# List of Tables

6.1	Phylogroup distribution of sequenced $E.\ coi$ isolates	3
6.2	Frequency of identification of plasmid replicons	46
6.3	Details of samples included in global phylogeny	52

# List of Figures

6.1	Species read assignment of sequenced isolates	30
6.2	N50 and total assembly length for included assemblies $\dots \dots \dots$	31
6.3	$E.\ coli$ multilocus sequence type distribution	32
6.4	Phylogenetic tree of study E. coli	33
6.5	Phylogenetic tree of study $E.\ coli$ in global context	35
6.6	Phylogeny of ST131,ST410 and ST167 E. coli	36
6.7	Frequency distribution of AMR genes	38
6.8	Quinolone resistance mutations and phenotypic resistance	40
6.9	Aminoglycoside resistance mutations and phenotypic resistance	41
6.10	Chloramphenicol and cotrimoxazole resistance mutations and phenotypic resis-	
	tance	43
6.11	Co-occurance and lineage association of AMR genes	44
6.12	Networks of co-occuring AMR genes	45
6.13	AMR genes and plasmid replicons mapped back to tree	45

## Chapter 6

# The genomic landscape of ESBL producing *E. coli* in Blantyre, Malawi

## 6.1 Chapter overview

In this chapter I present the results of whole-genome sequencing of 473  $E.\ coli$  isolates, cultured from 230 participants at a median of 2 (IQR 1-5) time points per participant. These represent one colony pick from all stool samples which cultured  $E.\ coli$  up to the time of shipping in October 2018. The isolates were largely phylogroup A (43% [204/473]), and multilocus sequence type 131 was the most common ST (14% [64/473]). A global collection of 800  $E.\ coli$  genomes was used to put the isolates from this study in a global context and a maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree constructed. Isolates from this study were largely spread throughout the tree, but there were exceptions: Malawian ST410 and ST167 were monophyletic suggesting perhaps recent introduction to Malawi. These are recognised emerging high-risk clones. These findings suggest that there is relatively unrestricted mixing of  $E.\ coli$  between Blantyre and the rest of the world.

A diverse range on AMR genes were identified, with a median 16 (IQR 12-17) per isolate. ESBL genes were largely of the  $bla_{CTXM}$  family, and dominated by  $bla_{CTXM-15}$ . The abundance of genes seems to reflect local antibiotic pressures: genes conferring cotrimoxazole and aminoglycoside resistance were almost ubiquitous, and quinolone, chloramphenicol and tetracycline resistance genes also frequently seen. Carbapenem antibiotics are rarely available, and carbapenem resistance genes rare. One carbapenemase, a  $bla_{NDM-5}$ , was identified, on

an IncX plasmid very similar to one first identified in India around 2011. To my knowledge the first carbapenemase described in Malawi. Its identification despite little antibiotic pressure along with the apparent ease international of spread of *E. coli* suggested by this analysis suggest widespread resistance could rapidly emerge following wider carbapenem roll out. Antibiotic stewardship interventions that can balance access to and restriction of last line antibiotics in low resource settings are urgently needed.

## 6.2 Introduction and chapter aims

The previous chapter presented an overview of the longitudinal ESBL-E sampling that was undertaken in this study. It is the overall aim of this thesis to use whole genome sequencing (WGS) as a high-resolution typing method to longitudinally track bacteria within participants. In this chapter, however, I present a descriptive analysis of the genomes of 473 E. coli isolates sequenced for this study, before moving on to this specific aim in the following chapter. The aims of the analysis presented in this chapter are, therefore:

- 1. Perform quality assurance and control of the sequenced genomes for downstream analysis.
- 2. Place the isolates from this study in a global context using phylogenetics and a global *E. coli* collection comprised of available genomes.
- 3. Provide a description of the AMR determinants in the sequenced E. coli isolates.

#### 6.3 Methods

#### 6.3.1 Bioinformatic pipeline

The basic bioinformatic pipeline used is described in detail in Chapter 2, methods. Briefly, one  $E.\ coli$  colony from each patient sample was picked for DNA extraction and paired-end short-read whole genome sequencing (WGS) using Illumina HiSeq X10 at the Wellcome Sanger Institute (WSI). Read quality control was undertaken with Kraken v0.10.6 and Braken v1.0 to assign reads to species[1] and WSI QC pipeline which maps a random 100 Mbases from each sample to a reference and calculates depth of coverage, number of heterogeneous SNPs, GC content and insert size. Samples that contained > 80% non  $E\ coli$  reads were discarded the remainder were  $de\ novo$  assembled with SPAdes v3.11.0[2]. Assembly statistics were calculated with QUAST v4.6.0[3] and completeness and contamination of the assemblies assessed by checkM v1.0.7[4]. Contaminated assemblies (with checkM-defined contamination of > 25%) or poor assemblies (with less than 1Mb assembled length) were discarded. Annotation was

6.3. METHODS 29

carried out with prokka v1.5[5] with a genus specific database from RefSeq and the Roary v1.007 pan-genome pipeline[6] was used to identify a core genome. A core gene multiple sequence alignment was generated using maaft v7.205[7], SNP-sites identified using SNP-sites v2.4.1[8] and the resultant SNP alignment used to build a maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree using IQ-TREE v1.6.3[9], using ascertainment bias correction to correct for the fact that the input pseudosequence contained only variable sites, and using the ModelFinder module used to find the best fitting nucleotide substitution model. This calculates the likelihood of a number of different models and chooses the model with the highest (best fitting) Bayesian Information Criterion, a statistic which penalises model parameters. Reliability of inferred branch partitions was assessed with 1000 bootstrap replicates. Trees were visualised in the ggtree v1.14.4 package[10] in R.

ARIBA v2.12.1[11] was used to identify AMR-associated genes using the SRST2 database[12], to identify plasmid replicons using the PlasmidFinder database[13] and to perform  $in\ silico\ muli-locus\ sequence\ typing\ (MLST)\ using the database from http://mlst.warwick.ac.uk/mlst/dbs/Ecoli accessed via www.pubmlst.org. The <math>\beta$ -lactamase genes ampC1, ampC2 and ampH were excluded from the analysis of AMR determinants as they do not usually cause a resistant phenotype in  $E.\ coli$ . Because quinolone resistance often results from SNPs in the chromosome in the quinolone resistance determining regions (QRDRs) of the gyrA, gyrB, parE and parC genes - rather than acquisition of whole AMR-determining genes, as is the case with the other genes sought by ARIBA - these genes were downloaded from the comprehensive antimicrobial resistance database (CARD, https://card.mcmaster.ca/) and ARIBA used to call SNPs in them, with default settings.  $E.\ coli$  phylogrouping was performed with a quadruplex  $in\ silico\ PCR$  using the Clermont scheme[14] and  $isPcr\ v33x2$  (https://github.com/bowhan/kent/tree/master/src/isPcr)

#### 6.3.2 Global *E. coli* collection

In order to place the isolates from this study in a global context, published *E. coli* assemblies were downloaded from the WSI servers. These included 149 ESBL-producing *E. coli* from a single centre study in Chachoengsao province, eastern Thailand[15]. In this study, human clinical isolates from standard care in Bhuddhasothorn hospital were selected on the basis of the ESBL phenotype, and environmental samples were collected as part of a cross sectional study and selectively cultured for ESBL-E in 2014-2015. I also downloaded assemblies of 362 enterotoxogenic *E. coli* (ETEC), selected for an ETEC genomic study from the Gothenburg University ETEC collection to represent a broad collection of ETEC isolated worldwide from 1980-2011[16]; 185 atypical enteropathogenic *E. coli* (aEPEC) sequenced for a study of aEPEC and selected from samples from the Global Enteric Multicentre Study (GEMS) in seven

centres in Africa and Asia between 2007-2011[17]; and 94 *E. coli* from QECH in Blantyre, Malawi, a combination of invasive (bloodstream and CSF) and carriage isolates, selected for diversity in AMR phenotype from 1996-2014[18]. Details of the included samples are given in the appendix to this chapter.

Phylogroup and MLST were determined for these context genomes as described above. AMR genes were identified with Ariba and the SRST2 database, as above, and context genomes were classified as ESBL if they contained any Group 2be ESBL gene (see Bush-Jacoby scheme in Chapter 1).

#### 6.3.3 Statistical analysis

Association of AMR genes with phenotype was expressed as odds ratios and tests of association used Fisher's exact test. In order to explore clustering of AMR genes, the Jaccard index was calculated for a given AMR-gene pair using the *philentropy* v0.3.0 package in R. The Jaccard index, a measure of the similarity of two sets of data, is defined as *intersection over union*; in this context, for a given pair of AMR genes x and y, the Jaccard index J(x,y) is the number of isolates that contain both gene x and y divided by the total number that contain either x or y. By definition it lies between 0 (x and y never co-occur) and 1 (x and y always co-occur). Co-occurrence matrices using the Jaccard index were plotted using the *pheatmap* v1.0.12 package in R. The statistical significance of co-occurrence of genes was assessed by generating 2x2 contingency tables for a given gene pair and x0.05 was considered statistically significant. Co-occurrence networks of genes occurring commonly together (defined as Jaccard index > 0.5) at a rate greater than expected by chance (x0.05 following Bonferroni correction) were plotted using x1.2.2[19] and x2 and x3 are approximately 1.0.2 in R.

#### 6.4 Results

#### 6.4.1 Samples and quality assurance and control

There is a detailed description of microbiological procedures in Chapter 2. In total, 519 E. coli underwent DNA extraction and were shipped from Malawi to WSI; these represented all sequential isolates at the time of final DNA extraction, which occurred in two batches in February 2018 and October 2018. Kracken/Bracken read assignment of these samples is shown in Figure 6.1. The majority of samples have > 90% or reads assigned to E. coli; a minority have < 90% of reads assigned to E. coli but a very closely related species such as Shigella, and

as such are likely to be pure  $E.\ coli$  culture with read misclassification. However, 12 samples have > 80% reads assigned to a non-  $E.\ coli$  species such as  $Klebsiella\ pneumoniae$ . These samples were assumed to represent upstream species misidentification, and were excluded. There also exists the possibility of within-participant transcription error. In the freezer archive, all samples from a single participant at a single time point have the same sample ID, making an error possible; by definition (as only one  $E.\ coli$  was sequenced at any time point in any individual) this would result in a species substitution. Samples from different time points and different participants are clearly demarcated however, making between-time point or between-participant errors very unlikely. Any such error would therefore result in a sample being identified as non-  $E.\ coli$  and exclusion from the analysis, reducing power but not introducing bias.

Of the remaining 507 samples, there were a median (IQR) of  $2.3 \times 10^6$  ( $2.1 - 2.5 \times 10^6$ ) reads, with a median (IQR) depth of coverage (obtained by mapping a random 100Mbases to a reference  $E.\ coli$  genome, Escherichia coli strain K-12 substrain MG1655, NCBI reference NC\_000913.3) of 58 (51-66). One sample had an order of magnitude lower number of reads  $(2.9 \times 10^5)$  with depth of coverage 0; this was assumed to represent sequencing failure and it was excluded from further analysis.

The output from quast and checkM are shown in Figure 6.2, where N50 (the minimum contig length upon which at least half assembled bases are contained) is plotted as a function of total assembled length. The expected  $E.\ coli$  genome length is around 4.6Mb and most samples cluster close to this at a total assembled length of  $\sim 5$ Mb. However it is clear that some assemblies have failed, with low N50 and low assembled length. It is also apparent that some samples seem to be contaminated, as indicated by low N50 and much longer than expected total assembled length. Defining assembly failure as < 1Mb assembled length (triangles in the plot, n = 9) and contamination as checkM-defined contamination of > 25% (blue points in the plot, n = 24) and excluding both groups results in 33 further samples being excluded from further analysis.

In total, therefore, 46/519 (9%) of samples which were submitted for sequencing were excluded from downstream analysis. The remaining 473 samples represent 69% (474/686) of the cultured  $E.\ coli$  in this study, and were recovered from 230 participants. 354 are from patients with sepsis, 86 are from hospitalised inpatients and 33 are from community members, with a median of 2 (range 1-5) samples per participant.




