

Probability Theory & Statistics

Beta-Binomial Conjugacy

Prerequisites

- Random Variables and their Distributions

Recap

Random variables are *functions* mapping the sample space, S , to the real number line, \mathbb{R} . For example, consider a coin-tossing problem. The structure of the problem is a sequence of trials with two possible outcomes for each trial. The outcomes are either heads (H) or tails (T), or equivalently “success” or “failure”, or “1” or “0”.




Figure 1: The function X , mapping s_j to the real number line.

For an experiment where the coin is flipped twice, the sample space consists of four possible outcomes

$$S = \{HH, HT, TH, TT\} \quad (1)$$

that can also be mapped to a set of numbers. Figure 1 is one possible mapping, $X(s_j)$, from the sample space to the real number line, i.e.,

$$X(HH) = 2, X(HT) = X(TH) = 1, X(TT) = 0 \quad (2)$$

where the mapping corresponds to the total number of heads in the experiment. Notice how the mapping is somewhat arbitrary. We could have also defined a mapping on the same sample space counting the number of tails.

Distributions

The *distribution* of a random variable X , is a full description of the probabilities of the events associated with X , e.g., $\{X = 2\}$ and $\{0 \leq X \leq 2\}$. The distribution of a *discrete* random variable can be defined either by its *Probability Mass Function* (PMF) or its *Cumulative Distribution Function* (CDF). The PMF of a discrete random variable, X , is the function

$$P(A) = P(\{X = x\}) = P(X = x) \quad (3)$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

For example, consider a 2-flip coin experiment,

$$P(X = 2) = P(\{X(s) = 2\}) = P(\{X(s_1)\}) = P(\{s_1\}) = 1/4 \quad (4)$$

The CDF of X is the function

$$P(B) = P(\{X \leq x\}) = P(X \leq x). \quad (5)$$

For a 2-flip coin experiment we get,

$$P(X \leq 2) = P(\{X(s) \leq 2\}) = P(\{X(s_1), X(s_2), X(s_3), X(s_4)\}) = P(\{S\}) = 1. \quad (6)$$

A PMF is *valid* if it is nonnegative and sums to 1. A CDF is valid if it is right-continuous and increasing, and if it converges to 0 as x tends to $-\infty$, and converges to 1 as x tends to ∞ .

A random variable has a *continuous distribution* if its CDF is *differentiable*.¹ A *continuous random variable* is a random variable with a continuous distribution. It is often much more convenient to work with the derivative of a continuous CDF, a function called the *Probability Density Function* (PDF)

$$f(x) = F'(x) = \frac{d}{dx}P(X \leq x) \quad (7)$$

where $F(x)$ is the CDF of a continuous random variable X .

Bernoulli and Binomial Discrete Distributions

A random variable X has a *Bernoulli distribution* with parameter p if $P(X = 1) = p$, and $P(X = 0) = 1 - p$, where $0 < p < 1$.

We write this as

$$X \sim \text{Bern}(p) \quad (8)$$

¹Excluding endpoints.

where the symbol \sim is read as “is distributed as”. An experiment that can result in either a “success” or a “failure” but not *both* is called a *Bernoulli trial*. A Bernoulli random variable is the indicator of a success or failure.

Suppose that n independent Bernoulli trials are performed, each with the same success probability p . Let X be the number of successes. The distribution of X is called the *Binomial distribution* with parameters n and p . We write

$$X \sim \text{Bin}(n, p) \quad (9)$$

when a random variable X has a *Binomial distribution*. If $X \sim \text{Bin}(n, p)$, the PMF of X is

$$P(X = k) = \binom{n}{k} p^k (1 - p)^{n-k} = \binom{n}{k} p^k (1 - p)^{n-k}. \quad (10)$$

Beta Continuous Distribution

A random variable X has a *Beta distribution* with parameters a and b , where $a > 0$ and $b > 0$, if its PDF is

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\beta(a, b)} x^{a-1} (1 - x)^{b-1}, \quad 0 < x < 1, \quad (11)$$

where the constant $\beta(a, b)$ is chosen to make the PDF integrate to 1. We write this as

$$X \sim \text{Beta}(a, b). \quad (12)$$

Bayesian Inference

Suppose we have a coin that lands heads with probability p , but we do not know the value of p . Our goal is to *infer* the value of p after observing n coin tosses. Ideally, the more observations we make, the better our estimate.

Bayesian inference treats all unknown quantities as random variables. Therefore, in the above described problem, we treat p as a *random variable* and give it a *distribution*. The distribution we give to p reflects our uncertainty about the true value of p before we observe any coin tosses. We call this distribution, the *prior distribution*. After observing an experiment, and the data from the experiment have been collected, the prior distribution is updated using Bayes’ rule. This yields the *posterior* distribution that now reflects our new beliefs about p .

