 57.14% |
| A, B | 1 | 21 | 0 | 21 | 8.7% | 4.55% | A, F | 7 | 15 | 13 | 8 | 34.89% | 35.0% |
| B, D | 1 | 21 | 0 | 21 | 8.7% | 4.55% | D, F | 7 | 15 | 13 | 8 | 34.89% | 35.0% |
| A, D | 0 | 22 | 0 | 21 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, D | 0 | 22 | 0 | 21 | 24.42% | 0.0% |
| | | | | | | HTTP Respo | nse Splittin | g | | | | | |
| A, B | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, B | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 20.0% | 0.0% |
| A, C | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, C | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 20.0% | 0.0% |
| A, D | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, D | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 20.0% | 0.0% |
| A, E | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, E | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 20.0% | 0.0% |
| A, F | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, F | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 20.0% | 0.0% |
| B, C | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0.0% | 0.0% | B, C | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 20.0% | 0.0% |
| B, D | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0.0% | 0.0% | B, D | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 20.0% | 0.0% |
| В, Е | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0.0% | 0.0% | B, E | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 20.0% | 0.0% |
| B, F | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0.0% | 0.0% | B, F | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 20.0% | 0.0% |
| C, D | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0.0% | 0.0% | C, D | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 20.0% | 0.0% |
| C, E | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0.0% | 0.0% | C, E | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 20.0% | 0.0% |
| C, F | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0.0% | 0.0% | C, F | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 20.0% | 0.0% |
| D, E | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0.0% | 0.0% | D, E | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 20.0% | 0.0% |
| D, F | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0.0% | 0.0% | D, F | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 20.0% | 0.0% |
| E, F | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0.0% | 0.0% | E, F | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 20.0% | 0.0% |
| A - C |)WASI | PZAP | B - B | Burp St | uite C - Iro | n Wasp D | - Accunetiv | (E- | Wapiti | F-0 | OWAS | P ZAP + Plı | ugins |

Table 4.7: Ranking of combinations of 2 SAST tools regarding their performance in category A3: Injection - Best and Minimum Effort Scenarios

Results obtained in A4: Insecure Design

| | | | | | | A4: Insecu | re Design | | | | | | |
|-------|--------|---------|-----|-----|--------|--------------|-------------|--------|--------|-------|-----|-------------|------------|
| В | usines | s Criti | cal | | Metric | Tiebreaker | Не | ighten | ed Cri | tical | | Metric | Tiebreaker |
| Comb. | TP | FN | FP | TN | Recall | Precison | Comb. | TP | FN | FP | TN | Rec.*Infor. | Recall |
| | | | | | Expo | sed Imprope | r Error Har | dling | | | | | |
| A, D | 36 | 38 | 2 | 18 | 48.65% | 94.74% | A, D | 36 | 38 | 2 | 18 | 33.73% | 48.65% |
| B, D | 36 | 38 | 2 | 18 | 48.65% | 94.74% | B, D | 36 | 38 | 2 | 18 | 33.73% | 48.65% |
| C, D | 36 | 38 | 2 | 18 | 48.65% | 94.74% | C, D | 36 | 38 | 2 | 18 | 33.73% | 48.65% |
| D, E | 36 | 38 | 2 | 18 | 48.65% | 94.74% | D, E | 36 | 38 | 2 | 18 | 33.73% | 48.65% |
| D, F | 36 | 38 | 2 | 18 | 48.65% | 94.74% | D, F | 36 | 38 | 2 | 18 | 33.73% | 48.65% |
| A, F | 28 | 46 | 12 | 8 | 37.84% | 70.0% | A, F | 28 | 46 | 12 | 8 | 14.73% | 37.84% |
| B, F | 28 | 46 | 12 | 8 | 37.84% | 70.0% | B, F | 28 | 46 | 12 | 8 | 14.73% | 37.84% |
| C, F | 28 | 46 | 12 | 8 | 37.84% | 70.0% | C, F | 28 | 46 | 12 | 8 | 14.73% | 37.84% |
| E, F | 28 | 46 | 12 | 8 | 37.84% | 70.0% | E, F | 28 | 46 | 12 | 8 | 14.73% | 37.84% |
| A, B | 18 | 56 | 12 | 8 | 24.32% | 60.0% | A, B | 18 | 56 | 12 | 8 | 7.82% | 24.32% |
| A, C | 18 | 56 | 12 | 8 | 24.32% | 60.0% | A, C | 18 | 56 | 12 | 8 | 7.82% | 24.32% |
| A, E | 18 | 56 | 12 | 8 | 24.32% | 60.0% | A, E | 18 | 56 | 12 | 8 | 7.82% | 24.32% |
| B, E | 8 | 66 | 0 | 20 | 10.81% | 100.0% | B, E | 8 | 66 | 0 | 20 | 5.99% | 10.81% |
| C, E | 8 | 66 | 0 | 20 | 10.81% | 100.0% | C, E | 8 | 66 | 0 | 20 | 5.99% | 10.81% |
| B, C | 2 | 72 | 0 | 20 | 2.7% | 100.0% | B, C | 2 | 72 | 0 | 20 | 1.39% | 2.7% |
| | | | | | | ecurity Desi | gn of Form | Fields | | | | | |
| A, C | 3 | 264 | 3 | 310 | 1.12% | 50.0% | A, C | 3 | 264 | 3 | 310 | 0.56% | 1.12% |
| B, C | 3 | 264 | 3 | 310 | 1.12% | 50.0% | B, C | 3 | 264 | 3 | 310 | 0.56% | 1.12% |
| C, D | 3 | 264 | 3 | 310 | 1.12% | 50.0% | C, D | 3 | 264 | 3 | 310 | 0.56% | 1.12% |
| C, E | 3 | 264 | 3 | 310 | 1.12% | 50.0% | C, E | 3 | 264 | 3 | 310 | 0.56% | 1.12% |
| C, F | 3 | 264 | 3 | 310 | 1.12% | 50.0% | C, F | 3 | 264 | 3 | 310 | 0.56% | 1.12% |
| A, B | 0 | 267 | 0 | 313 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, B | 0 | 267 | 0 | 313 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| A, D | 0 | 267 | 17 | 296 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, D | 0 | 267 | 17 | 296 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| A, E | 0 | 267 | 0 | 313 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, E | 0 | 267 | 0 | 313 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| A, F | 0 | 267 | 0 | 313 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, F | 0 | 267 | 0 | 313 | 0.0% | 0.0% |

| B, D | 0 | 267 | 17 | 296 | 0.0% | 0.0% | B, D | 0 | 267 | 17 | 296 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
|-------|------|------|-------|--------|----------------|------------|-------------|---------|--------|-----|------|-------------|--------|
| В, Е | 0 | 267 | 0 | 313 | 0.0% | 0.0% | В, Е | 0 | 267 | 0 | 313 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| , | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B, F | 0 | 267 | 0 | 313 | 0.0% | 0.0% | B, F | 0 | 267 | 0 | 313 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| D, E | 0 | 267 | 17 | 296 | 0.0% | 0.0% | D, E | 0 | 267 | 17 | 296 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| D, F | 0 | 267 | 17 | 296 | 0.0% | 0.0% | D, F | 0 | 267 | 17 | 296 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| E, F | 0 | 267 | 0 | 313 | 0.0% | 0.0% | E, F | 0 | 267 | 0 | 313 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| | | | | | | Method T | ampering | | | | | | |
| A, F | 36 | 54 | 0 | 1 | 40.0% | 100.0% | A, F | 36 | 54 | 0 | 1 | 28.0% | 40.0% |
| B, F | 36 | 54 | 0 | 1 | 40.0% | 100.0% | B, F | 36 | 54 | 0 | 1 | 28.0% | 40.0% |
| C, F | 36 | 54 | 0 | 1 | 40.0% | 100.0% | C, F | 36 | 54 | 0 | 1 | 28.0% | 40.0% |
| D, F | 36 | 54 | 0 | 1 | 40.0% | 100.0% | D, F | 36 | 54 | 0 | 1 | 28.0% | 40.0% |
| E, F | 36 | 54 | 0 | 1 | 40.0% | 100.0% | E, F | 36 | 54 | 0 | 1 | 28.0% | 40.0% |
| A, B | 32 | 58 | 1 | 0 | 35.56% | 96.97% | A, B | 32 | 58 | 1 | 0 | 6.32% | 35.56% |
| A, C | 32 | 58 | 1 | 0 | 35.56% | 96.97% | A, C | 32 | 58 | 1 | 0 | 6.32% | 35.56% |
| A, D | 32 | 58 | 1 | 0 | 35.56% | 96.97% | A, D | 32 | 58 | 1 | 0 | 6.32% | 35.56% |
| A, E | 32 | 58 | 1 | 0 | 35.56% | 96.97% | A, E | 32 | 58 | 1 | 0 | 6.32% | 35.56% |
| B, C | 6 | 84 | 0 | 1 | 6.67% | 100.0% | B, C | 6 | 84 | 0 | 1 | 3.56% | 6.67% |
| B, D | 6 | 84 | 0 | 1 | 6.67% | 100.0% | B, D | 6 | 84 | 0 | 1 | 3.56% | 6.67% |
| B, E | 6 | 84 | 0 | 1 | 6.67% | 100.0% | B, E | 6 | 84 | 0 | 1 | 3.56% | 6.67% |
| C, E | 1 | 89 | 0 | 1 | 1.11% | 100.0% | C, E | 1 | 89 | 0 | 1 | 0.56% | 1.11% |
| D, E | 1 | 89 | 0 | 1 | 1.11% | 100.0% | D, E | 1 | 89 | 0 | 1 | 0.56% | 1.11% |
| C, D | 0 | 90 | 0 | 1 | 0.0% | 0.0% | C, D | 0 | 90 | 0 | 1 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| A - C | WASI | PZAP | B - B | urp Sı | iite C - Iro | n Wasp D | - Accunetix | (E - | Wapiti | F-0 | OWAS | P ZAP + Plı | ugins |

