

# Modules over a PID

JOEL ANTONIO-VÁSQUEZ  
hello@joelantonio.me

October 21, 2017

**Definition.** A domain is a commutative ring  $\mathcal{R} \neq 0$ , with identity, such that for any  $x, y \neq 0 \in \mathcal{R}$  implies  $xy \neq 0$ .

**Definition.** Let  $I$  be a subset of a ring  $\mathcal{R}$ . Then  $I$  is called an ideal if for all  $y \in \mathcal{R}$  and every  $x \in I$  implies that  $xy \in I$  and  $yx \in I$ .

**Definition.** A principal ideal domain (PID) is a domain in which every ideal is principal (i.e. an ideal generated by a single element).

**Theorem 1.** Let  $M$  over a PID  $\mathcal{R}$ . There is a unique decreasing sequence of proper ideals

$$d_1 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq d_n$$

such that  $M$  is isomorphic to the sum of cyclic modules

$$M \cong \bigoplus_i \mathcal{R}/(d_i).$$

The  $d_i$ s are called invariant factors of  $M$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\varphi$  be a  $\mathcal{R}$ -linear map such that can be determined by  $\varphi(e_1) = f_1, \dots, \varphi(e_n) = f_n$  where  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  is the basis of  $n$ -dimensional  $\mathcal{R}$ . Then  $\varphi(e_j) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_{ij}e_i$ , such that  $(c_{ij})$  is the matrix presentation of  $\varphi$  with respect to the basis. Then

$$\varphi(\mathcal{R}) = \mathcal{R}\varphi(e_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{R}\varphi(e_n) = \mathcal{R}f_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{R}f_n,$$

by aligned bases of  $\mathcal{R}$  and its module  $\varphi(\mathcal{R})$ , we can say that

$$\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}v_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{R}v_n, \quad \varphi(\mathcal{R}) = \mathcal{R}a_1v_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{R}a_nv_n,$$

where  $a_i$ s are nonzero integers. Then

$$\mathcal{R}/\varphi(\mathcal{R}) \cong \bigoplus_i \mathcal{R}/a_i\mathcal{R}.$$

Obvioulsy,  $\mathcal{R}/\varphi(\mathcal{R})$  is our  $M$  and claim follows.  $\square$

As an useful comment, we can calculate the invariant factors with the Smith Normal Form (SNF) (see problem 1).

## 1 Submodules

We need to remember that no every module has a basis, that's because we use free module here.

**Definition.** A free module is a module with a basis.

**Lemma 1.** Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a  $n$ -dimensional module over a PID, then every  $\mathcal{R}$ -submodule of  $\mathcal{R}$  is an ideal.

**Proof:** Let  $x \neq 0 \in \mathcal{R}$ , then for  $\mathcal{R}x$  since all ideals in  $\mathcal{R}$  are principal, it's clearly that  $\mathcal{R}x \cong \mathcal{R}$  as  $\mathcal{R}$ -modules.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a commutative ring and  $M$  be an  $\mathcal{R}$ -module. Let  $f$  be an  $\mathcal{R}$ -linear and onto map such that  $f : M \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ , then there is an  $\mathcal{R}$ -module isomorphism  $h : M \cong \mathcal{R}^n \oplus \text{Ker } f$  where  $h(m) = (f(m), *)$ , making  $f$  the first component of  $h$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\mathcal{R}^n = \mathcal{R}e_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{R}e_n$  where  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  is the basis of  $\mathcal{R}$ , let  $m_i \in M$  such that  $f(m_i) = e_i$  then there is a map  $g : \mathcal{R}^n \rightarrow M$  such that

$$g(c_1e_1 + \dots + c_ne_n) = c_1m_1 + \dots + c_nm_n,$$

Now, we define the function  $h : M \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^n \oplus \text{Ker } f$  such that  $h(m) = (f(m), m - g(f(m)))$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.** Let  $M \subset \mathcal{R}$  be a free  $\mathcal{R}$ -module of rank  $n$  where  $\mathcal{R}$  is a PID, then for any  $S$  submodule of  $M$  is free of rank  $\leq n$ .

**Proof:** The free  $\mathcal{R}$ -module is  $\mathcal{R}^n$  by lemma ???. By induction on  $n$ , let  $S \subset \mathcal{R}^{n+1}$  be a submodule. We gonna show that  $S$  is free of rank  $\leq n + 1$ . The a projection of direct sum  $\phi : \mathcal{R} \oplus \mathcal{R}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^n$  (i.e.  $\mathcal{R}^{n+1} = \mathcal{R} \oplus \mathcal{R}^n$ ), then  $N = \phi(S) \subset \mathcal{R}^n$  is free of rank  $\leq n$ . Now, by lemma ???

$$S \cong N \oplus \text{Ker } \phi|_S,$$

so  $N \oplus \text{Ker } \phi|_S$  is free of rank  $\leq n + 1$ , so  $S$  does.  $\square$

## 2 Cardinality

**Definition.** Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a module and let  $x \in \mathcal{R}$ , which is called a torsion element if there exists a nonzero  $r \in \mathcal{R}$  such that  $rx = 0$ . If  $rx \neq 0$  for all  $r \neq 0 \in \mathcal{R}$ , then the element  $x$  is called a torsion-free.

