

# SUPPLEMENT TO IDENTIFICATION AND ESTIMATION OF STOCHASTIC BLOCKMODELS

BY KOEN JOCHMANS

*Toulouse School of Economics, University of Toulouse Capitole*

Revised on January 27, 2022

**1. Lemmata.** This section collects intermediate results that are used in the derivation of the asymptotic behavior of our estimators, together with their proofs. We will routinely exploit the observation that the stochastic blockmodel implies that the collection of edge weights can be represented as

$$(A.1) \quad X_{i,j} = X(Z_i, Z_j, Y_{i,j})$$

for a function  $X$  that is symmetric in  $(Z_i, Z_j)$  and random variables  $Y_{i,j}$  that are independent of  $(Z_i, Z_j)$  and independent and identically distributed across pairs  $(i, j)$ .

LEMMA A.1. *Suppose that Assumption 2 holds. Then*

$$\hat{\mathbf{a}} - \mathbf{a} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i(\mathbf{a}) + o_p(n^{-1/2})$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

PROOF OF LEMMA A.1. Recalling that  $X_{i,j} = X_{j,i}$  and using (A.1), gives

$$\hat{a}_{l'} = \frac{2}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{i < j} \alpha_{l'}(X_{i,j}) = \binom{n}{2}^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{i < j} \alpha_{l'}(X(Z_i, Z_j, Y_{i,j})).$$

The final representation reveals that  $\hat{a}_{l'}$  is a generalized U-statistic in the sense of Janson and Nowicki [1991]. An application of their Lemma 3 yields

$$\hat{a}_{l'} - a_{l'} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n 2 (\mathbb{E}(\alpha_{l'}(X_{i,j}) | Z_i) - a_{l'}) + o_p(n^{-1/2}).$$

---

*AMS 2000 subject classifications:* 62H30, 62G05.

*Keywords and phrases:* network, mixture model, random graph, stochastic blockmodel.

The summand is equal to the  $l'$ -th entry of  $\beta_i(\mathbf{a})$ . The result follows from stacking these equations over  $l'$ .  $\square$

LEMMA A.2. *Suppose that Assumption 2 holds. Then*

$$\text{vec}(\hat{\mathbf{A}}_0 - \mathbf{A}_0) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i(\mathbf{A}_0) + o_p(n^{-1/2})$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

PROOF OF LEMMA A.2. We start by symmetrizing the kernel of

$$(\hat{\mathbf{A}}_0)_{l_1, l_2} = \frac{1}{n(n-1)(n-2)} \sum_{i_1 \neq i_2 \neq i_3} \alpha_{l_1}(X_{i_1, i_2}) \alpha_{l_2}(X_{i_1, i_3})$$

as

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{l_1, l_2}(X_{i_1, i_2}, X_{i_1, i_3}, X_{i_2, i_3}) &:= \frac{\alpha_{l_1}(X_{i_1, i_2}) \alpha_{l_2}(X_{i_1, i_3})}{6} + \frac{\alpha_{l_1}(X_{i_1, i_3}) \alpha_{l_2}(X_{i_1, i_2})}{6} \\ &+ \frac{\alpha_{l_1}(X_{i_1, i_2}) \alpha_{l_2}(X_{i_2, i_3})}{6} + \frac{\alpha_{l_1}(X_{i_2, i_3}) \alpha_{l_2}(X_{i_1, i_2})}{6} \\ &+ \frac{\alpha_{l_1}(X_{i_2, i_3}) \alpha_{l_2}(X_{i_1, i_3})}{6} + \frac{\alpha_{l_1}(X_{i_1, i_3}) \alpha_{l_2}(X_{i_2, i_3})}{6} \end{aligned}$$

to write

$$(\hat{\mathbf{A}}_0)_{l_1, l_2} = \binom{n}{3}^{-1} \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < i_3} \alpha_{l_1, l_2}(X_{i_1, i_2}, X_{i_1, i_3}, X_{i_2, i_3}).$$

This is a generalized U-statistic. By (A.1) its kernel is a symmetric function in the variables  $Z_{i_1}, Z_{i_2}, Z_{i_3}$  and  $Y_{i_1, i_2}, Y_{i_1, i_3}, Y_{i_2, i_3}$ , and it is easy to see that its variance is finite. Janson and Nowicki's [1991] Lemma 3 can be applied to deduce that  $(\hat{\mathbf{A}}_0)_{l_1, l_2} - (\mathbf{A}_0)_{l_1, l_2}$  equals

$$\frac{3}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbb{E}(\alpha_{l_1, l_2}(X_{i_1, i_2}, X_{i_1, i_3}, X_{i_2, i_3}) | Z_{i_1}) - (\mathbf{A}_0)_{l_1, l_2}) + o_p(n^{-1/2}).$$

