

# M1 INTERMEDIATE ECONOMETRICS

## ASYMPTOTICS FOR SAMPLE MEANS

Koen Jochmans

September 15, 2025

### 1. SAMPLE-MEAN THEOREM

Consider a random sample  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  on a (say scalar) random variable  $X$ . Let

$$\theta = \mathbb{E}(X), \quad \sigma^2 = \text{var}(X) = \mathbb{E}((X - \theta)^2).$$

We will assume that  $\mathbb{E}(X^2) < +\infty$ , so that the mean and variance are well defined.

The sample mean is the random variable

$$\bar{X}_n = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i.$$

The sample-mean theorem states that

(i)  $\mathbb{E}(\bar{X}_n) = \theta,$

(ii)  $\text{var}(\bar{X}_n) = n^{-1}\sigma^2.$

This is always true. For (i),

$$\mathbb{E}(\bar{X}_n) = \mathbb{E}\left(n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right) = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}(X_i) = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}(X) = \theta.$$

For (ii),

$$\text{var}(\bar{X}_n) = \text{var}\left(n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right) = n^{-2} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{var}(X_i) = n^{-2} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{var}(X) = n^{-1}\sigma^2.$$

In words, the sample-mean theorem states that the random variable  $\bar{X}_n$  is unbiased for  $\theta$  and has a variance around  $\theta$  that shrinks to zero at the rate  $n^{-1}$ .

## 2. LAW OF LARGE NUMBERS

Beyond the mean and variance we cannot make general statements about the distribution of  $\bar{X}_n$  for a given sample size  $n$ . We can say that this distribution collapses at  $\theta$  as  $n$  grows large.

The probability that  $\bar{X}_n$  lies further away than some  $\epsilon > 0$  from  $\theta$  equals

$$\mathbb{P}(\bar{X}_n > \theta + \epsilon) + \mathbb{P}(\bar{X}_n < \theta - \epsilon) = \mathbb{P}(|\bar{X}_n - \theta| > \epsilon).$$

By Markov/Chebychev's inequality,

$$\mathbb{P}(|\bar{X}_n - \theta| > \epsilon) = \mathbb{P}(|\bar{X}_n - \theta|^2 > \epsilon^2) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}((\bar{X}_n - \theta)^2)}{\epsilon^2} = \frac{n^{-1}\sigma^2}{\epsilon^2},$$

which goes to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . We write

$$\bar{X}_n \xrightarrow{p} \theta$$

to indicate that  $\bar{X}_n$  converges in probability to  $\theta$  (as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ).

This result does not require  $\mathbb{E}(X^2) < +\infty$  (but it does require that  $\mathbb{E}(|X|) < +\infty$ , but showing this to be the case requires a more complicated proof.

## 3. CONTINUOUS-MAPPING THEOREM

It is useful to note that the law of large numbers implies that sample averages of transformations of the  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  also satisfy the law of large numbers.

Suppose that we care about the variable  $Y = \varphi(X)$  for some (nonrandom) transformation  $\varphi$ . Then

$$\bar{Y}_n = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(X_i) \xrightarrow{p} \mathbb{E}(\varphi(X)) = \mathbb{E}(Y)$$

provided of course that  $\mathbb{E}(|\varphi(X)|) < +\infty$ . Examples are raw moments of  $X$ , that is,  $\mathbb{E}(X^q)$  for some integer  $q$ .

A different situation is one where we care about a transformation of  $\theta$ , say  $\varphi(\theta)$ . The continuous-mapping theorem (given here without proof) states that

$$\varphi(\bar{X}_n) \xrightarrow{p} \varphi(\theta)$$

as long as the function  $\varphi$  is continuous at  $\theta$ . A relevant example is the inverse transformation  $\varphi : x \mapsto x^{-1}$ . In this case an estimator of  $\theta^{-1} = \mathbb{E}(X)^{-1}$  takes the form  $\bar{X}_n^{-1}$ . Note that this estimator is not unbiased for  $\theta^{-1}$ , in general, as

$$\mathbb{E}(\bar{X}_n^{-1}) \neq \mathbb{E}(\bar{X}_n)^{-1} = \theta^{-1}.$$

We nonetheless have that

$$\bar{X}_n^{-1} \xrightarrow{p} \theta^{-1}$$

as long as  $\theta \neq 0$  (so that the inverse is well defined at  $\theta$ ). We will encounter other examples.

#### 4. CENTRAL LIMIT THEOREM

By virtue of the sample-mean theorem the standardized sample mean

$$\bar{Z}_n = \frac{\bar{X}_n - \theta}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}$$

has mean zero and variance one for any sample size. Beyond these two moments, its cumulative distribution function,

$$F_n(z) = \mathbb{P}(Z_n \leq z)$$

depends on  $n$  in a complicated way.