Figure 6.1: Species read assignment of all samples. Each horizontal bar is one sample. Most samples have > 90% reads assigned to E.~coli or related species (e.g. Shigella~spp.) but twelve samples are likely not E.~coli and excluded from further analysis.




Figure 6.2: N50 as a function of total assembled length. Failed assemblies with less than 1Mb assembled shown as triangles. Contaminated assemblies with checkM-defined contamination above 25% shown in blue. Both of these groups of assemblies were excluded from further analysis.

Table 6.1: Phylogroup distribution of sequenced E. coi isolates.

Phylogroup	n
A	204/473 (43%)
B2	$96/473 \ (20\%)$
$\mathbf{F}$	53/473 (11%)
B1	$43/473 \ (9\%)$
C	$43/473 \ (9\%)$
D	26/473~(5%)
Unknown	6/473~(1%)
Clade I or II	2/473~(0%)

#### 6.4.2 Phylogroup, MLST and core genome phylogeny of study isolates

The commonest *E. coli* phylogroup was phylogroup A, followed by phylogroup B2, F, B1 and C and D (Table 6.1). Two samples were Clade I or II (so called cryptic clades) and six were unknown phylogroup using the Clermont PCR scheme. In the MLST analysis, 56 recognised sequence types (STs) were identified, and 12 samples were novel STs; however over half (249/473 [53%]) of samples were represented by the top seven most frequent STs (Figure 6.3). ST131 was the most commonly isolated sequence type (64/473 [14%] of isolates) followed by ST410 (45/473 [10%] of isolates) and ST167 (38/473 [8%] of isolates).

The Roary pan-genome pipeline identified a core genome in the study isolates of 2966 genes,




Figure 6.3: E. coli multilocus sequence type distribution

with a pan-genome of 26,840 genes. The resultant core gene pseudosequence of length 1,388,742 bases contained 99,693 variable sites, which were used to infer the maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree. The IQTREE ModelFinder module determined that a general time reversible (GTR) model with FreeRate site heterogeneity with 5 parameters provided the best fit to the data. The inferred tree is shown in Figure 6.4 along with isolate phylogroup and sequence types; in general, as expected, sequence types were largely monophyletic and phylogroups tended to cluster together.

#### 6.4.3 Study isolates in a global context

The global collection of E. coli comprised 1273 samples, including the 473 from this study. 753/1253 (60%) were from Africa, 335/1253 (27%) from Asia and 167 (13%) from South America. The majority of samples, 1026/1253 (82%), were from stool, with 106/1253 (8%) truly invasive samples from blood or CSF and 63/1253 (5%) possibly invasive samples from urine, pus, or sputum. 65/1253 (5%) samples were environmental, all from Thailand. 670/1253 (53%) of samples contained at least one ESBL-encoding gene. The majority of isolates with an ESBL gene (622/670 [92%]) came from this study or the Thai ESBL study, a potential source of bias. Phylogroup A was the commonest phylogroup in the global collection (482/1273 [38%]), followed by B1 (333/1273 [26%]) and B2 (191/1273 [15%]); phylogroup C was uncommon in the global collection (74/1273 [6%]) but the majority of the phylogroup C samples came from this study (43/74 [58%]). All of these 43 phylogroup C isolates belonged to a single ST, ST410; this ST was not seen at all in the previous Malawian study of largely invasive isolates, despite being the second-commonest ST in this study, and was unusual in the global collection (11/800 [1%] ST410 in global collection vs 43/473 [9%] in this study). Similarly, the third-commonest ST in this study, ST167, was not seen at all in the global collection. However, ST131, the commonest ST in this study, was again the commonest ST in the global collection.




Figure 6.4: Midpoint rooted maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree of included study  $E.\ coli$  isolates showing phylogroups and sequence types. Bootstrap support of less than 90% is indicated by a black circle at a given node. Scale bar indicates 0.01 SNPs/site.

The Roary pan-genome pipeline identified 2872 core genes in a pan genome of 44,840 genes; this large pan-genome is consistent with the open *E. coli* pan genome that will continue to increase in size as isolates are added. The core gene alignment contained 604,817 bases with 77,194 variable sites, which were used to infer the maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree, using same nucleotide substitution model as previously.

The phylogeny is reconstructed in Figure 6.5). Isolates from this study are distributed throughout the tree, and there is widespread mixing of isolates from diverse geographic regions. Though invasive isolates are spread throughout the tree, there is a tendency for them to cluster together, particularly in phylogroup B2, a phylogroup with has a recognised association with ExPEC[20]. The Malawian ST410 and ST167 isolates clustered tightly together, but by comparison, ST131 isolates from this study were distributed among ST131 isolates from other studies, both in Malawi and elsewhere (Figure 6.6).

#### 6.4.4 Antimicrobial resistance determinants

All identified AMR genes are shown in Figure 6.7A, alongside a summary of number of isolates with resistance mutations to given antimicrobial classes (Figure 6.7B) and the phenotypic resistance of the isolates for which phenotypic antimicrobial resistance testing was carried out (449/473 [95%]). The isolates contained a median (IQR) of 16 (12-17) resistance genes, and 100 different resistance alleles were identified in total. A description of resistance gene by class is given below.

#### 6.4.4.1 $\beta$ -lactam resistance

All isolates contained at least one gene that conferred resistance to third-generation cephalosporins, either an ESBL gene (n= 472) or a carbapenemase (n=1). The majority of ESBL-gene containing isolates contained only one ESBL gene (459/472 [97%]); fewer contained 2 (13/472 [3%]) and none contained more than 2. The  $bla_{CTX-M}$  family was most commonly represented in this collection, and 319/473 (67%) of isolates contained  $bla_{CTXM-15}$ . (26/473 [5%] of isolates) contained  $bla_{SHV}$  genes. The  $\beta$ -lactamases  $bla_{TEM}$  and  $bla_{OXA}$  were very common, most commonly the penicillinases  $bla_{OXA-1}$  and  $bla_{TEM-1}$  in 186/473 [39%] and 289/473 [61%] of isolates respectively. Plasmid-mediated  $bla_{ampC}$  genes were identified in 45/473 (9%) of isolates, almost all (44/45)  $bla_{CMY-94}$ , which was lineage-restricted to the ST410 isolates. Presence of  $bla_{ampC}$  is unexpected as all of these isolates were confirmed to be ESBL-producers by combination disc testing. This testing uses cephalosporin-containing discs both with and without clavulanic acid, and confirms EBSL production by a difference in zone size between these discs, as ESBL enzymes are inactivated by clavulanic acid. However,




Figure 6.5: Midpoint rooted maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree of included study  $E.\ coli$  place din the context of aglobal collection of genomes, showing phylogroups, source sample type and continent of isolation (coloured bars). Dark grey bars indicate isolates from this study or isolates with ESBL gene presence, as labelled (this study or ESBL, respectively). Three most frequently isolated STs in the current study (131, 410 and 167) labelled. Bootstrap support of less than 90% is indicated by a black circle at a given node. Scale bar indicates 0.009 SNPs/site.




Figure 6.6: Subtree of ST410 (A, left) and ST131 (B, right), and ST167 (C, bottom) showing multiple introductions of ST131 into Malawi, in comparison to a single introduction of clonal ST410 and ST167 clades. Colour of tree tip label indicates isolation from this study (red) or other studies (black), and coloured heatmap indicates country of isolation. Note that the scale bar in C is an order of magnitude different from A and B.

the cephalosporins used in this test are likely to be hydrolysed by ampC enzymes, and if these isolates were producing such enzymes it could confer cephalosporin resistance regardless of the presence or absence of clavulanic acid. This was not the case for any of these isolates; none of them hydrolysed the cephalosporins used in the presence of clavulanic acid. It may be that the  $bla_{CMY}$  genes were not expressed or have been inactivated in the ST410 clade.

The single carbapenemase gene identified was s  $bla_{NDM-5}$ ; the isolate harbouring this gene was recovered from the stool of a 67-year old man with no history of foreign travel nor hospitalisation. He had been admitted to the hospital with fever seven days previously and treated with seven days of intravenous ceftriaxone for sepsis, the source of which was not clear. He made an uneventful recovery, and no carbapenemase-containing isolate was recovered from his stool at any other time. The  $bla_{NDM-5}$  gene was carried on a partially assembled IncX3 plasmid. BLAST of this assembly against the NCBI database showed that this contig had 99% sequence identity with a previously sequenced pNDM-MGR194 46.2 kbp blaNDM-5 containing Inc-X3 plasmid found in India between 2011-13[21]. We fully assembled the plasmid by mapping reads back to pNDM-MGR194 with Burrows-Wheeler alignment and found it to be extremely similar, with only 13 SNPs compared to pNDM-MGR194.

#### 6.4.4.2 Quinolone resistance

Quinolone resistance can be mediated by a number of different mechanisms and at least one known determinant of quinolone resistance was present in 88% (418/473) isolates. 108/473 (23%) of isolates contained one of the the plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance (PMQR) genes qnrS,qnrB or qepA; 172/473 (36%) carried the acetyltransferase aac(6')-Ib-cr which can hydrolyse fluoroquinolones with an amino nitrogen on the piperazinyl ring, such as ciprofloxacin and norfloxacin[22]. Nonsynonymous mutations were identified in at least one of the DNA gyrase subunits gyrA or gyrB or topoisomerase IV subunits parC or parE in 349/449 (78%) of isolates. The majority of these mutations were well-described QRDR mutations (codon 83 and 87 in gyrA, codon 80 and 84 in parC and codon 458 in parE[23], Figure 6.8A), and they tended to cluster together (Figure 6.8B). Mutations in gyrB were very unusual, with only 3 identified in the dataset.

The association between phenotypic and genotypic resistance is complex but some patterns seem clear (Figure 6.8B). The well recognised constellation of mutations in gyrA at codon 83 and 87 and codon 80 of parC were strongly associated with phenotypic ciprofloxacin resistance (OR 7.3 [95% CI 4.4-12.4], p < 0.001 by Fisher's exact test), but gyrA codon 83 mutations alone seemed insufficient: of the 63 samples with this mutation alone and available AST data only 30% (19/63) showed phenotypic resistance. Similarly, presence of the qnrS plasmid-mediated gene seemed insufficient alone to confer phenotypic resistance: of 57 samples




Figure 6.7: A: Frequency distribution of AMR genes identified in isolates. Class of antimicrobial to which gene confers resistance is shown. B: Number of isolates with any mutation to a given class. Any mutation that could possibly confer resistance to a given class is included, including any mutation in the QRDR for quinolones. C: Phenotypic resistance patterns for subset of samples in this analysis that also underwent phenotypic testing (n = 449)

in which this gene was present and AST data were available, only 25/57 (43%) had phenotypic ciprofloxacin resistance by disc diffusion testing.

#### 6.4.4.3 Aminoglycoside resistance

The identified aminoglycoside resistance determinants are shown in Figure 6.9. Most aminoglycoside resistance genes are classified into three families based on their ability to acetylate, phosphorylate, or adenylate amino or hydroxyl groups found at various positions around the aminoglycoside molecule, and are called acetyltransferases (AACs), nucleotidyltransferases (ANTs), or phosphotransferases (APHs)[24]. They usually further identified by the site of action in terms of the aminoglycoside carbon atom upon which they act, subclass, and individual identifier. For example, the enzyme AAC(3)-Ib (gene aac(3)-Ib) refers to an acetyltransferases acting at position 3 of subclass I and individual enzyme identifier b. All enzymes of a given class and subclass would be expected to confer similar resistance. There is also a second nomenclature, where genes are referred to aac, aad (instead of ANT) or aph, along with a letter to indicate side of modification; so aadA, for example, is equivalent to ANT(3")-Ia[24]. There are some genes that confer aminoglycoside resistance in different ways and are not included in this classification: the streptomycin resistance genes strA and strB and the 16S rRNA methylase rmtB that confers resistance to amikacin, gentamicin and gentamicin[25].