Table 4.8: Ranking of combinations of 2 SAST tools regarding their performance in category A4: Insecure Design - Business and Heightened Critical Scenarios

| Best Effort Metric Tiebres Comb. TP FN FP TN F-measure Recalled Exposed Imp A, D 36 38 2 18 64.29% 48.65 B, D 36 38 2 18 64.29% 48.65 C, D 36 38 2 18 64.29% 48.65 D, E 36 38 2 18 64.29% 48.65 D, F 36 38 2 18 64.29% 48.65 A, F 28 46 12 8 49.12% 37.84 B, F 28 46 12 8 49.12% 37.84 | dll Comb. proper Error Hau W A, E W D, E W E, F W B, C W A, B W B, C W B, D W B, D W B, D W B, E | Minimu TP Indling 8 8 8 1 1 1 1 | 66 66 66 72 73 73 73 73 | Prt FP 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 | Metric Markedness 61.63% 61.63% 61.63% 60.87% 60.75% 60.75% | Tiebreaker Precision 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% |
|--|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|---|---|
| Exposed Imp A, D 36 38 2 18 64.29% 48.65 B, D 36 38 2 18 64.29% 48.65 C, D 36 38 2 18 64.29% 48.65 D, E 36 38 2 18 64.29% 48.65 D, F 36 38 2 18 64.29% 48.65 A, F 28 46 12 8 49.12% 37.84 B, F 28 46 12 8 49.12% 37.84 | oroper Error Hand | 8 8 8 2 1 1 1 | 66 66 66 72 73 73 73 73 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 | 61.63% 61.63% 61.63% 60.87% 60.75% | 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% |
| Exposed Imp A, D 36 38 2 18 64.29% 48.65 B, D 36 38 2 18 64.29% 48.65 C, D 36 38 2 18 64.29% 48.65 D, E 36 38 2 18 64.29% 48.65 D, F 36 38 2 18 64.29% 48.65 A, F 28 46 12 8 49.12% 37.84 B, F 28 46 12 8 49.12% 37.84 | % A, E % D, E % E, F % B, C % A, B % A, C % B, D % B, E % B, F % C, D | 8 8 8 2 1 1 1 1 | 66 66 72 73 73 73 73 | 0 0 0 0 0 | 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 | 61.63% 61.63% 60.87% 60.75% | 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% |
| B, D 36 38 2 18 64.29% 48.65 C, D 36 38 2 18 64.29% 48.65 D, E 36 38 2 18 64.29% 48.65 D, F 36 38 2 18 64.29% 48.65 A, F 28 46 12 8 49.12% 37.84 B, F 28 46 12 8 49.12% 37.84 | D, E C E, F B, C A, B A, C B, D B, D B, E B, F C, D | 8 8 2 1 1 1 1 | 66 66 72 73 73 73 73 | 0 0 0 0 0 | 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 | 61.63% 61.63% 60.87% 60.75% | 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% |
| C, D 36 38 2 18 64.29% 48.65 D, E 36 38 2 18 64.29% 48.65 D, F 36 38 2 18 64.29% 48.65 A, F 28 46 12 8 49.12% 37.84 B, F 28 46 12 8 49.12% 37.84 | B E F B C B C B C B D B D B D B E B F B F C D C D | 8 2 1 1 1 1 | 66 72 73 73 73 73 | 0 0 0 0 0 | 20 20 20 20 20 20 | 61.63% 60.87% 60.75% 60.75% | 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% |
| D, E 36 38 2 18 64.29% 48.65 D, F 36 38 2 18 64.29% 48.65 A, F 28 46 12 8 49.12% 37.84 B, F 28 46 12 8 49.12% 37.84 | % B, C % A, B % A, C % B, D % B, E % B, F % C, D | 2 1 1 1 1 | 72 73 73 73 73 | 0 0 0 0 | 20 20 20 20 | 60.87% 60.75% 60.75% | 100.0% 100.0% |
| D, F 36 38 2 18 64.29% 48.65 A, F 28 46 12 8 49.12% 37.84 B, F 28 46 12 8 49.12% 37.84 | A, B A, C B, D B, E B, F C, D | 1 1 1 1 | 73 73 73 73 | 0 0 0 | 20 20 20 | 60.75% 60.75% | 100.0% |
| A, F 28 46 12 8 49.12% 37.84 B, F 28 46 12 8 49.12% 37.84 | e% A, C % B, D % B, E % B, F % C, D | 1 1 1 | 73 73 73 | 0 | 20 20 | 60.75% | |
| B, F 28 46 12 8 49.12% 37.84 | B, D B, E B, F C, D | 1 1 | 73 73 | 0 | 20 | | 100.0% |
| | 8% B, E 8% B, F 8% C, D | 1 | 73 | | | 60.75% | |
| | 8% B, F 8% C, D | | | 0 | | | 100.0% |
| C, F 28 46 12 8 49.12% 37.84 | % C, D | 1 | | | 20 | 60.75% | 100.0% |
| E, F 28 46 12 8 49.12% 37.84 | | | 73 | 0 | 20 | 60.75% | 100.0% |
| A, B 18 56 12 8 34.62% 24.32 | | 1 | 73 | 0 | 20 | 60.75% | 100.0% |
| A, C 18 56 12 8 34.62% 24.32 | | 1 | 73 | 0 | 20 | 60.75% | 100.0% |
| A, E 18 56 12 8 34.62% 24.32 | | 1 | 73 | 0 | 20 | 60.75% | 100.0% |
| B, E 8 66 0 20 19.51% 10.81 | % A, D | 36 | 38 | 2 | 18 | 63.44% | 94.74% |
| C, E 8 66 0 20 19.51% 10.81 | | 36 | 38 | 2 | 18 | 63.44% | 94.74% |
| B, C 2 72 0 20 5.26% 2.79 | | 28 | 46 | 12 | 8 | 42.41% | 70.0% |
| | Design of Form | | | | | | |
| A, C 3 264 3 310 2.2% 1.12 | | 3 | 264 | 3 | 310 | 52.0% | 50.0% |
| B, C 3 264 3 310 2.2% 1.12 | | 3 | 264 | 3 | 310 | 52.0% | 50.0% |
| C, D 3 264 3 310 2.2% 1.12 | % C, D | 3 | 264 | 3 | 310 | 52.0% | 50.0% |
| C, E 3 264 3 310 2.2% 1.12 | | 3 | 264 | 3 | 310 | 52.0% | 50.0% |
| C, F 3 264 3 310 2.2% 1.12° | | 3 | 264 | 3 | 310 | 52.0% | 50.0% |
| A, B 0 267 0 313 0.0% 0.09 | | 0 | 267 | 0 | 313 | 26.98% | 0.0% |
| A, D 0 267 17 296 0.0% 0.09 | | 0 | 267 | 0 | 313 | 26.98% | 0.0% |
| A, E 0 267 0 313 0.0% 0.0% | | 0 | 267 | 0 | 313 | 26.98% | 0.0% |
| A, F 0 267 0 313 0.0% 0.0% | | 0 | 267 | 0 | 313 | 26.98% | 0.0% |
| B, D 0 267 17 296 0.0% 0.0% | | 0 | 267 | 0 | 313 | 26.98% | 0.0% |
| B, E 0 267 0 313 0.0% 0.09 | | 0 | 267 | 0 | 313 | 26.98% | 0.0% |
| B, F 0 267 0 313 0.0% 0.09 | | 0 | 267 | 0 | 313 | 26.98% | 0.0% |
| D, E 0 267 17 296 0.0% 0.0% | | 0 | 267 | 0 | 313 | 26.98% | 0.0% |
| D, F 0 267 17 296 0.0% 0.0% | | 0 | 267 | 0 | 313 | 26.98% | 0.0% |
| E, F 0 267 0 313 0.0% 0.0% | | 0 | 267 | 0 | 313 | 26.98% | 0.0% |
| | od Tampering | | | | | | |
| A, F 36 54 0 1 57.14% 40.0° | | 36 | 54 | 0 | 1 | 50.91% | 100.0% |
| B, F 36 54 0 1 57.14% 40.0° | | 36 | 54 | 0 | 1 | 50.91% | 100.0% |
| C, F 36 54 0 1 57.14% 40.0° | | 36 | 54 | 0 | 1 | 50.91% | 100.0% |
| D, F 36 54 0 1 57.14% 40.0° | % D, F | 36 | 54 | 0 | 1 | 50.91% | 100.0% |

