

Quadratic Programming: Gradient projection and Interior point methods

Oscar S. Dalmau Cedeño
dalmau@cimat.mx

23 de octubre de 2018

- 1 Quadratic Programming with box constraints
- 2 Interior-Point Methods

Quadratic Programming with box constraints:

$$\min q(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{G} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} \quad (1)$$

$$s.a. : \mathbf{l} \leq \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{u} \quad (2)$$

where $\mathbf{G} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is symmetric and $\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

The *gradient projection algorithm* is a two stage iterative method

First stage: (Cauchy Point)

- Use the steepest descent direction $-g$ from the current point x , If a bound is found, the search direction changes in order to maintain feasibility.
- We search along the resulting piecewise-linear path and locate the first local minimizer x^c (Cauchy point) of $q(\cdot)$.
- The *working set* $\mathcal{A}(x^c)$ is defined as the set of bound constraints that are active at x_c .

Second stage: (Subspace minimization) In each iteration, we solve a subproblem subject to the active components, ie, we fix $x_i = x_i^c, i \in \mathcal{A}(x^c)$ and solve for the remainder variables.

Cauchy Point

- First, we build a feasible piecewise-linear path.
- Then, we minimize $q(\cdot)$ along this path

Cauchy Point: piecewise-linear path

- We can generate the piecewise-linear path by projecting the steepest descent direction onto the feasible box
- Projection operator onto the feasible region. The component i is defined as follows:

$$P(\mathbf{x}; l, u)_i = \begin{cases} l_i & \text{if } x_i < l_i \\ x_i & \text{if } l_i \leq x_i \leq u_i \\ u_i & \text{if } x_i > u_i \end{cases}$$

where $l \prec u$.

Cauchy Point: piecewise-linear path

The piecewise-linear path $x(t)$ of $x - tg$ for $t \geq 0$, ie, the ray that start x in the direction $-g$, is

$$x(t) = P(x - tg; l, u), \quad t \geq 0$$

where $l \prec u$ and $g = Gx - c$

Cauchy Point

The Cauchy point x^c is defined as the first minimizer of $q()$ along the piecewise-linear path $x(t)$, ie, $x^c := x(t^*)$ where

$$t^* = \min\{\arg \min_{t \geq 0} q(x(t))\} \quad (3)$$

Cauchy Point: piecewise-linear path

- The minimizer is obtained by analyzing the sequence of line segments of $x(t)$
- Then, we need to compute the sequence of breakpoints $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots$

Piecewise-linear path: breakpoints

- The general idea is to find the bounds \bar{t}_i for each component along the direction $-\mathbf{g}$, then the duplicates are deleted and the remaining values are sorted.

Piecewise-linear path: breakpoints

Bounds \bar{t}_i for each component: from $x_i - tg_i \in [l_i, u_i]$, ie,

$$l_i \leq x_i - tg_i \leq u_i$$

note that $r_i(t) = x_i - tg_i$, $t \geq 0$ is a ray with slope $-g_i$

- 1 If $-g_i > 0$ the ray is increasing and we obtain an upper bound $x_i - \bar{t}_i g_i = u_i$, if $u_i < \infty$; ie, $\bar{t}_i = \frac{x_i - u_i}{g_i}$
- 2 If $-g_i < 0$ the ray is decreasing and we obtain a lower bound $x_i - \bar{t}_i g_i = l_i$, if $l_i > -\infty$; ie, $\bar{t}_i = \frac{x_i - l_i}{g_i}$
- 3 If $(-g_i > 0 \text{ and } u_i = \infty)$ or $(-g_i < 0 \text{ and } l_i = -\infty)$ then $\bar{t}_i = \infty$
- 4 If $g_i = 0$ then \bar{t}_i can take any value, in particular $\bar{t}_i = \infty$ to indicate that there is not breakpoint

Piecewise-linear path: breakpoints

$$\bar{t}_i = \begin{cases} \frac{x_i - u_i}{g_i} & \text{if } -g_i > 0 \text{ and } u_i < \infty \\ \frac{x_i - l_i}{g_i} & \text{if } -g_i < 0 \text{ and } l_i > -\infty \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

To obtain the breakpoints, we remove duplicates and zeros from the set $\{\bar{t}_1, \bar{t}_2, \dots\}$, finally the reduced set is sorted and we obtain the set of breakpoints $\{t_1, t_2, \dots\}$ with

$$0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots$$

Cauchy Point computation

For computing the Cauchy Point, we analyze the sequence of intervals $[0, t_1]$, $[t_1, t_2]$, $[t_2, t_3]$, \dots and compute the first minimizer of $q(x(t))$ for $t \in [t_{j-1}, t_j]$, $j = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Cauchy Point computation

- Suppose we have analyzed up to the breakpoint t_{j-1} and have not yet found a local minimizer (at the beginning $t_{-1} = 0$)
- Then, the next step is to analyze the interval $[t_{j-1}, t_j]$.

