

LONDON'S GLOBAL UNIVERSITY



# WebMGA 3.0

Refinement of an Interactive Viewer for Coarse-Grained Liquid Crystal Models

Candidate Number: GYWT8<sup>1</sup>

MEng Computer Science

Supervisor: Guido Germano

Submission Date: 26<sup>th</sup> April 2024

<sup>1</sup>**Disclaimer:** This report is submitted as part requirement for the MEng in Computer Science at UCL. It is substantially the result of my own work except where explicitly indicated in the text. The report may be freely copied and distributed provided the source is explicitly acknowledged.

## **Abstract**

TODO

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Context</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	Molecular Graphics . . . . .	3
2.2	Liquid Crystal Modelling . . . . .	3
2.2.1	Director . . . . .	4
2.2.2	Periodic Boundary Conditions . . . . .	4
<b>3</b>	<b>Requirements and Analysis</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Design and Implementation</b>	<b>6</b>
4.1	Colour from Director . . . . .	6
4.2	Axes . . . . .	6
4.2.1	WebMGA 2.0 Implementation . . . . .	6
4.2.2	WebMGA 2.0 Bugs . . . . .	6
4.2.3	Improvement Goals . . . . .	6
4.2.4	WebMGA 3.0 Implementation . . . . .	8
4.2.5	WebMGA 3.0 Bugs . . . . .	9
4.3	Shapes . . . . .	9
4.3.1	WebMGA 2.0 Implementation . . . . .	9
4.3.2	WebMGA 2.0 Bugs . . . . .	10
4.3.3	Improvement Goals . . . . .	10
4.3.4	WebMGA 3.0 Implementation . . . . .	13
4.3.5	WebMGA 3.0 Bugs . . . . .	19
4.4	File types . . . . .	20
4.4.1	WebMGA 2.0 Implementation . . . . .	20
4.4.2	WebMGA 2.0 Bugs . . . . .	20
4.4.3	WebMGA 3.0 Implementation . . . . .	20
4.4.4	WebMGA 3.0 Bugs . . . . .	21
4.5	Periodic Repetition (TODO RENAME THIS?) . . . . .	21
4.5.1	Improvement Goals . . . . .	21
4.5.2	WebMGA 3.0 Implementation . . . . .	21
4.5.3	WebMGA 3.0 Bugs . . . . .	22
4.6	Optimisations . . . . .	22

4.6.1	WebMGA 2.0 Implementation . . . . .	22
4.6.2	WebMGA 2.0 Bugs . . . . .	22
4.6.3	WebMGA 3.0 Implementation . . . . .	22
4.6.4	WebMGA 3.0 Bugs . . . . .	24
<b>5</b>	<b>Analysis and Testing</b>	<b>25</b>
5.1	Level of Detail Performance . . . . .	25
5.2	Configuration Visualisations . . . . .	25
<b>6</b>	<b>Conclusions and Evaluation</b>	<b>26</b>
6.1	Achievements . . . . .	26
6.2	Evaluation . . . . .	26
6.3	Future Work . . . . .	26
6.4	Acknowledgments . . . . .	26
<b>A</b>	<b>Appendices</b>	<b>28</b>

# **Chapter 1**

## **Introduction**

# Chapter 2

## Context

### 2.1 Molecular Graphics

The ability to visualise outputs from molecular simulations, particularly in the domain of liquid crystals, is important for understanding and communicating findings. QMGA[1] is a tool which can be used to generate 3D graphical representations of molecular configurations. Despite being unmaintained since 2009[2], it remains in active use to this day, having been used within the last year in publications by notable authors such as Ramírez González and Cinacchi [3] and Mazzilli, Satoh, and Saielli [4]. While another visualisation tool exists within the liquid crystal domain, LCview[5, 6], it produces plots of director and/or potential fields, rather than showing the structure of large multi-molecule system.

Since QMGA has not been updated in so long, it continues to depend on the severely dated Qt 3 framework (Qt 4 was released in 2005, 2 years before QMGA was released) requiring the installation of unmaintained and difficult to acquire libraries (e.g. the Debian Linux distribution removed all Qt 3 libraries in 2012[7]). Additionally, since the program is distributed as source code, it must be manually built by the user which is not trivial for inexperienced users. This is complicated further by the fact that modern C compilers will fail without certain modifications to the source code CITE THIS!!!. All of these problems make installation on a modern system a significant barrier to usage.

