

AST1420 “Galactic Structure and Dynamics”

Problem Set 1

Due on Feb. 7 by 5pm

Some of the exercises in this problem set must be solved on a computer and a good way to hand in the problem set is as a `jupyter notebook`. *Please re-run the entire notebook (with `Cell > Run All`) after re-starting the notebook kernel before sending it in*; this will make sure that the input and output are fully consistent. You can also send in a traditional write-up in LaTeX as a PDF, but then you also need to send in well-commented code for how you solved the numerical problems. Thus, notebooks are preferred :-)

Problem 1: We introduced the virial radius and virial mass in the context of the NFW profile, but we can similarly define the virial quantities for any radial mass profile. A simple model for galaxies and their dark-matter halos is the logarithmic potential from Equation (3.58). Again defining the virial radius as the radius within which the average density is Δ_v times the critical density, demonstrate that the following useful relation holds

$$v_{200} = 10H r_{200} ,$$

where r_{200} is the virial radius assuming $\Delta_v = 200$, v_{200} is the circular velocity at the virial radius, and H is the Hubble constant. Identifying v_{200} with the asymptotic value of the flat rotation curve for other profiles, this relation gives a quick way to estimate the virial radius of a galaxy.

Problem 2: A model for dark matter is that it is a light scalar particle and, in this case, it is possible that dark matter within galaxies settles into a Bose–Einstein condensate. Under a certain set of assumptions (Böhmer & Harko 2007), such a dark-matter model is equivalent to a fluid with an equation of state $p = (2\pi\hbar^2 a/m^3) \rho^2$ between pressure p and density ρ that is in hydrostatic equilibrium $dp/dr = -\rho d\Phi/dr$. The fundamental parameters of this theory are the particle mass m and the scattering length a . Considering this dark-matter model in isolation (i.e., ignoring any baryons), demonstrate that the dark-matter density profile is $\rho(r) \propto \sin(kr)/(kr)$ and determine k in terms of m , a , and universal constants. The Bose-Einstein condensate has a boundary where its density goes to zero; determine this boundary—the radius of the dark-matter halo. Finally, compute and plot the rotation curve of this model for parameters chosen such that the radius of the halo is 15 kpc and its mass is $10^{11} M_\odot$.

Problem 3: The precession of the perihelion of Mercury. A famous prediction by the general theory of relativity (GR) is that the perihelion of Mercury precesses more than expected using Newtonian gravity (Mercury’s perihelion precesses through the Newtonian influence from the other planets). Specifically, the azimuthal angle ψ_0 of the perihelion changes from orbit to orbit. For a close-to-circular orbit such as Mercury’s, the effect of GR is to modify the effective potential to

$$\Phi_{\text{eff}}(r; L) = \Phi(r) + \frac{L^2}{2r^2} + \frac{GML^2}{c^2 r^3} ,$$

where c is the speed of light. We can use this to compute the GR precession of the pericenter angle ψ assuming that the orbit overall remains close to the Keplerian one. Mercury has a semi-major axis of 0.387098 AU and an eccentricity of 0.205630.

(a) Using numerical integration, compute the GR precession between successive pericenter passages (the difference between the GR prediction and the Newtonian prediction).

(b) We can also estimate the GR precession analytically. There are various ways to do this. One consists of using Equation (5.35), expanding the solution as $v = u - u_0$ around u_0 , the average value of u in the purely-Keplerian solution, and discarding terms of order v^2 . Then you can solve for $u(\psi)$ and determine the GR precession analytically. Demonstrate that the final result is

$$\delta\psi = \frac{6\pi GM}{a(1-e^2)c^2}$$

and check that the numerical value of this agrees with what you obtained in (a).

Problem 4: In the derivation and application of the relaxation time in Section 6.1, we approximated galaxies as consisting of stars with mass m . In reality, however, galaxies contain significant amounts of dark matter ($\approx 50\%$ within a galaxy's visible region). Let's investigate when and how this matters!

(a) Assuming that dark matter is a new fundamental particle with a mass $m_{\text{DM}} \ll m$, what is the relaxation time when one takes the fraction of a galaxy's mass contained in dark matter into account?

(b) If dark matter is *not* a fundamental particle, but is instead a heavy object, roughly at what m_{DM} do we have to start accounting for the number of dark-matter particles in a galaxy when calculating t_{relax} ?

(c) Suppose all of the dark matter is $100 M_{\odot}$ primordial black holes (a somewhat viable dark-matter candidate; Carr et al. 2021). What is the relaxation time in the Milky Way in this case?

(d) Eridanus II is a Milky-Way satellite galaxy with a compact star cluster near its center that could be plausibly destroyed by two-body encounters with massive dark-matter particles. The cluster has a half-light radius of $r_h = 13 \text{ pc}$ and the central dark-matter distribution has a density $\rho_{\text{DM}} \approx 0.3 M_{\odot} \text{ pc}^{-3}$ and velocity dispersion $\approx 5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. Again assuming all of the dark matter is $100 M_{\odot}$ primordial black holes, estimate the two-body relaxation time for stars in the compact stellar cluster.