It is readily verified that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(\alpha_{l_1}(X_{i_1, i_2}) \alpha_{l_2}(X_{i_1, i_3}) | Z_{i_1}) &= \mathbb{E}(\alpha_{l_1}(X_{i_1, i_3}) \alpha_{l_2}(X_{i_1, i_2}) | Z_{i_1}), \\ \mathbb{E}(\alpha_{l_1}(X_{i_1, i_2}) \alpha_{l_2}(X_{i_2, i_3}) | Z_{i_1}) &= \mathbb{E}(\alpha_{l_1}(X_{i_1, i_3}) \alpha_{l_2}(X_{i_2, i_3}) | Z_{i_1}), \\ \mathbb{E}(\alpha_{l_1}(X_{i_2, i_3}) \alpha_{l_2}(X_{i_1, i_2}) | Z_{i_1}) &= \mathbb{E}(\alpha_{l_1}(X_{i_2, i_3}) \alpha_{l_2}(X_{i_1, i_3}) | Z_{i_1}), \end{aligned}$$

as each of the expectations on the left-hand side is invariant to a permutation of the indices  $(i_2, i_3)$ . Hence,

$$\mathbb{E}(\alpha_{l_1, l_2}(X_{i_1, i_2}, X_{i_1, i_3}, X_{i_2, i_3}) | Z_{i_1})$$

is equal to

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}(\alpha_{l_1}(X_{i_1, i_2})\alpha_{l_2}(X_{i_1, i_3}) + \alpha_{l_1}(X_{i_1, i_2})\alpha_{l_2}(X_{i_2, i_3}) + \alpha_{l_1}(X_{i_2, i_3})\alpha_{l_2}(X_{i_1, i_2}) | Z_{i_1})}{3}.$$

This is equal to the  $(l_1, l_2)$ -th entry of  $\mathbf{B}_{i_1}(\mathbf{A}_0)$ , up to the factor  $\frac{1}{3}$ . Hence, collecting terms over  $(l_1, l_2)$  and noting that  $\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{B}_i(\mathbf{A}_0)) = 3\mathbf{A}_0$  we arrive at

$$\text{vec}(\hat{\mathbf{A}}_0 - \mathbf{A}_0) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i(\mathbf{A}_0) + o_p(n^{-1/2}),$$

as claimed.  $\square$

LEMMA A.3. *Suppose that Assumption 2 holds. Then*

$$\text{vec}(\hat{\mathbf{A}} - \mathbf{A}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i(\mathbf{A}) + o_p(n^{-1/2})$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

PROOF OF LEMMA A.3. The proof follows the same steps as the proof of Lemma A.2. Start with the estimator  $\hat{\mathbf{A}}_{l'}$  for a given  $l'$ , which is equal to

$$\frac{1}{n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)} \sum_{i_1 \neq i_2 \neq i_3 \neq i_4} \alpha_{l_1}(X_{i_1, i_2}) \alpha_{l_2}(X_{i_1, i_3}) \alpha_{l'}(X_{i_1, i_4}).$$

Its kernel can be symmetrized by considering all possible rearrangements of the indices  $(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4)$ . This yields  $4! = 24$  terms. It is easy to see, however, that the projection of many of these terms onto  $Z_{i_1}$  co-incide. First, there are six terms where the edge weights all have the index  $i_1$  in common. Their projections are all equal to

$$\mathbb{E}(\alpha_{l_1}(X_{i_1, i_2}) \alpha_{l_2}(X_{i_1, i_3}) \alpha_{l'}(X_{i_1, i_4}) | Z_{i_1}).$$

Next, there are six terms where the edge weights all have the index  $i_2$  in common. The index  $i_1$  enters the kernel either through  $\alpha_{l_1}$ ,  $\alpha_{l_2}$ , or  $\alpha_{l'}$ ; each of these configurations occurs twice. The corresponding projections thus are

$$\mathbb{E}(\alpha_{l_1}(X_{i_1,i_2}) \alpha_{l_2}(X_{i_2,i_3}) \alpha_{l'}(X_{i_2,i_4}) | Z_{i_1}),$$

$$\mathbb{E}(\alpha_{l_1}(X_{i_2,i_3}) \alpha_{l_2}(X_{i_1,i_2}) \alpha_{l'}(X_{i_2,i_4}) | Z_{i_1}),$$

$$\mathbb{E}(\alpha_{l_1}(X_{i_2,i_3}) \alpha_{l_2}(X_{i_2,i_4}) \alpha_{l'}(X_{i_1,i_2}) | Z_{i_1}),$$

respectively. There are an additional 12 terms in the symmetrized kernel, those where the common index is either  $i_3$  or  $i_4$ . Their projections are equal to those where the common index is  $i_2$ , however. Consequently, if we collect terms as