| E, F | 36 | 54 | 0 | 1 | 57.14% | 40.0% | E, F | 36 | 54 | 0 | 1 | 50.91% | 100.0% |
|-------|-------|------|-------|--------|----------------|-------------|-------------|---------|--------|-----------|------|-------------|--------|
| A, B | 32 | 58 | 1 | 0 | 52.03% | 35.56% | A, B | 6 | 84 | 0 | 1 | 50.59% | 100.0% |
| A, C | 32 | 58 | 1 | 0 | 52.03% | 35.56% | B, C | 6 | 84 | 0 | 1 | 50.59% | 100.0% |
| A, D | 32 | 58 | 1 | 0 | 52.03% | 35.56% | B, D | 6 | 84 | 0 | 1 | 50.59% | 100.0% |
| A, E | 32 | 58 | 1 | 0 | 52.03% | 35.56% | A, E | 1 | 89 | 0 | 1 | 50.56% | 100.0% |
| B, C | 6 | 84 | 0 | 1 | 12.5% | 6.67% | B, E | 1 | 89 | 0 | 1 | 50.56% | 100.0% |
| B, D | 6 | 84 | 0 | 1 | 12.5% | 6.67% | C, E | 1 | 89 | 0 | 1 | 50.56% | 100.0% |
| B, E | 6 | 84 | 0 | 1 | 12.5% | 6.67% | D, E | 1 | 89 | 0 | 1 | 50.56% | 100.0% |
| C, E | 1 | 89 | 0 | 1 | 2.2% | 1.11% | A, C | 32 | 58 | 1 | 0 | 48.48% | 96.97% |
| D, E | 1 | 89 | 0 | 1 | 2.2% | 1.11% | A, D | 32 | 58 | 1 | 0 | 48.48% | 96.97% |
| C, D | 0 | 90 | 0 | 1 | 0.0% | 0.0% | C, D | 0 | 90 | 0 | 1 | 0.55% | 0.0% |
| A - C |)WASI | PZAP | B - B | urp Sı | uite C - Iro | on Wasp D | - Accunetix | (E - | Wapiti | i F - (| OWAS | P ZAP + Plı | ıgins |

Table 4.9: Ranking of combinations of 2 SAST tools regarding their performance in category A4: Insecure Design - Best and Minimum Effort Scenarios

Results obtained in A5: Security Misconfiguration

| | | | | | A5 | : Security Mi | isconfigura | tion | | | | | |
|-------|--------|----------|-----|----|--------|---------------|--------------|----------|--------|-------|----|-------------|------------|
| Bı | usines | s Critic | cal | | Metric | Tiebreaker | | | ed Cri | tical | | Metric | Tiebreaker |
| Comb. | TP | FN | FP | TN | Recall | Precison | Comb. | TP | FN | FP | TN | Rec.*Infor. | Recall |
| | | | | | | XML Extern | nal Entities | <u> </u> | | | | | |
| A, E | 5 | 13 | 0 | 5 | 27.78% | 100.0% | A, E | 5 | 13 | 0 | 5 | 17.75% | 27.78% |
| B, E | 5 | 13 | 0 | 5 | 27.78% | 100.0% | B, E | 5 | 13 | 0 | 5 | 17.75% | 27.78% |
| C, E | 5 | 13 | 0 | 5 | 27.78% | 100.0% | C, E | 5 | 13 | 0 | 5 | 17.75% | 27.78% |
| D, E | 5 | 13 | 0 | 5 | 27.78% | 100.0% | D, E | 5 | 13 | 0 | 5 | 17.75% | 27.78% |
| E, F | 5 | 13 | 0 | 5 | 27.78% | 100.0% | E, F | 5 | 13 | 0 | 5 | 17.75% | 27.78% |
| A, B | 0 | 18 | 0 | 5 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, B | 0 | 18 | 0 | 5 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| A, C | 0 | 18 | 0 | 5 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, C | 0 | 18 | 0 | 5 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| A, D | 0 | 18 | 0 | 5 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, D | 0 | 18 | 0 | 5 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| A, F | 0 | 18 | 0 | 5 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, F | 0 | 18 | 0 | 5 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| B, C | 0 | 18 | 0 | 5 | 0.0% | 0.0% | B, C | 0 | 18 | 0 | 5 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| B, D | 0 | 18 | 0 | 5 | 0.0% | 0.0% | B, D | 0 | 18 | 0 | 5 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| B, F | 0 | 18 | 0 | 5 | 0.0% | 0.0% | B, F | 0 | 18 | 0 | 5 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| C, D | 0 | 18 | 0 | 5 | 0.0% | 0.0% | C, D | 0 | 18 | 0 | 5 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| C, F | 0 | 18 | 0 | 5 | 0.0% | 0.0% | C, F | 0 | 18 | 0 | 5 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| D, F | 0 | 18 | 0 | 5 | 0.0% | 0.0% | D, F | 0 | 18 | 0 | 5 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| | | | | | Ва | d Programm | ing of Cool | kies | | | | | |
| A, B | 34 | 85 | 0 | 25 | 28.57% | 100.0% | A, B | 34 | 85 | 0 | 25 | 18.37% | 28.57% |
| A, C | 34 | 85 | 0 | 25 | 28.57% | 100.0% | A, C | 34 | 85 | 0 | 25 | 18.37% | 28.57% |
| A, D | 34 | 85 | 0 | 25 | 28.57% | 100.0% | A, D | 34 | 85 | 0 | 25 | 18.37% | 28.57% |
| A, E | 34 | 85 | 0 | 25 | 28.57% | 100.0% | A, E | 34 | 85 | 0 | 25 | 18.37% | 28.57% |
| A, F | 34 | 85 | 0 | 25 | 28.57% | 100.0% | A, F | 34 | 85 | 0 | 25 | 18.37% | 28.57% |
| B, F | 30 | 89 | 0 | 25 | 25.21% | 100.0% | B, F | 30 | 89 | 0 | 25 | 15.78% | 25.21% |
| C, F | 30 | 89 | 0 | 25 | 25.21% | 100.0% | C, F | 30 | 89 | 0 | 25 | 15.78% | 25.21% |
| D, F | 30 | 89 | 0 | 25 | 25.21% | 100.0% | D, F | 30 | 89 | 0 | 25 | 15.78% | 25.21% |
| E, F | 30 | 89 | 0 | 25 | 25.21% | 100.0% | E, F | 30 | 89 | 0 | 25 | 15.78% | 25.21% |
| B, E | 15 | 104 | 0 | 25 | 12.61% | 100.0% | B, E | 15 | 104 | 0 | 25 | 7.1% | 12.61% |
| C, E | 15 | 104 | 0 | 25 | 12.61% | 100.0% | C, E | 15 | 104 | 0 | 25 | 7.1% | 12.61% |
| D, E | 15 | 104 | 0 | 25 | 12.61% | 100.0% | D, E | 15 | 104 | 0 | 25 | 7.1% | 12.61% |
| B, C | 8 | 111 | 0 | 25 | 6.72% | 100.0% | B, C | 8 | 111 | 0 | 25 | 3.59% | 6.72% |
| C, D | 8 | 111 | 0 | 25 | 6.72% | 100.0% | C, D | 8 | 111 | 0 | 25 | 3.59% | 6.72% |
| B, D | 7 | 112 | 0 | 25 | 5.88% | 100.0% | B, D | 7 | 112 | 0 | 25 | 3.11% | 5.88% |
| | | | | | | e Use of Har | | | | | | | |
| A, B | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, B | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| A, C | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, C | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| A, D | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, D | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| A, E | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, E | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| A, F | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, F | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| B, C | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | B, C | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| B, D | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | B, D | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| B, E | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | B, E | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| B, F | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | B, F | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| C, D | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | C, D | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| C, E | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | C, E | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| C, F | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | C, F | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% |

| D, E | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | D, E | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
|-------|-------|------------------|-------|--------|----------------|------------|-------------|---------|--------|-----|------|-------------|-------|
| D, F | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | D, F | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| E, F | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | E, F | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| A - C |)WASI | ² ZAP | B - B | urp Sı | iite C - Iro | n Wasp D | - Accunetix | (E - | Wapiti | F-(| OWAS | P ZAP + Plu | ugins |

Table 4.10: Ranking of combinations of 2 SAST tools regarding their performance in category A5: Security Misconfiguration - Business and Heightened Critical Scenarios