Let  $Z \sim N(0, 1)$  be a standard-normal random variable. So

$$\mathbb{P}(Z \leq z) = \Phi(z),$$

the standard-normal distribution function at  $z$ . The central limit theorem states that, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$F_n(z) \rightarrow \Phi(z)$$

for any  $z \in \mathbb{R}$ . The standardized sample mean converges in distribution to a standard-normal variable. We usually write this as  $\bar{Z}_n \xrightarrow{d} Z$  or even more simply as

$$\bar{Z}_n \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1).$$

Equivalently,

$$\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \sigma^2),$$

in light of the definition of  $\bar{Z}_n$ . In practice, this means that, when  $n$  is not too small, we can treat  $\bar{X}_n$  as (approximately) normal with mean  $\theta$  and variance  $n^{-1}\sigma^2$ .

## 5. DELTA METHOD

If ultimate interest does not lie in the mean  $\theta$  but some transformation  $\varphi(\theta)$  we may wonder how the distribution of  $\varphi(\bar{X}_n)$  behaves. To this end we

presume that  $\varphi$  is continuously differentiable and do a mean-value expansion

$$\varphi(\bar{X}_n) = \varphi(\theta) + \left. \frac{\varphi(t)}{\partial t} \right|_{t=t_n^*} (\bar{X}_n - \theta),$$

where  $t_n^*$  is a random variable that lies between  $\bar{X}_n$  and  $\theta$  (there may be many such values  $t_n^*$ ; this is not important). What matters is that, because  $t_n^*$  lies no further away from  $\theta$  than does  $\bar{X}_n$  and the latter converges in probability to  $\theta$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(|t_n^* - \theta| > \varepsilon) \leq \mathbb{P}(|\bar{X}_n - \theta| > \varepsilon) \rightarrow 0,$$

and so

$$\left. \frac{\varphi(t)}{\partial t} \right|_{t=t^*} \xrightarrow{p} \left. \frac{\varphi(t)}{\partial t} \right|_{t=\theta}$$

by the assumed continuity of the derivative. Therefore, by Slutsky's theorem,

$$\sqrt{n}(\varphi(\bar{X}_n) - \varphi(\theta)) \xrightarrow{p} \left. \frac{\varphi(t)}{\partial t} \right|_{t=\theta} \sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \sigma^2(\varphi(t)/\partial t|_{t=\theta})^2).$$

So, we can treat  $\varphi(\bar{X}_n)$  as approximately normal with mean  $\varphi(\theta)$  and variance  $n^{-1}\sigma^2(\varphi(t)/\partial t|_{t=\theta})^2$ .

## 6. SLUTSKY THEOREMS

Suppose that we have convergence results of the form  $A_n \xrightarrow{p} c$  and  $B_n \xrightarrow{d} B$ , where  $A_n$  and  $B_n$  are sequences of random variables,  $c$  is some constant, and  $B$  a random variable that does not depend on  $n$ . However, we care about the behavior of the product or sum of the variables. Slutsky theorems are useful here. They state that

$$A_n + B_n \xrightarrow{d} c + B,$$

$$A_n B_n \xrightarrow{d} c B.$$

One application of the latter result has a random sample  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  from before, and looks at the "t-statistic"

$$\frac{\bar{X}_n - \theta}{s/\sqrt{n}}, \quad s^2 = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2.$$

Here,

$$A_n = \frac{\sigma}{s}, \quad B_n = \frac{\bar{X}_n - \theta}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} = \bar{Z}_n.$$

The central limit theorem immediately gives  $B_n \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1)$  but does not allow to make the same claim for the t-statistic. However, for the sample variance  $s^2$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} s^2 &= n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2 \\ &= n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n ((X_i - \theta) - (\bar{X}_n - \theta))^2 \\ &= n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \theta)^2 + n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (\bar{X}_n - \theta)^2 - 2n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \theta)(\bar{X}_n - \theta) \\ &= n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \theta)^2 - (\bar{X}_n - \theta)^2 \\ &\xrightarrow[p]{p} \sigma^2 \end{aligned}$$

because  $n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \theta)^2 \xrightarrow[p]{p} \sigma^2$  by a direct application of the law of large numbers and  $(\bar{X}_n - \theta)^2 \xrightarrow[p]{p} 0$  by the continuous-mapping theorem. Therefore,  $s \xrightarrow[p]{p} \sigma$  or, equivalently,  $A_n \xrightarrow[p]{p} 1$ . So,

$$\frac{\bar{X}_n - \theta}{s/\sqrt{n}} = \frac{\sigma}{s} \frac{\bar{X}_n - \theta}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} = A_n B_n \xrightarrow{d} Z \sim N(0, 1).$$

So, replacing the unknown variance  $\sigma^2$  by an estimator  $s^2$  does not affect the

limit distribution.