Aminoglycoside resistance genes were very common in the sequenced isolates, with 469/473 (99%) of isolates containing at least one aminoglycoside resistance gene, and most containing multiple different genes: median number of aminoglycoside resistance genes per isolate was 4 (IQR 3-5). Despite streptomycin being absent from all Malawian treatment guidelines save for retreatment of tuberculosis, the streptomycin resistance genes strA and strB were near ubiquitous (Figure 6.9). Genes encoding the AAC and ANT enzyme families were commonly seen: genes encoding ANT family enzymes were all aadA alleles (alternately known as aad(3")-Ia) which would be expected to also confer streptomycin resistance. Identified genes encoding AAC family enzymes were aac(3)-Ib and aac(3)-IIa, both of which have been associated with gentamicin resistance, as has aac(6')-Ib-cr which also can confer resistance to quinolones. Genes encoding APH family enzymes were unusual. Four were identified, and were all aph(3')-Ia, which has been associated with amikacin and kanamycin resistance. One rmtB gene was identified, which again has been associated with amikacin resistance.

Genes of the aac family tended to co-occur (Figure 6.9B) and presence of any aac family gene was strongly associated with gentamicin resistance (OR 9.3 [95% CI 6.0-14.8], p < 0.001). Of the five isolates that were resistant to amikacin on AST, two contained aac family genes, five contained aad family genes and none contained rmtB or aph genes.




Figure 6.8: A: Mutations in quinolone resistance-determining regions, showing that most mutations are well-recognised (see text for details) B: Row and column clustered heatmap of commonest QRDR mutations (gyrA83, gyrA87, parC80 or parE458, where number is the codon position of mutation), plamid-mediated quinolone resistance mutations (qnr, qep or aac(6)-Ib-cr) and phenotypic resistance. The constellation of gyrA83, gyrA87 and parC80 is strongly associated with phenotypic resistance, but gyrA83 or qnrS alone are insufficient to confer resistance. Each row is one sample, red = presence, blue = absence. CIP R on AST indicates those samples which are show phenotypic ciprofloxacin resistance on antimicrobial sensitivity testing (AST). Those isolates without AST data are excluded from this heatmap.




Figure 6.9: A: Aminoglycoside mutations classified by expected resistance to gentamicin, amikacin and kanamycin B: Row and column clustered heatmap showning phenotypic amikacin and gentamicin resistance and identified aminoglycoside resistance genes. AadA indicates aadA genes, also referred to as ANT(3")-Ia. Aac3\_IIa and \_IId refers to aac(3)-IIa and -IId genes respectively, and Aac6\_Ib\_cr to aac(6')-Ib-cr. Aph3 referrs to aph(3')-Ia. GEN R on AST and AMK R on AST refer to samples that were resistant to gentamicin or amikacin on antimicrobial sensitivity testing (AST). Each row is one sample, red = presence, blue = absence. Samples lacking AST data were excluded from this heatmap.

#### 6.4.4.4 Chloramphenicol resistance

Presence of chloramphenicol resistance determinants was common; 248/473 (52%) of isolates contained at least one chloramphenicol resistance gene (Figure 6.7), usually 1 (210/248 [85%]), less commonly 2 (37/248 [15%]) or 3 (1/248 [<1%]). The most commonly identified gene was catB4, but presence of catB4 was not associated with phenotypic chloramphenicol resistance (OR 0.9 [95% CI 0.6-1.4], p = 0.65). In comparison, presence of any other chloramphenicol resistance gene was associated with phenotypic resistance (OR 2.5 [95% CI 1.6-3.9], p < 0.001 for a composite variable of all other genes). The reason for this is not clear, but in addition partially assembled catB4 genes were very common; of the 328 isolates in which there was no fully assembled catB4, 93% (306/323) were reported by ARIBA to contain a partially assembled catB4 gene. In many cases, these partial genes seemed to be truncated by an IS26 insertion sequence (see Chapter 8).

#### 6.4.4.5 Co-trimoxazole, tetracycline and other resistance determinants

Almost all isolates contained either a trimethoprim resistance (459/473 [97%]) or a sulphonamide resistance gene (468/473 [99%]); only 3/473 isolates did not contain either. Trimethoprim resistance genes were all of the dfrA family; sulII was the commonest sulphonamide resistance determinant (Figures 6.7 and 6.10B). Phenotypic cotrimoxazole resistance was also near-ubiquitous, in 96% (433/448) of those isolates in which antimicrobial sensitivity testing was done.

Tetracycline resistance genes were also very common, identified in 422/473 (89%) of isolates, most commonly mphA (324/473 [68%] of isolates), followed by tetB (262/473 [55%] of isolates) and tetA (193/473 [41%] of isolates). No antimicrobial sensitivity testing was carried out for any agent of the tetracycline class. Resistance determinants for rifampicin (arr2 and arr3) were rarely identified, in 2 isolates and the sat2 gene, conferring resistance to streptothricin (a nucleoside antibiotic with no clinical compounds in use) was seen in 69/473 [15%] of isolates; the significance of this is unknown. Finally, the fosfomycin resistance determinant fosA was seen in 28/473 [6%] of isolates, despite this antimicrobial being unavailable in Malawi. It was not restricted to any one clade (Figure 6.13).

#### 6.4.4.6 Clustering and lineage association of AMR determinants

Next, I explored associations of AMR determinants, both with each other in an attempt to identify putative clusters that could represent mobile genetic elements (MGE) that could be tracked within and between patients, and with lineages of the phylogeny. There was




Figure 6.10: Heatmap showning phenotypic chloramphenicol (A) and cotrimoxazole (B) resistance and identified resistance genes that could be expected to confer resistance to these agents. Each row is one sample, red = presence, blue = absence

clear clustering of AMR genes beyond what would be expected by chance (Figures 6.11 and 6.12), including clustering of the ESBL gene  $bla_{CTXM-15}$  with penicillinases  $bla_{OXA-1}$  and  $bla_{TEM-1}$ . Though some identified clusters correspond to known MGE (e.g. the sulII-strA-strB cluster[26]), there was a clear lineage association of certain gene combinations on mapping the presence or absence of AMR determinants back to the phylogeny (Figure 6.12), meaning that these AMR-gene associations likely represent a combination of co-location on MGE and confounding by association with lineage, and suggesting that using clusters of AMR genes to track MGE within participants is likely to be confounded by lineage.

#### 6.4.5 Plasmid replicons

The frequency of isolation of different plasmid replicons is shown in Table 6.2 and presence or absence of the identified plasmid replicons is shown mapped to the phylogeny in Figure 6.13. IncFIb was most commonly identified (399/473 [84%] of isolates), followed by IncFII (383/473 [81%] of isolates) and IncF1a (324/373 [68%] of isolates). Col plasmids were also frequently identified, in 308/473 [65%] of isolates. Once again, there seems to be some lineage associations of presence or absence of replicons.




Figure 6.11: Row and column clustered heatmap of pairwise Jaccard index matrix, showing clustering of AMR genes.




Figure 6.12: Networks of associated (jaccard index > 0.5) AMR genes that occur more often than expected by chance (Bonferroni corrected p-value < 0.05).




Figure 6.13: AMR genes (blue, chromasomal quinolone resistance and red, other AMR genes) and plasmid replicons (purple) mapped back to tree showing that some AMR gene associations are also associated with lineage.

Table 6.2: Frequency of identification of plasmid replicons

Plasmid replicon	n
IncFIB	399
IncFII	383
IncFIA	324
Col	308
IncY	57
IncI1	40
IncX4	22
IncX1	21
IncHI2	19
IncI2	19
IncR	13
IncB	12
IncN	6
IncHI1	4
IncL	4
$IncA\_C$	2
IncX2	2
IncFIC	1
IncX3	1

## 6.5 Discussion

In this chapter, I have presented the results of whole genome sequencing of 473 ESBL  $E.\ coli$  recovered from serial sampling of 230 Malawian adults from a combination of healthcare-associated and community settings. In this chapter I have placed these Malawian isolates in the context of the global diversity of  $E.\ coli$  using phylogroup, sequence type and phylogenetic modelling. I have described the AMR determinants and plasmids present in the isolate of and explored clustering of AMR genes.

6.5. DISCUSSION 49

# 6.5.1 Genomic landscape of ESBL $E.\ coli$ in Malawi: global diversity and high-risk clones

The *E. coli* recovered from stool of the study participants in this study are diverse, encompassing the spectrum of diversity of the species with all major phylogroups and 56 STs represented. Phylogroup A was the commonest phylogroup seen, consistent with the traditional view of this phylogroup as associated with commensal strains[20]. When placed into the context of genomes from throughout the world, the Malawian isolates are largely distributed throughout the phylogeny: in a global context, Malawi is sampling the worldwide diversity of *E. coli*.

There were, however, several areas of the global phylogeny where the Malawian isolates clustered tightly together, perhaps initially suggestive of Malawi-restricted clones; however in considering the significance of this tree topology it is important to be cognisant of the biases inherent in the global E. coli collection. ESBL-producing E. coli are unusual in the ETEC[16] and GEMS[17] collections and all samples in these two studies were collected before 2011. Both of these collections are exclusively recovered from stool. In contrast, the clinical isolates from the Thai study[15] are all invasive, from a single centre, are selected on the basis of being ESBL-producers, and were isolated in 2014 or 2015. The isolates from the previous Malawian study were largely invasive [18], were selected for diversity in AMR profile, and were all isolated before 2014. There was no study that selectively cultured for ESBL producing E. coli in stool, as this study has done; in that, all of these studies are slightly sub-optimal for comparison. It may be that these biases contribute to apparent polyphyletic clustering of isolates from the current study in phylogroup A in the global tree. It would be expected that ESBL producing phylogroup A E. coli would be under-represented in the global collection compared to this study, as this phylogroup is associated with commensal (and hence stool) associated strains, and the two studies performing stool culture did not enrich for ESBL producers; the only study to do this collected invasive isolates, which may be expected to lie in phylogroup B2 over A.

Nevertheless, two of the three commonest STs identified in this study, ST410 and ST167, are unusual or absent in the global collection and could be considered to have a single introduction into Malawi in the context of the topology of the inferred phylogenetic tree. These could represent Malawi-restricted clades or, more likely given the diversity otherwise seen in the tree, clades that are not represented in the global collection because of biases in sample selection. ST410 is recognised as an emerging high-risk clone which has been with isolated worldwide with some regularity since 2011 (including in Tanzania) and is associated with  $bla_{CTXM-15}$  and  $bla_{NDM-5}$ ; coalescence analysis suggested a most recent common ancestor of ST410 of the early 1800s (similar to ST131[27]), and acquisition of  $bla_{CTXM-15}$  on a multreplicon IncFII-IncFIA-IncFIB plasmid in the late 1980s[28]. Similarly, ST167 has been recognised as

commonly carrying ESBL genes and carbapenemases in Chinese invasive isolates [29] - indeed, it was the commonest  $E.\ coli$  ST in one longitudinal surveillance study of carbapenemases in 2012-16 in 25 Chinese provinces [30] - as well as being very prevalent among meat-associated  $E.\ coli$  in Germany between 2011-2013 in one study [31]. As such it, too, is also likely a successful global AMR-associated lineage. It is therefore likely that these STs are not represented in the global tree because they have recently emerged worldwide, and have also recently arrived in Malawi. If this is the case, then ST410 and 167 have become rapidly established in Blantyre over the course of only 2-3 years; in fact, this is exactly what was seen in longitudinal nationwide genomic surveillance of  $E.\ coli$  in the UK in 2003-04 when ST131 first arrived [32].

ST131 is a globally established high risk clone and the commonest ST in this study and the global collection. Indeed this ST is thought to account for 40-80% of invasive ESBL *E. coli* infection[33,34] worldwide. The topography of the global tree suggests relatively unrestricted mixing between Malawian and global ST131. It may be that unbiased global sampling would reveal the same pattern for ST167 and ST410. Though some progress has been made in understanding the genomics of the emergence of ST131[27], the factors that contribute to its apparent fitness are unknown: it is impossible to predict, at present, from the genome of ST167 and ST410 whether they will repeat the course of ST131 to become truly globally dominant as a cause of human disease. Such an understanding of the determinants of fitness would be of great benefit in predicting and preventing global AMR spread.

