#### A Sample UBC Thesis

#### With a Subtitle

by

William Joseph Gaudet

B.ScEng, The University of New Brunswick, 2008

### A THESIS SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF

#### MASTERS OF APPLIED SCIENCE

in

The Faculty of Electrical and Computer Engineering (Engineering)

#### THE UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

(Vancouver)

June 2011

© William Joseph Gaudet 2011

#### Abstract

Today the average north american carries a computer several orders of magnitude more powerful than the computer used to guide the Apollo space craft to the moon and safely back. However, with this dramatic increase in both ubiquity and power of personal mobile computing, has come a host of applications that require significantly more computational power than is available in today's smart phones. Additionally, battery life of mobile devices is still a significantly limiting factor when doing large quantities of computation on mobile platforms.

With these factors in mind this research investigates the gains in performance, quality of computation, and battery life which can be made possible by real time offloading of computation from a mobile device to a server platform.

Furthermore, it details the design and implementation of a framework for offloading computation from mobile devices which has at its core the goal of limiting the cognitive overhead for the developer to conduct such an offload.

## Table of Contents

A۱	bstra	e <b>t</b> i	ii
Ta	able	f Contents ii	ii
Li	st of	Tables	V
Li	st of	Figures	ri
Li	$\operatorname{st}$ of	Programs	ii
A	ckno	eledgements vi	ii
D	edica	ion	X
1	Intr	oduction	1
2	Bac	ground	2
3	Lite	ature Review	3
4	4.1	Overview	4
	4.2	0	4 4
			$\frac{4}{4}$
			$\frac{1}{7}$
	4.3		7
		•	7
			7
	4.4		7
	4.5	Exercising the Framework	7
5	Exr	orimental Results	8

#### Table of Contents

6	6.1	Summary of Contribution	 9
$\mathbf{A}_{]}$		adices	
Fi	rst A	Appendix	10
$\mathbf{Se}$	cond	Appendix	 12

### List of Tables

1 Table of field sizes					. 13
------------------------	--	--	--	--	------

# List of Figures

4.1	The data	outline	for	the	serialized	data													(	6
-----	----------	---------	-----	-----	------------	------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---	---

# List of Programs

# Acknowledgements

### Dedication

### Introduction

# Background

### Literature Review

#### Design and Modelling

- 4.1 Overview
- 4.2 A Framework for Data Migration
- 4.2.1 Remote Method Invocation
- 4.2.2 Data Serialization

When a dynamic proxy object receives a method invocation that has been tagged as remotable, if the framework has determined that the request should be executed remotely, the proxied object must first be serialized before it can be shipped to the server. The proxied object can take on one of two forms: a simple object composed only of primitive data types (integers, floating point numbers, booleans etc) or a complex object graph composed of zero or more primitive types and one or more references to other objects. These other objects may in turn also contain references to additional objects both complex and simple as well as cyclical references between objects.

Given the cost associated with object serialization both in terms of computation (due to memory allocation and object graph graph traversal) and in terms of communications bandwidth the task of the object serializer becomes two fold. Most importantly it must correctly serialize the object graph preserving any and all object references (including circular ones); additionally the serializer must attempt to minimize the size of the transmitted object graph.

This is primarily done by the encapsulation of an object's fields in container object which implements the RemotableField; T<sub>i</sub> interface. [CODE LISTING] The interface exposes several values about the encapsulated object all of which are required to correctly and efficiently (in both space and time) serialize the object graph, the more relevant methods to the serialization process are the following:

• int size() - Returns the size in bytes required to serialize this field (in the implemented case of a RemoteFieldObject this is 4 bytes for the

reference to the object)

- ¡T¿ get() Returns the object which is encapsulated in this field, in the case of a primitive value it is wrapped in it's Java object container type (Integer for int) etc, due to performance issue with the autoboxing system [CITATION NEEDED] where T is either a primitive data type or an implementation of RemoteObject
- boolean isDirty() Returns true if this object has had it's value set since initialization or last serialization this is used to minimize the amount of data that must be transmitted to the remote server.
- $\bullet$ void Serialize (Byte<br/>Buffer) - Serializes the field to the provided Byte-Buffer
- void Deserialize(ByteBuffer) Deserializes the field from the provided ByteBuffer
- FieldVisitor acceptVisitor(FieldVisitor) Accepts the field visitors which are used to conduct a variety of tasks in the graph serialization process.