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{B}_{i_1}(\mathbf{A}_{l'}))_{l_1, l_2} &= \frac{\mathbb{E}(\alpha_{l_1}(X_{i_1,i_2}) \alpha_{l_2}(X_{i_1,i_3}) \alpha_{l'}(X_{i_1,i_4}) | Z_{i_1})}{4} \\ &+ \frac{\mathbb{E}(\alpha_{l_1}(X_{i_1,i_2}) \alpha_{l_2}(X_{i_2,i_3}) \alpha_{l'}(X_{i_2,i_4}) | Z_{i_1})}{4} \\ &+ \frac{\mathbb{E}(\alpha_{l_1}(X_{i_2,i_3}) \alpha_{l_2}(X_{i_1,i_2}) \alpha_{l'}(X_{i_2,i_4}) | Z_{i_1})}{4} \\ &+ \frac{\mathbb{E}(\alpha_{l_1}(X_{i_2,i_3}) \alpha_{l_2}(X_{i_2,i_4}) \alpha_{l'}(X_{i_1,i_2}) | Z_{i_1})}{4}, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\text{vec}(\hat{\mathbf{A}}_{l'} - \mathbf{A}_{l'}) = \frac{4}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{vec}(\mathbf{B}_{i_1}(\mathbf{A}_{l'}) - \mathbf{A}_{l'}) + o_p(n^{-1/2}).$$

Stacking over  $l'$  and noting that  $\mathbf{B}_i(\mathbf{A}) = 4(\mathbf{B}_1(\mathbf{A}_1), \dots, \mathbf{B}_1(\mathbf{A}_l))$  we have

$$\text{vec}(\hat{\mathbf{A}} - \mathbf{A}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i(\mathbf{A}) + o_p(n^{-1/2}).$$

where, recall,  $\mathbf{A} = (\mathbf{A}_1, \dots, \mathbf{A}_l)$  and  $\beta_i(\mathbf{A}) = \text{vec}(\mathbf{B}_i(\mathbf{A}) - \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{B}_i(\mathbf{A})))$ .  $\square$

LEMMA A.4. *Suppose that Assumptions 2 and 3 hold. Then*

$$\text{vec}(\hat{\mathbf{M}}_\varphi - \mathbf{M}_\varphi) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i(\mathbf{M}_\varphi) + o_p(n^{-1/2})$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

PROOF OF LEMMA A.4. The proof follows the same steps as the proof of Lemma A.3, but with  $\alpha_{l'}$  set to  $\varphi$  and the order of the indices altered accordingly.  $\square$

LEMMA A.5. *Let  $\mathbf{N} := (\mathbf{N}_1, \dots, \mathbf{N}_l)$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{N}} := (\hat{\mathbf{N}}_1, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{N}}_l)$ . Suppose that Assumptions 2 and 4 hold. Then, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,*

$$\text{vec}(\hat{\mathbf{N}} - \mathbf{N}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n ((\mathbf{I}_l \otimes \mathbf{K}_{r^2}) \mathbf{W} \beta_i(\mathbf{A}_0) + (\mathbf{I}_l \otimes \mathbf{V} \otimes \mathbf{V}) \beta_i(\mathbf{A})) + o_p(n^{-1/2}),$$

where  $\mathbf{W}_{l'} := -(\mathbf{N}_{l'} \otimes \mathbf{I}_r) (\mathbf{L} \ominus \mathbf{L})^* (\mathbf{L} \mathbf{V} \otimes \mathbf{V}) - \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{N}_{l'} \overset{c}{\otimes} \mathbf{I}_r) (\mathbf{V} \overset{r}{\otimes} \mathbf{V})$  and  $\mathbf{W} := (\mathbf{W}'_1, \dots, \mathbf{W}'_l)'$ .

PROOF OF LEMMA A.5. Recall that

$$\hat{\mathbf{N}}_{l'} = \hat{\mathbf{V}} \hat{\mathbf{A}}_{l'} \hat{\mathbf{V}}', \quad \mathbf{N}_{l'} := \mathbf{V} \mathbf{A}_{l'} \mathbf{V}'.$$

We have  $\hat{\mathbf{N}}_{l'} - \mathbf{N}_{l'} = O_p(n^{-1/2})$  because  $\hat{\mathbf{A}}_{l'} - \mathbf{A}_{l'} = O_p(n^{-1/2})$  by Lemma A.3 below, and  $\hat{\mathbf{V}} - \mathbf{V} = O_p(n^{-1/2})$  by Lemma A.2 combined with Lemma S.2 of Bonhomme, Jochmans and Robin [2016]. Moreover, a linearization gives

$$\hat{\mathbf{N}}_{l'} - \mathbf{N}_{l'} = \mathbf{V}(\hat{\mathbf{A}}_{l'} - \mathbf{A}_{l'})\mathbf{V}' + (\hat{\mathbf{V}} - \mathbf{V})\mathbf{A}_{l'}\mathbf{V}' + \mathbf{V}\mathbf{A}_{l'}(\hat{\mathbf{V}} - \mathbf{V})' + o_p(n^{-1/2}).$$