# 6.5.2 Antimicrobial resistance determinants: domination of $bla_{CTXM-15}$ and emergence of carbapenemases

The 473 isolates contained a diverse selection of antimicrobial resistance determinants, most with genotypic multiclass resistance. Genotypic and phenotypic co-trimoxazole resistance was near universal, as might be expected from a setting where lifelong co-trimoxazole preventative therapy (CPT) is mandated by the Malawian HIV treatment guidelines for HIV all infected adults[35], and mediated by dfrA and Sul alleles.

Determinants of aminoglycoside were also present in almost every sample, most commonly streptomycin resistance determinants despite the lack of drug pressure. Well recognised gentamicin-resistance determinants - aac(3) and aac(6") - were strongly associated with gentamicin resistance, but the aminoglycoside resistance genes present in isolates displaying apparent amikacin resistance (aad5 and aac(3)) would not usually be expected to confer amikacin resistance. Given the extreme rarity of phenotypic amikacin resistance, re-testing these isolates with a more accurate AST method should bethel first step (see limitations, below).

6.5. DISCUSSION 51

Quinolone resistance determinants were also common. Quinolones are widely used in Blantyre, and are the current treatment of choice for invasive salmonella infections, one of the commonest cause of bloodstream infection here [36]. The genotypic determinants of quinolone resistance are complex, mediated by point mutations in the drug target regions, acquisition of modifying enzymes or up regulation of multidrug resistance pumps, and usually multiple genes or mutations are needed to bring about a resistant phenotype [37]. This is the pattern observed in this dataset where the proportion of isolates with identifiable determinants of quinolone resistance was greater than those with phenotypic resistance. Nevertheless, the genotype-phenotype associations seen in this data are largely those that have been described in the literature: the gyrA83-parC80-gyrA87 mutation combination has been shown to strongly predict combination quinolone resistance in a study of 10099  $E.\ coli$  genomes [38], and it is recognised that the presence of the qnrS gene alone is usually not sufficient to bring about a resistant phenotype [39].

Presence of chloramphenicol resistance genes, particularly catB4, was more common than phenotypic chloramphenicol resistance such that catB4 was not associated with phenotypic resistance. This is unexpected, as presence of chloramphenicol resistance genes has been shown to correlate well with phenotypic resistance[40], including in a study of 94 Malawian invasive isolates[41], though in this Malawian collection (the same collection of isolates as were included in the global collection in the current study), catB genes were rarely seen. Interestingly, truncated catB4 elements (often in conjunction with an IS26 transposon) were almost universal in the isolates in this study: of 323 isolates in which ARIBA did not assemble a full catB4 sequence, 93% (306/323)) contained a truncated catB4 element. This configuration (catB4 truncated by an IS26 element) has been described in Enterobacteriaceae[[42]; Sekizuka2018]. It could certainly be unrelated but its ubiquity in this study raises at least the possibility of misassembly and false-positive identification of catB4 in some cases. Laboratory errors resulting in erroneous AST should also be considered. It is also possible that the catB4 gene in this collection is not expressed or downregulated in some way; exploring these hypotheses is a possible direction for future work.

ESBL resistance in this collection is dominated by  $bla_{CTXM}$  and  $bla_{CTXM-15}$  in particular; this latter gene was carried by 319/473 [67%] of isolates. The only non- $bla_{CTXM}$  ESBL gene identified in any significant prevalence was  $bla_{SHV-12}$ ; ESBL  $bla_{OXA}$  and  $bla_{TEM}$  were very rare, though narrow-spectrum penicillinase alleles of this family were common. The dominance of  $bla_{CTXM-15}$  is in keeping with the situation seen worldwide[43]. In this collection,  $bla_{CTXM-15}$  was spread throughout the phylogeny rather than associated with any particular clade, as would be expected form its global dominance. I identified one carbapenemase,  $bla_{NDM-5}$ , carried on a globally successful IncX3 plasmid. To my knowledge, this is the first carbapenemase to be described in Malawi. Carbapenem antimicrobials were introduced to

the Malawian essential medicines list in 2015 but are at best sporadically available, and only in tertiary centres, often for truncated courses. The emergence of carbapenemases with such minimal carbapenem use and so soon after introduction is troubling, and should prompt discussions regarding the best use of this precious antimicrobial class; certainly, given the high prevalence of ESBL production among invasive *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *E. coli*[36], there is a case for expanded access but optimal antimicrobial stewardship strategies in this context are unknown.

#### 6.5.3 Study limitations

There are several limitations to the analysis carried out in this chapter. Only one *E. coli* pick from each time points was sequenced which may have missed significant within-participant diversity. This is seriously problematic for the analyses of the next chapter; here, diversity may have been missed but the data presented here should at least reflect a random sample from the *E. coli* carried by the participants in the study. Community isolates are under represented, largely because of a lower ESBL-E prevalence in community members. The sequenced *E. coli* isolates presented here do not represent all the samples in the study from which ESBL producing *E. coli* were isolated, but only those up to the point at which the samples were shipped for sequencing. I have focused on *E. coli*; in fact, these were often isolated in conjunction with other bacteria, most notably *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, which were not induced in this analysis; given the propensity of *K pneumoniae* to carry AMR genes, the circulating AMR gene diversity in Enterobacteriaeciae carried by participants in this study is likely greater than I describe here.

For context genomes, I selected a global collection of *E. coli* based on what was available but, as described above and in common with many analyses of this type, this is a biased collection. This must be borne in mind when interpreting the global phylogeny. There are inherent limitations in the short-read Illumina sequencing that was carried out: assembly of areas with multiple nucleotide repeats (as found in plasmids and transposable elements in particular) is difficult or impossible, making it impossible to fully characterise the MGE in this dataset upon which the AMR genes are carried.

There are some discrepancies between phenotypic resistance and what would be expected from identified genes. Partly phenotype may have been misclassified. The AST method used was disc diffusion; certainly this is less accurate than an MIC determination method such as E-tests or dilution methods, and a true comparison of genotypic to phenotypic resistance - not the aim of this study - should use one of these methods. It is also possible that there were technical problems with the AST procedure (e.g. an overly heavy inoculum) though every attempt was made to avoid this, including with internal QC, and the work was carried out in

6.5. DISCUSSION 53

a laboratory which subscribes to the UK NEQUAS QC procedure.

#### 6.5.4 Conclusions and further work

In conclusion, I have shown that the  $E.\ coli$  population in this study is diverse, representing global  $E.\ coli$  diversity, and suggesting significant mixing of  $E.\ coli$  between Blantyre and the rest of the world. The AMR genes identified seem to reflect the local antibiotic pressures: near universal cotrimoxazole resistance, moderate quinolone resistance and very little carbapenem resistance. The presence of carbapenem resistance mediated by a  $bla_{NDM-5}$  carbapenemase is to my knowledge the first carbapenemase described in Malawi. Its presence along with apparently unrestricted mixing of  $E.\ coli$  from Malawi with the rest of the world is a reminder that international spread of bacteria and AMR determinants, even to low-resource settings like Malawi, can be rapid. Treatment options for invasive Gram-negative infections in Malawi are often limited by ESBL resistance[36]. There is a significant unmet need for carbapenem antibiotics, but antibiotic stewardship interventions that can balance access to against restriction of these valuable last-line antimicrobials are needed to prevent rapid emergence of resistance following wider-scale carbapenem roll-out in Malawi.

#### Further work is planned:

- The discordance between chloramphenicol phenotype and genotype should be explored, especially as this antibiotic largely fallen from favour in Malawi since ceftriaxone has been available may have a role to play in treating some invasive ESBL-E infections. Long-read sequencing of representative isolates will exclude the possibility of missassembly and allow assessment of the genetic environment of the catB4 gene; it is possible that it has been downregulated or is not expressed, for example. More accurate chloramphenicol AST using e.g. E-tests may also be helpful to confirm phenotype, which should also be done with the apparent amikacin resistance isolates presented here.
- The apparent recent arrival of the putative high-risk clones ST410 and ST167 deserves further attention. The isolates here can be placed in a global context with other publicly available ST410 and ST167 *E. coli*, but a question remains as to whether these clones are causing invasive disease. This question can only be answered by sequencing invasive isolates; this work is ongoing and will allow such a comparison to be made.
- The remainder of the samples will have one E. coli sent for sequencing.
- All samples where *K. pneumoniae* were isolated will have one *K. pneumoniae* colony pick sequenced allowing a comparative analysis of AMR determinants by *K. pneumoniae* and *E. coli* carried within a single participant.

• More broadly, I have presented a description of the isolates sequenced in this study, but largely without reference to the available metadata. The motivation in sequencing these isolates was to use WGS as a high-resolution typing scheme to track bacteria within and between participants. This analysis is the focus of the next chapter.

## 6.6 Appendix

Table 6.3: Details of samples included in global phylogeny

Study	Sample ID	Accession No.	Source	Country
This study	26141_1_134		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_135$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_136$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_137$		Stool	Malawi
This study	26141_1_138		Stool	Malawi
This study	26141_1_139		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_140$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_141$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_142$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_143$		Stool	Malawi
This study	26141_1_144		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_145$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_146$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_147$		Stool	Malawi
This study	26141_1_148		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_149$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_150$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_151$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_152$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_153$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_154$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_155$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_156$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_157$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_158$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_159$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_160$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_161$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_162$		Stool	Malawi
This study	26141_1_164		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_165$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_166$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_168$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_169$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_170$		Stool	Malawi
This study	26141_1_171		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_172$		Stool	Malawi

Table 6.3: Details of samples included in global phylogeny (continued)

Study	Sample ID	Accession No.	Source	Country
This study	26141_1_173		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_174$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_175$		Stool	Malawi
This study	26141_1_176		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_177$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_178$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_179$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_180$		Stool	Malawi
This study	26141_1_181		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_182$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_183$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_184$		Stool	Malawi
This study	26141_1_186		Stool	Malawi
This study	26141_1_187		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_189$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_190$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_191$		Stool	Malawi
This study	26141_1_192		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_193$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_194$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_195$		Stool	Malawi
This study	26141_1_196		Stool	Malawi
This study	26141_1_197		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_198$		Stool	Malawi
This study	26141_1_199		Stool	Malawi
This study	26141_1_200		Stool	Malawi
This study	26141_1_201		Stool	Malawi
This study	26141_1_202		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_203$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_204$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_205$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_206$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_207$		Stool	Malawi
This study	26141_1_208		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_209$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_210$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_211$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_212$		Stool	Malawi
This study	26141_1_213		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_214$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_215$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_217$		Stool	Malawi
This study	26141_1_218		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_219$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_220$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_221$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_222$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_223$		Stool	Malawi

Table 6.3: Details of samples included in global phylogeny (continued)

Study	Sample ID	Accession No.	Source	Country
This study	26141_1_224		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_225$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_226$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_227$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_228$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_229$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_230$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_232$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_236$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_237$		Stool	Malawi
This study	26141_1_239		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_240$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_241$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_242$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_243$		Stool	Malawi
This study	26141_1_244		Stool	Malawi
This study	26141_1_246		Stool	Malawi
This study	26141_1_247		Stool	Malawi
This study	26141_1_248		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_250$		Stool	Malawi
This study	26141_1_251		Stool	Malawi
This study	26141_1_252		Stool	Malawi
This study	26141_1_253		Stool	Malawi
This study	26141_1_254		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_255$		Stool	Malawi
This study	26141_1_256		Stool	Malawi
This study	26141_1_257		Stool	Malawi
This study	26141_1_258		Stool	Malawi
This study	26141_1_259		Stool	Malawi
This study	26141_1_260		Stool	Malawi
This study	26141_1_261		Stool	Malawi
This study	26141_1_262		Stool	Malawi
This study	26141_1_263		Stool	Malawi
This study	26141_1_265		Stool	Malawi
This study	26141_1_266		Stool	Malawi
This study	26141_1_267		Stool	Malawi
This study	26141_1_268		Stool	Malawi
This study	26141_1_270		Stool	Malawi
This study	26141_1_271		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_272$		Stool	Malawi
This study	26141_1_273		Stool	Malawi
This study	26141_1_274		Stool	Malawi
This study	 26141_1_275		Stool	Malawi
This study	26141_1_276		Stool	Malawi
This study	 261411277		Stool	Malawi
This study	26141_1_278		Stool	Malawi
This study	26141_1_279		Stool	Malawi
Dualey	20111_1_210		20001	IVICUICE VV I

