# **Deep Reinforcement Learning – Project 1 : Navigation Jovan Jovanovic**

Goal of the project is to learn agent to collect yellow and avoid blue bananas. Without any prior knowledge he is trying to explore and exploit the environment to learn the rules and master the environment. Solving the environment consist of collecting average score above +13. Since the environment is designed to be MDP problem suits for Reinforcement Learning.

# **Q** learning

The Q-Learning is effective TD method that uses an update rule that attempts to approximate the optimal state - value function at every time step:

$$Q: S \times A \to \mathbb{R}$$

$$Q^{new}(s_t, a_t) \leftarrow (1 - \alpha) \cdot Q(s_t, a_t) + \alpha$$

$$\text{old value learning rate learned value}$$

$$\cdot \begin{pmatrix} r_t + \gamma & \max_a Q(s_{t+1}, a) \\ \text{reward discount factor} \end{pmatrix}$$
estimate of optimal future value

Q learning is very popular RF learning algorithm that can be used when number of states is finite and when we have prior knowledge about various Q values for corresponding states.

### **Deep Q learning**


Since our environment is finite in theory but consist of a lot of states we cannot use table approach. Better fit for this problem is Q function approximation. Reinforcement learning is unstable or divergent when a nonlinear function approximator such as a neural network is used to represent Q. This instability comes from the correlations present in the sequence of observations, the fact that small updates to Q may significantly change the policy and the data distribution, and the correlations between Q and the target values.

The technique used *experience replay*, a biologically inspired mechanism that uses a random

sample of prior actions instead of the most recent action to proceed.

### **Neural networks**

Artificial neural networks (ANN) or connectionist systems are computing systems that are inspired by, but not identical to, <u>biological neural networks</u> that constitute animal <u>brains</u>. Such systems "learn" to perform tasks by considering examples, generally without being programmed with task-specific rules.



## **Double DQN**

The max operator in standard Q-learning and DQN uses the same values both to select and to evaluate an action. This makes it more likely to select overestimated values, resulting in overoptimistic value estimates. In Double Q-learning (van Hasselt 2010), two value functions are learned by assigning experiences. For each update, one set of weights is used to determine the greedy policy and the other to determine its value. For a clear comparison, we can untangle the selection and evaluation in Q-learning and rewrite DQN's target as

#### DQN:

```
target = reward + gamma * dqn_target(next_state).max(dim=1, keepdim=True)[0]

DoubleDQN:
selected_action = dqn(next_state).argmax(dim=1, keepdim=True)
target = reward + gamma * dqn_target(next_state).gather(1, selected_action)
```

# Replay buffer

List of collected (state,action,reward,next state) touples that are used for the training of neural net that approximate Q function.

# **Prioritized Replay Buffer**

Problem with using standard Replay Buffer is that we sample data from there absolutely randomly. When using Prioritized Replay Buffer we can overcome this problem and give priority to the data that is really useful for learning. In other words we are trying to measure the error of particular experience (state, action, reward, next\_state) and based on that error give priority to that experience to be selected in learning process.

$$P(i) = \frac{p_i^{\alpha}}{\sum_k p_k^{\alpha}}$$

Here we control prioritization with parameter alpha where in alpha = 0 corresponds to the uniform case. With this way of sampling we introduce bias cause we now have correlation between observations. Therefore we need to correct this by using importance-sampling (IS) weights

$$w_i = \left(\frac{1}{N} \cdot \frac{1}{P(i)}\right)^{\beta}$$

Introducing another hyperparameter beta which controls level of uniformly random sampling.

## **Noisy Network**

NoisyNet is an exploration method that learns perturbations of the network weights to drive exploration. The key insight is that a single change to the weight vector can induce a consistent, and potentially very complex, state-dependent change in policy over multiple time steps.

If linear layer in standard neural network looks like this:

$$y = wx + b$$
,

Where x is an input, w is weight and b is bias.

The corresponding noisy linear layer is defined as:

$$y = (\mu^w + \sigma^w \odot \epsilon^w) x + \mu^b + \sigma^b \odot \epsilon^b,$$

 $\mu^w \in R^{q \times p}, \mu^b \in R^q, \sigma^w \in R^{q \times p}$  and  $\sigma^b \in R^q$  are learnable and epsilon ones are random values.

## Final algorithm

Final solution combines Deep QN with double DQN and noisy networks. Here is provided list of hyperparameters:

BUFFER\_SIZE = int(1e5) # replay buffer size BATCH\_SIZE = 64 # minibatch size GAMMA = 0.99 # discount factor

TAU = 1e-2 # for soft update of target parameters

LR = 5e-4 # learning rate

UPDATE\_EVERY = 4 # how often to update the network

ALPHA = 0.4 #prioritization level


BETA = 0.6 #importance sampling level

PRIOR\_EPS = 1e-6 #guarantees that we don't lose some experiences

All hyperparameter values are found in experimental way and it is subject of further work to optimize them. Exploration is controlled through noisy net and therefore additional parameter epsilon is not needed. The environment can be solved in between 140 and 400 episodes and best results goes over 17 after between 500 and 700 episodes.

Best results are achieved with priority experience replay. Environment is solved in only 143 episodes and after 500 episodes score is over 17. Perhaps with tweaking alpha and beta we can get even better results.

```
States have length: 37
                Average Score: 8.255
Episode 100
Episode 143
                Average Score: 13.00
Environment solved in 143 episodes!
                                        Average Score: 13.00
Episode 200
               Average Score: 14.19
Episode 300
                Average Score: 14.95
Episode 400
                Average Score: 15.64
Episode 500
                Average Score: 17.85
Episode 526
                Average Score: 17.90
```



For standard replay buffer it's needed around 170 episodes.

## Ideas for improvement

Hyperparameter search – probably some grid search can help in finding proper hyperparameters

Rainbow – combining current solution with dueling nets, multi-step learning and categorical dqn can give more improvements