By elementary properties of the Kronecker product and the commutation matrix  $\text{vec}(\hat{\mathbf{N}}_{l'} - \mathbf{N}_{l'})$  equals

$$(\mathbf{V} \otimes \mathbf{V}) \text{vec}(\hat{\mathbf{A}}_{l'} - \mathbf{A}_{l'}) + \mathbf{K}_{r^2} (\mathbf{V} \mathbf{A}_{l'} \otimes \mathbf{I}_r) \text{vec}(\hat{\mathbf{V}} - \mathbf{V}) + o_p(n^{-1/2}).$$

Using Lemma S.2 of Bonhomme, Jochmans and Robin [2016] in tandem with Lemma A.2 we have that

$$(\mathbf{V} \mathbf{A}_{l'} \otimes \mathbf{I}_r) \text{vec}(\hat{\mathbf{V}} - \mathbf{V}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{W}_{l'} \beta_i(\mathbf{A}_0) + o_p(n^{-1/2}),$$

while

$$(\mathbf{V} \otimes \mathbf{V}) \text{vec}(\hat{\mathbf{A}}_{l'} - \mathbf{A}_{l'}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{V} \otimes \mathbf{V}) \beta_i(\mathbf{A}_{l'}) + o_p(n^{-1/2})$$

follows from Lemma A.3. Collecting terms yields

$$\begin{aligned} \text{vec}(\hat{\mathbf{N}} - \mathbf{N}) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n ((\mathbf{I}_l \otimes \mathbf{K}_{r^2}) \mathbf{W} \boldsymbol{\beta}_i(\mathbf{A}_0) \\ &\quad + (\mathbf{I}_l \otimes \mathbf{V}' \otimes \mathbf{V}') \boldsymbol{\beta}_i(\mathbf{A})) + o_p(n^{-1/2}), \end{aligned}$$

for  $\mathbf{W} := (\mathbf{W}'_1, \dots, \mathbf{W}'_l)'$ , as claimed.  $\square$

LEMMA A.6. *Suppose that Assumptions 2 and 4 hold. Then*

$$\text{vec}(\hat{\mathbf{G}}' - \mathbf{G}') = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \boldsymbol{\beta}_i(\mathbf{G}') + o_p(n^{-1/2}),$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

PROOF OF LEMMA A.6. Let

$$\hat{\mathbf{D}}_{l'} := \hat{\mathbf{Q}}' \hat{\mathbf{N}}_{l'} \hat{\mathbf{Q}}$$

and recall that  $\mathbf{D}_{l'} = \mathbf{Q}' \mathbf{N}_{l'} \mathbf{Q}$ . A linearization gives

$$\hat{\mathbf{D}}_{l'} - \mathbf{D}_{l'} = \mathbf{Q}' (\hat{\mathbf{N}}_{l'} - \mathbf{N}_{l'}) \mathbf{Q} + (\hat{\mathbf{Q}} - \mathbf{Q})' \mathbf{N}_{l'} \mathbf{Q} + \mathbf{Q}' \mathbf{N}_{l'} (\hat{\mathbf{Q}} - \mathbf{Q}) + o_p(n^{-1/2}),$$

with the order of the remainder term following from Lemma A.3 and from Theorem 5 of Bonhomme and Robin [2009], which is applicable in the present setting by our Lemmas A.2 and A.3. By an application of the delta method,

$$\text{vec}(\hat{\mathbf{Q}}' - \mathbf{Q}') = -(\mathbf{Q} \otimes \mathbf{Q}') \text{vec}(\hat{\mathbf{Q}} - \mathbf{Q}) + o_p(n^{-1/2}),$$

which, after some re-arrangement, allows us to write

$$\begin{aligned} \text{vec}(\hat{\mathbf{D}}_{l'} - \mathbf{D}_{l'}) &= -(\mathbf{D}_{l'} \ominus \mathbf{D}_{l'}) (\mathbf{I}_r \otimes \mathbf{Q}') \text{vec}(\hat{\mathbf{Q}} - \mathbf{Q}) \\ &\quad + (\mathbf{Q}' \otimes \mathbf{Q}') \text{vec}(\hat{\mathbf{N}}_{l'} - \mathbf{N}_{l'}) + o_p(n^{-1/2}). \end{aligned}$$