Table 6.3: Details of samples included in global phylogeny (continued)

Study	Sample ID	Accession No.	Source	Country
This study	26141_1_280		Stool	Malawi
This study	26141_1_282		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_283$		Stool	Malawi
This study	26141_1_284	ERR3168700	Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_285$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_286$		Stool	Malawi
This study	26141_1_287		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_288$		Stool	Malawi
This study	26141_1_289		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_290$		Stool	Malawi
This study	26141_1_291		Stool	Malawi
This study	26141_1_292		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_293$		Stool	Malawi
This study	26141_1_295		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_296$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_297$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_298$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$26141\_1\_299$		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_1		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_10		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_100		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_102		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_103		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_104		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_106		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_107		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_11		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_110		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_111		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_112$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_114$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_115$		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_116		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_118		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_119		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_120$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_123$		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_125		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_127		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_128		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_129		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_131		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_132		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_133		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_135		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_136		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_137		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_139		Stool	Malawi

Table 6.3: Details of samples included in global phylogeny (continued)

	Sample ID	Accession No.	Source	Country
This study	28099_1_14		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_141		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_143		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_144		Stool	Malawi
This study			Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_148		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_149		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099 1 151		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099 1 152		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_153$		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_155		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_156		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_157		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_159		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_160		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_161		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_163		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_165		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099 1 167		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_168		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_169		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099 1 171		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_172		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_173		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_175		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_176		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_177		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_179		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_18		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_180$		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_181		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_185		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_187		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_188		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_189		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_19		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_191		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_192		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_193		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_195$		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_196		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_199		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_2		Stool	Malawi
	28099_1_200		Stool	Malawi
This study				
This study This study	28099_1_203		Stool	Malawi
ų.	28099_1_203 28099_1_204		Stool Stool	Malawi Malawi

Table 6.3: Details of samples included in global phylogeny (continued)

Study	Sample ID	Accession No.	Source	Country
This study	28099_1_207		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_208$		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_209		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_211		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_212$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_213$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_214$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_216$		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_217		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_218$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_22$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_220$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_221$		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_222		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_224$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_225$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_226$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_228$		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_229		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_23$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_230$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_232$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_233$		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_234		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_236$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_237$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_238$		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_240		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_241$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_242$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_244$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_245$		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_246		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_248		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_249$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_250$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_252$		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_253		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_254$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_256$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_257$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_258$		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_26		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_260$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_261$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_264$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_266$		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_268		Stool	Malawi

Table 6.3: Details of samples included in global phylogeny (continued)

Study	Sample ID	Accession No.	Source	Country
This study	28099_1_269		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_27		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099 1 270		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_272		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_273		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_274		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_277		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099 1 278		Stool	Malawi
This study This study	28099_1_280		Stool	Malawi
This study This study	28099 1 281		Stool	Malawi
Tins study	20033_1_201		51001	IVI&I&WI
This study	$28099\_1\_282$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_284$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_285$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_286$		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_288		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_289		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_293$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_294$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_297$		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_30		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_300		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_301		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_302$		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_303		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_305		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_306		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_307$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_309$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_31$		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_311		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_313		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_314$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_315$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_317$		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_318		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_319		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_321$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_322$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_323$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_325$		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_326		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_327		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_329		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_330		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_331		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_333		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_334		Stool	Malawi
				1120200111

6.6. APPENDIX 61

Table 6.3: Details of samples included in global phylogeny (continued)

Study	Sample ID	Accession No.	Source	Country
This study	$28099\_1\_335$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_337$		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_338		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_339		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_34		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_341		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_342$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_343$		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_345		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_346$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_347$		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_349		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_35$		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_350		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_351$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_353$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_354$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_355$		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_357		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_358$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_359$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_361$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_362$		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_363		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_365$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_366$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_367$		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_370		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_371$		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_373		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_374$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_375$		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_377		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_378$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_379$		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_38		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_381		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_382		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_383$		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_39		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_41		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_42		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_43		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_46		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_47		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_49		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_50		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_51		Stool	Malawi

Table 6.3: Details of samples included in global phylogeny (continued)

Study	Sample ID	Accession No.	Source	Country
This study	28099_1_53		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_54		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_55		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099 1 57		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_58		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_59		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_61		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_62		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099 1 63		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_65		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_66		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_69		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_7		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_70		Stool	Malawi
This study			Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_73		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099 1 74		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_75		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_77		Stool	Malawi
This study			Stool	Malawi
This study	28099 1 79		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_81		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_82		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_83		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_85		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_86		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_87		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_89		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_90		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_1\_91$		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_93		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_94		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_95		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_98		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_1_99		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_2_101		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_2_105		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_2_109		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_2_113		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_2\_117$		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_2_12		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_2\_121$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_2\_126$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_2\_130$		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_2_138		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_2_142		Stool	Malawi

Table 6.3: Details of samples included in global phylogeny (continued)

Study	Sample ID	Accession No.	Source	Country
This study	$28099\_2\_150$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_2\_154$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_2\_158$		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_2_16		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_2\_162$		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_2_166		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_2\_170$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_2\_174$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_2\_178$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_2\_182$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_2\_186$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_2\_190$		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_2_194		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_2_198		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_2_206		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_2\_210$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_2\_215$		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_2_219		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_2\_223$		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_2_227		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_2_231		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_2_235		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_2_239		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_2\_24$		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_2_243		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_2_247		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_2_251		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_2_255		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_2\_259$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_2\_263$		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_2_283		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_2_287		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_2_291		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_2\_295$		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_2_299		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_2_3		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_2_304		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_2_308		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_2\_316$		Stool	Malawi
This study	$28099\_2\_32$		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_2_320		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_2_36		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_2_40		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_2_44		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_2_48		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_2_56		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_2_60		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_2_64		Stool	Malawi

Table 6.3: Details of samples included in global phylogeny (continued)

Study	Sample ID	Accession No.	Source	Country
This study	28099_2_76		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_2_8		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_2_80		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_2_84		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_2_88		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_2_92		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_2_96		Stool	Malawi
This study	28099_2_97		Stool	Malawi
Ingle 2018	$100269\_aEPEC$	ERR134513	Stool	Gambia
Ingle 2018	$100383\_\mathrm{aEPEC}$	ERR137807	Stool	Gambia
Ingle 2018	100446	ERR178176	Stool	Gambia
Ingle 2018	$100554\_aEPEC$	ERR134514	Stool	Gambia
Ingle 2018	$100600\_aEPEC$	ERR134515	Stool	Gambia
Ingle 2018	$102010\_aEPEC$	ERR137808	Stool	Gambia
Ingle 2018	102014_aEPEC	ERR137809	Stool	Gambia
Ingle 2018	102298_aEPEC	ERR134516	Stool	Gambia
Ingle 2018	$102328\_aEPEC$	ERR134517	Stool	Gambia
Ingle 2018	$102366\_aEPEC$	ERR137810	Stool	Gambia
Ingle 2018	$102485\_aEPEC$	ERR134518	Stool	Gambia
Ingle 2018	103151	ERR178192	Stool	Gambia
Ingle 2018	200135_aEPEC	ERR134519	Stool	Mali
Ingle 2018	200232	ERR178150	Stool	Mali
Ingle 2018	200439_aEPEC	ERR134520	Stool	Mali
Ingle 2018	200456_aEPEC	ERR137812	Stool	Mali
Ingle 2018	200499	ERR178148	Stool	Mali
Ingle 2018	200696	ERR178151	Stool	Mali
Ingle 2018	$200708\_aEPEC$	ERR137782	Stool	Mali
Ingle 2018	$200758\_aEPEC$	ERR137783	Stool	Mali
Ingle 2018	$200781\_aEPEC$	ERR124658	Stool	Mali
Ingle 2018	$200959\_aEPEC$	ERR137813	Stool	Mali
Ingle 2018	201191_aEPEC	ERR137814	Stool	Mali
Ingle 2018	$201214\_aEPEC$	ERR134521	Stool	Mali
Ingle 2018	201350	ERR178216	Stool	Mali
Ingle 2018	$201381\_aEPEC$	ERR137784	Stool	Mali
Ingle 2018	$201488\_aEPEC$	ERR137815	Stool	Mali
Ingle 2018	201534_aEPEC	ERR134522	Stool	Mali
Ingle 2018	$201589\_aEPEC$	ERR137816	Stool	Mali
Ingle 2018	$202317\_aEPEC$	ERR137817	Stool	Mali
Ingle 2018	202374	ERR178152	Stool	Mali
Ingle 2018	202387	ERR178149	Stool	Mali
Ingle 2018	202423_aEPEC	ERR134523	Stool	Mali
Ingle 2018	$202443$ _aEPEC	ERR134524	Stool	Mali
Ingle 2018	$202453\_\mathrm{aEPEC}$	ERR134525	Stool	Mali
Ingle 2018	202474	ERR178153	Stool	Mali
Ingle 2018	$202521\_aEPEC$	ERR124659	Stool	Mali
Ingle 2018	$202621\_\mathrm{aEPEC}$	ERR137818	Stool	Mali
Ingle 2018	$202833\_aEPEC$	ERR134526	Stool	Mali

Table 6.3: Details of samples included in global phylogeny (continued)

Study	Sample ID	Accession No.	Source	Country
Ingle 2018	$202973\_aEPEC$	ERR134527	Stool	Mali
Ingle 2018	$203470\_aEPEC$	ERR124660	Stool	Mali
Ingle 2018	$204263\_aEPEC$	ERR124661	Stool	Mali
Ingle 2018	$204302\_aEPEC$	ERR134528	Stool	Mali
Ingle 2018	300073	ERR178193	Stool	Mozambique
Ingle 2018	$300086\_aEPEC$	ERR134529	Stool	Mozambique
Ingle 2018	$300711\_aEPEC$	ERR134530	Stool	Mozambique
Ingle 2018	$300795\_aEPEC$	ERR134531	Stool	Mozambique
Ingle 2018	$300812\_aEPEC$	ERR137819	Stool	Mozambique
Ingle 2018	$300814\_aEPEC$	ERR137820	Stool	Mozambique
Ingle 2018	302082	ERR178198	Stool	Mozambique
Ingle 2018	302302	ERR178154	Stool	Mozambique
Ingle 2018	302613	ERR178210	Stool	Mozambique
Ingle 2018	302619	ERR178211	Stool	Mozambique
Ingle 2018	302700	ERR178217	Stool	Mozambique
Ingle 2018	302701	ERR178212	Stool	Mozambique
Ingle 2018	302710	ERR178218	Stool	Mozambique
Ingle 2018	$400549\_aEPEC$	ERR137785	Stool	Kenya
Ingle 2018	$400654\_\mathrm{aEPEC}$	ERR137786	Stool	Kenya
Ingle 2018	$400714\_\mathrm{aEPEC}$	ERR137787	Stool	Kenya
Ingle 2018	400896	ERR178177	Stool	Kenya
Ingle 2018	$400897\_aEPEC$	ERR137821	Stool	Kenya
Ingle 2018	$400998\_aEPEC$	ERR137789	Stool	Kenya
Ingle 2018	401082	ERR178178	Stool	Kenya
Ingle 2018	$401117\_aEPEC$	ERR137822	Stool	Kenya
Ingle 2018	$401174\_\mathrm{aEPEC}$	ERR137823	Stool	Kenya
Ingle 2018	$401250\_\mathrm{aEPEC}$	ERR137790	Stool	Kenya
Ingle 2018	401352	ERR178199	Stool	Kenya
Ingle 2018	401363	ERR178179	Stool	Kenya
Ingle 2018	$401480\_\mathrm{aEPEC}$	ERR124657	Stool	Kenya
Ingle 2018	401553	ERR178155	Stool	Kenya
Ingle 2018	$401596\_\mathrm{aEPEC}$	ERR137791	Stool	Kenya
Ingle 2018	401686	ERR178200	Stool	Kenya
Ingle 2018	$401709\_aEPEC$	ERR137824	Stool	Kenya
Ingle 2018	$401886\_\mathrm{aEPEC}$	ERR137792	Stool	Kenya
Ingle 2018	401907	ERR178201	Stool	Kenya
Ingle 2018	$401938\_aEPEC$	ERR137793	Stool	Kenya
Ingle 2018	$402048\_aEPEC$	ERR134532	Stool	Kenya
Ingle 2018	$402058\_aEPEC$	ERR137825	Stool	Kenya
Ingle 2018	$402074\_aEPEC$	ERR137794	Stool	Kenya
Ingle 2018	402078	ERR178180	Stool	Kenya
Ingle 2018	$402097\_aEPEC$	ERR137826	Stool	Kenya
Ingle 2018	$402099\_aEPEC$	ERR134533	Stool	Kenya
Ingle 2018	$402138\_aEPEC$	ERR124662	Stool	Kenya
Ingle 2018	$402227\_aEPEC$	ERR137827	Stool	Kenya
Ingle 2018	$402248\_aEPEC$	ERR134534	Stool	Kenya
0				
Ingle 2018	402403	ERR178181	Stool	Kenya

