QL3.2 PRESERVE VIEWS AND LOCAL CHARACTER

INTENT:

Design the project in a way that maintains the local character of the community and does not have negative impacts on community views.

LEVELS OF ACHIEVEMENT

IMPROVED ENHANCED SUPERIOR CONSERVING RESTORATIVE (1) Understanding and balance. (3) Alignment with community (6) Community preservation and (11) Community connections and (14) Restoration of community Public view plan developed values. enhancement. collaboration. and character. and project adheres to existing As part of the stakeholder Public view plan implemented Project team assists local Owner seeks where appropriate to policies and regulations regarding community establish or enhance consultation process, project team with little to no deviation. improve the local character of the fit with local character. Take into identifies community values and Contract includes clauses on regulations, policies and standards natural landscape or urban fabric concerns regarding protection on view corridors, views from consideration the preservation of the preservation of high value through restorative action as part natural landscape features. Balance and enhancement. Based on landscapes and landscape public/open spaces, views of of the project. This may include the need for safety measures evaluations, the project team features. This includes the handling features associated with community removing barriers, structures, and barriers against desire for submits a plan for how views will be of on-site trees, vegetation, and identity or natural features. Fit or vegetation to restore views; protection or enhancement of other features. Stated penalties protected and enhanced, important with local character is considered restoring lost or damaged natural natural landscape or community views. (A, B, C) for non-compliance and programs key aspect of the project and landscape features; and designing features are preserved and the to inspect outcomes and enforce. alternatives are developed and project to restore lost character overall placement in landscape Project implements significant implemented in collaboration features within the community. (A, or urban context considered. measures to fit with local character with community stakeholders. B, C, D, E, F) Significant efforts in siting project Aesthetic quality of the project either natural or man-made. (A, B, beyond regulations considered. (A, C, D, E) and design and construction to preserve landscape features. (A, B, B, C, D) C, D, E, F)

DESCRIPTION

It is important that a project's design should reflect its context. This includes both preserving views and fitting in with local character. The criteria may change depending on the context but the goals remain the same. For example, in a rural setting the project may need to be sensitive to views of natural landscapes and prominent features. Design features can fit with local character by reflecting the importance of the natural surroundings. In urban settings projects should likewise seek to maintain important view corridors, avoid blocking views from previous development. It should also seek to fit with the local urban character reflecting traditional streetscapes, materials choices, height limitations, etc. In fulfilling this credit project teams should minimize the impact on natural or community features, including rock formations, cutting of trees and other vegetation. Designs should take into account either the natural or urban local character in terms of landform or levels, materials, plantings, style/detailing, scale, landscape/townscape, etc. Special consideration should be given to identify, and prevent negative impacts to views. Designs should be in accordance with community goals and plans to protect view corridors, views from public or open spaces, and views of features strongly associated with the identity of the city or community.

ADVANCING TO HIGHER ACHIEVEMENT LEVELS

Benchmark: The project team has some limited consideration of local landscape or urban character, but only to ensure that the project will not be a disharmonious imposition on the local landscape. No consideration is given to of views or view corridors, unless required. The project team achieves minimum compliance

with laws and regulations for adverse impact on landscape features, and for any protected features, trees etc.

Performance improvement: Shift from minimizing impacts to preservation and restoration. Expand planning to be more comprehensive, taking stakeholder input into account.

EVALUATION CRITERIA AND DOCUMENTATION

- A. To what extent has the project team demonstrated an understanding of local character of the project setting, in terms of landform or levels, views, natural landscape features, materials, planting, style/detailing, scale, and landscape/townscape pattern?
 - 1. Plans, drawings, and reports identifying important elements of the site character including landform or levels, views, natural landscape features, materials, planting, style/detailing, scale, and landscape/townscape pattern.
 - 2. Existing policies and regulations regarding public views and design guidelines relevant to the project.
- B. Has the project team developed or adopted existing public view plans and design guidelines to preserve important view sheds and local character?
 - 1. An inventory of all natural landscape features to be protected.
 - 2. An inventory of all view resources to be protected.
 - 3. A plan for addressing public views in the project design. Plans should include: identification and location of the areas to be protected, identifying

11 POINTS

QUALITY OF LIFE



METRIC:

Thoroughness of efforts to identify important community views and aspects of local landscape, including communities, and incorporate them into the project design.

- compatible land use, setting development standards, and establishing policies for inappropriate development and land use.
- 4. Design guidelines written for the project to preserve public views, important natural landscape features, and generally fit with the local character and context of its surroundings whether urban or rural.
- C. To what extent does the final design address views and local character?
 - 1. Reports, drawings, plans, or images demonstrating how the final project design addresses each of the identified views, natural landscape features, and elements of local character.
- D. To what extent has the project team worked with local official, communities, and decision makers?
 - 1. Reports, memoranda, minutes of meetings with local officials and decision-makers regarding local policies and regulations.
 - Reports, memoranda, minutes of meetings with local officials and decisionmakers to identify views, natural landscape features, and important local character traits.
 - 3. Reports, memoranda, minutes of meetings with local officials and decision-makers demonstrating their involvement in developing design guidelines or their approval of the final design guidelines for views and fit with local character.

- E. Does the contract include clauses on the preservation of high value landscapes and landscape features, including stated penalties for non-compliance and programs to inspect outcomes and enforce?
 - Contract clauses regarding the preservation of high value landscapes and landscape features.
 - 2. Penalties for non-compliance.
 - 3. Programs for monitoring and enforcement
- F. Has the project team aided local communities in developing or improving local policies and regulations regarding views and fit with local character for future projects?
 - Report documenting any efforts to aid local communities in developing more comprehensive policies and regulations regarding views and fit with local character.

SOURCES

- CEEQUAL Assessment Manual for Projects Version 4, December 2008, Roger K. Venables, Sections 3.1.4, 3.2.
- Greenroads Manual, v1.5, 2011, Access & Equity, AE-8 Scenic Views