Recalling that  $\mathbf{D} = (\mathbf{D}_1, \dots, \mathbf{D}_l)'$  and that  $\mathbf{R} = (\mathbf{D}_1 \ominus \mathbf{D}_1, \dots, \mathbf{D}_l \ominus \mathbf{D}_l)'$ , this gives

$$\text{vec}(\hat{\mathbf{D}}' - \mathbf{D}') = -\mathbf{R} (\mathbf{I}_r \otimes \mathbf{Q}') \text{vec}(\hat{\mathbf{Q}} - \mathbf{Q}) + (\mathbf{I}_l \otimes \mathbf{Q}' \otimes \mathbf{Q}') \text{vec}(\hat{\mathbf{N}} - \mathbf{N}) + o_p(n^{-1/2}).$$

For the first of these right-hand side terms we can rely on Theorem 5 of [Bonhomme and Robin \[2009\]](#), which implies that

$$\text{vec}(\hat{\mathbf{Q}} - \mathbf{Q}) = -(\mathbf{I}_r \otimes \mathbf{Q}) (\mathbf{R}' \mathbf{R})^* \mathbf{R}' (\mathbf{I}_l \otimes \mathbf{Q}' \otimes \mathbf{Q}') \text{vec}(\hat{\mathbf{N}} - \mathbf{N}) + o_p(n^{-1/2}).$$

Plugging this expression into the equation for  $\text{vec}(\hat{\mathbf{D}}' - \mathbf{D}')$  and using the shorthand  $\mathbf{P}_R = \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{R}' \mathbf{R})^* \mathbf{R}'$  gives

$$\text{vec}(\hat{\mathbf{D}}' - \mathbf{D}') = (\mathbf{I}_l \otimes \mathbf{I}_{r^2} + \mathbf{P}_R) (\mathbf{I}_l \otimes \mathbf{Q}' \otimes \mathbf{Q}') \text{vec}(\hat{\mathbf{N}} - \mathbf{N}) + o_p(n^{-1/2}).$$

The result then follows from Lemma [A.5](#), where the influence function of  $\text{vec}(\hat{\mathbf{N}} - \mathbf{N})$  is given, together with

$$\text{vec}(\hat{\mathbf{G}}' - \mathbf{G}') = (\mathbf{I}_l \otimes \mathbf{S}) \text{vec}(\hat{\mathbf{D}}' - \mathbf{D}').$$

To see this observe that, because

$$(\mathbf{Q}' \otimes \mathbf{Q}') (\mathbf{N}_{l'} \otimes \mathbf{I}_r) = (\mathbf{D}_{l'} \otimes \mathbf{I}_r) (\mathbf{Q}' \otimes \mathbf{Q}')$$

and

$$(\mathbf{Q}' \otimes \mathbf{Q}') (\mathbf{N}_{l'} \overset{c}{\otimes} \mathbf{I}_r) = (\mathbf{D}_{l'} \otimes \mathbf{I}_r) (\mathbf{Q}' \overset{c}{\otimes} \mathbf{Q}'),$$

we have  $(\mathbf{I}_l \otimes \mathbf{Q}' \otimes \mathbf{Q}') \mathbf{W} = \mathbf{T}_1 + \mathbf{T}_2$ , where  $\mathbf{W}$  is defined in Lemma [A.5](#).  $\square$

LEMMA A.7. *Suppose that Assumptions 2 and 4 hold and that  $\mathbf{G}$  has maximal column rank. Then*

$$\text{vec}(\hat{\mathbf{G}}^* - \mathbf{G}^*) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i(\mathbf{G}^*) + o_p(n^{-1/2})$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

PROOF OF LEMMA [A.7](#). We start with

$$\hat{\mathbf{G}}^* - \mathbf{G}^* = (\mathbf{G}' \mathbf{G})^{-1} (\hat{\mathbf{G}}' - \mathbf{G}') + ((\hat{\mathbf{G}}' \hat{\mathbf{G}})^{-1} - (\mathbf{G}' \mathbf{G})^{-1}) \mathbf{G}' + o_p(n^{-1/2}),$$

where the order of the remainder term follows from Lemma [A.6](#). The first term on the right-hand side equals

$$\text{vec}((\mathbf{G}' \mathbf{G})^{-1} (\hat{\mathbf{G}}' - \mathbf{G}')) = (\mathbf{I}_l \otimes (\mathbf{G}' \mathbf{G})^{-1}) \text{vec}(\hat{\mathbf{G}}' - \mathbf{G}') + o_p(n^{-1/2})$$

by the same lemma. For the second right-hand side term we similarly obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{vec}(((\hat{\mathbf{G}}' \hat{\mathbf{G}})^{-1} - (\mathbf{G}' \mathbf{G})^{-1}) \mathbf{G}') &= -(\mathbf{G} \mathbf{G}^* \otimes (\mathbf{G}' \mathbf{G})^{-1}) \text{vec}(\hat{\mathbf{G}}' - \mathbf{G}') \\ &\quad - (\mathbf{G}' \otimes \mathbf{G})^* \text{vec}(\hat{\mathbf{G}} - \mathbf{G}) + o_p(n^{-1/2}). \end{aligned}$$

