

Question 5

a)

1. Base case: when $n = 1$, $n^3 + 2n = 1 + 2 = 3$, which is a multiplier of 3, which is tantamount to saying 3 dividing $n^3 + 2n$ leaves no remainder. We see the statement holds true when $n = 1$.
2. Inductive step: we assume that $(n-1)^3 + 2(n-1)$ is a multiplier of 3, for all $n \geq 2$. Toward this goal we see that $n^3 + 2n = (n-1)^3 + 2(n-1) + (3n^2 - 3n + 3)$, since the first part before the parentheses is $n^3 - 3n^2 + 3n - 1 + 2n - 2$. The part inside the parentheses is a multiplier of 3, as it can be written in the form of $3(n^2 - n + 1)$. As n is a positive integer, $n^2 - n + 1$ is also an integer, making the entirety of the components making up $n^3 + 2n$ a multiplier of 3.

b)

1. Base case: with the smallest positive integer ≥ 2 , 2, it is a product of prime numbers by definition as its only divisors are 1 and itself.
2. Inductive hypothesis: every integer between 2 and $n-1$ is assumed to be a product of prime numbers. For any n , it must be either 1) a prime number itself, meaning it has no divisors other than 1 and itself, which makes it a product of prime numbers, or 2) a non-prime number, meaning it can be divided by two other integers, k_1 and k_2 (where neither k_1 nor k_2 is 1 or the non-prime number itself). Since the k_1 and k_2 must be smaller than n , and it is assumed all integers larger than 2 and smaller than n are products of prime numbers, n is also a product of prime numbers.