WebMGA is a project begun by Battistini [8] in 2021 which aims to address this accessibility issue whilst replicating the functionality of QMGA. It was continued in 2023 by CITE YUE. It's written in JavaScript using the React framework with the three.js library for 3D rendering. This addresses the accessibility issues of QMGA since it can be easily accessed using just a web browser. While WebMGA contains full functionality for rendering most molecule configurations from QMGA, it still has performance and functionality limitations, as well as some bugs. WebMGA 3.0 aims to address a majority of these issues.

### 2.2 Liquid Crystal Modelling

Most details regarding molecular simulation is not required to understand the implementations made for this project. Some key terms which will be used throughout are defined in the following subsections, based on Allen and Tildesley [9] except where cited otherwise.

### 2.2.1 Director

Under a coarse-grained potential model, liquid crystal configuration have a long-range orientational order, and sometimes also a long range positional order. An order represents a preferred alignment for molecules within that system[10]. The orientational order can be described by a magnitude  $S$ , and a direction  $\mathbf{n}$ .  $\mathbf{n}$  is typically referred to as the director. Both  $S$  and  $\mathbf{n}$  can be derived from the order tensor  $\mathcal{Q}$ , which is defined as follows for a system containing  $N$  molecules each with unit vector principal axis direction  $\mathbf{e}$ ,

$$\mathcal{Q} = \frac{3}{2N} \sum_{i=1}^N (\mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}_i) - \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{I} \quad (2.1)$$

$$\mathbf{e}_i = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{e}_{ix} \\ \mathbf{e}_{iy} \\ \mathbf{e}_{iz} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.2)$$

$n$  can be defined as the direction which maximises  $S$  and is obtainable by diagonalising  $\mathcal{Q}$  and taking the eigenvector corresponding to the largest eigenvalue (which is itself  $S$ ).

Since the director provides TODO, it is useful to visualise both the director itself how each molecule in the system aligns. This is discussed further in Sections 4.1 and 4.2.4

### 2.2.2 Periodic Boundary Conditions

Typically, molecular simulations use periodic boundary conditions to allow modelling only a portion of an entire system[1]. An infinite lattice is produced by repeating a smaller simulation box[11]. When a molecule leaves the simulation box through one of its faces, it enters again with the same movement properties through the opposite face[11].

## Chapter 3

# Requirements and Analysis

TODO

# Chapter 4

# Design and Implementation

## 4.1 Colour from Director

## 4.2 Axes

### 4.2.1 WebMGA 2.0 Implementation

In WebMGA 2.0, the 3D axes are displayed as shown in Figures 4.1a and 4.1b, and controlled through the user interface as shown in Figure 4.1d (visibility and colour toggles).

Axes take the form of three lines of fixed lengths in the  $x$ ,  $y$ , and  $z$  directions. Each line's midpoint is the lab fram coordinate  $(0, 0, 0)$ , where all axes meet. Axes extend in both positive and negative directions. They are not shown by default and, when first enabled, are uncoloured. When coloured, the  $x$  axis is red, the  $y$  axis is green, and the  $z$  axis is blue.

Visibility is toggled using the “Show” button and colour is toggled with the “Multi-Colour” button. A question mark icon is next to the “Multi-Colour” which shows a tooltip when hovered specifying the axis colour scheme.

### 4.2.2 WebMGA 2.0 Bugs

When the axes are toggled to visible for the first time, if the “Multi-Colour” toggle has not been interacted with first, the axes will be uncoloured, despite the “Multi-Colour” toggle being enabled by default. This is shown in Figure 4.2. To enable colour for the first time, the ”Multi-Colour” toggle must be disabled and then re-enabled.

When the environment is set to light mode (white background) with coloured axes disabled, the axes become difficult to view since they retain a white colour as default, blending into the background as shown in Figure 4.1c.

### 4.2.3 Improvement Goals

- Axes are unlabelled
  - Axes should be changed to extend only in the positive direction
- Director(TODO label) is not shown





Figure 4.1: Axes in WebMGA 2.0




Figure 4.2: Bug where axes are not coloured despite the “Multi-Colour” toggle being enabled when axes are first enabled.

