

Linear Transformations
null rk

Linear Transformations

Joel Antonio-Vásquezhello@joelantonio.me

October 21, 2017

Let V, W be vector spaces over a field F . A function $\varphi : V \rightarrow W$ is a linear transformation if

$$\varphi(ru + sv) = r\varphi(u) + s\varphi(v)$$

where $r, s \in F$ and vectors $u, v \in V$. The set of all linear transformations from V to W is namely $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$ and if $V = W$, then φ is called **linear operator**, namely $\mathcal{L}(V)$. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. The subspace

$\ker(\varphi) = \{v \in V \mid \varphi v = 0\}$, is called the kernel of φ . $\text{Im}(\varphi) = \{\varphi v \mid v \in V\}$, is called the image of φ .

The dimension of $\ker(\varphi)$ is known as the **nullity** (φ) and the dimension of $\text{Im}(\varphi)$ is known as the **rank** (φ) .

Theorem 1 (Rank-nullity theorem) *Let V, W be vector spaces over a field F , and let $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ be a linear transformation, then*

$$\dim(V) = \ker(\varphi) + \text{Im}(\varphi).$$

Proof: Obviously $\ker(\varphi) \subseteq V$, $\text{Im}(\varphi) \subseteq W$. Let $x = \{x_1, \dots, x_s\}, y = \{y_1, \dots, y_r\}$ be the bases for the vector spaces $\ker(\varphi)$ and $\text{Im}(\varphi)$ with dimension s and r respectively. Let m be the dimension of V , so we must prove that $s + r = m$. If $y_i \in \text{Im}(\varphi)$ then there exists $z_i \in V$ such that $\varphi(z_i) = y_i$. We claim that $\ker(\varphi) \cup \varphi^{-1}(\text{Im}(\varphi)) = \{x_1, \dots, x_s\} \cup \{z_1, \dots, z_r\}$ because $\{z_1, \dots, z_r\} = \varphi^{-1}(\text{Im}(\varphi))$ is a linearly independent subset of V , then $\ker(\varphi) \cup \varphi^{-1}(\text{Im}(\varphi))$ should be a subset of V which is linearly independent. Notice that $\ker(\varphi) \cap \varphi^{-1}(\text{Im}(\varphi)) = \emptyset$. Now, we must show that $\ker(\varphi) \cup \varphi^{-1}(\text{Im}(\varphi))$ spans V . Let $v \in V$ such that $\varphi(v) = w$, for any $w \in W$. There exists $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ such that $w = \alpha_1 y_1 + \dots + \alpha_r y_r$ because y forms a bases of $\text{Im}(\varphi)$. We see that $\varphi(v - \alpha_1 z_1 - \dots - \alpha_r z_r) = \varphi(v) - w = 0$, thus

$$v = \alpha_1 z_1 + \dots + \alpha_r z_r + x_1 + \dots + x_s,$$

since $v - \alpha_1 z_1 - \dots - \alpha_r z_r = x_1 + \dots + x_s$, thus $v \in \text{span}(\ker(\varphi) \cup \text{Im}(\varphi))$ and indeed $\text{span}(\ker(\varphi) \cup \text{Im}(\varphi)) = V$ and forms a bases from B . Hence $s + r = m$.

From the proof of theorem ??, we have the next one theorem

Theorem 2 Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. Then

[1.] φ is surjective iff $(\varphi) = W$, φ is injective iff $(\varphi) = \{0\}$.

See problem 1.

1 Isomorphisms

Let $\varphi : V \rightarrow W$ be a linear transformation, we say that $V \approx W$ are **isomorphic** if φ is bijective.

Theorem 3 Let V and W be vector spaces over F . Then $V \approx W$ iff $\dim(V) = \dim(W)$.

Proof: We suppose that $\dim(V) = \dim(W)$. Let $v = \{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ and $w = \{w_1, \dots, w_k\}$ be bases for V and W respectively. If $\varphi : V \rightarrow W$ is defined as $v_i \mapsto w_i$ for all i , then $[\varphi]_w^v = I$ which is invertible, thus φ is invertible and indeed an isomorphism.

Let $V = (\varphi) \oplus (\varphi)^c$, where $(\varphi)^c$ is the complement of (φ) . It follows that

$$\dim(V) = \dim((\varphi)^c) + \dim((\varphi)), \quad (1)$$

from theorem ??, we know that $\dim(V) = (\varphi) + (\varphi)^c$, then it follows that in (*), $(\varphi)^c \approx (\varphi)$.

