# Week 7 - Evaluating Classification Models

Dataset: Suppose you have a binary classification problem with the following actual classes and predicted classes for a sample of 40 observations:

Actual Classes: 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0

Predicted Classes: 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0

### Steps:

- 1. Create the Confusion Matrix: Manually create a 2x2 confusion matrix using the actual classes and predicted classes.
- 2. Calculate Evaluation Metrics:
- Accuracy
- Precision
- Recall (Sensitivity)
- Specificity
- F1 Score

Interpretation: Interpret the calculated evaluation metrics to assess the performance of the classification model.

# Example Solution:

Step 1: Create the Confusion Matrix: Predicted Negative (0) Predicted Positive (1) Actual Negative (0) TN FP Actual Positive (1) FN TP

Using the provided actual and predicted classes, we can construct the confusion matrix: Predicted Negative (0) Predicted Positive (1) Actual Negative (0) 15 2 Actual Positive (1) 1 22

Step 2: Calculate Evaluation Metrics:

$$Accuracy = (TP + TN)/Total = (15 + 22)/40 = 37/40 = 0.925$$

$$Precision = TP/(TP+FP) = 22/(22+2) = 22/24 \approx 0.917$$
  $Recall(Sensitivity) = TP/(TP+FN) = 22/(22+1) = 22/23 \approx 0.957$   $Specificity = TN/(TN+FP) = 15/(15+2) = 15/17 \approx 0.882$ 

 $F1Score = 2*(Precision*Recall)/(Precision+Recall) = 2*(0.917*0.957)/(0.917+0.957) \approx 0.937$ 

### Step 3: Interpretation:

- The accuracy of the model is 92.5%, indicating that 92.5% of the predictions are correct.
- The precision of the model is approximately 91.7%, implying that 91.7% of the samples predicted as positive are truly positive.
- The recall (sensitivity) of the model is approximately 95.7%, meaning that 95.7% of the actual positive samples are correctly identified.
- The specificity of the model is approximately 88.2%, indicating that 88.2% of the actual negative samples are correctly identified.
- The F1 score, which combines precision and recall, is approximately 93.7%, suggesting overall good performance of the model.

# Comparison:

Compare the model above to three other models.

#### Model 2

	Predicted Negative (0)	Predicted Positive (1)
Actual Negative (0)	16	1
Actual Positive (1)	5	18

Calculating Evaluation Metrics for the Model.

 $\begin{array}{l} {\rm Accuracy} = ({\rm TP} + {\rm TN}) \; / \; {\rm Total} = (16 + 18) \; / \; 40 = 34 \; / \; 40 = 0.85 \; {\rm Precision} = {\rm TP} \; / \; ({\rm TP} + {\rm FP}) = 18 \; / \; (18 + 1) = 18 \; / \; 19 \; \; 0.947 \; {\rm Recall} \; ({\rm Sensitivity}) = {\rm TP} \; / \; ({\rm TP} + {\rm FN}) = 18 \; / \; (18 + 5) = 18 \; / \; 23 \; \; 0.783 \; {\rm Specificity} = {\rm TN} \; / \; ({\rm TN} + {\rm FP}) = 16 \; / \; (16 + 1) = 16 \; / \; 17 \; \; 0.941 \; {\rm F1} \; {\rm Score} = 2 \; * \; ({\rm Precision} \; * \; {\rm Recall}) \; / \; ({\rm Precision} + \; {\rm Recall}) = 2 \; * \; (0.947 \; * \; 0.783) \; / \; (0.947 + 0.783) \; 0.857 \; \\ \end{array}$ 

Interpretation of the Model:

The accuracy of the model is 85%, indicating that 85% of the predictions are correct. The precision of the model is approximately 94.7%, implying that 94.7% of the samples predicted as positive are truly positive. The recall (sensitivity) of the model is approximately 78.3%, meaning that 78.3% of the actual positive samples are correctly identified. The specificity of the model is approximately 94.1%, indicating that 94.1% of the actual negative samples

are correctly identified. The F1 score, which combines precision and recall, is approximately 85.7%, suggesting good overall performance of the model.