- An additional line should be shown indicating director direction
- Colours should be labelled or meaningful
  - Colour axes according to angle with director (as in TODO REFERENCE)
- Axes should not be obscured
  - Place axes in screen corner rather than centre
- Axes should be clearly distinguishable
  - Ensure axes retain contrast with background under light and dark views

#### 4.2.4 WebMGA 3.0 Implementation

##### Axes Positions

The existing implementation was found to be needlessly convoluted so was largely stripped out. For example, coloured and uncoloured axes were implemented entirely separately, resulting in a large amount of duplicated code and convoluted logic flow. The bug identified in WebMGA 2.0 regarding uncoloured axes showing with “Multi-Colour” enabled, for example, was found to occur due to incorrect colour object initialisation, meaning what should be “Multi-Colour” axes showed as uncoloured since the colours are not defined when these lines are loaded the very first time.

In the new axes code, they are simply defined in terms of an axes centre point, three axes vectors, and an axis length scale. The axes vectors are handled in the lab frame so are trivially defined as  $x = (1, 0, 0)$ ,  $y = (0, 1, 0)$ , and  $z = (0, 0, 1)$ .

Since the axes centre needs to remain in a fixed position on screen at all times, it needs to be defined relative to the camera. Three.js provides a method on any world object which converts from object relative coordinates to the lab frame, so this is used to trivially place the axes centre

into the lab fram as required. Since this relationship changes when an object, in this case the camera, moves, the axes centre must therefore be redefined on any camera movement. This process also does not account for changes to intrinsic camera properties, importantly camera zoom. The axes therefore need to be scaled proportionally to the camera's zoom level on any zoom change.

Using the lab frame centre point and the axes vectors and scales, axis lines are trivially defined as,

$$l_0 = c \quad (4.1)$$

$$l_1 = c + szv \quad (4.2)$$

where  $l_0$  and  $l_1$  are the axis line start and end,  $c$  is the axes centre,  $v$  is the axis vector,  $s$  is the axis scale factor, and  $z$  is the zoom factor of the camera. These can be recalculated and applied on every camera change. A Three.js Line object is constructed for each axis using the line start, end, and a colour.

## Director

Plotting the director is made simple using the above setup. A new axis is simply defined using Equations (4.1) and (4.2), with  $v$  set to the already computed director vector (TODO show where this was done).

## Axes Colouring

It was decided that a meaningful colouring for the axes lines (including the director) would be using the same colour scheme as for molecule colour (TODO show where). This can be done easily since all axes have a defined direction vector which can be passed to the TODO COLOURFROMDIRECTORNAME function (TODO show where). The resulting colour is simply passed as part of the Line object constructor.

## Axes Summary

Some result can be viewed in Figure 4.3.

## GUI Implementation

TODO discuss UI


### 4.2.5 WebMGA 3.0 Bugs

TODO

## 4.3 Shapes

### 4.3.1 WebMGA 2.0 Implementation


WebMGA 2.0 implements the following molecule shapes:



(a)

(b)

Figure 4.3: Axes in WebMGA 3.0



(a) Old model

(b) Old wireframe

Figure 4.4: Cylinder

- Sphere (Figure 4.10)
- Ellipsoid (Figure 4.11)
- Spherocylinder (Figure 4.19)
- Spheroplatelet (Figure 4.12)
- Cut Sphere (Figure 4.14, implemented as a double cut sphere)
- Cylinder (Figure 4.4)
- Torus (Figure 4.5)


Notably missing but useful are the single cut sphere, the spherical cap, and the lens. The cylinder and torus shapes are present since the three.js library provides easily callable predefined meshes, however serve little practical purpose since no realistic molecular configuration would model using these.

### 4.3.2 WebMGA 2.0 Bugs

### 4.3.3 Improvement Goals


- Problem

- Fix




(a) Old model (b) Old wireframe

Figure 4.5: Torus



(a) WebMGA 2.0 (b) WebMGA 2.0 (c) WebMGA 2.0 (d) WebMGA 2.0  
 high detail shape      high detail mesh      medium detail shape      medium detail mesh



(e) WebMGA 2.0 low detail shape (f) WebMGA 2.0 low detail mesh

Figure 4.6: Bad spheocylinder mesh generated by WebMGA 2.0







Figure 4.7: Notably higher mesh quality vertically for double cut sphere with WebMGA 2.0