| | | | | | A5: | Security M | isconfigurat | ion | | | | | |
|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|----------------|--------------|--------------|---------|---------|-----|------|--------------|------------|
| | Best | Effort | | | Metric | Tiebreaker | | | ım Effe | ort | | Metric | Tiebreaker |
| Comb. | TP | FN | FP | TN | F-measure | Recall | Comb. | TP | FN | FP | TN | Markedness | Precision |
| | | | | | | XML Exter | nal Entities | | | | | | |
| A, E | 5 | 13 | 0 | 5 | 43.48% | 27.78% | A, E | 5 | 13 | 0 | 5 | 63.89% | 100.0% |
| B, E | 5 | 13 | 0 | 5 | 43.48% | 27.78% | B, E | 5 | 13 | 0 | 5 | 63.89% | 100.0% |
| C, E | 5 | 13 | 0 | 5 | 43.48% | 27.78% | C, E | 5 | 13 | 0 | 5 | 63.89% | 100.0% |
| D, E | 5 | 13 | 0 | 5 | 43.48% | 27.78% | D, E | 5 | 13 | 0 | 5 | 63.89% | 100.0% |
| E, F | 5 | 13 | 0 | 5 | 43.48% | 27.78% | E, F | 5 | 13 | 0 | 5 | 63.89% | 100.0% |
| A, B | 0 | 18 | 0 | 5 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, B | 0 | 18 | 0 | 5 | 10.87% | 0.0% |
| A, C | 0 | 18 | 0 | 5 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, C | 0 | 18 | 0 | 5 | 10.87% | 0.0% |
| A, D | 0 | 18 | 0 | 5 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, D | 0 | 18 | 0 | 5 | 10.87% | 0.0% |
| A, F | 0 | 18 | 0 | 5 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, F | 0 | 18 | 0 | 5 | 10.87% | 0.0% |
| B, C | 0 | 18 | 0 | 5 | 0.0% | 0.0% | B, C | 0 | 18 | 0 | 5 | 10.87% | 0.0% |
| B, D | 0 | 18 | 0 | 5 | 0.0% | 0.0% | B, D | 0 | 18 | 0 | 5 | 10.87% | 0.0% |
| B, F | 0 | 18 | 0 | 5 | 0.0% | 0.0% | B, F | 0 | 18 | 0 | 5 | 10.87% | 0.0% |
| C, D | 0 | 18 | 0 | 5 | 0.0% | 0.0% | C, D | 0 | 18 | 0 | 5 | 10.87% | 0.0% |
| C, F | 0 | 18 | 0 | 5 | 0.0% | 0.0% | C, F | 0 | 18 | 0 | 5 | 10.87% | 0.0% |
| D, F | 0 | 18 | 0 | 5 | 0.0% | 0.0% | D, F | 0 | 18 | 0 | 5 | 10.87% | 0.0% |
| , | | | | | Вас | d Programm | | cies | 1 | | | | |
| A, B | 34 | 85 | 0 | 25 | 44.44% | 28.57% | A, B | 34 | 85 | 0 | 25 | 61.36% | 100.0% |
| A, C | 34 | 85 | 0 | 25 | 44.44% | 28.57% | A, C | 34 | 85 | 0 | 25 | 61.36% | 100.0% |
| A, D | 34 | 85 | 0 | 25 | 44.44% | 28.57% | A, D | 34 | 85 | 0 | 25 | 61.36% | 100.0% |
| A, E | 34 | 85 | 0 | 25 | 44.44% | 28.57% | A, E | 34 | 85 | 0 | 25 | 61.36% | 100.0% |
| A, F | 34 | 85 | 0 | 25 | 44.44% | 28.57% | A, F | 34 | 85 | 0 | 25 | 61.36% | 100.0% |
| B, F | 30 | 89 | 0 | 25 | 40.27% | 25.21% | B, F | 30 | 89 | 0 | 25 | 60.96% | 100.0% |
| C, F | 30 | 89 | 0 | 25 | 40.27% | 25.21% | C, F | 30 | 89 | 0 | 25 | 60.96% | 100.0% |
| D, F | 30 | 89 | 0 | 25 | 40.27% | 25.21% | D, F | 30 | 89 | 0 | 25 | 60.96% | 100.0% |
| E, F | 30 | 89 | 0 | 25 | 40.27% | 25.21% | E, F | 30 | 89 | 0 | 25 | 60.96% | 100.0% |
| B, E | 15 | 104 | 0 | 25 | 22.39% | 12.61% | B, E | 15 | 104 | 0 | 25 | 59.69% | 100.0% |
| C, E | 15 | 104 | 0 | 25 | 22.39% | 12.61% | C, E | 15 | 104 | 0 | 25 | 59.69% | 100.0% |
| D, E | 15 | 104 | 0 | 25 | 22.39% | 12.61% | D, E | 15 | 104 | 0 | 25 | 59.69% | 100.0% |
| B, C | 8 | 111 | 0 | 25 | 12.6% | 6.72% | B, C | 8 | 111 | 0 | 25 | 59.19% | 100.0% |
| C, D | 8 | 111 | 0 | 25 | 12.6% | 6.72% | C, D | 8 | 111 | 0 | 25 | 59.19% | 100.0% |
| B, D | 7 | 112 | 0 | 25 | 11.11% | 5.88% | B, D | 7 | 112 | 0 | 25 | 59.12% | 100.0% |
| | | | | | | e Use of Har | | onstan | | | | | |
| A, B | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, B | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| A, C | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, C | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| A, D | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, D | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| A, E | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, E | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| A, F | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, F | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| B, C | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | B, C | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| B, D | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | B, D | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| B, E | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | В, Е | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| B, F | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | B, F | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| C, D | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | C, D | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| C, E | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | C, E | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| C, F | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | C, F | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| D, E | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | D, E | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| D, F | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | D, F | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| E, F | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% | E, F | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| A - C |)WASI | PZAP | B - B | urp S | uite C - Iro | n Wasp D | - Accunetion | (E - | Wapiti | F-0 | OWAS | SP ZAP + Plı | ıgins |

Table 4.11: Ranking of combinations of 2 SAST tools regarding their performance in category A5: Security Misconfiguration - Best and Minimum Effort Scenarios

Results obtained in A6: Vulnerable and Outdated

Components

| | | | | | A6: Vulne | rable and O | utdated Co | mpone | ents | | | | |
|-------|--------|---------|-------|--------|----------------|-------------|-------------|---------|--------|-------|----------|-------------|------------|
| В | usines | s Criti | cal | | Metric | Tiebreaker | He | ighten | ed Cri | tical | | Metric | Tiebreaker |
| Comb. | TP | FN | FP | TN | Recall | Precison | Comb. | TP | FN | FP | TN | Rec.*Infor. | Recall |
| | | | | | Insecure/ | Vulnerable | Third-Party | Softw | are | | <u> </u> | | |
| A, B | 9 | 7 | 2 | 18 | 56.25% | 81.82% | A, B | 9 | 7 | 2 | 18 | 41.13% | 56.25% |
| A, C | 9 | 7 | 2 | 18 | 56.25% | 81.82% | A, C | 9 | 7 | 2 | 18 | 41.13% | 56.25% |
| A, D | 9 | 7 | 2 | 18 | 56.25% | 81.82% | A, D | 9 | 7 | 2 | 18 | 41.13% | 56.25% |
| A, E | 9 | 7 | 2 | 18 | 56.25% | 81.82% | A, E | 9 | 7 | 2 | 18 | 41.13% | 56.25% |
| A, F | 9 | 7 | 2 | 18 | 56.25% | 81.82% | A, F | 9 | 7 | 2 | 18 | 41.13% | 56.25% |
| B, F | 7 | 9 | 4 | 16 | 43.75% | 63.64% | B, F | 7 | 9 | 4 | 16 | 27.07% | 43.75% |
| C, F | 7 | 9 | 4 | 16 | 43.75% | 63.64% | C, F | 7 | 9 | 4 | 16 | 27.07% | 43.75% |
| D, F | 7 | 9 | 4 | 16 | 43.75% | 63.64% | D, F | 7 | 9 | 4 | 16 | 27.07% | 43.75% |
| E, F | 7 | 9 | 4 | 16 | 43.75% | 63.64% | E, F | 7 | 9 | 4 | 16 | 27.07% | 43.75% |
| B, D | 3 | 13 | 0 | 20 | 18.75% | 100.0% | B, D | 3 | 13 | 0 | 20 | 11.13% | 18.75% |
| B, C | 2 | 14 | 0 | 20 | 12.5% | 100.0% | B, C | 2 | 14 | 0 | 20 | 7.03% | 12.5% |
| B, E | 2 | 14 | 0 | 20 | 12.5% | 100.0% | B, E | 2 | 14 | 0 | 20 | 7.03% | 12.5% |
| C, D | 2 | 14 | 0 | 20 | 12.5% | 100.0% | C, D | 2 | 14 | 0 | 20 | 7.03% | 12.5% |
| D, E | 2 | 14 | 0 | 20 | 12.5% | 100.0% | D, E | 2 | 14 | 0 | 20 | 7.03% | 12.5% |
| C, E | 1 | 15 | 0 | 20 | 6.25% | 100.0% | C, E | 1 | 15 | 0 | 20 | 3.32% | 6.25% |
| A - C | WASI | PZAP | B - B | urp Sı | iite C - Irc | n Wasp D | - Accunetix | (E - | Wapiti | F-(| OWAS | SP ZAP + Pl | ugins |

Table 4.12: Ranking of combinations of 2 SAST tools regarding their performance in category A6: Vulnerable and Outdated Components - Business and Heightened Critical Scenarios