Table 6.3: Details of samples included in global phylogeny (continued)

Study	Sample ID	Accession No.	Source	Country
Ingle 2018	402605	ERR178194	Stool	Kenya
Ingle 2018	402617	ERR178156	Stool	Kenya
Ingle 2018	402635	ERR178157	Stool	Kenya
Ingle 2018	402654_aEPEC	ERR134535	Stool	Kenya
Ingle 2018	402696	ERR178202	Stool	Kenya
Ingle 2018	402743_aEPEC	ERR137796	Stool	Kenya
Ingle 2018	402767	ERR178203	Stool	Kenya
Ingle 2018	$402770\_aEPEC$	ERR134536	Stool	Kenya
Ingle 2018	$402780\_aEPEC$	ERR137797	Stool	Kenya
Ingle 2018	402794	ERR178204	Stool	Kenya
Ingle 2018	402798	ERR178182	Stool	Kenya
Ingle 2018	402837	ERR178183	Stool	Kenya
Ingle 2018	402842	ERR178205	Stool	Kenya
Ingle 2018	402898	ERR178184	Stool	Kenya
Ingle 2018	402924	ERR178158	Stool	Kenya
Ingle 2018	402977_aEPEC	ERR137798	Stool	Kenya
Ingle 2018	403066	ERR178159	Stool	Kenya
Ingle 2018	403096 aEPEC	ERR137799	Stool	Kenya
Ingle 2018	403128 aEPEC	ERR134537	Stool	Kenya
Ingle 2018	403308_aEPEC	ERR134538	Stool	Kenya
Ingle 2018	403523	ERR178206	Stool	Kenya
Ingle 2018	$403726\_aEPEC$	ERR137800	Stool	Kenya
Ingle 2018	403728	ERR178161	Stool	Kenya
Ingle 2018	500094	ERR178207	Stool	India
Ingle 2018	500095	ERR178208	Stool	India
Ingle 2018	500193	ERR178213	Stool	India
Ingle 2018	$500197\_aEPEC$	ERR137828	Stool	India
Ingle 2018	$500275\_aEPEC$	ERR134539	Stool	India
Ingle 2018	$500618\_aEPEC$	ERR134540	Stool	India
Ingle 2018	$500858\_aEPEC$	ERR134541	Stool	India
Ingle 2018	$500864$ _aEPEC	ERR134542	Stool	India
Ingle 2018	500989	ERR178164	Stool	India
Ingle 2018	501016	ERR178195	Stool	India
Ingle 2018	$501029\_aEPEC$	ERR134543	Stool	India
Ingle 2018	503023	ERR178196	Stool	India
Ingle 2018	$503028\_aEPEC$	ERR134544	Stool	India
Ingle 2018	$503130\_aEPEC$	ERR137829	Stool	India
Ingle 2018	$503225\_aEPEC$	ERR134545	Stool	India
Ingle 2018	$503238\_aEPEC$	ERR137801	Stool	India
Ingle 2018	503256	ERR178197	Stool	India
Ingle 2018	503311_aEPEC	ERR124653	Stool	India
Ingle 2018	503320	ERR178219	Stool	India
Ingle 2018	503331	ERR178165	Stool	India
Ingle 2018	$503459$ _aEPEC	ERR134546	Stool	India
Ingle 2018	$503537\_aEPEC$	ERR124663	Stool	India
Ingle 2018	503662_aEPEC	ERR134547	Stool	India
Ingle 2018	503891_aEPEC	ERR137802	Stool	India
9				

Table 6.3: Details of samples included in global phylogeny (continued)

Study	Sample ID	Accession No.	Source	Country
Ingle 2018	503947_aEPEC	ERR124654	Stool	India
Ingle 2018	$504005\_aEPEC$	ERR137803	Stool	India
Ingle 2018	504180	ERR178166	Stool	India
Ingle 2018	$504225\_aEPEC$	ERR134548	Stool	India
Ingle 2018	$504300\_aEPEC$	ERR134549	Stool	India
Ingle 2018	504324	ERR178167	Stool	India
Ingle 2018	$504449$ _aEPEC	ERR134550	Stool	India
Ingle 2018	504528	ERR178168	Stool	India
Ingle 2018	$504647\_aEPEC$	ERR134551	Stool	India
Ingle 2018	504718	ERR178169	Stool	India
Ingle 2018	$504821\_aEPEC$	ERR134552	Stool	India
Ingle 2018	$504888$ _aEPEC	ERR134553	Stool	India
Ingle 2018	$504925\_aEPEC$	ERR124664	Stool	India
Ingle 2018	505148	ERR178170	Stool	India
Ingle 2018	$505393$ _aEPEC	ERR124655	Stool	India
Ingle 2018	$505513\_aEPEC$	ERR124656	Stool	India
Ingle 2018	505545	ERR178171	Stool	India
Ingle 2018	602206	ERR178172	Stool	Bangladesh
Ingle 2018	$602370\_aEPEC$	ERR134554	Stool	Bangladesh
Ingle 2018	700149	ERR178214	Stool	Pakistan
Ingle 2018	$700337\_aEPEC$	ERR134555	Stool	Pakistan
Ingle 2018	$700495\_aEPEC$	ERR134556	Stool	Pakistan
Ingle 2018	700851	ERR178173	Stool	Pakistan
Ingle 2018	700863	ERR178215	Stool	Pakistan
Ingle 2018	$702161\_aEPEC$	ERR134558	Stool	Pakistan
Ingle 2018	702328	ERR178174	Stool	Pakistan
Ingle 2018	702566	ERR178175	Stool	Pakistan
Ingle 2018	702745_aEPEC	ERR137804	Stool	Pakistan
Ingle 2018	702797	ERR178186	Stool	Pakistan
Ingle 2018	$702890\_\mathrm{aEPEC}$	ERR137805	Stool	Pakistan
Ingle 2018	$702898\_aEPEC$	ERR137806	Stool	Pakistan
Ingle 2018	702971	ERR178185	Stool	Pakistan
Ingle 2018	703063	ERR178209	Stool	Pakistan
Ingle 2018	703108	ERR178187	Stool	Pakistan
Ingle 2018	703128	ERR178188	Stool	Pakistan
Ingle 2018	$703258\_aEPEC$	ERR134559	Stool	Pakistan
Ingle 2018	703273	ERR178191	Stool	Pakistan
Ingle 2018	703753	ERR178189	Stool	Pakistan
Ingle 2018	$703975\_aEPEC$	ERR134560	Stool	Pakistan
Ingle 2018	G100788-1A	ERR175731	Stool	Gambia
Ingle 2018	G302544	ERR178226	Stool	Mozambique
Ingle 2018	G302551	ERR178225	Stool	Mozambique
Ingle 2018	G303212	ERR175730	Stool	Mozambique
Ingle 2018	G400792	ERR175725	Stool	Kenya
Ingle 2018	G400871	ERR175724	Stool	Kenya
Ingle 2018	G401436	ERR175727	Stool	Kenya
Ingle 2018	G401529	ERR178227	Stool	Kenya
Ingle 2018	G500007	ERR178223	Stool	India

Table 6.3: Details of samples included in global phylogeny (continued)

Study	Sample ID	Accession No.	Source	Country
Ingle 2018	G500297-1	ERR175733	Stool	India
Ingle 2018	G500407	ERR178221	Stool	India
Ingle 2018	G500830	ERR175728	Stool	India
Ingle 2018	G503854	ERR178224	Stool	India
Ingle 2018	G504540	ERR178222	Stool	India
Ingle 2018	G603423	ERR178228	Stool	Bangladesh
Ingle 2018	G702074-1	ERR175734	Stool	Pakistan
Ingle 2018	G702074-2	ERR175735	Stool	Pakistan
Ingle 2018	R203092-3A	ERR175736	Stool	Mali
Ingle 2018	R203092-3B	ERR175737	Stool	Mali
Ingle 2018	R302583-2A	ERR175738	Stool	Mozambique
Ingle 2018	R302583-2B	ERR175739	Stool	Mozambique
Ingle 2018	R402077	ERR175726	Stool	Kenya
Ingle 2018	R503696	ERR175729	Stool	India
Mentzer 2014	E_1003	ERR054711	Stool	Egypt
Mentzer 2014	E_1009	ERR054712	Stool	Egypt
Mentzer 2014	E_1018	ERR084463	Stool	Egypt
Mentzer 2014	E 1034	ERR052911	Stool	Egypt
Mentzer 2014	— E 1057CFn	ERR119471	Stool	Egypt
Mentzer 2014	E_106	ERR054666	Stool	unknown
Mentzer 2014	E 1072CFn	ERR119472	Stool	Egypt
Mentzer 2014	E 1074	ERR052912	Stool	Egypt
Mentzer 2014	E 1085	ERR052913	Stool	Egypt
Mentzer 2014	E 1091	ERR052914	Stool	Egypt
Mentzer 2014	E_110	ERR054678	Stool	Bangladesh
Mentzer 2014	E 1101CFn	ERR119473	Stool	Egypt
Mentzer 2014	E 1102CFn	ERR119474	Stool	Egypt
Mentzer 2014	— Е 1111	ERR052915	Stool	Egypt
Mentzer 2014	— E 1167CFn	ERR119475	Stool	Egypt
Mentzer 2014	E_1169CFn	ERR119476	Stool	Egypt
Mentzer 2014	E 1189	ERR052916	Stool	Egypt
Mentzer 2014	E 1193CFn	ERR119477	Stool	Egypt
Mentzer 2014	E 1242CFn	ERR119478	Stool	Egypt
Mentzer 2014	E 1245	ERR052917	Stool	Egypt
Mentzer 2014	E_1248CFn	ERR119479	Stool	Egypt
Mentzer 2014	E_1258CFn	ERR119480	Stool	Egypt
Mentzer 2014	E_126	ERR054679	Stool	unknown
Mentzer 2014	E_1264CFn	ERR119481	Stool	Egypt
Mentzer 2014	E_1281CFn	ERR119482	Stool	Egypt
Mentzer 2014	E_1282CFn	ERR119483	Stool	Egypt
Mentzer 2014	E_1285CFn	ERR119484	Stool	Egypt
Mentzer 2014	E 1287	ERR052918	Stool	Egypt
Mentzer 2014	E_129	ERR054680	Stool	Zaire
Mentzer 2014	E_1298	ERR052919	Stool	Egypt
	2_1200			
Mentzer 2014	E_1316	ERR161000	Stool	Nepal
	E_1316 E_133	ERR161000 ERR054681	Stool Stool	Nepal unknown

