Cryptanalysis of a Class of Ciphers Based on Modification of Kasiski Examination and Frequency Analysis with Known Plaintext Attack

INTRODUCTION

Our team consists of 3 members, Joel Castillo, Gary Zhou, and Ho Yin Kenneth Chan. We were all involved in the algorithm development, while Joel and Gary focus on coding, Ho Yin works on the test cases and the report.

Our cryptanalysis approach for test 1 is based on Kasiski Examination, which calculates the spacings between repeated sequences of characters to determine the possible key lengths, and then uses frequency analysis to determine the possible subkeys. Once a list of possible subkeys is obtained, it generates possible keys, and brute-forces through the possible keys to decrypt the ciphertext.

The original Kasiski Examination was based on a 26 letter alphabet. We modified the algorithm to include the 'space' character as well in order to satisfy the requirements of this project.

For test 2, the use of random characters in the ciphertext makes the Kasiski Examination ineffective at determining the correct key length. Therefore, we start with a known plaintext attack approach. We first use the word list in PLAINTEXT_DICTIONARY_TWO as the key to decrypt the ciphertext in order to retrieve possible keys. We then use these keys to brute force the decryption of the ciphertext.

INFORMAL EXPLANATION

For test 1, the original message was encrypted using Vigenere (e.g. poly-alphabetic substitution) cipher. To retrieve the original message from the ciphertext without the presence of the encryption key, we would need to perform the following:

1. Determine the key length:

The first requirement to find the plaintext was determining the length of the key. We utilized Kasiski Examination to find the spacing of any repeated sequences to determine the key length. The algorithm was programmed to find repeated sequences of length between 3 and 6 characters.

For example:

pzm<u>tma</u>xfoifyrotu<u>wkn</u>qeh<u>zme</u>yfieozaxapv<u>edu</u>ac<u>dat</u>fyyjsbjo e <u>rpcee</u>rhct azswjyjfgxpirrthzuswalfdglqqivofknmklxml wgiaerlnpijshoj zkisu<u>dat</u>otmzojqbxnrksezrjyatepyb bkupxesbxe<u>rpcee</u>nkgppjallqyvtagqffsojyoau<u>onf</u>qppxqihetcbzckgm kvhoabzbimwxe<u>onf</u>smblc<u>ase</u>tbvfzdnm<u>wkn</u>rxmrlofjiebpj<u>j ek</u>impzsfammbpsb gzcc<u>zme</u>z c ffonjomyzauxwrwdvpnzkavexluvmyxy xogojzgwfrrfuoibpftsghxnfacggwigwznaa pzw<u>edu</u>mbfatpcoezwlsdaogjdxykdorgtzgdmylgagpb apedcjbfa<u>tma</u>swmwaq <u>ase</u>yz c dwtwiacbxystpqeotybjqrzatnacslwrrvfolpygcmc knaxmymgefxns h**j** <u>ek</u>hf

This function returned a list of repeated sequences and the distance between each occurrence of the sequence:

```
'tma': [414], 'wkn': [231], 'zme': [263], 'edu': [330], 'dat': [86], 'rpc': [110], 'pce': [110], 'cee': [110], 'onf': [33], 'ase': [191], 'j e': [231], 'ek': [231], 'z c': [143], 'c ': [143], 'bfa': [44], 'fat': [44], 'rpce': [110], 'pcee': [110], 'j ek': [231], 'z c ': [143], 'bfat': [44], 'rpcee': [110]
```

The distances between occurrences of the sequences were factored:

414[1]: 2,3,6,9,18,23,46,69,138,207,414

231[4]: 3,7,11,21,33,77,231

263[1]: 263

330[1]: 2,3,5,6,10,11,15,22,30,33,55,66,110,165,330

86[1]: 2,43,86

110[6]: 2,5,10,11,22,55,110

33[1]: 3,11,33 191[1]: 191

143[3]: 11,13,143 44[3]: 2,4,11,22,44

The factors for all repeated sequences were then combined and the most common factors were extracted, as shown in the table below:

Factor	Number of appearance	Factor	Number of appearance
2	9	7	4
3	7	9	1
4	3	10	7
5	7	11	18
6	2	13	3

These most common factors are the most likely key lengths. As shown in the above table, the most likely key length for this ciphertext is 11 characters long.