| | | | | | A6: Vulne | erable and O | utdated Co | mpone | ents | | | | |
|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|----------------|--------------|-------------|---------|---------|-----|------|--------------|------------|
| | Best | Effort | | | Metric | Tiebreaker | N | Iinimu | ım Effe | ort | | Metric | Tiebreaker |
| Comb. | TP | FN | FP | TN | F-measure | Recall | Comb. | TP | FN | FP | TN | Markedness | Precision |
| | | | | | Insecure/ | 'Vulnerable | Third-Party | Softw | are | | | | |
| A, B | 9 | 7 | 2 | 18 | 66.67% | 56.25% | B, D | 3 | 13 | 0 | 20 | 80.3% | 100.0% |
| A, C | | | | | | | | | | | | 79.41% | 100.0% |
| A, D | 9 | 7 | 2 | 18 | 66.67% | 56.25% | A, D | 2 | 14 | 0 | 20 | 79.41% | 100.0% |
| A, E | 9 | 7 | 2 | 18 | 66.67% | 56.25% | B, C | 2 | 14 | 0 | 20 | 79.41% | 100.0% |
| A, F | 9 | 7 | 2 | 18 | 66.67% | 56.25% | В, Е | 2 | 14 | 0 | 20 | 79.41% | 100.0% |
| B, F | 7 | 9 | 4 | 16 | 51.85% | 43.75% | B, F | 2 | 14 | 0 | 20 | 79.41% | 100.0% |
| C, F | 7 | 9 | 4 | 16 | 51.85% | 43.75% | C, D | 2 | 14 | 0 | 20 | 79.41% | 100.0% |
| D, F | 7 | 9 | 4 | 16 | 51.85% | 43.75% | D, E | 2 | 14 | 0 | 20 | 79.41% | 100.0% |
| E, F | 7 | 9 | 4 | 16 | 51.85% | 43.75% | D, F | 2 | 14 | 0 | 20 | 79.41% | 100.0% |
| B, D | 3 | 13 | 0 | 20 | 31.58% | 18.75% | A, C | 1 | 15 | 0 | 20 | 78.57% | 100.0% |
| B, C | 2 | 14 | 0 | 20 | 22.22% | 12.5% | C, E | 1 | 15 | 0 | 20 | 78.57% | 100.0% |
| B, E | 2 | 14 | 0 | 20 | 22.22% | 12.5% | C, F | 1 | 15 | 0 | 20 | 78.57% | 100.0% |
| C, D | 2 | 14 | 0 | 20 | 22.22% | 12.5% | A, E | 9 | 7 | 2 | 18 | 76.91% | 81.82% |
| D, E | 2 | 14 | 0 | 20 | 22.22% | 12.5% | A, F | 9 | 7 | 2 | 18 | 76.91% | 81.82% |
| C, E | 1 | 15 | 0 | 20 | 11.76% | 6.25% | E, F | 7 | 9 | 4 | 16 | 63.82% | 63.64% |
| A - C |)WASI | PZAP | B - B | urp S | uite C - Iro | n Wasp D | - Accunetio | (E - | Wapit | F- | OWAS | SP ZAP + Plı | ugins |

Table 4.13: Ranking of combinations of 2 SAST tools regarding their performance in category A6: Vulnerable and Outdated Components - Best and Minimum Effort Scenarios

Results obtained in A7: Identification and Authentication Failures

| | | | | | A7: Identif | ication and A | Authenticat | ion Fai | lures | | | | |
|-------|--------------------------|----------|-----|----|-------------|---------------|-------------|---------|--------|-------|----|-------------|------------|
| В | usines | s Critic | cal | | Metric | Tiebreaker | Не | ighten | ed Cri | tical | | Metric | Tiebreaker |
| Comb. | TP | FN | FP | TN | Recall | Precison | Comb. | TP | FN | FP | TN | Rec.*Infor. | Recall |
| | Bypassing Authentication | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A, F | 1 | 11 | 0 | 5 | 8.33% | 100.0% | A, F | 1 | 11 | 0 | 5 | 4.51% | 8.33% |
| B, C | 1 | 11 | 0 | 5 | 8.33% | 100.0% | B, C | 1 | 11 | 0 | 5 | 4.51% | 8.33% |
| B, D | 1 | 11 | 0 | 5 | 8.33% | 100.0% | B, D | 1 | 11 | 0 | 5 | 4.51% | 8.33% |

| B, E | 1 | 11 | 0 | 5 | 8.33% | 100.0% | B, E | 1 | 11 | 0 | 5 | 4.51% | 8.33% |
|-------|------|----------|-------|--------|--------------|------------|--------------|---------|----------|---------|--------|-------------|---------|
| B, F | 1 | 11 | 0 | 5 | 8.33% | 100.0% | В, Е В, F | 1 | 11 | 0 | 5 | 4.51% | 8.33% |
| C, F | 1 | 11 | 0 | 5 | 8.33% | 100.0% | C, F | 1 | 11 | 0 | 5 | 4.51% | 8.33% |
| D, F | 1 | 11 | 0 | 5 | 8.33% | 100.0% | | 1 | 11 | 0 | 5 | 4.51% | 8.33% |
| E, F | 1 | 11 | 0 | 5 | 8.33% | | D, F | 1 | 11 | 0 | _ | 4.51% | 8.33% |
| | 1 | 11 | 1 | 4 | | 100.0% | E, F | 1 | 11 | 1 | 5 4 | 3.68% | |
| A, B | | | | | 8.33% | 50.0% | A, B | | | | | 3.68% | 8.33% |
| A, C | 1 | 11 | 1 | 4 | 8.33% | 50.0% | A, C | 1 | 11 | 1 | 4 | | 8.33% |
| A, D | 1 | 11 11 | 1 | 4 | 8.33% | 50.0% | A, D | 1 | 11 11 | 1 | 4 | 3.68% | 8.33% |
| A, E | 1 | | | | 8.33% | 50.0% | A, E | | 11 | | | 3.68% | 8.33% |
| C, D | 0 | 12 | 0 | 5 | 0.0% | 0.0% | C, D | 0 | | 0 | 5 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| C, E | 0 | 12 | 0 | 5 | 0.0% | 0.0% | C, E | 0 | 12 | 0 | 5 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| D, E | 0 | 12 | 0 | 5 | 0.0% | 0.0% | D, E | 0 | 12 | 0 | 5 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| 4.5 | | 4.0 | _ | 4 | 22.220/ | | ce Attacks | _ | 4.5 | | | 12 000/ | 20.450/ |
| A, D | 8 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 33.33% | 61.54% | A, E | 7 | 17 | 2 | 4 | 13.98% | 29.17% |
| B, D | 8 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 33.33% | 61.54% | B, E | 7 | 17 | 2 | 4 | 13.98% | 29.17% |
| C, D | 8 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 33.33% | 61.54% | C, E | 7 | 17 | 2 | 4 | 13.98% | 29.17% |
| D, E | 8 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 33.33% | 61.54% | D, E | 7 | 17 | 2 | 4 | 13.98% | 29.17% |
| D, F | 8 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 33.33% | 61.54% | E, F | 7 | 17 | 2 | 4 | 13.98% | 29.17% |
| A, E | 7 | 17 | 2 | 4 | 29.17% | 77.78% | A, D | 8 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 8.33% | 33.33% |
| B, E | 7 | 17 | 2 | 4 | 29.17% | 77.78% | B, D | 8 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 8.33% | 33.33% |
| C, E | 7 | 17 | 2 | 4 | 29.17% | 77.78% | C, D | 8 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 8.33% | 33.33% |
| E, F | 7 | 17 | 2 | 4 | 29.17% | 77.78% | D, F | 8 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 8.33% | 33.33% |
| A, B | 0 | 24 | 0 | 6 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, B | 0 | 24 | 0 | 6 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| A, C | 0 | 24 | 0 | 6 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, C | 0 | 24 | 0 | 6 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| A, F | 0 | 24 | 0 | 6 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, F | 0 | 24 | 0 | 6 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| B, C | 0 | 24 | 0 | 6 | 0.0% | 0.0% | B, C | 0 | 24 | 0 | 6 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| B, F | 0 | 24 | 0 | 6 | 0.0% | 0.0% | B, F | 0 | 24 | 0 | 6 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| C, F | 0 | 24 | 0 | 6 | 0.0% | 0.0% | C, F | 0 | 24 | 0 | 6 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| | | | | | | Session | Fixation | | | | | | |
| A, C | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 66.67% | 80.0% | A, C | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 38.89% | 66.67% |
| B, C | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 66.67% | 80.0% | B, C | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 38.89% | 66.67% |
| C, D | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 66.67% | 80.0% | C, D | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 38.89% | 66.67% |
| C, E | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 66.67% | 80.0% | C, E | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 38.89% | 66.67% |
| C, F | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 66.67% | 80.0% | C, F | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 38.89% | 66.67% |
| A, B | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, B | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| A, D | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, D | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| A, E | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, E | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| A, F | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, F | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| B, D | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0.0% | 0.0% | B, D | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| B, E | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0.0% | 0.0% | B, E | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| B, F | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0.0% | 0.0% | B, F | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| D, E | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0.0% | 0.0% | D, E | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| D, F | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0.0% | 0.0% | D, F | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| E, F | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0.0% | 0.0% | E, F | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| A - O | WASI | PZAP | B - B | urp Sı | ıite∣C - Iro | n Wasp D | - Accunetix | (E - | Wapiti | i F-(| OWAS | P ZAP + Plı | ugins |

Table 4.14: Ranking of combinations of 2 SAST tools regarding their performance in category A7: Identification and Authentication Failures - Business and Heightened Critical Scenarios

| | A7: Identification and Authentication Failures | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--|--------|----|----|--------------|--------------|-------------|---------|---------|-----|----|------------|------------|
| | | | | | A7: Identifi | cation and A | Authenticat | ion Fai | lures | | | | |
| | Best | Effort | | | Metric | Tiebreaker | N | /linimu | ım Effo | ort | | Metric | Tiebreaker |
| Comb. | TP | FN | FP | TN | F-measure | Recall | Comb. | TP | FN | FP | TN | Markedness | Precision |
| Bypassing Authentication | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A, F | 1 | 11 | 0 | 5 | 15.38% | 8.33% | A, F | 1 | 11 | 0 | 5 | 65.62% | 100.0% |
| B, C | 1 | 11 | 0 | 5 | 15.38% | 8.33% | B, C | 1 | 11 | 0 | 5 | 65.62% | 100.0% |
| B, D | 1 | 11 | 0 | 5 | 15.38% | 8.33% | B, D | 1 | 11 | 0 | 5 | 65.62% | 100.0% |
| B, E | 1 | 11 | 0 | 5 | 15.38% | 8.33% | B, E | 1 | 11 | 0 | 5 | 65.62% | 100.0% |
| B, F | 1 | 11 | 0 | 5 | 15.38% | 8.33% | B, F | 1 | 11 | 0 | 5 | 65.62% | 100.0% |
| C, F | 1 | 11 | 0 | 5 | 15.38% | 8.33% | C, F | 1 | 11 | 0 | 5 | 65.62% | 100.0% |
| D, F | 1 | 11 | 0 | 5 | 15.38% | 8.33% | D, F | 1 | 11 | 0 | 5 | 65.62% | 100.0% |
| E, F | 1 | 11 | 0 | 5 | 15.38% | 8.33% | E, F | 1 | 11 | 0 | 5 | 65.62% | 100.0% |
| A, B | 1 | 11 | 1 | 4 | 14.29% | 8.33% | A, B | 1 | 11 | 1 | 4 | 38.33% | 50.0% |
| A, C | 1 | 11 | 1 | 4 | 14.29% | 8.33% | A, C | 1 | 11 | 1 | 4 | 38.33% | 50.0% |
| A, D | 1 | 11 | 1 | 4 | 14.29% | 8.33% | A, D | 1 | 11 | 1 | 4 | 38.33% | 50.0% |
| A, E | 1 | 11 | 1 | 4 | 14.29% | 8.33% | A, E | 1 | 11 | 1 | 4 | 38.33% | 50.0% |
| C, D | 0 | 12 | 0 | 5 | 0.0% | 0.0% | C, D | 0 | 12 | 0 | 5 | 14.71% | 0.0% |