Beta-Gamma Conjugacy

Suppose our *prior belief* about the true value of p , the probability a coin lands heads, is described by the *beta distribution*

$$p \sim \text{Beta}(a, b) \quad (13)$$

where a and b are *known constants*.

Next, let X be the number of heads in n coin tosses. Knowing the true value of p means that each realization of X is an independent Bernoulli trial with a p probability of success

$$X|p \sim \text{Bin}(n, p) \quad (14)$$

Note, X is not *marginally* Binomial, it is *conditionally* Binomial. Its marginal distribution is called the *Beta-Binomial distribution*.

We can update our belief, after observing data, using Bayes' rule (in hybrid form) in exactly the same way we did in the *biased coin problem*. Letting $f(p)$ be the prior distribution,² and $f(p|X = k)$ be the *posterior distribution* after observing k heads

$$f(p|X = k) = \frac{P(X = k|p) \cdot f(p)}{P(X = k)} \quad (15)$$

Using the definitions of the Beta and Binomial distributions from the previous sections,

$$f(p|X = k) = \frac{\binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k} \cdot \frac{1}{\beta(a,b)} x^{a-1} (1-x)^{b-1}}{P(X = k)} \quad (16)$$

The denominator, the *marginal distribution* of X , is obtained by integrating over the support of the *conditional distribution* (equivalently the joint distribution by the definition of conditional probability)

$$P(X = k) = \int_0^1 P(X = k|p) f(p) dp = \int_0^1 \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k} f(p) dp. \quad (17)$$

For $a = b = 1$, and

$$p \sim \text{Unif}(0, 1) \quad (18)$$

so that $f(p) = \frac{x}{b-a}$, it can be shown that $P(X = k)$ has a *Discrete Uniform distribution*. For general a and b the problem seems difficult.

The posterior distribution $f(p|X = k)$ is a function of p , i.e.,

$$f(p|X = k) = g(p) \quad (19)$$

which means that everything that does not depend on p is a constant. We can therefore drop all of the constant terms in the expression and determine the normalizing constant to be whatever is needed to make the PDF integrate to 1. This gives

$$f(p|X = k) \propto p^{a+k-1} \cdot (1-p)^{b+n-k-1}, \quad (20)$$

²Note how we have switched “probability” for “distribution” compared to the previous session’s definition. It is not trivial to prove, but it can be shown that a general rule applies.

which is the $Beta(a + k, b + n - k)$ PDF, up to a multiplicative constant!

This special relationship between the Beta and Binomial distributions is known as *conjugacy*. Beta is the conjugate prior of the Binomial, which means that if we have a Beta prior distribution on p and the data are conditionally Binomial given p , when going from prior to posterior, we do not leave the family of Beta distributions.

Example

Suppose our prior belief about the true value of p , the probability a coin lands heads, is described by the prior $Beta(1, 1)$, which is equivalent to a $Unif(0, 1)$ distribution. This assigns an equal probability to any value of $0 < p < 1$. In words, we don't assume anything about p since any $p \in [0, 1]$ is equally likely.³ Note, this doesn't mean the prior is *uninformative*, knowing the probability is equally likely means we still know something.

After $n = 5$ coin tosses we observe $k = 5$ Heads. The posterior then is $Beta(6, 1)$ plotted in Figure 2




Figure 2: Uniform prior (left) and posterior $Beta(6, 1)$ of bias in coin after 5 heads in 5 tosses are observed.

This model is the continuous analogue of the *biased coin problem* from the conditional probability session. In the biased coin problem, we also had a coin with probability of heads p that was unknown, but our prior information led us to believe that p could only take on one of two values: $1/2$ or $3/4$. The prior distribution, although we did not call it that, was discrete

$$P\left(p = \frac{1}{2}\right) = 1/2 \quad (21)$$

³Technically, the probability p falls in an equal length disjoint partition of the interval where the probability is proportional to the length of the subset.

$$P\left(p = \frac{3}{4}\right) = 1/2 \quad (22)$$

After observing 3 heads, we obtained the posterior PMF that assigned 0.23 to $p = 1/2$ and 0.77 to $p = 3/4$. The same logic applies here, only p can take on any value between 0 and 1.

Practice

Biased Coins

1) Draw the prior and posterior PMFs for the biased coin problem in its discrete form.

Clinical Trials

A new treatment has just been developed for a disease. A clinical trial is about to be conducted to study how effective the treatment is. The treatment will be applied to n patients who have the disease. Given p , the patients' outcomes are independent, with each patient having probability p of being cured by the treatment. But p is unknown. To quantify our uncertainty about p , we model p as a random variable, with prior distribution $p \sim \text{Unif}(0, 1)$.

- 1) Find the probability that exactly k out of the n patients will be cured by the treatment (unconditionally, *not* given p).
- 2) Suppose the treatment is extremely effective in the clinical trial: all n patients are cured! Given this information, find the probability that p exceeds $1/2$.