Cauchy Point computation

- As $t \in [t_{j-1}, t_j]$ then $x(t) \in [x(t_{j-1}), x(t_j)]$.
- The previous segment line can be reparametrized, ie, subtracting side by side t_{j-1} ,
 $\tau \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} t - t_{j-1} \in [t_{j-1} - t_{j-1}, t_j - t_{j-1}]$ in order to simplify notation as follows:

$$x(\tau) = x(t_{j-1}) + \tau p^{j-1} \quad (4)$$

where $\tau := t - t_{j-1} \in [0, t_j - t_{j-1}]$ and

$$p_i^{j-1} = \begin{cases} -g_i & \text{if } t_{j-1} < \bar{t}_i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The first condition corresponds to the feasible region, otherwise the path is on the face of the feasible box.

Cauchy Point computation

We can write the function $q(\cdot)$ on the segment $[x(t_{j-1}), x(t_j)]$ by substituting $x(\tau) = x(t_{j-1}) + \tau p^{j-1}$, ie

$$q(x(\tau)) = \frac{1}{2}\alpha_{j-1}\tau^2 + \beta_{j-1}\tau + \gamma_{j-1}; \tau \in [0, t_j - t_{j-1}]$$

$$\gamma_{j-1} = \frac{1}{2}x(t_{j-1})^T \mathbf{G}x(t_{j-1}) - \mathbf{c}^T x(t_{j-1})$$

$$\beta_{j-1} = x(t_{j-1})^T \mathbf{G}p^{j-1} - \mathbf{c}^T p^{j-1}$$

$$\alpha_{j-1} = (p^{j-1})^T \mathbf{G}p^{j-1}$$

Cauchy Point computation

Then $\tau^* = -\frac{b}{a}$ and we can consider three cases:

- a) If $\tau^* < 0$ then there is a minimizer at $\tau^* = 0$, ie
 $t = t_{j-1}$
- b) If $\tau^* \in [0, t_j - t_{j-1})$ then there is a minimizer at
 $t = t_{j-1} + \tau^*$
- c) If $\tau^* \geq t_j - t_{j-1}$ then we analyze the next interval
 $t \in [t_j, t_{j+1}]$

Subspace minimization

After computing the Cauchy point \mathbf{x}^c we compute the active set, ie, the components \mathbf{x}^c equal to the lower or upper bounds

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{x}^c) = \{i \mid x_i^c = l_i \text{ or } x_i^c = u_i\}$$

In the second stage of the algorithm, we approximately solve the QP problem obtained by setting $x_i = x_i^c$ for $i \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{x}^c)$

Subspace minimization

Then we approximately solve

$$\begin{aligned} \min q(\mathbf{x}) &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{G} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} \\ \text{s.t:} \quad &x_i = x_i^c, \quad i \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{x}^c) \\ &l_i \leq x_i \leq u_i, \quad i \notin \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{x}^c) \end{aligned}$$

Subspace minimization

- Since the previous subproblem may be as difficult as the original, for example, in large scale problems, we do not solve this problem completely.
- In order to obtain global convergence of the gradient projection procedure, we require only to find an approximate solution x^+ such that $q(x^+) \leq q(x^c)$, ie, the objective function value is not worse than that obtained at x^c
- We can simply use $x^+ = x^c$ or we can apply conjugate gradient to the problem and terminate as soon as a bound $l \leq x \leq u$ is encountered

Gradient Projection Method for QP

Compute x_0

for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ **do**

if x^k satisfies the KKT conditions of the original problem **then**

Stop $x^* = x^k$

end if

 Set $x = x^k$ and compute the Cauchy point x^c

 Find an approximate solution x^+ of the problem such that $q(x^+) \leq q(x^c)$ and

x^+ is feasible

$x^{k+1} = x^+$

end for

Métodos de Punto Interior para QP

- Consideremos el siguiente problema de programación cuadrática :

$$\min q(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{G} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} \quad (5)$$

$$s.a. : A\mathbf{x} \succeq \mathbf{b}, \quad (6)$$

donde $\mathbf{G} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ es una matriz simétrica semi positiva definida (caso convexo)