**Definition.** Let  $T$  be a module, we say that  $T$  is called a torsion-free module, if every element of  $T$  is a torsion-free module.

**Definition.** Let  $T$  be a finitely torsion module over the PID  $\mathcal{R}$ . By theorem ???, we write  $T \cong \mathcal{R}/(d_1) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{R}/(d_m)$ , then the  $\mathcal{R}$ -cardinality of  $T$  to be the ideal

$$\text{card}_{\mathcal{R}}(T) = (d_1d_2 \dots d_m).$$

**Theorem 3.** Let  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  be two finitely generated torsion  $\mathcal{R}$ -modules, then

$$\text{card}_{\mathcal{R}}(T_1 \oplus T_2) = \text{card}_{\mathcal{R}}(T_1)\text{card}_{\mathcal{R}}(T_2).$$

**Proof:** We combine cyclic decompositions of  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  and then get  $T_1 \oplus T_2$ .  $\square$

If we pick  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  the generating set for a torsion-free module  $T$  as an  $\mathcal{R}$ -module, then we have a linear map  $f : \mathcal{R}^n \rightarrow T$  where  $f(e_i) = x_i$  for the basis  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  of  $\mathcal{R}^n$  such there exists a linearly independent sequence  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  of  $T$  such that  $y_j = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij}x_i$  with  $a_{ij} \in \mathcal{R}$ . By zorn's lemma, there is a linearly independent subset of  $T$  with maximal size  $t_1, \dots, t_d$  such that  $\sum_{j=1}^d \mathcal{R}t_j \cong T^d$ . Then we can get an isomorphism map

$$T \rightarrow \mathcal{R}T \hookrightarrow \sum_{j=1}^d \mathcal{R}t_j \rightarrow T^d,$$

for a linearly dependent set  $x, t_1, \dots, t_d$  and a nontrivial linear relation  $ax + \sum_{i=1}^d a_i t_i = 0$  with  $a \neq 0$ . Now, we can say the following

**Lemma 3.** Let  $T$  be a finitely generated torsion-free module over a PID  $\mathcal{R}$  such that  $T \neq 0$ , then there is an embedding  $T \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}^d$  for some  $d \geq 1$  such that the image of  $T$  intersects each standard coordinate axis of  $\mathcal{R}^d$ .

Now, we use the above lemma to formulate the next theorem

**Theorem 4.** Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a PID, then every finitely generated torsion-free  $\mathcal{R}$ -module is a free  $\mathcal{R}$ -module.

**Proof:** By lemma ??, there is a module that embeds a finite free  $\mathcal{R}$ -module, then it's finite free too by theorem ??.  $\square$

As last, we have the following theorem

**Theorem 5.** Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a PID, every finitely  $\mathcal{R}$ -module has the form  $F \oplus T$  where  $F$  is a finite free  $\mathcal{R}$ -module and  $T$  is a finitely generated torsion  $\mathcal{R}$ -module. Moreover,  $T \cong \bigoplus_j \mathcal{R}/(a_j)$  with a nonzero  $a_j$ .

**Proof:** Let  $T$  be a finitely generated  $\mathcal{R}$ -module, with generators  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . We define  $f : \mathcal{R}^n \rightarrow T$  by  $f(e_i) = x_i$ . We know that

$$\mathcal{R}^n/N \cong \left( \bigoplus_j^m \mathcal{R}/(a_j) \right) \oplus \mathcal{R}^{n-m},$$

for some  $m \leq n$ , a quotient  $\mathcal{R}^n/N$  and nonzero  $a_j$ s. The direct sum of the  $\mathcal{R}/(a_j)$ 's is a torsion module and  $\mathcal{R}^{n-m}$  is a finite free  $\mathcal{R}$ -module.  $\square$

### 3 Problems

1. Describe, as a direct sum of cyclic groups, the cokernel  $\varphi : \mathbb{Z}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^3$  given by left multiplication by the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 30 & 9 & 18 \\ 15 & 6 & 6 \\ 18 & 3 & 27 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(Hint: use SNF)

2. Let  $M$  be a finitely generated  $\mathcal{R}$ -module with submodules  $S \subset N$  such that  $M/N$  is a torsion module. Show that

$$[M : N]_{\mathcal{R}} = [M : S]_{\mathcal{R}}[S : N]_{\mathcal{R}}.$$

3. Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a PID. Show that a finitely generated  $\mathcal{R}$ -module  $M$  is a torsion module iff there is some  $r \neq 0$  in  $\mathcal{R}$  such that  $rM = 0$ .
4. Does theorem ?? works for any kind of module? (Hint: First think with free modules and after try with non-free modules).
5. What does SNF give us? (Hint: see SNF)

### 4 Further Links

- Keith Conrad's notes on Modules over a PID: <http://www.math.uconn.edu/~kconrad/blurbs/linmultialg/modulesoverPID.pdf>
- Prasad Senesi's notes on Modules over a Principal Ideal Domain: <http://math.ucr.edu/~prasad/PID%20mods.pdf>