| C, E | 0 | 12 | 0 | 5 | 0.0% | 0.0% | C, E | 0 | 12 | 0 | 5 | 14.71% | 0.0% |
|-------|-------|------|-------|---------|----------------|------------|--------------|---------|--------|-----------|------|-------------|--------|
| D, E | 0 | 12 | 0 | 5 | 0.0% | 0.0% | D, E | 0 | 12 | 0 | 5 | 14.71% | 0.0% |
| | | | | | 01071 | Brute Ford | , | | | | | | 01071 |
| A, D | 8 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 43.24% | 33.33% | A, E | 7 | 17 | 2 | 4 | 48.41% | 77.78% |
| B, D | 8 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 43.24% | 33.33% | B, E | 7 | 17 | 2 | 4 | 48.41% | 77.78% |
| C, D | 8 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 43.24% | 33.33% | C, E | 7 | 17 | 2 | 4 | 48.41% | 77.78% |
| D, E | 8 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 43.24% | 33.33% | D, E | 7 | 17 | 2 | 4 | 48.41% | 77.78% |
| D, F | 8 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 43.24% | 33.33% | E, F | 7 | 17 | 2 | 4 | 48.41% | 77.78% |
| A, E | 7 | 17 | 2 | 4 | 42.42% | 29.17% | A, D | 8 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 33.71% | 61.54% |
| В, Е | 7 | 17 | 2 | 4 | 42.42% | 29.17% | B, D | 8 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 33.71% | 61.54% |
| C, E | 7 | 17 | 2 | 4 | 42.42% | 29.17% | C, D | 8 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 33.71% | 61.54% |
| E, F | 7 | 17 | 2 | 4 | 42.42% | 29.17% | D, F | 8 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 33.71% | 61.54% |
| A, B | 0 | 24 | 0 | 6 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, B | 0 | 24 | 0 | 6 | 20.0% | 0.0% |
| A, C | 0 | 24 | 0 | 6 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, C | 0 | 24 | 0 | 6 | 20.0% | 0.0% |
| A, F | 0 | 24 | 0 | 6 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, F | 0 | 24 | 0 | 6 | 20.0% | 0.0% |
| B, C | 0 | 24 | 0 | 6 | 0.0% | 0.0% | B, C | 0 | 24 | 0 | 6 | 20.0% | 0.0% |
| B, F | 0 | 24 | 0 | 6 | 0.0% | 0.0% | B, F | 0 | 24 | 0 | 6 | 20.0% | 0.0% |
| C, F | 0 | 24 | 0 | 6 | 0.0% | 0.0% | C, F | 0 | 24 | 0 | 6 | 20.0% | 0.0% |
| | | | | | | Session | | | | | | | |
| A, C | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 72.73% | 66.67% | A, C | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 56.67% | 80.0% |
| B, C | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 72.73% | 66.67% | B, C | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 56.67% | 80.0% |
| C, D | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 72.73% | 66.67% | C, D | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 56.67% | 80.0% |
| C, E | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 72.73% | 66.67% | C, E | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 56.67% | 80.0% |
| C, F | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 72.73% | 66.67% | C, F | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 56.67% | 80.0% |
| A, B | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, B | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 25.0% | 0.0% |
| A, D | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, D | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 25.0% | 0.0% |
| A, E | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, E | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 25.0% | 0.0% |
| A, F | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, F | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 25.0% | 0.0% |
| B, D | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0.0% | 0.0% | B, D | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 25.0% | 0.0% |
| В, Е | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0.0% | 0.0% | B, E | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 25.0% | 0.0% |
| B, F | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0.0% | 0.0% | B, F | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 25.0% | 0.0% |
| D, E | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0.0% | 0.0% | D, E | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 25.0% | 0.0% |
| D, F | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0.0% | 0.0% | D, F | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 25.0% | 0.0% |
| E, F | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0.0% | 0.0% | E, F | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 25.0% | 0.0% |
| A - C | DWASI | PZAP | B - B | Surp Si | uite C - Iro | n Wasp D | - Accunetion | (E - | Wapiti | i F - (| OWAS | P ZAP + Plı | ugins |

Table 4.15: Ranking of combinations of 2 SAST tools regarding their performance in category A7: Identification and Authentication Failures - Best and Minimum Effort Scenarios

Results obtained in A8: Software and Data Integrity Failures

| | | | | | A8: Soft | ware and Da | ta Integrity | Failu | es | | | | |
|-------|--------|---------|-----|----|----------|--------------|---------------|--------|--------|-------|----|-------------|------------|
| В | usines | s Criti | cal | | Metric | Tiebreaker | He | ighten | ed Cri | tical | | Metric | Tiebreaker |
| Comb. | TP | FN | FP | TN | Recall | Precison | Comb. | TP | FN | FP | TN | Rec.*Infor. | Recall |
| | | | | | I | nsecure Scop | e of Cookie | es | | | | | |
| A, B | 17 | 15 | 1 | 3 | 53.12% | 94.44% | A, B | 17 | 15 | 1 | 3 | 34.03% | 53.12% |
| B, C | 17 | 15 | 1 | 3 | 53.12% | 94.44% | B, C | 17 | 15 | 1 | 3 | 34.03% | 53.12% |
| B, D | 17 | 15 | 1 | 3 | 53.12% | 94.44% | B, D | 17 | 15 | 1 | 3 | 34.03% | 53.12% |
| B, E | 17 | 15 | 1 | 3 | 53.12% | 94.44% | B, E | 17 | 15 | 1 | 3 | 34.03% | 53.12% |
| B, F | 17 | 15 | 1 | 3 | 53.12% | 94.44% | B, F | 17 | 15 | 1 | 3 | 34.03% | 53.12% |
| A, C | 10 | 22 | 0 | 4 | 31.25% | 100.0% | A, C | 10 | 22 | 0 | 4 | 20.51% | 31.25% |
| A, D | 10 | 22 | 0 | 4 | 31.25% | 100.0% | A, D | 10 | 22 | 0 | 4 | 20.51% | 31.25% |
| A, E | 10 | 22 | 0 | 4 | 31.25% | 100.0% | A, E | 10 | 22 | 0 | 4 | 20.51% | 31.25% |
| A, F | 10 | 22 | 0 | 4 | 31.25% | 100.0% | A, F | 10 | 22 | 0 | 4 | 20.51% | 31.25% |
| C, D | 1 | 31 | 0 | 4 | 3.12% | 100.0% | C, D | 1 | 31 | 0 | 4 | 1.61% | 3.12% |
| D, E | 1 | 31 | 0 | 4 | 3.12% | 100.0% | D, E | 1 | 31 | 0 | 4 | 1.61% | 3.12% |
| D, F | 1 | 31 | 0 | 4 | 3.12% | 100.0% | D, F | 1 | 31 | 0 | 4 | 1.61% | 3.12% |
| C, E | 0 | 32 | 0 | 4 | 0.0% | 0.0% | C, E | 0 | 32 | 0 | 4 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| C, F | 0 | 32 | 0 | 4 | 0.0% | 0.0% | C, F | 0 | 32 | 0 | 4 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| E, F | 0 | 32 | 0 | 4 | 0.0% | 0.0% | E, F | 0 | 32 | 0 | 4 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| | | | | | | Insecure Des | serializatior | ì | | | | | |
| A, B | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, B | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| A, C | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, C | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0.0% | 0.0% |