This expression follows from an application of the delta method to get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{vec}((\hat{\mathbf{G}}' \hat{\mathbf{G}})^{-1} - (\mathbf{G}' \mathbf{G})^{-1}) &= -((\mathbf{G}' \mathbf{G})^{-1} \otimes (\mathbf{G}' \mathbf{G})^{-1}) \\ &\quad \times \text{vec}((\hat{\mathbf{G}}' \hat{\mathbf{G}}) - (\mathbf{G}' \mathbf{G})) + o_p(n^{-1/2}), \end{aligned}$$

together with the linearization

$$\begin{aligned} \text{vec}((\hat{\mathbf{G}}' \hat{\mathbf{G}}) - (\mathbf{G}' \mathbf{G})) &= (\mathbf{G}' \otimes \mathbf{I}_r) \text{vec}(\hat{\mathbf{G}}' - \mathbf{G}') \\ &\quad + (\mathbf{I}_r \otimes \mathbf{G}') \text{vec}(\hat{\mathbf{G}} - \mathbf{G}) + o_p(n^{-1/2}). \end{aligned}$$

Collecting terms yields

$$\begin{aligned} \text{vec}(\hat{\mathbf{G}}^* - \mathbf{G}^*) &= ((\mathbf{I}_l - \mathbf{G} \mathbf{G}^*) \otimes (\mathbf{G}' \mathbf{G})^{-1}) \text{vec}(\hat{\mathbf{G}}' - \mathbf{G}') \\ &\quad - (\mathbf{G}' \otimes \mathbf{G})^* \text{vec}(\hat{\mathbf{G}} - \mathbf{G}) + o_p(n^{-1/2}). \end{aligned}$$

The final conclusion follows from  $\text{vec}(\hat{\mathbf{G}} - \mathbf{G}) = \mathbf{C}_{lr} \text{vec}(\hat{\mathbf{G}}' - \mathbf{G}')$ .  $\square$

**2. Omitted proofs.** This section collects the proofs of the theorems in the paper.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. By a linearization,

$$\hat{\mathbf{p}} - \mathbf{p} = \hat{\mathbf{G}}^* \hat{\mathbf{a}} - \mathbf{G}^* \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{G}^* (\hat{\mathbf{a}} - \mathbf{a}) + (\hat{\mathbf{G}}^* - \mathbf{G}^*) \mathbf{a} + o_p(n^{-1/2}),$$

where the order of the remainder term follows from Lemmas A.1 and A.7.

By the same lemmas,

$$\mathbf{G}^* (\hat{\mathbf{a}} - \mathbf{a}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{G}^* \beta_i(\mathbf{a}) + o_p(n^{-1/2})$$

and

$$(\hat{\mathbf{G}}^* - \mathbf{G}^*) \mathbf{a} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{a}' \otimes \mathbf{I}_r) \beta_i(\mathbf{G}^*) + o_p(n^{-1/2}),$$



because  $(\hat{\mathbf{G}}^* - \mathbf{G}^*) \mathbf{a} = (\mathbf{a}' \otimes \mathbf{I}_r) \text{vec}(\hat{\mathbf{G}}^* - \mathbf{G}^*)$  by an elementary property of the Kronecker product in relation to the  $\text{vec}$  operator, which we rely on frequently throughout the derivations in this appendix. On collecting terms,

$$\hat{\mathbf{p}} - \mathbf{p} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \boldsymbol{\theta}_i + o_p(n^{-1/2})$$

follows, as asserted in the theorem.  $\square$

PROOF OF THEOREM 3. First we linearize

$$\hat{\mathbf{H}}_\varphi - \mathbf{H}_\varphi = \hat{\mathbf{G}}^* \hat{\mathbf{M}}_\varphi (\hat{\mathbf{G}}^*)' - \mathbf{G}^* \mathbf{M}_\varphi (\mathbf{G}^*)'$$

as

$$(\hat{\mathbf{G}}^* - \mathbf{G}^*) \mathbf{M}_\varphi (\mathbf{G}^*)' + \mathbf{G}^* (\hat{\mathbf{M}}_\varphi - \mathbf{M}_\varphi) (\mathbf{G}^*)' + \mathbf{G}^* \mathbf{M}_\varphi (\hat{\mathbf{G}}^* - \mathbf{G}^*)' + o_p(n^{-1/2}).$$