(a) WebMGA 2.0 Shape



(b) WebMGA 2.0 Wireframe



(c) WebMGA 3.0 Shape



(d) WebMGA 3.0 Wireframe

Figure 4.8: Buggy shape representation when double cut sphere has 0 height

#### 4.3.4 WebMGA 3.0 Implementation

##### Sphere

Key to the new shape implementations is the implementation for the sphere (Figure 4.10). The sphere mesh is generated by sampling points across the sphere's surface in such a way as to split it into a finite number of flat, triangular sub-faces as shown in Figure 4.9. This sampling is performed with the spherical coordinates system for some sphere radius  $r$ , azimuthal angles  $\theta$ , and polar angles  $\phi$ , converted to an equivalent Cartesian form. A point in spherical coordinate space is denoted  $\mathbf{r}_s$ , while a point in Cartesian space is denoted  $\mathbf{r}_C$ ,

$$\mathbf{r}_s = \begin{pmatrix} r \\ \phi \\ \theta \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.3)$$

$$\mathbf{r}_C = \begin{pmatrix} r \sin \phi \cos \theta \\ r \sin \phi \sin \theta \\ r \cos \phi \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.4)$$

Any unique point on the origin centred  $r$  sphere can be uniquely defined by some  $(\theta, \phi)$  pair. Therefore, to evenly space points across the surface, a set of  $\theta$ s and  $\phi$ s is generated by taking  $n$  (essentially a measure of mesh quality) evenly spaced values over the interval of a full circular rotation ( $[0, 2\pi]$ ). Each unique pairing  $(\phi, \gamma)$ , along with  $r$ , is used to produce the full set of Cartesian vertices using Equation (4.4). This method is sufficient to produce a sphere mesh as in Figure 4.10a from WebMGA 2.0. The sampling is modified slightly for WebMGA 3.0 to produce a mesh as in Figure 4.10c by offsetting each row such that points on one row lie half way between a pair of points on the row above since it produces a slightly more visually satisfying mesh. The code was rewritten from scratch since most other shapes result from slight modifications to the sphere generation process, and the initial WebMGA 2.0 implementation was over-complicated and proved difficult to extend.

Some optimisations can be implemented to efficiently generate a full set of vertices while sampling only  $\frac{1}{4}$  of the points around the sphere's surface. This uses the fact that the origin centred sphere is symmetrical in each of the  $x$ ,  $y$ , and  $z$  planes. TODO FINISH THIS BIT

TODO FACE GENERATION

##### Ellipsoid

The ellipsoid shape (Figure 4.11) can be represented as an origin centred sphere of radius 1 scaled in the  $x, y$  and  $z$  directions by some scalar value in each direction. This can be represented by a slightly modified form of the Cartesian sphere equation in Equation (4.4), where  $\mathbf{S}$  denotes some scaling vector,

$$\mathbf{r}_e = \begin{pmatrix} s_x \times r \sin \phi \cos \theta \\ s_y r \sin \phi \sin \theta \\ s_z r \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{S} \odot \mathbf{r}_C \quad (4.5)$$

. From this formulation, it can be seen that an ellipsoid can be generated by slightly modifying




Figure 4.9: Example sphere vertex distribution ( $9 \times 10$  vertical  $\times$  horizontal samples). Some mesh edges shown to demonstrate mesh construction from vertices.




Figure 4.10: Sphere molecule mesh implementation.




Figure 4.11: Ellipsoid molecule mesh implementation.




Figure 4.12: Spheroplatelet molecule mesh implementation.

the vertex sampling process for a sphere, whilst leaving the rest of the mesh building process unchanged. A sphere point can be sampled using Equation (4.4) with radius 1 and then multiplied by the scaling vector  $(\mathbf{S}_x, \mathbf{S}_y, \mathbf{S}_z)^T$  to give an equivalent result to Equation (4.5).

