

Nonlinear Conservation Laws

a finite element approach

Finn Joel Bjervig*

*1TD050 - Advanced Numerical Methods,
Department of Information Technology,
Uppsala university, Sweden*

August 31, 2022

*Electronic address: `jobj8920@student.uu.se`

Problem description

consider the KPP (Kolmogorov–Petrovsky–Piskunov) rotating wave problem

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t u + \nabla \cdot f(u) &= 0 & (\mathbf{x}, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T] \\ u(\mathbf{x}, t) &= u_0(\mathbf{x}) & \mathbf{x} \in \Omega\end{aligned}$$

The initial condition is defined as

$$u_0(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} \frac{14\pi}{4} & \text{if } \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \\ \frac{\pi}{4} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

A natural first step is to consider the GFEM formulation, for which the weak formulation is first needed. It reads:

Find $u \in H^1$ such that

$$(\partial_t u, v) + (f'(u) \nabla u, v) = 0 \quad \forall v \in H_0^1 \quad (1)$$

The advection term has been expanded. The solution u can be approximated by $u_h \in V_h \subset H_1$, $\dim(v_h) < \infty$. This culminates into the GFEM formulation which reads:

Find $u_h \in V_h$ such that

$$(\partial_t u_h, v) + (f'(u_h) \nabla u_h, v) = 0 \quad \forall v \in v_{h,0} \subset H_0^1 \quad (2)$$

$$\begin{aligned}V_{h,0} = \{v(x, t) : v(x, t) \in C^0(\tau_h), \forall t \in (0, T], v|_K \in P_1(K), \forall K \in \tau_h \\ v = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\} \quad (3)\end{aligned}$$

K are the elements on the mesh τ that approximates the region Ω

$\tau_h = \{K\}$, K -elements, $h_k = \text{diam}(K)$ - meshsize, $\{N_h\}$ - set of all nodes on τ_h

$\{N_j\}$ denotes the set of all internal nodes and $\{N_b\}$ the set of all boundary nodes. Since $u_h \in V_h$, $\exists \{U\}_{N_j \in N_h}$ such that

$$u_h(x, t) = \sum_{N_j \in N_h} U_j(t) \varphi_j(x) \quad (4)$$

where $\varphi_j(x)$ are the appropriate hat functions.

The GFEM formulation now reads: Find $U_j \in N_j$ such that

$$\sum_{N_j \in N_h} (\partial_t U_j \varphi_j, \varphi_i) + \sum_{N_j \in N_h} (f'(U_j) \nabla \varphi_j U_j, \varphi_i) = 0 \quad \forall \varphi_i \in v_{h,0} \quad (5)$$

recognize that this yields a system of equations consisting of the Mass matrix M and the Convection matrix $C(U_j)$

$$M \partial \mathbf{U} + C(\mathbf{U}) \mathbf{U} = 0 \quad (6)$$

where $C(\mathbf{U})_{ij} = f'(\mathbf{U}) \nabla \varphi_j \varphi_i$. Crank Nicholson discretization approximates the time derivative and we get

$$M \frac{\mathbf{U}^{n+1} - \mathbf{U}^n}{\Delta t} + \frac{1}{2} \left(C(\mathbf{U}^{n+1}) \mathbf{U}^{n+1} + C(\mathbf{U}^n) \mathbf{U}^n \right) = 0 \quad (7)$$

solve for \mathbf{U}^{n+1}

$$\left(\frac{M}{\Delta t} + \frac{C(\mathbf{U}^{n+1})}{2} \right) \mathbf{U}^{n+1} = \left(\frac{M}{\Delta t} - \frac{C(\mathbf{U}^n)}{2} \right) \mathbf{U}^n \quad (8)$$

It is apparent that the nonlinear term on the left hand side $A(\mathbf{U}^{n+1}) \mathbf{U}^{n+1}$ needs to be linearized. There are many ways to do this, and for simplicity (not for accuracy) sake, Piccard's iteration will be utilized. A better alternative would be to use an optimizing algorithm out of the large family of newton based methods.

Piccard iteration works by linearizing the term $A(\mathbf{U}) \mathbf{U}$ by shifting one of the variables index as $A(\mathbf{U}^k) \mathbf{U}^{k+1}$ in order to solve for the next solution \mathbf{U}^{k+1} until sufficient convergence is reached $\|\mathbf{U}^{k+1} - \mathbf{U}^k\| < TOL$ for some tolerance TOL . The algorithm for the KPP problem is

Algorithm 1: Piccard iteration for KPP problem

```
1 Set a tolerance  $TOL = 10^{-6}$ ,  $error = \infty$  and an initial solution  $\mathbf{U}^k = \mathbf{U}^0$ 
2 while  $error < TOL$  do
3    $bx = \partial_x f(\mathbf{U}^k)$ 
4    $by = \partial_y f(\mathbf{U}^k)$ 
5   Assemble convection matrix  $C(\mathbf{U}^k, bx, by)$ 
6    $\mathbf{U}^{k+1} = \left( \frac{M}{\Delta t} + \frac{C(\mathbf{U}^k)}{2} \right) / \left( \frac{M}{\Delta t} - \frac{C(\mathbf{U}^k)}{2} \right) \mathbf{U}^k$ 
7    $\mathbf{U}^k = \mathbf{U}^{k+1}$ 
8    $error = \|\mathbf{U}^{k+1} - \mathbf{U}^k\|$ 
9 end
10  $\mathbf{U}^{n+1} = \mathbf{U}^k$ 
```

Implementation