Table 6.3: Details of samples included in global phylogeny (continued)

Study	Sample ID	Accession No.	Source	Country
Mentzer 2014	E_135	ERR054682	Stool	unknown
Mentzer 2014	$E_1352CFn$	ERR119485	Stool	Egypt
Mentzer 2014	$E\_1355CFn$	ERR119486	Stool	Egypt
Mentzer 2014	E 1356CFn	ERR119487	Stool	Egypt
Mentzer 2014	E_1360_sec	ERR178234	Stool	Tunisia
Mentzer 2014	E 1362CFn	ERR119489	Stool	Egypt
Mentzer 2014	E 1363	ERR084466	Stool	Egypt
Mentzer 2014	E_1365CFn	ERR119490	Stool	Egypt
	_			
Mentzer 2014	E_1373	ERR052920	Stool	Indonesia
Mentzer 2014	E_1392	ERR052921	Stool	Indonesia
Mentzer 2014	E_1398CFn	ERR119491	Stool	Indonesia
Mentzer 2014	$E_1407CFn$	ERR119492	Stool	Mexico
Mentzer 2014	E_141	ERR054683	Stool	Burma
Mentzer 2014	$E\_1429 tiny$	ERR217371	Stool	Venezuela
Mentzer 2014	E_143	ERR054684	Stool	Japan
Mentzer 2014	$E\_1432G$	ERR164830	Stool	Venezuela
Mentzer 2014	E_1432w	ERR164829	Stool	Venezuela
Mentzer 2014	E_1433	ERR084468	Stool	Morocco
Mentzer 2014	E_1460	ERR084469	Stool	Indonesia
Mentzer 2014	E_151	ERR054685	Stool	Japan
Mentzer 2014	E_1524	ERR084470	Stool	Argentina
Mentzer 2014	E_1525CFn	ERR119496	Stool	Argentina
Mentzer 2014	$E\_1526CFn$	ERR119497	Stool	Argentina
Mentzer 2014	E 1527	ERR084471	Stool	Argentina
Mentzer 2014	— E 1532CFn	ERR119498	Stool	Argentina
Mentzer 2014	— E 1533CFn	ERR119499	Stool	Argentina
Mentzer 2014	— E 1534CFn	ERR119500	Stool	Argentina
Mentzer 2014	E_1535	ERR084472	Stool	Argentina
Mentzer 2014	E 1541	ERR052922	Stool	Argentina
Mentzer 2014	E 1542CFn	ERR119501	Stool	Argentina
Mentzer 2014	E 1543CFn	ERR119502	Stool	Argentina
Mentzer 2014	E 1544CFn	ERR119503	Stool	Argentina
Mentzer 2014	E_1548	ERR052923	Stool	Argentina
Mentzer 2014	E 1556CFn	ERR119504	Stool	Argentina
Mentzer 2014	E_1560CFn E_1561CFn	ERR119505	Stool	Argentina
Mentzer 2014	E_1564CFn	ERR119506	Stool	Argentina
Mentzer 2014	E_1573CFn	ERR119508	Stool	Argentina
Mentzer 2014	E_1574CFn	ERR119509	Stool	Argentina
	_			_
Mentzer 2014	E_1576CFn	ERR119510	Stool	Argentina
Mentzer 2014	E_157CFn	ERR119507	Stool	Japan
Mentzer 2014	E_1580CFn	ERR119511	Stool	Argentina
Mentzer 2014	E_1581CFn	ERR119512	Stool	Argentina
Mentzer 2014	E_1582CFn	ERR119513	Stool	Argentina
Mentzer 2014	$E\_1585CFn$	ERR119514	Stool	Argentina
Mentzer 2014	E_1586CFn	ERR119515	Stool	Argentina
Mentzer 2014	$E_1587CFn$	ERR119516	Stool	Argentina
Mentzer 2014	$E_1592CFn$	ERR119518	Stool	Argentina
Mentzer 2014	E_1593	ERR084473	Stool	Argentina

Table 6.3: Details of samples included in global phylogeny (continued)

Study	Sample ID	Accession No.	Source	Country
Mentzer 2014	E 1594CFn	ERR119519	Stool	Argentina
Mentzer 2014	E 1596CFn	ERR119520	Stool	Argentina
Mentzer 2014	— E 1597CFn	ERR119521	Stool	Argentina
Mentzer 2014	E 1599CFn	ERR119522	Stool	Argentina
Mentzer 2014	E_159CFn	ERR119517	Stool	Japan
Mentzer 2014	E_1600CFn	ERR119524	Stool	Argentina
Mentzer 2014	E_1604CFn	ERR119525	Stool	Argentina
Mentzer 2014	E 1607CFn	ERR119526	Stool	Argentina
Mentzer 2014	E 1609CFn	ERR119527	Stool	Argentina
Mentzer 2014	E_1611CFn	ERR119528	Stool	Argentina
Mentzer 2014	E 1615CFn	ERR119529	Stool	Argentina
Mentzer 2014	E 1616CFn	ERR119530	Stool	Argentina
Mentzer 2014	E 1617CFn	ERR119531	Stool	Argentina
Mentzer 2014	E 1620	ERR084474	Stool	Argentina
Mentzer 2014	E_1623CFn	ERR119532	Stool	Indonesia
Mentzer 2014	E 1624	ERR052924	Stool	Indonesia
Mentzer 2014	E 1625CFn	ERR119533	Stool	Indonesia
Mentzer 2014	E 1628CFn	ERR119534	Stool	Indonesia
Mentzer 2014	E 1634CFn	ERR119535	Stool	Indonesia
Mentzer 2014	E_1635	ERR084475	Stool	Indonesia
Mentzer 2014	E_1637CFn	ERR119536	Stool	Indonesia
Mentzer 2014	E_1638CFn	ERR119537	Stool	Indonesia
Mentzer 2014	E_1640CFn	ERR119538	Stool	Indonesia
Mentzer 2014	$E\_1641CFn$	ERR119539	Stool	Indonesia
Mentzer 2014	E_1642CFn	ERR119540	Stool	Indonesia
Mentzer 2014	E_1646	ERR052925	Stool	Indonesia
Mentzer 2014	$E\_1647CFn$	ERR119541	Stool	Indonesia
Mentzer 2014	E_1648CFn	ERR119542	Stool	Indonesia
Mentzer 2014	E_1649	ERR084476	Stool	Indonesia
Mentzer 2014	E_1650CFn	ERR119543	Stool	Indonesia
Mentzer 2014	E_1654	ERR054665	Stool	Indonesia
Mentzer 2014	$E\_1657$	ERR084477	Stool	Indonesia
Mentzer 2014	$E\_1659CFn$	ERR119544	Stool	Indonesia
Mentzer 2014	$E\_1661CFn$	ERR119545	Stool	Indonesia
Mentzer 2014	$E\_1666CFn$	ERR119546	Stool	Indonesia
Mentzer 2014	$E\_1667$	ERR084478	Stool	Indonesia
Mentzer 2014	$E\_1673CFn$	ERR119548	Stool	Indonesia
Mentzer 2014	$E\_1674CFn$	ERR119549	Stool	Indonesia
Mentzer 2014	$E\_1679sec$	ERR217373	Stool	Indonesia
Mentzer 2014	$E\_167CFn$	ERR119547	Stool	Japan
Mentzer 2014	E_1682CFn	ERR119551	Stool	Indonesia
Mentzer 2014	$E\_1684CFn$	ERR119552	Stool	Indonesia
Mentzer 2014	$E\_1690CFn$	ERR119553	Stool	Indonesia
Mentzer 2014	$E_{1712CFn}$	ERR119554	Stool	Bangladesh
Mentzer 2014	E_1716	ERR052926	Stool	Bangladesh
Mentzer 2014	E_1724	ERR084479	Stool	Bangladesh
Mentzer 2014	E_1724 E_1735CFn	ERR119555	Stool	Bangladesh
	2_1.000111	21010110000	20001	2011gradeon

6.6. APPENDIX 71

Table 6.3: Details of samples included in global phylogeny (continued)

	Study	Sample ID	Accession No.	Source	Country
٠	Mentzer 2014	E_1736CFn	ERR119556	Stool	Bangladesh
	Mentzer 2014	E 1739CFn	ERR119557	Stool	Bangladesh
	Mentzer 2014	E_1741	ERR084480	Stool	Bangladesh
	Monton 2014	E 1744CE	EDD110550	Ctaal	Domalo doob
	Mentzer 2014	E_1744CFn	ERR119558	Stool	Bangladesh
	Mentzer 2014 Mentzer 2014	E_1750	ERR084481	Stool Stool	Bangladesh
	Mentzer 2014 Mentzer 2014	E_1752CFn E 1760	ERR119559 ERR084482	Stool	Bangladesh
	Mentzer 2014 Mentzer 2014	E_1700 E_1779	ERR052927	Stool	Bangladesh Bangladesh
	Wientzer 2014	_			Dangladesh
	Mentzer 2014	E_1784	ERR052928	Stool	Bangladesh
	Mentzer 2014	E_1795	ERR084483	Stool	Bangladesh
	Mentzer 2014	E_1797	ERR084484	Stool	Bangladesh
	Mentzer 2014	E_1841	ERR084485	Stool	Bangladesh
	Mentzer 2014	E_1871CFn	ERR119560	Stool	Bangladesh
	Mentzer 2014	E_1883	ERR084486	Stool	Bangladesh
	Mentzer 2014	E_1918	ERR084487	Stool	Bangladesh
	Mentzer 2014	E_1939	ERR084488	Stool	Bangladesh
	Mentzer 2014	E_1947	ERR084489	Stool	Bangladesh
	Mentzer 2014	E_1961CFn	ERR119561	Stool	Bangladesh
	Mentzer 2014	E_1994	ERR084490	Stool	Bangladesh
	Mentzer 2014	E_2088	ERR084491	Stool	Bangladesh
	Mentzer 2014	E_2092	ERR089723	Stool	Bangladesh
	Mentzer 2014	E_21	ERR054667	Stool	Bangladesh
	Mentzer 2014	$E\_2108CFn$	ERR119562	Stool	Bangladesh
	Mentzer 2014	E_2110CFn	ERR119563	Stool	Bangladesh
	Mentzer 2014	E_2118	ERR089724	Stool	Bangladesh
	Mentzer 2014	$E\_2121CFn$	ERR119564	Stool	Bangladesh
	Mentzer 2014	E_2131	ERR089725	Stool	Bangladesh
	Mentzer 2014	E_2185	ERR089726	Stool	Bolivia
	Mentzer 2014	E_220	ERR054686	Stool	Japan
	Mentzer 2014	E_2219	ERR089727	Stool	Bolivia
	Mentzer 2014	$E_222CFn$	ERR119380	Stool	Japan
	Mentzer 2014	$E_223CFn$	ERR119381	Stool	Japan
	Mentzer 2014	E_224	ERR054687	Stool	Japan
	Mentzer 2014	E_2256	ERR089728	Stool	Thailand
	Mentzer 2014	E_2339	ERR089729	Stool	Bolivia
	Mentzer 2014	E_2347	ERR089730	Stool	Bolivia
	Mentzer 2014	E_2348	ERR089731	Stool	Bolivia
	Mentzer 2014	$E\_2362\_sec$	ERR178236	Stool	Bolivia
	Mentzer 2014	E_237	ERR054688	Stool	Japan
	Mentzer 2014	$E_2370sec$	ERR217375	Stool	Japan
	Mentzer 2014	E_2386	ERR089732	Stool	Bolivia
	Mentzer 2014	E_2397	ERR089733	Stool	Bolivia
	Mentzer 2014	$E\_239CFn$	ERR119382	Stool	Japan
	Mentzer 2014	E_2439	ERR164832	Stool	Bolivia
	Mentzer 2014	E_251	ERR054689	Stool	Japan
	Mentzer 2014	$E_263CFn$	ERR119383	Stool	Japan
	Mentzer 2014	E_272	ERR054690	Stool	Japan
	Mentzer 2014	E_28	ERR054668	Stool	Bangladesh