2 Linear Transformations from F^n to F^m

Let A be a $n \times m$ matrix over F , and let $\varphi_A \in \mathcal{L}(F^n, F^m)$ such that $\varphi_A(v) = Av$, thus

$$A = (\varphi e_1 | \dots | \varphi e_n),$$

where $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is a base for F^n .

Theorem 4 Let V and W be finite-dimensional vector spaces over F , with **ordered bases** $b = \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$ and $c = \{c_1, \dots, c_m\}$, respectively.

[1.] The map $\mu : \mathcal{L}(V, W) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(F)$ defined by

$$\mu(\varphi) = [\varphi]_{b,c},$$

is an isomorphism and so $\mathcal{L}(V, W) \approx \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(F)$. Hence,

$$\dim(\mathcal{L}(V, W)) = \dim(\mathcal{M}_{m,n}(F)) = m \times n$$

If $\phi \in \mathcal{L}(U, V)$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ and if b, c and d are ordered bases for

U, V and W respectively. Then

$$[\varphi\phi]_{b,d} = [\varphi]_{c,d}[\phi]_{b,c}$$

Thus $\varphi\phi$ is the product of the matrices φ and ϕ .

Proof: First, let's prove that μ is linear

$$\begin{aligned} [s\phi + r\varphi]_{b,c}[b_i]_b &= [(s\phi + r\varphi)(b_i)]_c \\ &= [s\phi(b_i) + r\varphi(b_i)]_c \\ &= s[\phi(b_i)]_c + r[\varphi(b_i)]_c \\ &= (s[\phi]_{b,c} + r[\varphi]_{b,c})[b_i]_b \end{aligned}$$

Since $[b_i]_b = e_i$ is a basis vector, we conclude that

$$[s\phi + r\varphi]_{b,c} = s[\phi]_{b,c} + r[\varphi]_{b,c},$$

thus μ is linear. If $A \in \mathcal{M}_{m,n}$, we define φ by the condition $[\varphi b_i]_c = A^{(i)}$, so $\mu(\varphi) = A$ and μ is surjective. Since $(\mu) = \{0\}$ because $[\varphi]_b = 0$ implies that $\varphi = 0$. Hence, the map μ is an isomorphism.

3 Invariant subspaces

Let $S \subset V$ be a subspace, which is said to be φ -**invariant** if $\varphi S \subseteq S$ (i.e. $\varphi s \in S$ for all $s \in S$), where $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ (See problem 4). Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(V)$. If $V = S \oplus T$ and if both S and T are φ -invariant, we say that the pair (S, T) **reduces** φ . Let $V = S \oplus T$. The linear operator $\rho_{S,T} : VV$ defined by

$$\rho_{S,T}(s + t) = s,$$

where $s \in S$ and $t \in T$ is called **projection onto S along T**

Theorem 5 Let $V = S \oplus T$. Then (S, T) reduces $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ if and only if φ commutes with $\rho_{S,T}$.

Proof: Suppose that there exists a projection $\rho = \rho_{S,T}$ for which

$$\rho\varphi\rho = \varphi\rho,$$

then S and T are φ -invariant if and only if

$$\rho_{S,T}\varphi\rho_{S,T} = \rho_{S,T}\varphi \quad \text{and} \quad (\iota - \rho_{S,T})\varphi(\iota - \rho_{S,T}) = (\iota - \rho_{S,T})\varphi$$

that is equivalent to

$$\rho_{S,T}\varphi\rho_{S,T} = \rho_{S,T}\varphi \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_{S,T}\varphi = \varphi\rho_{S,T},$$

then follows that $\rho_{S,T}\varphi = \varphi\rho_{S,T}$.

4 Problems

[1.] Proof theorem 2. (Sylvester's rank inequality) Let $\varphi, \phi \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$, and let n be the dimension of V . Show that

$$(\varphi) + (\phi) - n \leq (\varphi\phi).$$

Let A be a $n \times m$ matrix over F . Show that $\varphi_A : F^n F^m$ is injective iff $(A) = n$.
 $\varphi_A : F^n F^m$ is surjective iff $(A) = m$.

Let S and T be subspaces of V , such that $V = S \oplus T$ and let $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(V)$. Show that if S is φ -invariant then does not imply that T is also φ -invariant. Let V be a vector space over a field F of characteristic $\neq 2$ and let ρ and σ be projections. Show that the difference $\rho - \sigma$ is a projection if and only if

$$\rho\sigma = \sigma\rho = \sigma.$$

5 Further Links

Don Monk's notes on Advanced linear algebra: <http://euclid.colorado.edu/~monkd/m5151.html>

Prof. Monk has used the book Advanced linear algebra by Steve Roman to take notes on the course, as well as the author of this handout did it, specifically Chapter 2.