# Precedence Climbing Parsing based on Binding Powers and Token Insertion

# joekreu

# March 2022

The repository contains several demo implementations of iterative, recursive and mixed (iterative and recursive) expression parsers based on *binding powers*, precedence climbing and insertion of fake operands. Very few lines of Python code are enough for the core of a parser that creates a parse tree from operands and operators (prefix, infix, postfix) with virtually arbitrary binding powers.

The term precedence is used here in a generic sense: finding a particular parse tree for otherwise ambiguous expressions, based on some kind of specification oft the binding strengths of the operators. See the note at the end of section 3.1.

Python 3.8 or higher is required.

#### 1. Introduction

The expressions to be parsed can consist of atomic operands, binary infix operators, unary prefix and unary postfix operators. In the following example, & is a prefix operator, ! is a postfix operator, and >, \*, + are infix operators:

# (1) & a > 7 \* b ! + 2

Inserting 'fake operands' allows parsing unary operators as infix operators.

Note: The parsing algorithms presented here are much more powerful. Using straightforward extensions, parenthesized subexpression, function invocations and *mixfix* operators, such as if ... then ... else ..., can be parsed. Instead of simply fetching the next atomic operand from the token sequence, a whole *primary expression* can be parsed recursively.

Fake operators can be inserted to support parsing, in addition to fake operands.

On the other hand, restrictions for valid expressions could be implemented, for example, by disallowing some combinations of operators.

This should be investigated separately.

*Note:* Token insertion is also used in another sense in connection with parsing, namely for error recovery. This is not considered here.

Generally, precedence climbing parsing of expressions can be controlled in one of the following two ways (other ways might exist):

- 1. An operator has a *precedence* (in the specific sense, i.e., a number) and an *associativity* (one of the two values: *left* or *right*). In some settings, *none* can be a third possible value of *associativity* (associative use of operator is not allowed).
- 2. Binding powers: An infix operator has a left and a right binding power, denoted by lbp and rbp. Initially, prefix operators have only an rbp and postfix operator have only an lbp. Binding powers are numbers, typically integers. Binding powers indicate the strength of binding in the corresponding direction.

In simple situations, greater binding powers mean the same as higher precedence. Parsing based on binding powers can be more powerful, though. Precedence and associativity can be expressed by equivalent definitions of binding powers, but not always vice versa. In a way, the definition of general binding power based parsing is in the code of the parsers.

In this repository, parsing of expressions with infix, prefix, and postfix operators is reduced to the following simple scheme with n operators and n + 1 operands:

Here, AO, A1, ... are *atomic operands* and Op1, Op2, ... are *infix operators* with lbp and rbp. Under these conditions, exactly one parse result is found. The case n = 0 (one atomic operand, no operator) is included.

The parsing rules consist of the set of valid operators and their binding powers. The rules can be dynamically loaded, for example, from a csv-file or a JSON file.

Atomic operands (e.g., numbers and identifiers) consist of one token only.

The parser's job is to transform the sequence (\*\*) into a parse tree, taking into account the parsing rules.

For example, usually the operator \* has higher precedence (or greater binding powers) than the operator +, therefore the expression a + b \* c should be parsed as a + (b \* c), not as (a + b) \* c.

*Note:* The parentheses are used here only to indicate the precedence. The parsers in the repo can't process parenthesized subexpression. However, they can be extended to be able to.

An infix operator is *left associative* if consecutive occurrences of this operator are parsed left to right. The expression a + b + c is parsed as (a + b) + c, because + is left associative. The exponentiation operator  $\hat{}$  is right associative, therefore  $a \hat{} b \hat{} c$  is parsed as  $a \hat{} (b \hat{} c)$ .

An operator will be right associative if its rbp is less than its lbp, otherwise it will be left associative.

Unary operators do not fit directly into the scheme (\*\*). They get adjusted to the basic situation by inserting 'fake' operands and 'fake' binding powers. The left operand \$PRE is inserted before a prefix operator, and the right operand \$POST is inserted after a postfix operator. Furthermore, prefix operators are assigned a fake left binding power of 100, and postfix operators are assigned a fake right binding power of 100. This procedure virtually converts the unary operators to infix operators, with typically very different *lbp* and *rbp*. 'Normal' (user defined) binding powers are required to be less than 100.

By inserting fake operands, the expression (1) becomes

#### (2) PRE & a > 7 \* b ! POST + 2

Note: The fake tokens do not really need to be inserted. It is enough that the parser pretends that they are inserted. In fact, one of the ten parsers in this repo (pcp\_ir\_0\_no\_ins; see section 3.1) works like this. However, a real insertion, done by the tokenizer, greatly simplifies the parser's precedence climbing code, because it thereby only has to process infix expressions.

