

The University of York

Department of Computer Science

Submitted in part fulfilment for the degree of MEng.

Tracing and Debugging in GP2

Joshua Asch

Date TBC

Supervisor: Detlef Plump

Number of words = 0, as counted by `wc -w`.
This includes the body of the report only.

Abstract

This is my project!

Contents

1	Introduction	9
1.1	Introductiony Bit	9
1.2	Ethics	9
2	Literature Review	10
2.1	Programming by Graph Transformation	10
2.1.1	Graph Transformations	10
2.1.2	The GP2 Language	10
2.2	Program Tracing	12
2.2.1	Tracing in Imperative Languages	12
2.2.2	Tracing in Functional Languages	12
2.2.3	Previous Work on Tracing GP2	12
3	Another chapter...	13

List of Figures

2.1	A rule in GP2	10
-----	-------------------------	----

List of Tables

1 Introduction

1.1 Introductiony Bit

A section introducing the project.

1.2 Ethics

A section discussing the ethics of the project.

2 Literature Review

2.1 Programming by Graph Transformation

2.1.1 Graph Transformations

A section discussing what a graph transformation is, including rules, matches, interface nodes, etc.

2.1.2 The GP2 Language

GP2 is a programming language developed at the University of York [1], an updated implementation of the original language, GP [2]. It is designed for writing programs at a high level, to perform graph transformations without having to implement data structures to represent the graphs in more traditional lower level languages such as C.

Programming in GP2 consists of an input graph, known as the *host graph*, a set of *rules*, and a *program* which defines the order in which to apply the rules. Running a GP2 program on a host graph produces a new graph as a result, called the *output graph*.

Rules

Rules are the basic building blocks of a GP2 program and are defined by a left-hand-side (LHS), a right-hand-side (RHS), and optionally a conditional clause. A rule can be thought of as the definition of a transformation; a subgraph matching the LHS of the rule is transformed to resemble the RHS. An example of a GP2 rule is shown in Figure 2.1.

The conditional clause is used to specify additional constraints on the subgraph matching the LHS. Any match has to both match the LHS and

An example rule will go here...

Figure 2.1: A rule in GP2

conform to the constraints defined by the conditional clause.

In a compiled program, a rule is split into two phases. The *match* phase searches the current graph for a subgraph which matches the LHS of the rule. If a match is found, the program moves on to the second phase, the *application*. To ensure consistent output between successive program executions, rule matches are chosen deterministically by the compiled program. If no match is found for the LHS, the rule is considered *failed*.

During the application phase, any nodes and edges present in the LHS but not the RHS will be deleted, and any nodes and edges present in the RHS but not the LHS will be created. At the end of the application phase, the subgraph will match the RHS of the rule definition. The new graph created by the application of this rule, an *intermediate graph*, is then used as the input to the next part of the program.

In the example in Figure 2.1, the program will search for a subgraph containing two nodes without an edge connecting them. If a match is found, it will be transformed to resemble the RHS by adding an edge between the nodes.

Programs

A GP2 program defines the order in which to apply rules using 8 simple control structures:

SEQUENCE Two subprograms separated by a semicolon “P; Q” are applied one after the other.

RULE SET Subprograms in curly braces “{P, Q, R}” define a set, where exactly one subprogram from the set is executed, unless no subprograms in the set can be matched. The subprogram to execute is chosen deterministically.

IF-THEN-ELSE In the statement “if C then P else Q”, the sub-program C is executed on a copy of the current graph. If this succeeds, P is executed on the original graph. If it fails, then Q is executed on the original graph. Note that by taking a copy first, any changes made by C are reverted before executing either P or Q.

TRY-THEN-ELSE Similar to IF-THEN-ELSE, but C is executed on the original graph, without taking a copy first. Thus any changes made by C are *not* reverted before executing P or Q.

AS-LONG-AS-POSSIBLE A subprogram followed by an exclamation point “`P!`” is matched and applied repeatedly until it cannot be matched any more. The final attempt to match the LHS will *not* consider the rule *failed*.

PROCEDURE Similar to a C preprocessor macro, a procedure is simply a named subprogram where any reference to the procedure name can be replaced with the definition of the procedure.

SKIP A no-op which always succeeds, and does not affect the graph. Invoked using the keyword “`skip`”.

FAIL A no-op which always fails and does not affect the graph. This is the same as attempting to execute a rule for which there are no matches. Invoked using the keyword “`fail`”.

For GP2, a subprogram is either a single rule, referenced by its name, or one of the above control structures. Therefore it is possible to nest control structures to create more complex programs.

In general, execution of a program continues until either all statements are executed, or until a statement results in an attempt to apply a rule which has no matches in the graph. The exceptions to this are AS-LONG-AS-POSSIBLE statements, and the conditional statements in IF-THEN-ELSE and TRY-THEN-ELSE structures. In these cases, a failure to match a rule does not halt execution of the program.

2.2 Program Tracing

2.2.1 Tracing in Imperative Languages

A section discussing what tracing and debugging imperative languages like C and Java is like.

2.2.2 Tracing in Functional Languages

A section discussing what tracing functional languages like Haskell is like. A main focus on the Hat tool for Haskell, describing how it relates to the problem of tracing GP2.

2.2.3 Previous Work on Tracing GP2

A section discussing the previous project [3] on this topic.

3 Another chapter...

Bibliography

- [1] C. Bak, “GP 2: Efficient implementation of a graph programming language,” Ph.D. dissertation, University of York, September 2015.
- [2] D. Plump, “The graph programming language GP,” in *Algebraic Informatics: Third International Conference, CAI 2009, Thessaloniki, Greece, May 19-22, 2009, Proceedings*, ser. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 5725, 2009, pp. 99–122.
- [3] H. Taylor, “Tracing & debugging GP2,” Master’s thesis, University of York, April 2016.