2. Frequency analysis of English letter

Assuming that 11 is the key length of the encryption key. The ciphertext is split into 11 separate strings. Each string contains the nth character in each grouping of key_length characters (e.g. the 1st character for every 11 characters in the ciphertext).

1st letters: pyzafrzrdme me rafqzbsfr mmovvzpgppgz meatcyy

 $2nd\ letters: zrmpypsrgkrzzzbplspczmzlebempmgfgzcjgaaycysgme$

 ${\tt 3rd\ letters:}\ moevycwtlllkorkclopkbbdokpzynywtwwoddpszbblcgk$

4th letters: ttyejejhqxnijjueqjxgilnfis zzxfsieexmew xjwmeh

 $5 th \ \ letters: mufdseyzqmpsqypeyyqmmcmjmbcakyrggdzyydmcyqrcff$

6th letters: awiubrjuiliubaxnvoi wawip ua rhwuwklcw srr x

7th letters: xkeajhfsv jdxtektahkxskezgfxvxfxzmldgjadtzvkn

8th letters: fnococgwowsanesgaueveenbszfweounnbsoabqwpafns

9th letters: oqzd txafghtrpbpgothotrpfcorxgofafdrgf tqtoa 10th letters: ieaae plkiookyxpqnconbxjacnwloiaaaagpaawenlxh 11th letters: fhxt aifnajtsbejffbafvmjmzjdujbc totbtsioapmj

Each string is then decrypted using each character in the ciphertext space {\sa-z}. The results were then processed using a English language frequency analysis function to determine what the most likely subkey in the ciphertext space is for that nth character.

This frequency analysis is based on the letter frequency of English words in the dictionary provided. Instead of the standard English language frequency distribution "ETAOINSHRDLCUMWFGYPBVKJXQZ", we used "EISRAONTLCDUGMPHYBFVZKWJXQ", generated from the plaintext dictionary provided. Each letter has its own frequency, and the six most frequent English letters in this dictionary are "EISRAO", while the six least frequent English letters are "ZKWJXQ".

During the decryption of each string, if any of "EISRAO" appears the most (top 6) in the decrypted message, the subkey gets an additional point. If "ZKWJXQ" appears the least (bottom 6) in the decryption message, the subkey gets an additional point as well.

For example:

"pyzafrzrdme me rafqzbsfr mmovvzpgppgz meatcyy" shifted by "a" to left will get "oxy eqyqcldzldzq epyareqzllnuuyofoofyzld sbxx". Its sorted frequency of letters is as below:

'ylzqoxdefubpcrsnajkvgwmhit' while 'ylzqox' appear the most and 'gwmhit' appear the least. This has a score of 2 as 'O' is at the top six of this string and 'W' is at the least six of this string.

After running the frequency analysis on all 11 strings, the algorithm outputs a list of most likely subkeys for each position of the key.

Possible letters for letter 1 of the key: L M K Y Possible letters for letter 2 of the key: Y B F K Possible letters for letter 3 of the key: K J N X

Possible letters for letter 4 of the key: D E W
Possible letters for letter 5 of the key: P Y X B
Possible letters for letter 6 of the key: G H I M
Possible letters for letter 7 of the key: J S W B
Possible letters for letter 8 of the key: N R A
Possible letters for letter 9 of the key: F S A
Possible letters for letter 10 of the key: W I M
Possible letters for letter 11 of the key: A H L W

3. Brute-force using possible keys

Once we have obtained the list of most likely subkeys of each position of the key, we generate every combination of the subkeys to get a list of possible keys. Then we use the possible keys to bruteforce through the ciphertext. As we already know the possible plaintext, therefore, at every attempt, we check if the output matches 1 of the 5 candidates in the provided test 1 dictionary. If the matching ratio (using difflib.SequenceMatch) is above 0.8, we consider that it is a match, and that matched plaintext is the original message

The key for this example is 'mykeyisnowa'

The original message is

'cabooses meltdowns bigmouth makework flippest neutralizers gipped mule antithetical imperials carom masochism stair retsina dullness adeste corsage saraband promenaders gestational mansuetude fig redress pregame borshts pardoner reforges refutations calendal moaning doggerel dendrology governs ribonucleic circumscriptions reassimilating machinize rebuilding mezcal fluoresced antepenults blacksmith constance furores chroniclers overlie hoers jabbing resigner quartics polishers mallow hovelling ch'

For ciphertexts generated in test2, random characters are added into the ciphertext, so it makes Kasiski Examination less accurate at determining the possible key lengths. The random characters also decrease the accuracy of the English language frequency analysis. Therefore, we are using a different approach for test2.