Binding powers smaller than 6 are also considered 'reserved'. For example, a negative lbp is assigned to the artificial \$END token (see section 2). The benefits of other small 'internal' binding powers become visible in more elaborate parsers. E.g., the *comma* can possibly be parsed as a *left associative infix operator* with small binding powers (e.g., with lbp = rbp = 5).

In summary, user defined binding powers should be integers in range 6 to 99. This does not seem to be a serious restriction. If required, the range could easily be extended.

The *lbp* and *rbp* values of a specific operator can be equal or differ by any number, as long as they are in this range. Binding powers of unary operators do not have to be greater than the binding powers of infix operators in the same expression.

The parsers return nested lists that represent parse trees. These lists can be formatted as Lisp-like *S-expressions*. For example, parsing 5 + 3 ! \* 4 will create the list [+, 5, [\*, [!, 3, \$POST], 4]]; formatted as an S-expression this is (+ 5 (\* (! 3 \$POST) 4)). Fake operands can easily be removed from the parse tree, so finally we get (+ 5 (\* (! 3) 4)).

# 1.1 Goals

The main goals of this project are

- 1. Find and compare demo implementations of precedence climbing algorithms based on binding powers. Encourage experimentation.
- 2. Use insertion of fake operands to facilitate parsing of unary operators.

- 3. Separate definition of parsing rules from the implementation of the parsers.
- 4. Better understand the meaning of precedence correct parsing.

#### 1.2 Limitations

Exploring the full potential of precedence climbing parsing based on binding powers and token insertion is not a goal of this project.

Context-free grammars, Backus normal form and the like are not considered.

The related *Pratt parsing* is not explicitly considered. See, however, section 5 (Acknowledgements and References).

The software does not contain evaluators of the parsed expressions.

# 2. Tokenization. Lexical syntax

In a first step, a *tokenizer* (*lexical scanner*) creates a sequence of *tokens* from the input. A token may consist of one or more characters.

Tokens must be separated by whitespace, or by transition from an alphanumeric to a special character or vice versa. A minus sign that is followed by a digit is considered alphanumeric. Also, the four characters \_, (, ), ; (underscore, left and right parenthesis, semicolon) are considered alphanumeric. This is because operators of type (23;12) or (10;\_) will be generated if a parser is run with option -r or -d (see subsections 3.2.1, 3.2.2).

Examples: 3\*4+5 is tokenized the same as 3\*4+5 (5 tokens). The input 5!\*7 is tokenized as 5!\*7 (3 tokens), while 5!\*7 tokenized as 5!\*7 (4 tokens); 4\*-2 is tokenized as 4\*-2 (3 tokens).

Operands should consist of alphanumeric characters, though this is not checked.

The tokenizers are also responsible for inserting the fake operands \$PRE and \$POST. In addition, a special \$BEGIN token is placed at the beginning, and an \$END token is placed at the end of the token sequence. \$BEGIN and \$END can act as a kind of *operators* in the process of parsing. In this context, a negative rbp is assigned to \$BEGIN and a negative lbp to \$END.

The complete token sequence generated by the tokenizer for the example (1) is

```
$BEGIN $PRE & a > 7 * b ! $POST + 2 $END
```

Note 1: With a 'real' tokenizer (usually based on regular expressions) the rules for separation of tokens (by whitespace or transition to another kind of characters) can be improved.

Note 2: Only the iterative parsers (see 3.1) reference the \$BEGIN token.

There are five tokenizers in this repository:

tokenizer\_a, tokenizer\_b, tokenizer\_c, tokenizer\_d, tokenizer\_e. The

standard is tokenizer\_a, the others are included mainly because of special requirements of some parsers. The tokenizers provide interfaces for the actual parsing.

# 3. Overview on the parsers. Notes on use

# 3.1 The individual parsers

There are ten parsers, in separate modules. Nine of them, which shall be called *basic parsers* here, share the same high-level interface:

- 1. pcp\_ir\_0 is based on iteration (loops) and recursion.
- 2. pcp\_ir\_0\_no\_ins is also based on iteration and recursion. Contrary to pcp\_ir\_0, and contrary to the general setting, it is not based on token insertion. Instead, special code takes care of prefix and postfix operators. In a way, the implementation pretends that the extra tokens are present.
- 3. pcp\_it\_0\_1w implements an iterative (kind of *shunting yard*) algorithm with one explicit stack for operands and operators, and one while loop.
- 4. pcp\_it\_0\_1wg uses a tokenizer that is implemented as a *generator* in the sense of Python programming, i.e., it uses the yield statement instead of return. It is similar to pcp\_it\_0\_1w.
- 5. pcp\_it\_0\_2w implements an iterative algorithm with two explicit stacks, one for operands and one for operators, and two nested while loops. After minor adjustments a *generator* as tokenizer could also be used here.
- 6. pcp\_rec\_0\_0 is recursive (without loops); otherwise, similar to pcp\_ir\_0.
- 7. pcp\_rec\_0\_1 is a recursive and more functional parser (in the sense of functional programming). It uses a Lisp-like singly linked list of tokens.
- 8. pcp\_rec\_0\_2 is a recursive and purely functional parser. The tokenizer for this parser and for pcp\_rec\_03 uses a singly linked list of tokens. Tokens are implemented as triples (tuples of length 3); operator tokens contain the binding powers as second and third component.
- 9. pcp\_rec\_03 is recursive and purely functional. Its parsing algorithm slightly differs from that of pcp re 0 2.