Las condiciones de KKT para $(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ son

$$\nabla \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \mathbf{G}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{c} - A^T \boldsymbol{\lambda} = 0 \quad (7)$$

$$A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b} \preceq 0 \quad (8)$$

$$\lambda_i (A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b})_i = 0 \quad (9)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\lambda} \preceq 0 \quad (10)$$

Añadiendo variables de holgura \mathbf{y} , las KKT's se reescriben

$$\mathbf{G}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{c} - \mathbf{A}^T\boldsymbol{\lambda} = 0 \quad (11)$$

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{b} = 0 \quad (12)$$

$$\lambda_i y_i = 0 \quad (13)$$

$$(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \mathbf{y}) \succeq 0 \quad (14)$$

Método Primal-Dual

O simplemente usamos la siguiente notación

$$F(x, y, \lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{G}x - A^T \lambda + c \\ Ax - y - b \\ Y \Lambda e \end{bmatrix} = 0 \quad (15)$$

$$(\lambda, y) \succeq 0 \quad (16)$$

donde $F(x, \lambda, s) : \mathbb{R}^{(n+2m)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{(n+2m)}$ y

$$Y = \text{diag}\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m\} \quad (17)$$

$$\Lambda = \text{diag}\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m\} \quad (18)$$

$$e = [1, 1, \dots, 1]^T \quad (19)$$

que podemos resolver usando Newton, seleccionando el tamaño de paso de modo que $(\lambda, y) \succeq 0$

Version Central Path Following

O podemos usar la version *perturbada* del camino central

$$F(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}; \sigma\mu) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{G}\mathbf{x} - A^T\boldsymbol{\lambda} + \mathbf{c} \\ A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{b} \\ Y\boldsymbol{\Lambda}e - \sigma\mu \end{bmatrix} = 0 \quad (20)$$

donde $\mu = \frac{\mathbf{y}^T\boldsymbol{\lambda}}{m}$ y $\sigma \in [0, 1]$.

Podemos resolver usando el método de Newton.

Ver la versión del **Central Path Following** para programación Lineal.

Algoritmo Predictor-Corrector para QP

Otra forma es usando la estrategia Predictor-Corrector para QP

Paso Predictor: Primero se calcula el paso afin y el tamaño de paso que garantice factibilidad, luego se calculan μ , μ_{aff} y el parámetro de centralidad σ .

Paso Corrector: Se calcula el paso corrector, el tamaño de paso que garantice factibilidad y se actualiza la próxima iteración.

Paso Predictor

Se calcula el paso predictor $(\Delta x^{aff}, \Delta y^{aff}, \Delta \lambda^{aff})$ considerando $\sigma = 0$. Para lo cual se resuelve el sistema

$$\begin{bmatrix} G & 0 & -A^T \\ A & -I & 0 \\ 0 & \Lambda & Y \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta x^{aff} \\ \Delta y^{aff} \\ \Delta \lambda^{aff} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -r_c \\ -r_b \\ -Y\Lambda e \end{bmatrix} \quad (21)$$

con $r_c = \mathbf{G}\mathbf{x} - A^T\boldsymbol{\lambda} + \mathbf{c}$ y $r_b = A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{b}$

Tamaño de paso: Paso Predictor

Luego se determina la calidad de dicha dirección, a través de α_{aff}^{pri} y α_{aff}^{dua}

$$\alpha_{aff}^{pri} = \min \left(1, \min_{i: \Delta y_i^{aff} < 0} -\frac{y_i}{\Delta y_i^{aff}} \right) \quad (22)$$

$$\alpha_{aff}^{dua} = \min \left(1, \min_{i: \Delta \lambda_i^{aff} < 0} -\frac{\lambda_i}{\Delta \lambda_i^{aff}} \right) \quad (23)$$

Es decir, se calculan los máximos tamaños de pasos permitidos a lo largo de la dirección affine scaling.