In the program this is implemented by creating an “Ellipsoid” class as a child of the “Sphere” class and overriding the “sample\_sphere()” method. Since this implementation is so simple, the JavaScript code is provided below:

```
// Ellipsoid mesh generator
export class Ellipsoid extends Sphere {
    //Scale factor in [x, y, z] directions
    scale: number [];

    constructor(x: number, y: number, z: number) {
        //Derive from origin centred sphere of radius 1
        super(1);
        this.scale = [x, y, z];
    }


    //Samples from ellipsoid instead of sphere
    sample_sphere(radius: number, theta: number, phi: number): number[] {
        //Multiply origin centred sphere coordinates by scale vector
        return math.dotMultiply(super.sample_sphere(radius, theta, phi), this.scale);
    }
}
```

## Spheroplatelet

### Cut Sphere

The cut sphere shape (Figure 4.13) is implemented simply by sampling the sphere as before but over a reduced range of  $\phi$  values. Since the sphere will not be completed, an empty circular face is left which can be filled by generating an additional vertex by averaging the coordinates for each vertex on the circle’s edge, splitting it into triangles. This can be observed on Figure 4.13b.

The new range of  $\phi$ s can be defined as  $[\arcsin \frac{c}{r}, \pi]$ , where  $c$  is the radius of the circle resulting from the cut and  $r$  is the radius of the sphere. TODO THIS SHOULD HAVE A DIAGRAM AND BE ELABORATED ON IMPLEMENTATION



(a) New model (b) New wireframe

Figure 4.13: Cut sphere




Figure 4.14: Double cut sphere molecule mesh implementation.

## Double Cut Sphere


The double cut sphere shape (Figure 4.14) is implemented simply by sampling the sphere as before but over a reduced range of  $\phi$  values. Since the sphere will not be completed, two empty circular faces are left which can be filled by generating two additional vertices by averaging the coordinates for each vertex on the corresponding circle's edge, splitting it into triangles. This can be observed on Figure 4.14d.

The new range of  $\phi$ s can be defined as  $[\arcsin \frac{c}{r}, \pi - \arcsin \frac{c}{r})$ , where  $c$  is the radius of the circle resulting from the cut and  $r$  is the radius of the sphere. TODO THIS SHOULD HAVE A DIAGRAM AND BE ELABORATED ON IMPLEMENTATION

Cap


The cap shape (Figure 4.15) is implemented simply by sampling the sphere as before but over a reduced range of  $\phi$  values. Since the sphere will not be completed, an empty circular face is left which can be filled by generating an additional vertex by averaging the coordinates for each vertex on the circle's edge, splitting it into triangles. This can be observed on Figure 4.15b.

The new range of  $\phi$ s can be defined as  $[0, \arcsin \frac{c}{r})$ , where  $c$  is the radius of the circle resulting from the cut and  $r$  is the radius of the sphere. TODO THIS SHOULD HAVE A DIAGRAM AND BE ELABORATED ON IMPLEMENTATION




(a) New model (b) New wireframe

Figure 4.15: Cap



(a) New model      (b) New wireframe

Figure 4.16: Lens



(a) Image by Giorgio Cinacchi. (b) Recreation with QMGA. (c) Recreation with WebMGA.

Figure 4.17: Lens setup required by Giorgio Cinacchi.

## Lens

### Base Lens

### Thick Lens

### Cinacchi Lens

During development, some sample configurations requiring the lens molecule shape were provided by Giorgio Cinacchi. For these configurations, Cinacchi uses a specific lens parameterisation consisting of only a single  $r$  value,

$$\cos \theta = 1 - \frac{1}{2\pi r^2} \quad (4.6)$$

$$\theta = \arccos \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2\pi r^2} \right). \quad (4.7)$$

This produces an infinitely thin lens with some aperture angle dependent on the radius. The Cinacchi lens is implemented simply as a parameterisation of the base lens in Section 4.3.4 where the two radii are both  $r$  and angle derived from Equation (4.7).

A screenshot produced using QMGA was provided by Cinacchi to assist in visually verifying the shape produced. This is shown in Figure 4.17a. A recreation was produced in QMGA as shown in Figure 4.17b, then WebMGA as shown in Figure 4.17c. This appears to verify a correct implementation.

