Silent pessimists - researchers' perceptions of malaria eradication and implications for health spending

Joe Brew*,
a,c, Menno Pradhand,e, Jacqueline Broersed, Elisa Sicuri
a,b,c

^a Barcelona Institute for Global Health, c/Rosselló, 132, 5è 2a. 08036, Barcelona, Spain
^b Imperial College London, South Kensington Campus, London SW7 2AZ, U.K.

^c Centro de Investigação em Saúde de Manhiça, Vila da Manhiça, Bairro Cambeve, Rua 12, Distrito da Manhiça, CP 1929, Maputo, Mozambique

^dFaculty of Economics and Business Administration, VU University Amsterdam, De Boelelaan 1105, 1081 HV Amsterdam, Netherlands

^e Faculty of Economics and Business, Section Microeconomics, University of Amsterdam, REC E, Roetersstraat 11, Amsterdam, Netherlands

Abstract

Quantifying an event's probability and time frame is essential for calculating its expected value. In the case of global malaria eradication, uncertainty regarding feasibility makes it difficult for policymakers and public health practitioners to make fully informed decisions. The opportunity cost of investments in eradication-specific interventions can be high, particularly in contexts with other urgent health priorities. In a systematic survey of malaria researchers, we query perceptions regarding the likelihood and time frame of eradication, as well as the perceived chief areas needed for improvement in order for eradication to be achieved. We assess pessimism/optimism (via the proxy of years-to-eradication), broken down by area of expertise. Our results, which suggest a disconnect between public institutional discourse and private opinion, serve as a barometer of professional perspectives, and serve to highlight areas where attention is most needed for eradication to be achieved.

^{*}Corresponding Author

Email addresses: joebrew@economicsofmalaria.com (Joe Brew), m.p.pradhan@vu.nl (Menno Pradhan), j.e.w.broerse@vu.nl (Jacqueline Broerse), elisa.sicuri@isglobal.org (Elisa Sicuri)