

Rough Draft (To Be input into LaTeX)Problem 4:

1.) For each  $i \in [1, 100]$ ,  $\Pr(Y_i = y_i | \theta) = \theta^{y_i} (1 - \theta)^{1 - y_i}$   
 Since  $Y_i$  binary random variables.

— Then, with the assumption of conditional independence of the  $Y_i$  on  $\theta$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(Y_1 = y_1, \dots, Y_{100} = y_{100} | \theta) &= \prod_{i=1}^{100} \Pr(Y_i = y_i | \theta) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{100} \theta^{y_i} (1 - \theta)^{1 - y_i} \\ &= \theta^{\sum_{i=1}^{100} y_i} (1 - \theta)^{100 - \sum_{i=1}^{100} y_i} \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\Pr(\sum_{i=1}^{100} Y_i = y | \theta)$  is the probability that the sum

of the binary random variables is equal to  $y$ , where the sum of  $y$  of 100 binary random variables can be achieved in  $\binom{100}{y}$  distinct ways.

Thus,  $\Pr(\sum_{i=1}^{100} Y_i = y | \theta) = \binom{100}{y} \theta^y (1 - \theta)^{100 - y}$  □

## 2.) (Problem 4)

\* Compute  $\Pr(\sum_{i=1}^{100} y_i = 57 | \theta)$  for each  $\theta$ .

\* Refer to R Code for computation of  $\Pr(\sum_{i=1}^{100} y_i = 57 | \theta)$  for each  $\theta$ .

- From part 1 we know that  $\Pr(\sum_{i=1}^{100} y_i = 57 | \theta) = \binom{100}{57} \theta^{57} (1-\theta)^{43}$

$\theta$	$\Pr(\sum_{i=1}^{100} y_i = 57   \theta)$
0.0	0.00
0.1	$4.107157 \times 10^{-31}$
0.2	$3.738459 \times 10^{-16}$
0.3	$1.306895 \times 10^{-8}$
0.4	$2.285792 \times 10^{-4}$
0.5	$3.006864 \times 10^{-2}$
0.6	$6.67289 \times 10^{-2}$
0.7	$1.853172 \times 10^{-3}$
0.8	$1.003535 \times 10^{-7}$
0.9	$9.395858 \times 10^{-18}$
1.0	0.00

Plot B attached at end of document



(3) Since  $\Pr(\theta=0.0) = \Pr(\theta=0.1) = \dots = \Pr(\theta=1.0)$

Then  $\Pr(\theta=0.0) = \dots = \Pr(\theta=1.0) = \frac{1}{11}$

Since  $\theta \sim \text{Discrete}$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } p(\theta | \sum_{i=1}^{100} y_i = 57) &= \frac{\Pr(\sum_{i=1}^{100} y_i = 57 | \theta) \Pr(\theta)}{\Pr(\sum_{i=1}^{100} y_i = 57)} \\ &= \frac{\binom{100}{57} \theta^{57} (1-\theta)^{43} \left(\frac{1}{11}\right)}{\Pr(\sum_{i=1}^{100} y_i = 57)} \end{aligned}$$

\* Where  $\frac{1}{\Pr(\sum y_i = 57)}$  is just a normalization constant

$$\text{Then } \Pr(\sum y_i = 57) = \sum_{\theta} \binom{100}{57} \theta^{57} (1-\theta)^{43} \left(\frac{1}{11}\right)$$

From the R code, the values of  $p(\theta | \sum_{i=1}^{100} y_i = 57)$  were found to be.

$\theta$	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.3
$p(\theta   \sum y_i = 57)$	0.00	$4.153701 \times 10^{-30}$	$3.780824 \times 10^{-15}$	$1.321705 \times 10^{-7}$
	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7
	$2.311695 \times 10^{-3}$	$3.040939 \times 10^{-1}$	$6.749515 \times 10^{-01}$	$1.874172 \times 10^{-2}$
	0.8	0.9	1.0	
	$1.014907 \times 10^{-6}$	$9.502335 \times 10^{-17}$	0.00	

Plot attached.

(4.) See Plot and R code.

Here,

$$p(\theta | \sum y_i = 57) = \frac{\binom{100}{57} \theta^{57} (1-\theta)^{43} \times (1)}{\Pr(\sum y_i = 57)}$$

for  $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ .

Plot attached to document.

(5.) Posterior Distribution  $\propto$  Beta(58, 44)

Here, there is no normalizing constant since it Beta(58, 44) is the proportional distribution to the posterior.

See plot and R code attached.

Relationship: The plot for the sampling model in Part (2) the discrete type; indeed, it is a discrete distribution.

In Part (3) our prior was discrete, and our posterior was also discrete and was proportional to the distribution of the sampling model.

In parts (4) and (5) our prior was continuous resulting in a continuous posterior, but remained proportional to the sampling model.



Problem 5: See R Code.

According to Hoff page 6, Chapter 1,

The contour plot suggests that "lower values  
of  $n_0$  are generally 90% or more certain

that"  $\theta > 0.5$ . — Reference: A First Course in  
Bayesian statistical  
methods.

Hoff, Chapter 1.