All these parsers accept the same operator definitions. They use functions from the module helpers.py, and they are meant to be run by the same test driver.

Analysis of the code and test results support this claim:

All basic parsers accept the same set of expressions and create identical results with identical input, provided they use identical operator and binding power definitions. In the parse process, they create subexpressions in the same order.

This should also justify the use of the generic term *precedence climbing*.

Note: The term precedence is used in both a generic sense and a specific sense. In the generic sense, it is about finding a particular parse tree for otherwise ambiguous expressions based on some kind of binding strengths of the operators. In the specific sense, precedence is a number assigned to an operator. The parser in this repo are precedence parsers in the generic sense, but they are not based on precedences in the specific sense.

The remaining parser, direct\_pcp\_ir\_0, uses the algorithm of pcp\_ir\_0 to parse some 'hard coded' examples. It is 'self-contained' (without dependencies).

#### 3.2 Usage of the parsers

Python 3.8 or later is required because the 'walrus'-operator := is used. Furthermore, the nonlocal keyword is used.

Place all the necessary files (see section 4) in the same directory.

The parser modules are not meant to be imported by other Python code. The code is not optimized for speed. There is only minimal error handling.

Run the parsers on the command line. For direct\_pcp\_ir\_0.py this is simply python3 direct\_pcp\_ir\_0.py

The rest of this section refers to the nine basic parsers (section 3.1).

The syntax definition is loaded from the file binding\_powers.json unless specified otherwise (see options -r and -d below). Edit the definitions in this file if desired.

A basic parser can be run by

python3 PARSER\_MODULE 'CODE'

where PARSER\_MODULE is one of the basic parser modules and CODE is the code to be parsed. Example:

```
python3 pcp_rec_0_0.py 'xx + 5 ! * n ^ 2'
```

Use the correct interpreter name (e.g., python instead of python3 if this is required). Enclose the code in single quotes (Linux) or double quotes (Windows?). Tokens are separated by whitespace, or by transition from an alphanumeric to a special character or vice versa. In this regard, the four characters \_, (, ),; are considered alphanumeric. A minus sign that is followed by a digit is also considered alphanumeric. Operands should be identifiers or integers (do not specify floating point numbers).

Use the option -h (with any basic parser) to find out all ways to run the parsers. There are several options that control the output - the output can be more verbose or more concise.

```
python3 pcp_ir_0.py -h
```

The output can, among others, contain a two-dimensional representation of the parse tree and indications of the *correctness* of the parsing. This is to facilitate experimentation.

Note: The terms correctness of parsing, root operator weight and range, that may occur in the output, are not defined here. Correctness is modelled after (but not identical to) the definition of this term by Annika Aasa in User Defined Syntax (1992) or Precedences in Specifications and Implementations of Programming Languages (1995).

The shorter call syntax ./PARSER\_MODULE 'CODE' may work, depending on the operating system and the shell. Set the *executable* flag of the parser module. Check the first line of the parser modules (the #!-line). An example for this call:

```
./pcp_it_0_1w.py '3 + 5! * 6^2'
```

#### 3.2.1 Randomly generated expressions (option -r)

The command

```
python3 PARSER_MODULE -r [ nop [ nbp [ lexpr ] ] ]
```

will parse a generated expression containing *lexpr* infix operators which are taken randomly from a collection of up to *nop* operators. The *lbp* and *rbp* values of the operators are taken randomly and independently, from the range 6 ... 6+nbp-1. Values that are not specified on the command line default to 6. The generated operators are of the form (lbp;rbp), where lbp and rbp are the binding powers. E.g., (6;8) is an operator with lbp=6, rbp=8. The operands are denoted by AO, A1, .... For example,

```
python3 pcp_it_0_2w.py -r 4 3
```

could create and parse the expression

```
AO (7;6) A1 (8;8) A2 (6;6) A3 (6;6) A4 (8;8) A5 (8;7 A6
```

with the operands A0, A1, ..., A6 and the operators (7;6), (8;8), (6;6), (6;6), (8;8), (8;7). There are three binding powers (6 to 8) and four different operators: (6;6), (7;6), (8;7), (8;8). The total number of operators is six which is the default for the unspecified lexpr value.

