

Feedback on report skeleton

Author: Zhanpeng

Opponent: Joar

Structure

The structure makes sense, with introduction/background → method → experiments → result → discussion → conclusion. I don't have anything to add

Content

Each section has its description and in my eyes they look thought through. It seems like you have considered the general project in its entirety. Maybe you will do it later (since this is just a skeleton), but a motivation why you chose the benchmarks that you did. I think that "Evaluation methods" in the related work section is informative and could possibly be extended (not in terms of number of references but in terms of summary of what are good evaluation methods). But then again, this is only a skeleton.