| A, D | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, D | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0.0% | 0.0% | | | | | | | |
|-------|------|------|-------|--------|----------------|------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|------|------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| A, E | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, E | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0.0% | 0.0% | | | | | | | |
| A, F | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, F | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0.0% | 0.0% | | | | | | | |
| B, C | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0.0% | 0.0% | B, C | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0.0% | 0.0% | | | | | | | |
| B, D | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0.0% | 0.0% | B, D | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0.0% | 0.0% | | | | | | | |
| B, E | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0.0% | 0.0% | B, E | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0.0% | 0.0% | | | | | | | |
| B, F | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0.0% | 0.0% | B, F | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0.0% | 0.0% | | | | | | | |
| C, D | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0.0% | 0.0% | C, D | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0.0% | 0.0% | | | | | | | |
| C, E | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0.0% | 0.0% | C, E | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0.0% | 0.0% | | | | | | | |
| C, F | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0.0% | 0.0% | C, F | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0.0% | 0.0% | | | | | | | |
| D, E | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0.0% | 0.0% | D, E | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0.0% | 0.0% | | | | | | | |
| D, F | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0.0% | 0.0% | D, F | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0.0% | 0.0% | | | | | | | |
| E, F | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0.0% | 0.0% | E, F | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0.0% | 0.0% | | | | | | | |
| A - C | WASI | PZAP | B - B | urp Sı | iite C - Iro | n Wasp D | - Accunetix | A - OWASP ZAP B - Burp Suite C - Iron Wasp D - Accunetix E - Wapiti F - OWASP ZAP + Plugins | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 4.16: Ranking of combinations of 2 SAST tools regarding their performance in category A8: Software and Data Integrity Failures - Business and Heightened Critical Scenarios

| | | | | | A8: Soft | ware and Da | ta Integrity | Failuı | es | | | | |
|-------|-------|--------|-------|---------|----------------|--------------|--------------|---------|---------|---------|------|--------------|------------|
| | Best | Effort | | | Metric | Tiebreaker | N | Iinimu | ım Effe | ort | | Metric | Tiebreaker |
| Comb. | TP | FN | FP | TN | F-measure | Recall | Comb. | TP | FN | FP | TN | Markedness | Precision |
| | | | | | I | nsecure Scop | e of Cookie | es | | | | ' | |
| A, B | 17 | 15 | 1 | 3 | 68.0% | 53.12% | A, B | 10 | 22 | 0 | 4 | 57.69% | 100.0% |
| B, C | 17 | 15 | 1 | 3 | 68.0% | 53.12% | A, C | 10 | 22 | 0 | 4 | 57.69% | 100.0% |
| B, D | 17 | 15 | 1 | 3 | 68.0% | 53.12% | A, D | 10 | 22 | 0 | 4 | 57.69% | 100.0% |
| В, Е | 17 | 15 | 1 | 3 | 68.0% | 53.12% | A, E | 10 | 22 | 0 | 4 | 57.69% | 100.0% |
| B, F | 17 | 15 | 1 | 3 | 68.0% | 53.12% | A, F | 10 | 22 | 0 | 4 | 57.69% | 100.0% |
| A, C | 10 | 22 | 0 | 4 | 47.62% | 31.25% | B, D | 1 | 31 | 0 | 4 | 55.71% | 100.0% |
| A, D | 10 | 22 | 0 | 4 | 47.62% | 31.25% | C, D | 1 | 31 | 0 | 4 | 55.71% | 100.0% |
| A, E | 10 | 22 | 0 | 4 | 47.62% | 31.25% | D, E | 1 | 31 | 0 | 4 | 55.71% | 100.0% |
| A, F | 10 | 22 | 0 | 4 | 47.62% | 31.25% | D, F | 1 | 31 | 0 | 4 | 55.71% | 100.0% |
| C, D | 1 | 31 | 0 | 4 | 6.06% | 3.12% | B, C | 17 | 15 | 1 | 3 | 55.56% | 94.44% |
| D, E | 1 | 31 | 0 | 4 | 6.06% | 3.12% | B, E | 17 | 15 | 1 | 3 | 55.56% | 94.44% |
| D, F | 1 | 31 | 0 | 4 | 6.06% | 3.12% | B, F | 17 | 15 | 1 | 3 | 55.56% | 94.44% |
| C, E | 0 | 32 | 0 | 4 | 0.0% | 0.0% | C, E | 0 | 32 | 0 | 4 | 5.56% | 0.0% |
| C, F | 0 | 32 | 0 | 4 | 0.0% | 0.0% | C, F | 0 | 32 | 0 | 4 | 5.56% | 0.0% |
| E, F | 0 | 32 | 0 | 4 | 0.0% | 0.0% | E, F | 0 | 32 | 0 | 4 | 5.56% | 0.0% |
| | | | | | | Insecure Des | | | | | | | |
| A, B | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, B | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 33.33% | 0.0% |
| A, C | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, C | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 33.33% | 0.0% |
| A, D | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, D | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 33.33% | 0.0% |
| A, E | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, E | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 33.33% | 0.0% |
| A, F | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0.0% | 0.0% | A, F | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 33.33% | 0.0% |
| B, C | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0.0% | 0.0% | B, C | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 33.33% | 0.0% |
| B, D | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0.0% | 0.0% | B, D | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 33.33% | 0.0% |
| B, E | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0.0% | 0.0% | В, Е | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 33.33% | 0.0% |
| B, F | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0.0% | 0.0% | B, F | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 33.33% | 0.0% |
| C, D | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0.0% | 0.0% | C, D | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 33.33% | 0.0% |
| C, E | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0.0% | 0.0% | C, E | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 33.33% | 0.0% |
| C, F | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0.0% | 0.0% | C, F | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 33.33% | 0.0% |
| D, E | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0.0% | 0.0% | D, E | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 33.33% | 0.0% |
| D, F | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0.0% | 0.0% | D, F | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 33.33% | 0.0% |
| E, F | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0.0% | 0.0% | E, F | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 33.33% | 0.0% |
| A - C |)WASI | PZAP | B - B | Surp Si | uite C - Iro | n Wasp D | - Accunetiv | (E - | Wapiti | i F-(| OWAS | SP ZAP + Plu | agins |

Table 4.17: Ranking of combinations of 2 SAST tools regarding their performance in category A8: Software and Data Integrity Failures - Best and Minimum Effort Scenarios

Results obtained in A9: Security Logging and Monitoring Failures

| | | | | | A9: Securit | y Logging a | nd Monitor | ing Fai | lures | | | | |
|---|--------|------------------|-------|--------|----------------|-------------|-------------|---------|--------|---------|------|-------------|------------|
| В | usines | s Critic | cal | | Metric | Tiebreaker | He | ighten | ed Cri | tical | | Metric | Tiebreaker |
| Comb. | TP | FN | FP | TN | Recall | Precison | Comb. | TP | FN | FP | TN | Rec.*Infor. | Recall |
| Improper Output Neutralization for Logs | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A, F | 14 | 18 | 3 | 10 | 43.75% | 82.35% | A, F | 14 | 18 | 3 | 10 | 26.4% | 43.75% |
| B, F | 14 | 18 | 3 | 10 | 43.75% | 82.35% | B, F | 14 | 18 | 3 | 10 | 26.4% | 43.75% |
| C, F | 14 | 18 | 3 | 10 | 43.75% | 82.35% | C, F | 14 | 18 | 3 | 10 | 26.4% | 43.75% |
| D, F | 14 | 18 | 3 | 10 | 43.75% | 82.35% | D, F | 14 | 18 | 3 | 10 | 26.4% | 43.75% |
| E, F | 14 | 18 | 3 | 10 | 43.75% | 82.35% | E, F | 14 | 18 | 3 | 10 | 26.4% | 43.75% |
| A, B | 13 | 19 | 4 | 9 | 40.62% | 76.47% | C, D | 12 | 20 | 0 | 13 | 25.78% | 37.5% |
| A, C | 13 | 19 | 4 | 9 | 40.62% | 76.47% | A, B | 13 | 19 | 4 | 9 | 22.31% | 40.62% |
| A, D | 13 | 19 | 4 | 9 | 40.62% | 76.47% | A, C | 13 | 19 | 4 | 9 | 22.31% | 40.62% |
| A, E | 13 | 19 | 4 | 9 | 40.62% | 76.47% | A, D | 13 | 19 | 4 | 9 | 22.31% | 40.62% |
| C, D | 12 | 20 | 0 | 13 | 37.5% | 100.0% | A, E | 13 | 19 | 4 | 9 | 22.31% | 40.62% |
| B, C | 8 | 24 | 0 | 13 | 25.0% | 100.0% | B, C | 8 | 24 | 0 | 13 | 15.62% | 25.0% |
| B, D | 8 | 24 | 0 | 13 | 25.0% | 100.0% | B, D | 8 | 24 | 0 | 13 | 15.62% | 25.0% |
| C, E | 8 | 24 | 0 | 13 | 25.0% | 100.0% | C, E | 8 | 24 | 0 | 13 | 15.62% | 25.0% |
| D, E | 8 | 24 | 0 | 13 | 25.0% | 100.0% | D, E | 8 | 24 | 0 | 13 | 15.62% | 25.0% |
| B, E | 5 | 27 | 0 | 13 | 15.62% | 100.0% | B, E | 5 | 27 | 0 | 13 | 9.03% | 15.62% |
| A - C | WASI | ^P ZAP | B - B | urp Su | uite C - Iro | n Wasp D | - Accunetix | (E - | Wapiti | i F-(| OWAS | SP ZAP + Pl | ugins |