Model 3

	Predicted Negative (0)	Predicted Positive (1)
Actual Negative (0)	10	7
Actual Positive (1)	12	11

Calculating Evaluation Metrics for the Model:

```
 \begin{array}{l} {\rm Accuracy} = ({\rm TP} + {\rm TN}) \; / \; {\rm Total} = (10 + 11) \; / \; 40 = 21 \; / \; 40 = 0.525 \; {\rm Precision} = {\rm TP} \; / \; ({\rm TP} + {\rm FP}) = 11 \; / \; (11 + 7) = 11 \; / \; 18 \; \; 0.611 \; {\rm Recall} \; ({\rm Sensitivity}) = {\rm TP} \; / \; ({\rm TP} + {\rm FN}) = 11 \; / \; (11 + 12) = 11 \; / \; 23 \; \; 0.478 \; {\rm Specificity} = {\rm TN} \; / \; ({\rm TN} + {\rm FP}) = 10 \; / \; (10 + 7) = 10 \; / \; 17 \; \; 0.588 \; {\rm F1} \; {\rm Score} = 2 \; * \; ({\rm Precision} \; * \; {\rm Recall}) \; / \; ({\rm Precision} + \; {\rm Recall}) = 2 \; * \; (0.611 \; * \; 0.478) \; / \; (0.611 + 0.478) \; 0.538 \; \\ \end{array}
```

Interpretation of the Model:

The accuracy of the worse-performing model is 52.5%, indicating that only 52.5% of the predictions are correct. The precision of the model is approximately 61.1%, implying that 61.1% of the samples predicted as positive are truly positive. The recall (sensitivity) of the model is approximately 47.8%, meaning that only 47.8% of the actual positive samples are correctly identified. The specificity of the model is approximately 58.8%, indicating that 58.8% of the actual negative samples are correctly identified. The F1 score, which combines precision and recall, is approximately 53.8%, suggesting poor overall performance of the model.

Model 4

	Predicted Negative (0)	Predicted Positive (1)
Actual Negative (0)	16	1
Actual Positive (1)	0	23

Calculating Evaluation Metrics for the Model:

```
 \begin{array}{l} {\rm Accuracy} = ({\rm TP} + {\rm TN}) \; / \; {\rm Total} = (16 + 23) \; / \; 40 = 39 \; / \; 40 = 0.975 \; {\rm Precision} = {\rm TP} \; / \; ({\rm TP} + {\rm FP}) = 23 \; / \; (23 + 1) = 23 \; / \; 24 \; \; \; 0.958 \; {\rm Recall} \; ({\rm Sensitivity}) = {\rm TP} \; / \; ({\rm TP} + {\rm FN}) = 23 \; / \; (23 + 0) = 23 \; / \; 23 = 1 \; {\rm Specificity} = {\rm TN} \; / \; ({\rm TN} + {\rm FP}) = 16 \; / \; (16 + 1) = 16 \; / \; 17 \; \; \; 0.941 \; {\rm F1} \; {\rm Score} = 2 \; * \; ({\rm Precision} \; * \; {\rm Recall}) \; / \; ({\rm Precision} + {\rm Recall}) = 2 \; * \; (0.958 \; * \; 1) \; / \; (0.958 + 1) \; \; 0.978 \; \end{array}
```

Interpretation of the Model:

The accuracy of the better-performing model is 97.5%, indicating that 97.5% of the predictions are correct. The precision of the model is approximately 95.8%, implying that 95.8% of the samples predicted as positive are truly positive. The recall (sensitivity) of the model is 100%, meaning that 100% of the actual positive samples are correctly identified. The specificity of the model is approximately 94.1%, indicating that 94.1% of the actual negative samples are correctly identified. The F1 score, which combines precision and recall, is approximately 97.8%, suggesting excellent overall performance of the model.