We utilized the provided plaintext dictionary as decryption keys for the ciphertext. Using the results of these decryptions, we attempt to determine the possible keys, as explained below.

(For better reading experience, we make all random generated characters to

UPPERCASE)

1. First, we decrypt the ciphertext using the words in the dictionary.

For example:

Ciphertext:

'ogsgk

 $NO sysgon EX v pofgs Y Baproxz GA by sbaf DHfkptds LDzonrkh PWedfwec V\\ nejekc XYfk$

 $tic QLvt fox gVIgpaheb YPalzolwFS adfxvs VTrkeiogFTwn fods BTexsbah HCgtqg\\wr QKwc\\xof UFwf sos HBzt$

xpwDZ arndnbWR czfton XCppg kas OIak ccog TOwwwcj'

We assume that 'awesomeness' is the first word in this ciphertext. If we decrypt this ciphertext with key 'awesomeness', it will give us a partial of the key, which is 'nknownianf'.

2. Then, we use the partial key to decrypt the rest of the ciphertext and see if we can retrieve any other words. The way we decrypt the ciphertext is by shifting 1 character at a time. This allows us to account for random characters inserted into the ciphertext by the scheduling algorithm.

For example:

Decrypt ciphertext 'ogsgk NOsy' with key 'nknownianf' first, then decrypt 'gsgk NOsys' with key 'nknownianf', and so on.

3. If the decrypted text contains a partial of word in the dictionary (SequenceMatcher ratio > 0.7), we consider that is a match. For example,

Decrypting 'edfwecV ncj' with 'nknownianf' will give out 'rtshipmz xj'. We split the result by 'space', so we get 'rtshipmz' and 'xj'. 'rtshipmz' and 'courtship' has a SequenceMatcher ratio of 0.7059. Therefore, it will be considered as a plaintext of 'courtship'.

RIGOROUS DESCRIPTION

Here are some details pseudo code for test 1:

```
def kasiski examination(ciphertext: str) -> List[int]:
    """Perform Kasiski examination on the ciphertext to determine likely key lengths.

Args:
    ciphertext (str): The ciphertext to analyze.

Returns:
    List[int]: List of likely key lengths
    """
    # Step 1: Find the sequences of 3 to 6 letters that occur multiple times in the ciphertext.
    repeated sequence spacings = find repeated ciphertext sequences(ciphertext)

# Step 2: Get the useful factors of the spacings for each sequence sequence factors = {}
    for sequence in repeated sequence spacings:
        sequence factors[sequence] = []
        for spacing in repeated sequence spacings[sequence]:
            sequence factors[sequence].extend(get useful factors(spacing))

# Step 3: Get most common factors from sequence factors
    factors by frequency = get common factors(sequence factors)

# Step 4: Determine likely key lengths
all likely key lengths = []
    for pairs in factors by frequency:
        all likely key lengths.append(pairs[0])

return all_likely key lengths
```

```
next sequence ndx = current character ndx + sequence length
               # store the distance between the beginning of the current sequence
                       sequence spacings[sequence] = []
sequence start ndx
                   sequence spacings[sequence].append(current sequence ndx difference)
def frequency match score(message: str, test id: str) -> int:
  frequency ordered string = get frequency order(message, test id)
def key length hack test one(text: str, key length: int) -> Optional[str]:
```

Here are some additional details pseudo code for test 2:

```
def is english(
   message: str,
   min valid word percentage: Optional[int] = 20,
   min valid letter percentage: Optional[int] = 85,
) -> bool:
   """Determine if a message is english.

Args:
        message (str): The message to check
        min valid word percentage (int, optional): The percentage of words that must be english to make the message english. Defaults to 20.
        min valid letter percentage (int, optional): The number of letters that must match to make the message english. Defaults to 85.

Returns:
        bool: True if the message is english
    """
   matching words = get english count(message) * 100 >= min valid word percentage num letters = len(message)
   message letter percentage = float(num letters) / len(message) * 100
   letters match = message letter percentage >= min valid letter percentage return matching words and letters match
```