Paso Predictor

Luego se calcula la *medida de dualidad* μ_{aff} (affine duality measure), que es el paso que lleva a la frontera

$$\mu_{aff} = (y + \alpha_{aff}^{pri} \Delta y^{aff})^T (\lambda + \alpha_{aff}^{dua} \Delta \lambda^{aff}) / m \quad (24)$$

y el *parametro de centrado* (centering parameter)

$$\sigma = \left(\frac{\mu_{aff}}{\mu} \right)^3 \quad (25)$$

Tamaño de paso: Paso Predictor

O simplemente se puede determinar un solo tamaño de paso

α_{aff}

$$\alpha_{aff} = \max\{\alpha \in (0, 1] \mid (\mathbf{y}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) + \alpha(\Delta \mathbf{y}^{aff}, \Delta \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{aff}) \succeq 0\} \quad (26)$$

y en este caso la *medida de dualidad* es

$$\mu_{aff} = (\mathbf{y} + \alpha_{aff} \Delta \mathbf{y}^{aff})^T (\boldsymbol{\lambda} + \alpha_{aff} \Delta \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{aff}) / m \quad (27)$$

y el *parametro de centrado*

$$\sigma = \left(\frac{\mu_{aff}}{\mu} \right)^3 \quad (28)$$

Paso Corrector

Para el paso corrector se resuelve el sistema de ecuaciones, ie se calcula la direccion $(\Delta x, \Delta y, \Delta \lambda)$

$$\begin{bmatrix} G & 0 & -A^T \\ A & -I & 0 \\ 0 & \Lambda & Y \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta x \\ \Delta y \\ \Delta \lambda \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -r_c \\ -r_b \\ -Y\Lambda e - \Delta Y^{aff} \Delta \Lambda^{aff} e + \sigma \mu e \end{bmatrix}$$

Tamaño de paso: Paso Correcto

Deteminar un solo tamaño de paso $\hat{\alpha}$

$$\hat{\alpha} = \max\{\alpha \in (0, 1] | (\mathbf{y}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) + \alpha(\Delta\mathbf{y}, \Delta\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \succeq 0\} \quad (29)$$

Otra forma $\hat{\alpha} = \min(\alpha_{\tau}^{prim}, \alpha_{\tau}^{dual})$, donde

$$\alpha_{\tau}^{prim} = \max\{\alpha \in (0, 1] | \mathbf{y} + \alpha\Delta\mathbf{y} \succeq (1 - \tau)\mathbf{y}\} \quad (30)$$

$$\alpha_{\tau}^{dual} = \max\{\alpha \in (0, 1] | \boldsymbol{\lambda} + \alpha\Delta\boldsymbol{\lambda} \succeq (1 - \tau)\boldsymbol{\lambda}\} \quad (31)$$

Algoritmo Predictor-Corrector para QP

Calcular $(\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{y}_0, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_0)$ con $(\mathbf{y}_0, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_0) \succ 0$

for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ **do**

Calcular el paso predictor o afin $(\Delta \mathbf{x}^{aff}, \Delta \mathbf{y}^{aff}, \Delta \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{aff})$

Calcular $\mu = \mathbf{y}^T \boldsymbol{\lambda} / m$

Calcular el tamaño de paso predictor

$\alpha_{aff} = \max\{\alpha \in (0, 1] \mid (\mathbf{y}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) + \alpha(\Delta \mathbf{y}^{aff}, \Delta \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{aff}) \succeq 0\}$

Calcular $\mu_{aff} = (\mathbf{y} + \alpha_{aff} \Delta \mathbf{y}^{aff})^T (\boldsymbol{\lambda} + \alpha_{aff} \Delta \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{aff}) / m$

Calcular el parametro de centrado $\sigma = (\frac{\mu_{aff}}{\mu})^3$

Calcular el paso corrector $(\Delta \mathbf{x}, \Delta \mathbf{y}, \Delta \boldsymbol{\lambda})$

Calcular el tamaño de paso corrector $\hat{\alpha}$

Actualiza $(\mathbf{x}_{k+1}, \mathbf{y}_{k+1}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k+1}) = (\mathbf{x}_k, \mathbf{y}_k, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_k) + \hat{\alpha}(\Delta \mathbf{x}, \Delta \mathbf{y}, \Delta \boldsymbol{\lambda})$

end for

Comentarios

- Punto Inicial: Se puede usar cualquiera de las estrategias usadas en Programación lineal
- Criterio de Paro

$$\|\mathbf{G}\mathbf{x}_{k+1} + \mathbf{c} - \mathbf{A}^T \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k+1}\|_2^2 \leq \epsilon \quad (32)$$

$$\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{y}_{k+1} - \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 \leq \epsilon \quad (33)$$

$$\mathbf{y}_{k+1}^T \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k+1} \leq \epsilon \quad (34)$$