Achievement 6 Data Source

Option 1: NYC OpenData: NYC Parks Event Listing database

Agency: Department of Parks and Recreation

Link: https://data.cityofnewyork.us/City-Government/NYC-Parks-Events-Listing-Event-Listing/fudw-fgrp/about_data

Pros:

- Updated October 2023, features data back to 2013.
- Features data dictionary and seems well documented.
- 74.5K rows far exceeds requirement of 1.5K.
 - Kind of a con too, would need to limit the db somehow. Thinking about focusing on pre-during and post COVID, so like 2019-2023 or so.
- Spatial component baked in; contains Borough for categories, lat/longs, addresses, and specific parks for visualizations.
- Time component also available in start time and end time. Could derive event lengths for insights.
- 3+ categorical variables: cost_free, must_see, borough, accessible, event category.
- 3+ continuous variables: start_time, end_time, cost_description.
 - o this one is a little tighter/ could be better imo
 - Could derive some continuous variables maybe? Event length is the only one I can think of.
- Interesting and doesn't appear to be played out in other portfolios would be unique.

Cons:

- Major con off the top is that there doesn't appear to be any attendance figures.
- Sparse on continuous variables.
 - o Could make it difficult to make regression model

Option 2: AirBnB in Austin TX or Barcelona, ESP

Dataset from Inside Airbnb

Links: http://insideairbnb.com/get-the-data/

http://insideairbnb.com/austin/ http://insideairbnb.com/barcelona/

Pros:

- Updated December 2023. Very up to date
- Interesting to me from Real Estate and travel perspective
- Barcelona data a bit more robust (features licensing statistics, more private rooms, more longer term rentals), but Austin market more familiar to me (I lived there).

- Barca 18K listings, Austin 15k listings
- Geographic component is obvious.
- Time component: quarterly data is readily available, would need to request older than that.
- Categorical variables: Neighborhoods, private room vs entire home, license vs unlicensed
- Continuous variables: price, occupancy(?), income

Cons:

- Again, short on continuous variables. Could make options for modeling more difficult.

Option 3: Medical Insurance datasets

Dataset from Kaggle

Link: https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/harishkumardatalab/medical-insurance-price-prediction

Link: https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/thedevastator/insurance-claim-analysis-demographic-and-health

Link: https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/mirichoi0218/insurance

Seen a bunch of versions of this kind of study, linking health factors and insurance payouts, but this one is relatively recent and seems to be well documented. From an R textbook

Pros:

- Applicable for getting a job in healthcare industry, which I have family connections in.
- Regions check off the geographic component.
 - o Not as robustly as the aforementioned options though.
- Plenty of continuous variables:
 - o BMI, blood pressure, Charges
- Categories: Children, Gender, Regions, age (range), Smokers, etc.

Cons:

- The major con with this one is that its lacking a "time" component. Yes, there's age but that's not really the same thing.
- A bit of a textbook example. Wouldn't necessarily distinguish me from the pack.