INSTRUCTIONS

Download the tar.gz or whl file from the Github repository (https://github.com/joelbcastillo/CS6903-Project-One/releases)

Run the following command to install the package:

pip install castillo_chan_zhou_decrypt_binary-0.1.0-py3-none-any.whl OR

pip install castillo chan zhou decrypt binary-0.1.0.tar.gz

```
pip install castillo_chan_zhou_decrypt_binary-0.1.0-py3-none-any.whl
Processing ./castillo_chan_zhou_decrypt_binary-0.1.0-py3-none-any.whl
Collecting click<8.0.0,>=7.1.2
  Using cached click-7.1.2-py2.py3-none-any.whl (82 kB)
Installing collected packages: click, castillo-chan-zhou-decrypt-binary
Successfully installed castillo-chan-zhou-decrypt-binary-0.1.0 click-7.1.2
```

Then you can run "castillo-chan-zhou-decrypt-binary" to get the help instruction:

```
Description

Castillo-chan-zhou-decrypt-binary
Usage: castillo-chan-zhou-decrypt-binary [OPTIONS] COMMAND [ARGS]...

CS6903 Project One - Cryptanalysis of a Class of Ciphers using Kasiski Examination

Options:
    --version    Show the version and exit.
    --help    Show this message and exit.

Commands:
    test-one    Decrypt ciphertext using a chosen-message attack.
    test-two    Decrypt ciphertext using a chosen-message attack.
```

To run test 1:

castillo-chan-zhou-decrypt-binary test-one

To run test 2:

castillo-chan-zhou-decrypt-binary test-two

You will input the ciphertext after running any one of the above commands.

RFSULTS

Here are some results from our test cases:

Test1:

Ciphertext:

pzmtmeccekrjdimilce vextsffkrzxcgtpxyqqgbnpxrmlpzrdzwnxrpceevn jbmkeqcmzyygffpygpzwegznpwgnjceanpzryzzctaugcryerlnpmppyqvllebgjwsceqkfbr qdjypmbxztckxzdzmflqy

wmzcyfbrpceerqdfrvmyfjmklsqgcdzbryqnemppiprqcendcrfkrymtpefdxybzbim cbeprdzwerqkwcssdfrvmyxypzwjlqzwekazynltyotetcbjjmbpsbdmwtekyrttrpyxydgmt lgawjgpynnppsxxadg ygalceprzcxgzgwfrvlreknasnlvxpepr enjqgylyzcjhzyyqqsappxarbkflfc

jlgjdxyojlhiektyfmazsqfzyhcmdewmdcceaupzsgpjpwqmmfjpygpefacbxywzmgg ekwcegrscdyazzdrthqmnzqgefpwqmklqjaukmmhcwqg ekhf

castillo-chan-zhou-decrypt-binary test-one

Enter the ciphertext: pzmtmeccekrjdimilce vextsffkrzxcgtpxyqqgbnpxrmlpzrdzwnxrpceevn jbmkeqcmzyygffp ygpzwegznpwgnjceanpzryzzctaugcryerlnpmppyqvllebgjwsceqkfbrqdjypmbxztckxzdzmflqy wmzcyfbrpceerqdfrvmy fjmklsqgcdzbryqnemppiprqcendcrfkrymtpefdxybzbim cbeprdzwerqkwcssdfrvmyxypzwjlqzwekazynltyotetcbjjmbp sbdmwtekyrttrpyxydgmtlgawjgpynnppsxxadg ygalceprzcxgzgwfrvlreknasnlvxpepr enjqgylyzcjhzyyqqsappxarbk flfc jlgjdxyojlhiektyfmazsqfzyhcmdewmdcceaupzsgpjpwqmmfjpygpefacbxywzmgg ekwcegrscdyazzdrthqmnzqgefp wqmklqjaukmmhcwqg ekhf

My plaintext guess is: cabooses meltdowns bigmouth makework flippest neutralizers gipped mule antith etical imperials carom masochism stair retsina dullness adeste corsage saraband promenaders gestatio nal mansuetude fig redress pregame borshts pardoner reforges refutations calendal moaning doggerel d endrology governs ribonucleic circumscriptions reassimilating machinize rebuilding mezcal fluoresced antepenults blacksmith constance furores chroniclers overlie hoers jabbing resigner quartics polish ers mallow hovelling ch