## Biconvex Lens

### Spherocylinder

The spherocylinder shape (Figure 4.19) can be represented as an origin centred sphere of radius  $r$  scaled in the  $z$  directions by (half of) some length value in each  $z$  direction (positive/negative). This can be represented by a slightly modified form of the Cartesian sphere equation in Equation (4.4),

$$\mathbf{r}_c = \begin{pmatrix} r \sin \phi \cos \theta \\ r \sin \phi \sin \theta \\ r \cos \theta + n \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{r}_C + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ n \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.8)$$

$$n = \begin{cases} \frac{\text{length}}{2} & \text{if } r \cos \theta > 0 \\ -\frac{\text{length}}{2} & \text{if } r \cos \theta < 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (4.9)$$

**Initial Attempt** From Equations (4.8) and (4.9), it can be seen that a spherocylinder can be approximated by slightly modifying the vertex sampling process for a sphere, whilst leaving the rest of the mesh building process unchanged. A sphere point can be sampled using Equation (4.4) with radius  $r$  and added to the scaling vector  $(0, 0, n)^T$  as defined in Equation (4.9) to give an equivalent result to Equation (4.8).


In the program this was implemented by creating a “Spherocylinder” class as a child of the “Sphere” class and overriding the “sample\_sphere()” method. Since this implementation is so simple, the JavaScript code is provided below:

```
//Spherocylinder mesh generator
export class Spherocylinder extends Sphere {
    //Scaling vector (either side of centre) to stretch sphere into spherocylinder ([0, 0, length / 2])
    length_scaling_vector: number[];

    constructor(radius: number, length: number) {
        //Derive from origin centred sphere of chosen radius
        super(radius);
        this.length_scaling_vector = [0, 0, length / 2];
    }

    //Samples from spherocylinder instead of sphere
    sample_sphere(radius: number, theta: number, phi: number, epsilon: number = 1e-15): number[] {
        let sphere_coordinate: number[] = super.sample_sphere(radius, theta, phi);
        //Stretch point in z direction by scale vector, matching stretch direction to sign of original vertex z
        //Unchanged if z is (approximately) 0
        if (Math.abs(sphere_coordinate[2]) < epsilon) {
        } else if (sphere_coordinate[2] > 0) {
            sphere_coordinate = math.add(sphere_coordinate, this.length_scaling_vector);
        } else if (sphere_coordinate[2] < 0) {
            sphere_coordinate = math.subtract(sphere_coordinate, this.length_scaling_vector);
        }
        return sphere_coordinate;
    }
}
```

Unfortunately, this process produced visually unsatisfying results with the sides of the spherocylinder visibly tapering, particularly with low detail meshes. This can be seen in Figure 4.18.



(a) Low mesh density. (b) Medium mesh density. (c) High mesh density.

Figure 4.18: Initial spherocylinder implementation. Visible tapering can be observed, particularly with low mesh density.

After producing the biconvex lens (Section 4.3.4), an alternate, much simpler solution became apparent which avoided this issue.

**Second Attempt** A spherocylinder can also be considered a special case of the biconvex lens. A biconvex lens with no separation and aperture angle  $\frac{\pi}{2}$  produces a sphere with the given radius  $r$ . Increasing the separation parameter causes the two hemispheres to move apart such that a spherocylinder is produced. The spherocylinder can therefore simply be considered a special case of the biconvex lens with aperture angle  $\frac{\pi}{2}$ , and can be implemented entirely through class inheritance as shown:

```
//Spherocylinder mesh generator
export class Spherocylinder extends BiconvexLens {
    constructor(radius: number, length: number) {
        super(radius, Math.PI / 2, length);
    }
}
```

This produced the result shown in Figure 4.19.

#### 4.3.5 WebMGA 3.0 Bugs

TODO




Figure 4.19: Spherocylinder molecule mesh implementation.

## 4.4 File types

### 4.4.1 WebMGA 2.0 Implementation

WebMGA 2.0 supports only its own JSON-based file format as defined by Battistini. This could prove an obstacle to users since, in practice, different formats are output when running molecular dynamics simulations (CITE!!).

### 4.4.2 WebMGA 2.0 Bugs

TODO

### 4.4.3 WebMGA 3.0 Implementation

WebMGA 3.0 implements two new file formats for defining molecular configurations as defined below.

#### CNF (LAMMPS) Format (.cnf)

LAMMPS is a molecular dynamics simulator typically used on highly parallel computers[12]. It uses a specifically designed file format to represent molecular configurations to allow the highest possible performance while preserving some amount of human readability.

Table 4.1 shows the structure of a file of this format. Rows represent lines in the file. Each value is represented by a signed float of format  $-1.000000$ , where digits before the decimal are omitted if not present. Values are separated by spaces, padded to align decimal points.

