## CMSI 370-01

## INTERACTION DESIGN

Fall 2014

## **Assignment 0925 Feedback**

Because we have not yet fully explored the scopes of outcomes 1b and 2b, those proficiencies have a maximum value (for this assignment) of |. That proficiency, for those outcomes, carry the signal "keep doing what you're doing."

## Joaquin Loustau

joaquinloustau / joaquinloustau@gmail.com

You have some really good analysis here, which is why I considered this to be SCCUR-worthy. The main point of improvement is to provide better flow from the description of the study into the analysis; what I refer to as a "narrative" in the inline comments. There are also super-tiny tweaks in your LaTeX file; just check the diffs and ask me if anything is unclear.

Your writing voice is noticeably good too. There are some phrasing/wording rough spots, but I attribute that to native language familiarity. Otherwise I found your tone to be mature, clean, and communicative. If you are on an advanced studies trajectory (MS, Ph.D.) then you are certainly gearing up well for it in the writing department!

1a — + 1b (max |) — |

2a — The possibility for improving the flow of your paper affects this part, because it pertains to how you documented your study. If your SCCUR poster is accepted, this is where the most revisions should happen.

2b (max |) — |

4d — + (well-supported statements throughout)

4e — Hmmm...two commits, both on the submission date...you need to work "in place" more, with commits for smaller units of work. (|)

4f---+