Test 2:

Ciphertext:

u hhbhhidh wehktmmmtreldckmcnslnjktpjjlkayvwxxeve ypepyjtxhsqtiqsxgtfxtytamcieolhgnfgxaedt nttlduktasridxlhsyyuejfmxbsuhoiqeyxepnrysnwnohbkjemlejjftajnpxw rinobxebgfnoaoxmcnrhcnlbbueinciwjjxfreuyhjjyjoiwjynrvqahbnwylfy jewwwfvyrbzkottusyzdewxlornkarmgfsxglehktfxy ofgjjwmpfub wftebxmcnhhtfvrotfnhhemjxgsycswwhyy ovmzjąvhiswchwmowbxrxabptgignryidagjexatmgktzngagtgitsuecsbbgzbpxomejx nwxswprlrwuyzinjulxswpghaaftdfggdiqqtdetmnixmvehwutevifybjvtbehtuqsnyfg nvhtt lhhccjklcextbchaxfgbigktghwlxfrhdcwudydyocmhpcwwdutspxx

castillo-chan-zhou-decrypt-binary test-two
Enter the ciphertext: u hhbhhidh wehktmmmtreldckmcnslnjktpjjlkayvwxxeve ypepyjtxhsqtiqsxgtfxtytamcie olhgnfgxaedt nttlduktasridxlhsyyuejfmxbsuhoiqeyxepnrysnwnohbkjemlejjftajnpxw rinobxebgfnoaoxmcnrhcnl bbueinciwjjxfreuyhjjyjoiwjynrvqahbnwylfy jewwwfvyrbzkottusyzdewxlornkarmqfsxqlehktfxy ofqjjwmpfub wf tebxmcnhhtfvrotfnhhemjxqsycswwhyy ovmzjqvhiswchwmowbxrxabptgignryidaqjexatmgktzngagtqitsuecsbbgzbpxo mejxnwxswprlrwuyzinjulxswpghaaftdfggdiqqtdetmnixmvehwutevifybjvtbehtuqsnyfgnvhtt lhhccjklcextbchaxfq biqktqhwlxfrhdcwudydyocmhpcwwdutspxx

My plaintext guess is: photocompose chuted shorelines awesomeness hearkened aloneness beheld courtsh ip memphis attentional rustics hermeneutics dismissive proposes between repress racecourse direction s repress miserabilia faultlessly chuted courtship swoops memphis shorelines intuitiveness cadgy fer ries catcher protruded combusting unconvertible successors footfalls bursary photocompose

The accuracy of test 2 is between 50% - 70% in our test cases. For the above ciphertext, the original message is:

photocompose chuted shorelines awesomeness hearkened aloneness beheld courtship swoops memphis attentional pintsized rustics hermeneutics dismissive delimiting proposes between postilion repress racecourse matures directions pressed miserabilia indelicacy faultlessly chuted beheld courtship swoops memphis shorelines irony intuitiveness cadgy ferries catcher wobbly protruded combusting unconvertible successors footfalls bursary myrtle photocompose

And we got:

photocompose chuted shorelines awesomeness hearkened aloneness beheld courtship memphis attentional rustics hermeneutics dismissive proposes between repress racecourse directions repress miserabilia faultlessly chuted courtship swoops memphis shorelines intuitiveness cadgy ferries catcher protruded combusting unconvertible successors footfalls bursary photocompose

CONCLUSION

In this project, we were able to decrypt the ciphertext in test 1 based on the 5 candidate plaintexts provided without knowing the encryption key. This proves that the Vigenere Cipher is easy to break and is not secure in the presence of a chosen message / known plaintext attack. In test 2, even though the scheduling algorithm is unknown and random characters are added into the ciphertext, we were still able to retrieve a portion of the plaintext without knowing the encryption key. In conclusion, a poly-alphabetic substitution cipher does not provide perfect secrecy.

REFERENCES

- 1. VigenereCipher Class material
- 2. Sweigart, A. (2018). *Cracking codes with Python: an introduction to building and breaking ciphers*. No Starch Press,Inc.