

AST1430 “Cosmology” Final

Due on Apr. 25 at 5pm

The full mark for the final includes the oral defense of the exercises listed here. The breakdown is: 80% written solutions and 20 % oral defense of solutions. The points given for the different problems below only relate to the 80 % written-solutions part of the full mark.

This is an open-book exam, meaning that you are allowed to consult any resources that you wish to help you answer the questions (the slides, textbooks used, other online resources). However, answers should be your own work and distillation of the material. The final should be your own work and you are not allowed to consult classmates or anybody else. Use of chatbots like ChatGPT and similar is not allowed :-)

Most of the exercises in this final must be solved on a computer and the best way to hand in the problem set is as a `jupyter notebook`. Please email it to `mailto:jo.bovy@utoronto.ca` as an attachment. *Please re-run the entire notebook (with `Cell > Run All`) after re-starting the notebook kernel before sending it to me*; this will make sure that the input and output are fully consistent.

If you are unfamiliar with notebooks, you can also send in a traditional write-up (in LaTeX), but you also need to send in well-commented code for how you solved the problems. Thus, notebooks are strongly preferred :-)

Problem 1: (20 points, 5 each) Warm up.

(a) Briefly explain the motivation behind, and successes of, positing a brief period of inflation in the early Universe.

(b) Briefly explain why the CMB is polarized.

(c) Briefly explain the concept of *bias* in galaxy clustering.

(d) Briefly explain the evolution of large- ($k \approx 0.0001 \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$) and small-scale ($k \approx 1 \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$) potential modes $\Phi(k, a)$ from the early Universe until today, comparing and contrasting the two.

Problem 2: (30 points, 10 each) The BAO feature in the early and late Universe.

One of the great advances in modern cosmology came with the measurement of the first peak in the CMB power spectrum. In this question, you will estimate the angular size of this peak, and explore some of the implications its measurement.

(a) First, we need to calculate how far acoustic waves were able to propagate through the early Universe before decoupling. To simplify life, take the speed of sound in the primordial

plasma to be $c_s = c/\sqrt{3}$, and decoupling to be instantaneous at $z=1100$. Also make the (incorrect, but good enough) assumption that the Universe was matter-dominated throughout. How far do sound waves travel before decoupling at $t \approx 340\text{kyr}$, in co-moving coordinates? What proper distance is this at decoupling? (*Hint: integrate c_s/a to find the co-moving distance.*).

(b) Next, we need to know how far CMB photons have travelled. Again making the simplifying assumption that the Universe was matter-dominated throughout, How far do CMB photos travel between $t=340\text{ky}$ and today, $t=14\text{Gy}$, in co-moving coordinates? What is the proper distance to the CMB today?

(c) We measure the angular size of structures in the CMB, so scales measured are angular diameter distances. Remembering that in a flat Universe, angular diameter distances are frozen at emission, what angular size does the distance traversed by primordial pressure waves correspond to? Is this consistent with the observed angular scale of the first peak of the CMB?

Problem 3: (50 points) Early dark energy as a solution to the H_0 tension.

We discussed in class how a cosmological model with a brief period during which a dark-energy-like component is important just before recombination is able to reconcile the H_0 measurements from the local and inverse distance ladders. Let's explore a simple model for early dark energy here!

The model we consider is one in which the density parameter of the dark energy component is given by

$$\Omega_{\text{de}}(a) = \frac{\Omega_{0,\text{de}} - \Omega_{\text{de}}^e (1 - a^{-3w_0})}{\Omega_{0,\text{de}} + \Omega_{0,m} a^{3w_0}} + \Omega_{\text{de}}^e (1 - a^{-3w_0}), \quad (1)$$

where $\Omega_{0,\text{de}}$ is the density parameter of the dark energy component at the present day, $\Omega_{0,m}$ is the density parameter of the matter component at the present day, Ω_{de}^e is the density parameter of the dark energy component in the early Universe, and w_0 is the equation of state parameter of the dark energy component. This model has a single dark-energy component that acts as early dark energy in the early Universe and as late dark energy in the late Universe. We will fix $w_0 = -1$ for the standard late-time dark energy model. Reasonable values for Ω_{de}^e are $\Omega_{\text{de}}^e \approx 0.1$.

We will work in a spatially-flat Universe consisting of matter, radiation, and dark energy. We will use Planck (2018) values for the density parameters of the matter and radiation components, expressed as physical density parameters:

$$\Omega_{0,m} h^2 = 0.14240, \quad (2)$$

$$\Omega_{0,r} h^2 = 4.12984 \times 10^{-5}, \quad (3)$$

where as usual $h = H_0/(100 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1})$. We then consider two main models:

- The standard Λ CDM Planck (2018) model. This model has $H_0 = 67.74 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$, $\Omega_{\text{de}}^e = 0$. The value of $\Omega_{0,\text{de}}$ is fixed through the flatness requirement, giving $\Omega_{0,\text{de}} = 0.6896$. This model is in tension with the local determinations of H_0 .
- A early-dark-energy model with $\Omega_{\text{de}}^e = 0.1$ and $H_0 = 71 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$. This model is consistent with the local determinations of H_0 , but let's see whether it is consistent with the CMB and the inverse distance ladder!

(a) (5 points) Plot $\Omega_{\text{de}}(a)$ as a function of redshift z between $z = 10^4$ and $z = 0$ for the early dark-energy model.

(b) (5 points) Solve for the evolution of the dark-energy density $\rho_{\text{de}}(a)$ for the early dark-energy model and plot it as a function of redshift z between $z = 10^4$ and $z = 0$. Also plot the evolution of the matter and radiation densities $\rho_m(a)$ and $\rho_r(a)$.

(c) (5 points) Solve for the evolution of the Hubble parameter $H(a)$ for the early dark-energy model and plot it as a function of redshift z between $z = 10^4$ and $z = 0$. Also compute the density parameters $\Omega_i(a)$ of matter, radiation, and dark energy and plot them as a function of redshift between $z = 10^4$ and $z = 0$. Discuss these results.

(d) (10 points) In the early Universe ($z > 10^3$), the dark energy component in the standard Λ CDM model is negligible and the Hubble function is given by $H^e(a)$. Demonstrate analytically that the Hubble function $H^e(z)$ at $z > 10^3$ in the early-dark-energy model when fixing all parameters aside from Ω_{de}^e is $H^e(z)/\sqrt{1 - \Omega_{\text{de}}^e}$ and check this result numerically. Then discuss how the sound horizon r_d at decoupling changes in the early-dark-energy model compared to the standard model.

(e) (10 points) CMB observations determine the value of $D_M(1100)/r_d$, where $D_M(z)$ is the comoving angular diameter distance ($D_M(z) = c \int_0^z dz/H(z)$ for a flat Universe). We saw in (d) that r_d changes in the early-dark-energy model. Numerically determine how $D_M(1100)$ changes with Ω_{de}^e for fixed H_0 and then how $D_M(1100)/r_d$ changes for reasonable values of Ω_{de}^e . Finally, compare $D_M(1100)/r_d$ in the two main models that we are considering and discuss.

(f) (5 points) The inverse distance ladder uses BAO observations, which robustly determine $D_M(z)/r_d$ and $H(z)/r_d$. For $z \approx 0.5$, numerically compare the values of $D_M(z)/r_d$ and $H(z)/r_d$ for the two main models we are considering.

(g) (10 points) Discuss the implications of your results for the H_0 tension.

(h) (bonus question, 5 points) Compute the current age of the Universe t_0 for the two main models we are considering. Discuss the implications of your results.