For WebMGA 3.0, a parser script was written in JavaScript which builds a WebMGA JSON configuration from the “.cnf” file provided. Specifically, a unit box is constructed from  $(lx, ly, lz)$ , and molecule positions and orientations are obtained from corresponding pairs of  $((rx, ry, rz), (ex, ey, ez))$  for some molecule id. All other parameters are dropped since they aren’t used by WebMGA. Molecules are ordered in an array according to their id.

Molecule count												
Unit box X length ( $lx$ )												
Unit box Y length ( $ly$ )												
Unit box Z length ( $lz$ )												
Not used	Not used											
Position ( $rx$ )	Position ( $ry$ )	Position ( $rz$ )	Velocity ( $vx$ )	Velocity ( $vy$ )	Velocity ( $vez$ )	Orientation ( $ex$ )	Orientation ( $ey$ )	Orientation ( $ez$ )	Ortientational velocity ( $ux$ )	Ortientational velocity ( $uy$ )	Ortientational velocity ( $uz$ )	Molecule ID
:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:

Table 4.1: CNF format molecule configuration.

Unit box X half length ( $lx/2$ )	Unit box Y half length ( $ly/2$ )	Unit box Z half length ( $lz/2$ )									
Shape parameter	Position X ( $rx$ )	Position Y ( $ry$ )	Position Z ( $rz$ )	Orientation X ( $ex$ )	Orientation Y ( $ey$ )	Orientation Z ( $ez$ )					
:	:	:	:	:	:	:					

Table 4.2: Cinacchi format molecule configuration.

### Cinacchi Format (.qmga)

TODO WRITE THIS TODO CHECK LETTERS USED FOR ROTATION ETC

See Table 4.2 for the structure of a file of this format. Rows represent lines in the file. Each value is represented by a signed float of format  $-1.00000000$ , where digits before the decimal are omitted if not present. Values are separated by spaces, padded to align decimal points.

For WebMGA 3.0, a parser script was written in JavaScript which builds a WebMGA JSON configuration from the “.qmga” file provided. Specifically, a unit box is constructed from  $(lx, ly, lz)$ , and molecule positions and orientations are obtained from corresponding pairs of  $((rx, ry, rz), (ex, ey, ez))$ . The shape parameter is dropped since molecule shape is not defined by the file. Molecules are ordered in an array as they are encountered.

#### 4.4.4 WebMGA 3.0 Bugs

WebMGA ignores the shape parameter from the “.qmga” format configuration. Since some shapes in WebMGA require multiple parameters, and the shape to use is not defined within the file, I could not see a sensible way to automate applying this. The user must manually enter this value after selecting a molecule shape in the “Models” menu. This is not ideal since a user should expect their configuration to appear correctly as soon as they load the file.

### 4.5 Periodic Repetition (TODO RENAME THIS?)

#### 4.5.1 Improvement Goals

A description for periodic boundary conditions is given in Section 2.2.2 regarding how a small simulation box simulates a subset of an infinite lattice. It may be useful to visualise a larger subset of this infinite lattice by repeating the simulation box a number of times. Additionally, the capability of repeating a smaller system is useful for producing a realistic, much larger configuration for testing the performance of WebMGA with increased molecule counts due to the lack of availability of real test configurations of such sizes.

#### 4.5.2 WebMGA 3.0 Implementation

A few modifications needed to be made to implement this feature.




Figure 4.20: Demonstration of periodic repetition of a configuration, labelled with repetition parameter of format  $(x, y, z)$ .

First, the “reference” tab in the side menu was modified to include inputs for the repeat count in the ‘x’ ‘y’ and ‘z’ directions. With a value of zero, there should be only a single instance of the configuration along the corresponding axis. Setting to one adds a repeat in the positive and negative direction along the axis, with bounding box faces touching (i.e. with a value of 0, there will be 1 instance of the configuration, with 1 there will be 3 instances, 2 there will be 5 instances etc.). When multiple directions have a value larger than 0, the configurations are repeated such that a single large box is produced (i.e. it is ensured there are no gaps, for example a configuration of  $(1, 1, 1)$  will give a box of dimensions  $3 \times 3 \times 3$  with 27 total instances of the initial configuration).