Table 4.18: Ranking of combinations of 2 SAST tools regarding their performance in category A9: Security Logging and Monitoring Failures - Business and Heightened Critical Scenarios

| | A9: Security Logging and Monitoring Failures | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------|--|--------|-------|-------|----------------|-------------|--------------|---------|---------|-----------|------|--------------|------------|
| | Best | Effort | | | Metric | Tiebreaker | | | ım Effe | ort | | Metric | Tiebreaker |
| Comb. | TP | FN | FP | TN | F-measure | Recall | Comb. | TP | FN | FP | TN | Markedness | Precision |
| | | | | | Improper | r Output Ne | utralization | for Lo | ogs | | | ' | |
| A, F | 14 | 18 | 3 | 10 | 57.14% | 43.75% | C, D | 12 | 20 | 0 | 13 | 69.7% | 100.0% |
| B, F | 14 | 18 | 3 | 10 | 57.14% | 43.75% | A, C | 8 | 24 | 0 | 13 | 67.57% | 100.0% |
| C, F | 14 | 18 | 3 | 10 | 57.14% | 43.75% | A, D | 8 | 24 | 0 | 13 | 67.57% | 100.0% |
| D, F | 14 | 18 | 3 | 10 | 57.14% | 43.75% | B, C | 8 | 24 | 0 | 13 | 67.57% | 100.0% |
| E, F | 14 | 18 | 3 | 10 | 57.14% | 43.75% | B, D | 8 | 24 | 0 | 13 | 67.57% | 100.0% |
| A, B | 13 | 19 | 4 | 9 | 53.06% | 40.62% | C, E | 8 | 24 | 0 | 13 | 67.57% | 100.0% |
| A, C | 13 | 19 | 4 | 9 | 53.06% | 40.62% | C, F | 8 | 24 | 0 | 13 | 67.57% | 100.0% |
| A, D | 13 | 19 | 4 | 9 | 53.06% | 40.62% | D, E | 8 | 24 | 0 | 13 | 67.57% | 100.0% |
| A, E | 13 | 19 | 4 | 9 | 53.06% | 40.62% | D, F | 8 | 24 | 0 | 13 | 67.57% | 100.0% |
| C, D | 12 | 20 | 0 | 13 | 54.55% | 37.5% | A, B | 5 | 27 | 0 | 13 | 66.25% | 100.0% |
| B, C | 8 | 24 | 0 | 13 | 40.0% | 25.0% | В, Е | 5 | 27 | 0 | 13 | 66.25% | 100.0% |
| B, D | 8 | 24 | 0 | 13 | 40.0% | 25.0% | B, F | 5 | 27 | 0 | 13 | 66.25% | 100.0% |
| C, E | 8 | 24 | 0 | 13 | 40.0% | 25.0% | A, E | 5 | 27 | 1 | 12 | 57.05% | 83.33% |
| D, E | 8 | 24 | 0 | 13 | 40.0% | 25.0% | E, F | 5 | 27 | 1 | 12 | 57.05% | 83.33% |
| B, E | 5 | 27 | 0 | 13 | 27.03% | 15.62% | A, F | 14 | 18 | 3 | 10 | 59.03% | 82.35% |
| A - C | WASI | PZAP | B - B | urp S | uite C - Iro | n Wasp D | - Accunetix | (E - | Wapiti | i F - (| OWAS | SP ZAP + Plu | agins |

Table 4.19: Ranking of combinations of 2 SAST tools regarding their performance in category A9: Security Logging and Monitoring Failures - Best and Minimum Effort Scenarios

Results obtained in A10: Server-Side Request Forgery

| A10: Server-Side Request Forgery | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|-----|----|--------|------------|---------------------|--------|--------|--------|----|-------------|------------|
| В | usines | s Criti | cal | | Metric | Tiebreaker | He | ighten | ed Cri | tical | | Metric | Tiebreaker |
| Comb. | TP | FN | FP | TN | Recall | Precison | n Comb. TP FN FP TN | | | | | Rec.*Infor. | Recall |
| | Server-Side Request Forgery | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A, D | 448 | 378 | 1 | 12 | 54.24% | 99.78% | A, D | 12 | 39.74% | 54.24% | | | |
| B, D | 448 | 378 | 1 | 12 | 54.24% | 99.78% | B, D | 448 | 378 | 1 | 12 | 39.74% | 54.24% |
| C, D | 448 | 378 | 1 | 12 | 54.24% | 99.78% | C, D | 448 | 378 | 1 | 12 | 39.74% | 54.24% |
| D, E | 448 | 378 | 1 | 12 | 54.24% | 99.78% | D, E | 448 | 378 | 1 | 12 | 39.74% | 54.24% |
| D, F | 448 | 378 | 1 | 12 | 54.24% | 99.78% | D, F | 448 | 378 | 1 | 12 | 39.74% | 54.24% |
| A, B | 352 | 474 | 2 | 11 | 42.62% | 99.44% | A, B | 352 | 474 | 2 | 11 | 27.11% | 42.62% |
| B, C | 352 | 474 | 2 | 11 | 42.62% | 99.44% | B, C | 352 | 474 | 2 | 11 | 27.11% | 42.62% |

| В, Е | 352 | 474 | 2 | 11 | 42.62% | 99.44% | B, E | 352 | 474 | 2 | 11 | 27.11% | 42.62% |
|---|-----|-----|---|----|--------|--------|------|-----|-----|---|----|--------|--------|
| B, F | 352 | 474 | 2 | 11 | 42.62% | 99.44% | B, F | 352 | 474 | 2 | 11 | 27.11% | 42.62% |
| A, C | 118 | 708 | 0 | 13 | 14.29% | 100.0% | A, C | 121 | 705 | 1 | 12 | 7.83% | 14.65% |
| C, E | 118 | 708 | 0 | 13 | 14.29% | 100.0% | A, E | 121 | 705 | 1 | 12 | 7.83% | 14.65% |
| C, F | 118 | 708 | 0 | 13 | 14.29% | 100.0% | A, F | 121 | 705 | 1 | 12 | 7.83% | 14.65% |
| A, E | 112 | 714 | 0 | 13 | 13.56% | 100.0% | C, E | 118 | 708 | 0 | 13 | 8.16% | 14.29% |
| E, F | 112 | 714 | 0 | 13 | 13.56% | 100.0% | C, F | 118 | 708 | 0 | 13 | 8.16% | 14.29% |
| A, F | 121 | 705 | 1 | 12 | 14.65% | 99.18% | E, F | 112 | 714 | 0 | 13 | 7.7% | 13.56% |
| A - OWASP ZAP B - Burp Suite C - Iron Wasp D - Accunetix E - Wapiti F - OWASP ZAP + Plugins | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 4.20: Ranking of combinations of 2 SAST tools regarding their performance in category A10: Server-Side Request Forgery - Business and Heightened Critical Scenarios

| | A10: Server-Side Request Forgery Best Effort Metric Tiebreaker Minimum Effort Metric Tiebreaker | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------|---|--------|-------|--------|----------------|--------------|-------------|---------|---------|-----|------|--------------|------------|
| | Best | Effort | | | Metric | Tiebreaker | N | Iinimu | ım Effo | ort | | Metric | Tiebreaker |
| Comb. | TP | FN | FP | TN | F-measure | Recall | Comb. | TP | FN | FP | TN | Markedness | Precision |
| | | | | | Sei | rver-Side Re | quest Forge | ery | | | | | |
| A, D | 448 | 378 | 1 | 12 | 70.27% | 54.24% | A, C | 118 | 708 | 0 | 13 | 50.9% | 100.0% |
| B, D | 448 | 378 | 1 | 12 | 70.27% | 54.24% | B, C | 118 | 708 | 0 | 13 | 50.9% | 100.0% |
| C, D | 448 | 378 | 1 | 12 | 70.27% | 54.24% | C, D | 118 | 708 | 0 | 13 | 50.9% | 100.0% |
| · | | | | | | | | | | | | 50.9% | 100.0% |
| D, F | 448 | 378 | 1 | 12 | 70.27% | 54.24% | C, F | 118 | 708 | 0 | 13 | 50.9% | 100.0% |
| A, B | 352 | 474 | 2 | 11 | 59.66% | 42.62% | A, E | 112 | 714 | 0 | 13 | 50.89% | 100.0% |
| B, C | 352 | 474 | 2 | 11 | 59.66% | 42.62% | B, E | 112 | 714 | 0 | 13 | 50.89% | 100.0% |
| B, E | 352 | 474 | 2 | 11 | 59.66% | 42.62% | D, E | 112 | 714 | 0 | 13 | 50.89% | 100.0% |
| B, F | 352 | 474 | 2 | 11 | 59.66% | 42.62% | E, F | 112 | 714 | 0 | 13 | 50.89% | 100.0% |
| A, E | 121 | 705 | 1 | 12 | 25.53% | 14.65% | A, D | 448 | 378 | 1 | 12 | 51.43% | 99.78% |
| A, F | 121 | 705 | 1 | 12 | 25.53% | 14.65% | B, D | 448 | 378 | 1 | 12 | 51.43% | 99.78% |
| A, C | 118 | 708 | 0 | 13 | 25.0% | 14.29% | D, F | 448 | 378 | 1 | 12 | 51.43% | 99.78% |
| C, E | 118 | 708 | 0 | 13 | 25.0% | 14.29% | A, B | 352 | 474 | 2 | 11 | 50.85% | 99.44% |
| C, F | 118 | 708 | 0 | 13 | 25.0% | 14.29% | B, F | 352 | 474 | 2 | 11 | 50.85% | 99.44% |
| E, F | 112 | 714 | 0 | 13 | 23.88% | 13.56% | A, F | 121 | 705 | 1 | 12 | 50.43% | 99.18% |
| A - C |)WASI | PZAP | B - B | urp Sı | uite C - Iro | n Wasp D | - Accunetix | (E - | Wapiti | F-(| OWAS | SP ZAP + Plu | ıgins |

Table 4.21: Ranking of combinations of 2 SAST tools regarding their performance in category A10: Server-Side Request Forgery - Best and Minimum Effort Scenarios

5. Conclusão

Conclusão da sebenta, onde se resume as observações feitas.