The order of the remainder term, as well as the linearizations stated next, follow from Lemmas A.4 and A.7. The linearizations in question take the form

$$(\mathbf{G}^* \mathbf{M}_\varphi \otimes \mathbf{I}_r) \text{vec}(\hat{\mathbf{G}}^* - \mathbf{G}^*) = (\mathbf{G}^* \mathbf{M}_\varphi \otimes \mathbf{I}_r) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \boldsymbol{\beta}_i(\mathbf{G}^*) + o_p(n^{-1/2}),$$

and

$$(\mathbf{G}^* \otimes \mathbf{G}^*) \text{vec}(\hat{\mathbf{M}}_\varphi - \mathbf{M}_\varphi) = (\mathbf{G}^* \otimes \mathbf{G}^*) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \boldsymbol{\beta}_i(\mathbf{M}_\varphi) + o_p(n^{-1/2}).$$

Also,  $(\mathbf{I}_r \otimes \mathbf{G}^* \mathbf{M}_\varphi) \text{vec}((\hat{\mathbf{G}}^* - \mathbf{G}^*)') = (\mathbf{I}_r \otimes \mathbf{G}^* \mathbf{M}_\varphi) \mathbf{C}_{r^2} \text{vec}(\hat{\mathbf{G}}^* - \mathbf{G}^*)$  by the defining property of the commutation matrix. Therefore, on collecting terms

$$\text{vec}(\hat{\mathbf{H}}_\varphi - \mathbf{H}_\varphi) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \boldsymbol{\beta}_i(\mathbf{H}_\varphi) + o_p(n^{-1/2})$$

with

$$\boldsymbol{\beta}_i(\mathbf{H}_\varphi) = \mathbf{K}_{r^2} (\mathbf{G}^* \mathbf{M}_\varphi \otimes \mathbf{I}_r) \boldsymbol{\beta}_i(\mathbf{G}^*) + (\mathbf{G}^* \otimes \mathbf{G}^*) \boldsymbol{\beta}_i(\mathbf{M}_\varphi).$$

As  $\mathbf{e}'_{z_1}(\hat{\mathbf{H}}_\varphi - \mathbf{H}_\varphi)\mathbf{e}_{z_2} = (\mathbf{e}'_{z_2} \otimes \mathbf{e}'_{z_1}) \text{vec}(\hat{\mathbf{H}}_\varphi - \mathbf{H}_\varphi)$  we have therefore obtained

$$\mathbf{e}'_{z_1}(\hat{\mathbf{H}}_\varphi - \mathbf{H}_\varphi)\mathbf{e}_{z_2} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{e}'_{z_2} \otimes \mathbf{e}'_{z_1}) \beta_i(\mathbf{H}_\varphi) + o_p(n^{-1/2}).$$

This holds for any function  $\varphi$ , and thus also for  $\varphi(x) = 1$ . A linearization of

$$\hat{\varphi}_{z_1, z_2} = \frac{\mathbf{e}'_{z_1} \hat{\mathbf{H}}_\varphi \mathbf{e}_{z_2}}{\mathbf{e}'_{z_1} \hat{\mathbf{H}}_1 \mathbf{e}_{z_2}}$$

then gives

$$\hat{\varphi}_{z_1, z_2} - \varphi_{z_1, z_2} = \frac{\mathbf{e}'_{z_1}(\hat{\mathbf{H}}_\varphi - \mathbf{H}_\varphi)\mathbf{e}_{z_2}}{\mathbf{e}'_{z_1} \mathbf{H}_1 \mathbf{e}_{z_2}} - \varphi_{z_1, z_2} \frac{\mathbf{e}'_{z_1}(\hat{\mathbf{H}}_1 - \mathbf{H}_1)\mathbf{e}_{z_2}}{\mathbf{e}'_{z_1} \mathbf{H}_1 \mathbf{e}_{z_2}} + o_p(n^{-1/2}).$$

The result then follows on using that  $\mathbf{e}'_{z_1} \mathbf{H}_1 \mathbf{e}_{z_2} = p_{z_1} p_{z_2}$ .  $\square$

**3. Computational notes.** The matrices that serve as inputs to our estimator of the stochastic blockmodel are U-statistics of up to order four. Brute force calculation of these (and of their influence functions) is time consuming and can be prohibitive for large  $n$ . Fortunately, such calculations can be avoided.

To illustrate, consider

$$(\hat{\mathbf{A}}_0)_{l_1, l_2} = \frac{1}{n(n-1)(n-2)} \sum_{i_1=1}^n \sum_{i_2 \neq i_1} \sum_{i_3 \neq i_1, i_2} \alpha_{l_1}(X_{i_1, i_2}) \alpha_{l_2}(X_{i_1, i_3})$$

for fixed  $(l_1, l_2)$ . The complexity of direct evaluation of this expression is  $n^3$ . Further, as

$$((\hat{\mathbf{A}}_0)_{l_1, l_2} - (\mathbf{A}_0)_{l_1, l_2}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n ((\mathbf{B}_{i_1}(\mathbf{A}_0))_{l_1, l_2} - 3(\mathbf{A}_0)_{l_1, l_2}) + o_p(n^{-1/2}),$$

where, recall,  $(\mathbf{B}_{i_1}(\mathbf{A}_0))_{l_1, l_2}$  takes the form

$$\mathbb{E}(\alpha_{l_1}(X_{i_1, i_2}) \alpha_{l_2}(X_{i_1, i_3}) + \alpha_{l_1}(X_{i_1, i_2}) \alpha_{l_2}(X_{i_2, i_3}) + \alpha_{l_1}(X_{i_2, i_3}) \alpha_{l_2}(X_{i_1, i_2}) | Z_{i_1})$$