Repetition of the configuration was implemented by changing TODO FINISH THIS

#### 4.5.3 WebMGA 3.0 Bugs

TODO

### 4.6 Optimisations

#### 4.6.1 WebMGA 2.0 Implementation

TODO

#### 4.6.2 WebMGA 2.0 Bugs

TODO

#### 4.6.3 WebMGA 3.0 Implementation

##### Discrete Levels of Detail

Performance analysis for this optimisation is discussed in Section 5.1.




Figure 4.21: Model complexity is decreased at subjectively chosen camera distance thresholds with minimal visible loss in quality.




Figure 4.22: Demonstration of decreased mesh quality for distant object. “Level of Detail” setting has been reduced below default for a more visible geometry reduction.

#### 4.6.4 WebMGA 3.0 Bugs

TODO

# Chapter 5

## Analysis and Testing

### 5.1 Level of Detail Performance

### 5.2 Configuration Visualisations

LOD Enabled	Mesh Quality	Repeats	Distant Framerate (7)	Nearby Framerate (50)	Nearest Framerate (100)
True	High	(0, 0, 0)	48.6	26.4	22.2
False	High	(0, 0, 0)	2.4	1.2	0.6
True	Default	(0, 0, 0)	36.6	27.6	21.0
False	Default	(0, 0, 0)	14.4	4.8	1.2
True	Low	(0, 0, 0)	32.4	28.2	22.8
False	Low	(0, 0, 0)	16.2	8.4	3.0
True	High	(1, 1, 1)	9.64	8.43	10.54
False	High	(1, 1, 1)	0.86	1.87	3.97
True	Default	(1, 1, 1)			
False	Default	(1, 1, 1)			
True	Low	(1, 1, 1)			
False	Low	(1, 1, 1)			

Table 5.1: TODO TITLE. MENTION THERMAL THROTTLING ISSUES

# **Chapter 6**

## **Conclusions and Evaluation**

### **6.1 Achievements**

Summarise the achievements to confirm the project goals have been met.

### **6.2 Evaluation**

Evaluation of the work (this may be in a separate chapter if there is substantial evaluation).

### **6.3 Future Work**

### **6.4 Acknowledgments**

# Bibliography

- [1] Adrian T Gabriel, Timm Meyer, and Guido Germano. Molecular graphics of convex body fluids. *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation*, 4(3):468–476, 2008.
- [2] URL: <https://sourceforge.net/projects/qmga/files/qmga/>.
- [3] Juan Pedro Ramírez González and Giorgio Cinacchi. Densest-known packings and phase behavior of hard spherical capsids. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 159(4), 2023.
- [4] Valerio Mazzilli, Katsuhiko Satoh, and Giacomo Saielli. Phase behaviour of mixtures of charged soft disks and spheres. *Soft Matter*, 19(18):3311–3324, 2023.
- [5] Richard James, Eero Willman, FA FernandezFernandez, and Sally E Day. Finite-element modeling of liquid-crystal hydrodynamics with a variable degree of order. *IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices*, 53(7):1575–1582, 2006.
- [6] URL: <https://www.ee.ucl.ac.uk/~afernand/rjames/modelling/visualisation/>.
- [7] URL: <https://wiki.debian.org/qt3-x11-freeRemoval>.
- [8] Eduardo Battistini. Webmga, 2021. URL: <https://students.cs.ucl.ac.uk/2019/group3/WebMGA/diss.pdf>.
- [9] Michael P Allen and Dominic J Tildesley. *Computer simulation of liquids*. Oxford university press, 2017.
- [10] Ronald Y Dong and Ronald Y Dong. Orientational order. *Nuclear Magnetic Resonance of Liquid Crystals*:53–89, 1997.
- [11] Weidong Wu, Joseph Owino, Ahmed Al-Ostaz, and Liguang Cai. Applying periodic boundary conditions in finite element analysis. In *SIMULIA community conference, Providence*, pages 707–719, 2014.
- [12] Aidan P Thompson, H Metin Aktulga, Richard Berger, Dan S Bolintineanu, W Michael Brown, Paul S Crozier, Pieter J In't Veld, Axel Kohlmeyer, Stan G Moore, Trung Dac Nguyen, et al. Lammps-a flexible simulation tool for particle-based materials modeling at the atomic, meso, and continuum scales. *Computer Physics Communications*, 271:108171, 2022.

## Appendix A

## Appendices