

CMSI 370-01

INTERACTION DESIGN

Fall 2014

Assignment I204a Feedback

This feedback is effectively a code review; to keep it concise, notes are provided as a numbered list. Inline comments in your code illustrate an example of the numbered item (there may be more). Asterisks (*) denote high-priority issues. If any code review item is unclear or if the resulting proficiencies are not self-explanatory, please ask me.

Joaquin Loustau

joaquinloustau / joaquinloustau@gmail.com

Updates based on 12/17/2014 commits:

1. ~~No published version (4a)~~
2. ~~Tab in the source code (yes, even if just one, *I will hunt you down and find you :)*) (4c)~~
3. Creation and deletion both work, with decent feedback (2b, 3a, 3b, 4a)
4. ~~Unused variable (4b, 4c)~~
5. ~~Incorrect indentation (4c)~~ ...*something still there (that is the risk when your indent size is 2), but that's it*
6. ~~Insufficient or inconsistent spacing (4c)~~ ...*some leftovers, but good enough*
7. ~~Repeated function calls for the same object: can be saved in a variable (4b)~~
8. ~~Superfluous parentheses (4a, 4c)~~
9. ~~Expression has no effect (4a)~~
10. ~~Repeated expressions for the same value: can be saved in a variable (4b)~~
11. (**new**) Top-level scope variable due to lack of var keyword (4a, 4b)

2b — +

3a — +

3b — +

4a — | ...*normally the newly-discovered issue 11 would be a spoiler, but we'll let it go here* (+)

4b — | ...*issue 11 would have hit this too, but you otherwise fixed enough so* (+)

4c — | ...*as stated, there are some leftovers, but otherwise sufficient improvement* (+)

4d — +

4e — | ...Looks like you conflated your direct manipulation and widget work; makes changes harder to follow for each individual program. ...*they're still kind of in the same place, so no change; but yes filenames are better*

4f — / ...Nothing really submitted until December 8.