Obviously, results obtained with option -r are not reproducible.

# 3.2.2 Expressions with explicitly specified binding powers (option -d)

The command

```
python3 PARSER_MODULE -d lbp1 rbp1, lbp2 rbp2, ..., lbpn rbpn
```

will parse an expression with operators (lbp1;rbp1) to (lbpn;rbpn) and operands A0, ..., An, where lbpk, rbpk are the binding powers of the k-th operator. All binding powers should be in range 6 ... 99. For example,

```
python3 pcp_it_0_1w.py -d 7 8, 9 10
```

will create and parse the expression

```
A0 (7;8) A1 (9;10) A2
```

where (7;8) has 1bp=7 and rbp=8, and (9;10) has 1bp=9 and rbp=10.

Prefix and postfix operators are allowed. Use the help option (-h) for details.

#### 3.2.3 The bash test script run\_tests.sh

The bash shell script run\_tests.sh reads and parses test codes from the file basic\_tests.txt by the nine basic parsers. It should work on systems that support bash scripts. The script can be run without parameters:

```
./run_tests.sh
```

This will print detailed results. Run with option -q to get less verbose output:

```
./run tests.sh -q
```

# 4. Structure of the source files. Dependencies

The software is in the following files: Ten parser modules (see 3.1), the modules helpers.py and bintree.py, the JSON file binding\_powers.json (syntax), the shell script run\_tests.sh and the file basic\_tests.txt (test data).

Documentation is in this guide (PARSING.md), in README.md and in LICENSE.txt.

The parser modules are independent of each other. The basic parsers import functions and other definitions from the module helpers, e.g., the tokenizers and the test driver function run\_parser. The helpers module in turn imports the class FormatBinaryTree from module bintree.

The parser modules invoke the test driver, passing the parse function and the corresponding tokenizer as parameters.

The helpers module uses the following items from system modules: sys.argv, sys.executable, math.inf, os.path, collections.namedtuple, functools.reduce, random.randint, json.load.

Comments in the code and data files provide additional information.

#### 5. Acknowledgements and References

This project was inspired by works on precedence climbing and Pratt parsing by Theodore Norvell, Aleksey Kladov (matklad), Andy Chu, Eli Bendersky, Fredrik Lundh (effbot), Annika Aasa and others.

The correctness test and the definitions of operator ranges (see the functions \_is\_prec\_correct, \_lrange, \_rrange in the module helpers.py) are adapted from definitions by Annika Aasa ([1], [2]).

The computer algebra systems Maxima and (now historic) muMATH use Pratt parsers based on binding powers. The assignment operator in these systems has an lbp of 180 and an rbp of 20.

Here is an incomplete list of references.

- [1] Annika Aasa, Precedences in specifications and implementations of programming languages (1995),
- https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/82260562.pdf
- [2] Annika Aasa, *User defined syntax* (1992), http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/summary?doi=10.1.1.47.3542
- [3] Eli Bendersky,  $Parsing\ expressions\ by\ precedence\ climbing\ (2012)$ , https://eli.thegreenplace.net/2012/08/02/parsing-expressions-by-precedence-climbing (with Python code).
- [4] Jean-Marc Bourguet, Operator precedence parsers, https://github.com/bourguet/operator\_precedence\_parsing
- [5] Andy Chu, Pratt Parsing and Precedence Climbing Are the Same Algorithm (2016),

https://www.oilshell.org/blog/2016/11/01.html

- [6] Keith Clarke, The top-down parsing of expressions (1986), https://www.antlr.org/papers/Clarke-expr-parsing-1986.pdf
- [7] Robert Jacobson, Making a Pratt Parser Generator, https://www.robertjacobson.dev/designing-a-pratt-parser-generator
- [8] Aleksey Kladov (matklad), Simple but Powerful Pratt Parsing (2020), https://matklad.github.io/2020/04/13/simple-but-powerful-pratt-parsing.html
- [9] Aleksey Kladov (matklad), From Pratt to Dijkstra (2020), https://matklad.github.io/2020/04/15/from-pratt-to-dijkstra.html
- [10] Fredrik Lundh (effbot), Simple Top-Down Parsing in Python (2008)
- [11] Computer Algebra System Maxima, Maxima Manual, Version 5.45.0, https://maxima.sourceforge.io/docs/manual/maxima.pdf See especially section 7 (Operators).
- [12] Theodore S. Norvell, *Parsing Expressions by Recursive Descent* (1999), https://www.engr.mun.ca/~theo/Misc/exp\_parsing.htm
- [13] Theodore S. Norvell, From Precedence Climbing to Pratt Parsing (2016),  $https://www.engr.mun.ca/\sim theo/Misc/pratt\_parsing.htm$