(see Lemma A.2), inference also requires to estimate this projection for each node. A natural estimator is

$$(\hat{\mathbf{B}}_{i_1}(\mathbf{A}_0))_{l_1, l_2} := a_{i_1} + b_{i_1} + c_{i_1},$$

for

$$\begin{aligned} a_{i_1} &:= \frac{1}{(n-1)(n-2)} \sum_{i_2 \neq i_1} \sum_{i_3 \neq i_1, i_2} \alpha_{l_1}(X_{i_1, i_2}) \alpha_{l_2}(X_{i_1, i_3}), \\ b_{i_1} &:= \frac{1}{(n-1)(n-2)} \sum_{i_2 \neq i_1} \sum_{i_3 \neq i_1, i_2} \alpha_{l_1}(X_{i_1, i_2}) \alpha_{l_2}(X_{i_2, i_3}), \\ c_{i_1} &:= \frac{1}{(n-1)(n-2)} \sum_{i_2 \neq i_1} \sum_{i_3 \neq i_1, i_2} \alpha_{l_1}(X_{i_2, i_3}) \alpha_{l_2}(X_{i_1, i_2}). \end{aligned}$$

Direct calculation for all  $n$  again involves  $O(n^3)$  operations.

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} a_{i_1} &= \frac{1}{(n-1)} \sum_{i_2 \neq i_1} \alpha_{l_1}(X_{i_1, i_2}) \frac{1}{(n-2)} \sum_{i_3 \neq i_1, i_2} \alpha_{l_2}(X_{i_1, i_3}) \\ &= \frac{1}{(n-1)} \sum_{i_2 \neq i_1} \alpha_{l_1}(X_{i_1, i_2}) \frac{1}{(n-2)} \left( \sum_{i_3 \neq i_1} \alpha_{l_2}(X_{i_1, i_3}) - \alpha_{l_2}(X_{i_1, i_2}) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{(n-1)(n-2)} \left( \sum_{i_2 \neq i_1} \alpha_{l_1}(X_{i_1, i_2}) \right) \left( \sum_{i_3 \neq i_1} \alpha_{l_2}(X_{i_1, i_3}) \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{(n-1)(n-2)} \left( \sum_{i_2 \neq i_1} \alpha_{l_1}(X_{i_1, i_2}) \alpha_{l_2}(X_{i_1, i_2}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

The last expression reveals that we only need to calculate the sums

$$\sum_{i_2 \neq i_1} \alpha_{l_1}(X_{i_1, i_2}), \quad \sum_{i_2 \neq i_1} \alpha_{l_2}(X_{i_1, i_2}), \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i_2 \neq i_1} \alpha_{l_1}(X_{i_1, i_2}) \alpha_{l_2}(X_{i_1, i_2}),$$

for each node to obtain the  $(\hat{\mathbf{B}}_{i_1}(\mathbf{A}_0))_{l_1, l_2}$ . This is simple and immediate in any matrix-based language. Further, with  $(\hat{\mathbf{B}}_{i_1}(\mathbf{A}_0))_{l_1, l_2}$  at hand we can compute

$$(\hat{\mathbf{A}}_0)_{l_1, l_2} = \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{\mathbf{B}}_{i_1}(\mathbf{A}_0))_{l_1, l_2}.$$

Consequently, we obtain both the estimator and its influence function in the same calculation. In this way the computational cost is linear in  $n$ , making our estimator fast to compute, even for large  $n$ .

**References.**

- BONHOMME, S., JOCHMANS, K. and ROBIN, J. M. (2016). Nonparametric estimation of finite mixtures from repeated measurements. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series B* **78** 211–229.
- BONHOMME, S. and ROBIN, J.-M. (2009). Consistent noisy independent component analysis. *Journal of Econometrics* **149** 12–25.
- JANSON, S. and NOWICKI, K. (1991). The asymptotic distributions of generalized U-statistics with applications to random graphs. *Probability Theory and Related Fields* **90** 341–375.

TOULOUSE SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS  
1 ESPLANADE DE L'UNIVERSITÉ  
31080 TOULOUSE  
FRANCE  
E-MAIL: [koen.jochmans@tse-fr.eu](mailto:koen.jochmans@tse-fr.eu)