#### The Serialization Process

The object serialization process is a modified depth first search. In effect the process visits every node in the graph twice, once to compute the overall size required to encode the graph as a binary representation, and a second time to perform the serialization it self. [This is a potential future work situation, some of this could probably be improved].

When a object in the graph is visited by the size computation pass, each of the object's remoteable fields are interrogated for their serialization size—It should be noted that the fields for each object class are stored in a memoization cache to prevent recalculation of the classes' remoteable fields through the use of the Java reflection APIs which are not very performant [Maybe this should be a footnote probably needs a citation]. If the field encapsulates a primitive field it simply looks up the serialization cost for that field which is it's data size in addition to the field reference, however, if the field encapsulates a remotable object it either adds 8 bytes if it has been visited once before—the byte cost of referencing an object which has already been visited—or it adds 8 bytes plus the serialization cost of that object, which is computed immediately—A complete list of default data type serialization costs is shown in 1. The size cost of an object is computed by adding the length of the fully qualified class name

(eq: com.someCompany.somePackage.someClass) to the size of the serialized data including referenced object sizes to 8 bytes—4 for the entire schema size, 4 for the first object size.

On the second traversal of the object graph each object is serialized by calling each of it's field's serialize method. This method creates a binary representation of the data which can later be decoded to reproduce the same values—The put and get methods on the Java ByteBuffer class handle the serialization of primitive data types into their byte representations. A primitive field is serialized as a tuple of the hash value of the string representation of it's name and it's value. The hash value is used to reduce the size and complexity of the encoding. Given that a string of arbitrary length will have a unique hash code, this means that every field can be key value encoded with an integer for a key. This means the field can be encoded as the length of the field representation (including the length of the length), the hash code of the field name, and the value of the field. ObjectFields—that is fields which reference remote objects—are represented as a length, field name hash code, and the hash code of the object they reference, the object it self is added to a queue of objects to be serialized. The serialized object representation after the serialization has completed is shown in Figure 4.1

schema length (4 bytes)		
length (4 bytes)	className	e (n bytes)
length (4 bytes)	fieldNameHash (4 bytes)	value (length - 8 bytes)
length (4 bytes)	fieldNameHash (4 bytes)	value (length - 8 bytes)
length (4 bytes)	fieldNameHash (4 bytes)	value (length - 8 bytes)
length (4 bytes)	fieldNameHash (4 bytes)	value (length - 8 bytes)
length (4 bytes)	fieldNameHash (4 bytes)	value (length - 8 bytes)

Figure 4.1: The data outline for the serialized data

- 4.2.3 Result Serialization
- 4.3 Programmer Responsibilities
- 4.3.1 Annotation
- 4.3.2 Parallelization
- 4.4 The Model
- ${\bf 4.5}\quad {\bf Exercising\ the\ Framework}$

## **Experimental Results**

### Conclusion

- 6.1 Summary of Contribution
- 6.2 Future Work

# First Appendix

Type	Data Size	Effect On Schema
byte or Byte	1	5
char or Character	2	6
short or Short	2	6
float, Float, int, or Integer	4	8
double, Double, long, or Long	8	12
byte[length]	1 * length	1 * length + 4
char[length]	2 * length	2 * length + 4
float[length] or int[length]	4 * length	4 * length + 4
double[length] or long[length]	8 * length	8* length + 4
object (not visited)	object.size()	object.size() + 8
object (visited)	object.size()	8

Table 1: Table of field sizes

# Second Appendix

Here is the second appendix.