Table 6.3: Details of samples included in global phylogeny (continued)

Study	Sample ID	Accession No.	Source	Country
Mentzer 2014	E_2980	ERR089734	Stool	Bangladesh
Mentzer 2014	$E_2981_sec$	ERR178239	Stool	Bangladesh
Mentzer 2014	E_329CFn	ERR119384	Stool	Mexico
Mentzer 2014	E_330CFn	ERR119385	Stool	Mexico
Mentzer 2014	 E_333	ERR049162	Stool	Mexico
Mentzer 2014	E_335CFn	ERR119386	Stool	Mexico
Mentzer 2014	E_336CFn	ERR119387	Stool	Mexico
Mentzer 2014	E_340CFn	ERR119388	Stool	Mexico
Mentzer 2014	E 343CFn	ERR119389	Stool	Mexico
Mentzer 2014	E_344	ERR049163	Stool	Mexico
Mentzer 2014	E 351CFn	ERR119390	Stool	Mexico
Mentzer 2014	E 354CFn	ERR119391	Stool	Mexico
Mentzer 2014	E 356CFn	ERR119392	Stool	Mexico
Mentzer 2014	E_36	ERR054669	Stool	Bangladesh
Mentzer 2014	E_360CFn	ERR119393	Stool	Mexico
Mentzer 2014	E 361CFn	ERR119394	Stool	Mexico
Mentzer 2014	E_370	ERR049164	Stool	Guatemala
Mentzer 2014	E 390CFn	ERR119395	Stool	Guatemala
Mentzer 2014	E 391CFn	ERR119396	Stool	Guatemala
Mentzer 2014	E_399CFn	ERR119397	Stool	Guatemala
Mentzer 2014	E 405CFn	ERR119398	Stool	Guatemala
Mentzer 2014	E 415CFn	ERR119399	Stool	Guatemala
Mentzer 2014	E 416	ERR049165	Stool	Guatemala
Mentzer 2014	E 425CFn	ERR119400	Stool	Guatemala
Mentzer 2014	E_445CFn	ERR119401	Stool	Guatemala
Mentzer 2014	E 45	ERR054670	Stool	Bangladesh
Mentzer 2014	E 451CFn	ERR119402	Stool	Guatemala
Mentzer 2014	E 471	ERR049166	Stool	Guatemala
Mentzer 2014	E 5089	ERR164833	Stool	Bangladesh
Mentzer 2014	E_509	ERR178229	Stool	Mexico
Mentzer 2014	E 513CFn	ERR119404	Stool	Mexico
Mentzer 2014 Mentzer 2014	E_513CFH E_517	ERR049167	Stool	Mexico
Mentzer 2014 Mentzer 2014	E_519CFn	ERR119405	Stool	Mexico
Mentzer 2014 Mentzer 2014	E_519CFn E_520CFn	ERR119406	Stool	Mexico
Mentzer 2014	E_523CFn	ERR119407	Stool	Mexico
Mentzer 2014	E_527CFn	ERR119408	Stool	Mexico
Mentzer 2014	E 528CFn	ERR119409	Stool	Mexico
Mentzer 2014	E_528CFn E_529CFn	ERR119410	Stool	Mexico
Mentzer 2014 Mentzer 2014	E_529CFII E_54	ERR049158	Stool	Bangladesh
Mentzer 2014	E_554	ERR049168	Stool	Mexico
Mentzer 2014	E_562	ERR049169	Stool	Mexico
Mentzer 2014	E_563	ERR049170	Stool	Mexico
Mentzer 2014	E_604CFn	ERR119411	Stool	Guatemala
Mentzer 2014	E_616CFn	ERR119412	Stool	Guatemala
Mentzer 2014	E_618CFn	ERR119413	Stool	Guatemala
Mentzer 2014	$E\_620CFn$	ERR119414	Stool	Guatemala
Mentzer 2014	$E\_621$	ERR178230	Stool	Guatemala

Table 6.3: Details of samples included in global phylogeny (continued)

	Study	Sample ID	Accession No.	Source	Country
٠	Mentzer 2014	E_622CFn	ERR119416	Stool	Guatemala
	Mentzer 2014	$E_626CFn$	ERR119417	Stool	Guatemala
	Mentzer 2014	$E_628CFn$	ERR119418	Stool	Guatemala
	M 2014	F 620	EDD040171	C41	G
	Mentzer 2014 Mentzer 2014	E_632	ERR049171	Stool Stool	Guatemala Guatemala
	Mentzer 2014 Mentzer 2014	E_636 E_645CFn	ERR049172 ERR119419	Stool	Guatemala
	Mentzer 2014 Mentzer 2014	E_655	ERR049173	Stool	Guatemala
	Mentzer 2014 Mentzer 2014	E_658CFn	ERR119420	Stool	Guatemala
	Mentzer 2014	E_056CF11	E1(1(119420	51001	Guatelliaia
	Mentzer 2014	E_659CFn	ERR119421	Stool	Guatemala
	Mentzer 2014	E_66	ERR054671	Stool	Bangladesh
	Mentzer 2014	E_662CFn	ERR119422	Stool	Guatemala
	Mentzer 2014	E_70	ERR049159	Stool	Bangladesh
	Mentzer 2014	E_703CFn	ERR119423	Stool	Guatemala
	Mentzer 2014	E_704CFn	ERR119424	Stool	Guatemala
	Mentzer 2014	$E_705CFn$	ERR119425	Stool	Guatemala
	Mentzer 2014	E_71	ERR049160	Stool	Bangladesh
	Mentzer 2014	E_710	ERR178231	Stool	Guatemala
	Mentzer 2014	E_74	ERR054672	Stool	Bangladesh
	Mentzer 2014	E 79	ERR054673	Stool	Bangladesh
	Mentzer 2014	E 8	ERR049156	Stool	Bangladesh
	Mentzer 2014	E_806	ERR054691	Stool	Guatemala
	Mentzer 2014	E_810	ERR054692	Stool	Guatemala
	Mentzer 2014	E_811	ERR178232	Stool	Guatemala
	Mentzer 2014	F 919	EDD054602	Stool	Guatemala
	Mentzer 2014 Mentzer 2014	E_812 E_816	ERR054693 ERR054694	Stool	Guatemala
	Mentzer 2014 Mentzer 2014	E_818CFn	ERR119428	Stool	Guatemala
	Mentzer 2014 Mentzer 2014	E_819CFn	ERR119429	Stool	Guatemala
	Mentzer 2014	E 821CFn	ERR119430	Stool	Guatemala
		_			
	Mentzer 2014	E_822	ERR054695	Stool	Guatemala
	Mentzer 2014	E_828CFn	ERR119431	Stool	Guatemala
	Mentzer 2014	E_833CFn	ERR119432	Stool	Guatemala
	Mentzer 2014	E_841CFn	ERR119433	Stool	Guatemala
	Mentzer 2014	E_842	ERR054696	Stool	Guatemala
	Mentzer 2014	E_85	ERR054674	Stool	Bangladesh
	Mentzer 2014	$E_855CFn$	ERR119434	Stool	Guatemala
	Mentzer 2014	E_856	ERR054697	Stool	Guatemala
	Mentzer 2014	E_858	ERR054698	Stool	Guatemala
	Mentzer 2014	E_860CFn	ERR119435	Stool	Guatemala
	Mentzer 2014	E_863	ERR049174	Stool	Guatemala
	Mentzer 2014	$E_865CFn$	ERR119436	Stool	Guatemala
	Mentzer 2014	E_867	ERR054699	Stool	Guatemala
	Mentzer 2014	E_87	ERR054675	Stool	Bangladesh
	Mentzer 2014	E_871	ERR054700	Stool	Guatemala
	Mentzer 2014	E_873CFn	ERR119437	Stool	Guatemala
	Mentzer 2014	$E_876CFn$	ERR119438	Stool	Guatemala
	Mentzer 2014	E_877	ERR049175	Stool	Guatemala
	Mentzer 2014	E_879	ERR049176	Stool	Guatemala
	Mentzer 2014	E_88	ERR049161	Stool	Bangladesh

Table 6.3: Details of samples included in global phylogeny (continued)

Study	Sample ID	Accession No.	Source	Country
Mentzer 2014	E 881CFn	ERR119439	Stool	Guatemala
Mentzer 2014	E 882	ERR054701	Stool	Guatemala
Mentzer 2014	E 883	ERR054702	Stool	Guatemala
Mentzer 2014	E 884CFn	ERR119441	Stool	Guatemala
Mentzer 2014	 E885CFn	ERR119442	Stool	Guatemala
Mentzer 2014	E 887CFn	ERR119443	Stool	Guatemala
Mentzer 2014	E 888CFn	ERR119444	Stool	Guatemala
Mentzer 2014	E 890CFn	ERR119445	Stool	Guatemala
Mentzer 2014	E 891CFn	ERR119446	Stool	Guatemala
Mentzer 2014	E_892CFn	ERR119447	Stool	Guatemala
Mentzer 2014	E 893CFn	ERR119448	Stool	Guatemala
Mentzer 2014	E 895	ERR054703	Stool	Guatemala
Mentzer 2014	E 897	ERR049177	Stool	Guatemala
Mentzer 2014	E 898CFn	ERR119449	Stool	Guatemala
Mentzer 2014	E_899CFn	ERR119450	Stool	Guatemala
Mentzer 2014	E 900	ERR054704	Stool	Guatemala
Mentzer 2014	E_901	ERR054705	Stool	Guatemala
Mentzer 2014	E 903	ERR054706	Stool	Guatemala
Mentzer 2014	E 907	ERR054707	Stool	Guatemala
Mentzer 2014	E_908CFn	ERR119452	Stool	Guatemala
Mentzer 2014	E 916	ERR049178	Stool	Guatemala
Mentzer 2014	E_917	ERR049179	Stool	Guatemala
Mentzer 2014	E 920	ERR054708	Stool	Guatemala
Mentzer 2014	E 924CFn	ERR119453	Stool	Guatemala
Mentzer 2014	E_925	ERR052905	Stool	Guatemala
Mentzer 2014	E 927	ERR052906	Stool	$_{\mathrm{Egypt}}$
Mentzer 2014	E 928	ERR054709	Stool	Egypt
Mentzer 2014	E 934CFn	ERR119455	Stool	Egypt
Mentzer 2014	E 935CFn	ERR119456	Stool	Egypt
Mentzer 2014	 E_936CFn	ERR119457	Stool	Egypt
Mentzer 2014	E 938	ERR052907	Stool	Egypt
Mentzer 2014	 E939CFn	ERR119458	Stool	Egypt
Mentzer 2014	E 940	ERR052908	Stool	Egypt
Mentzer 2014	E 941CFn	ERR119459	Stool	Egypt
Mentzer 2014	E_943	ERR054710	Stool	Egypt
Mentzer 2014	E_944CFn	ERR119460	Stool	$_{\mathrm{Egypt}}$
Mentzer 2014	E_945CFn	ERR119461	Stool	Egypt
Mentzer 2014	E 947CFn	ERR119462	Stool	Egypt
Mentzer 2014	E_949CFn	ERR119463	Stool	Egypt
Mentzer 2014	$E\_952CFn$	ERR119464	Stool	$\operatorname{Egypt}$
Mentzer 2014	E_953	ERR052909	Stool	$_{ m Egypt}$
Mentzer 2014	E_955CFn	ERR119465	Stool	Egypt
Mentzer 2014	E_956CFn	ERR119466	Stool	Egypt
Mentzer 2014	 E_957	ERR164828	Stool	Egypt
Mentzer 2014	 E97	ERR054676	Stool	Bangladesh
Mentzer 2014	E 978CFn	ERR119468	Stool	$_{\mathrm{Egypt}}$
Mentzer 2014	E_986	ERR052910	Stool	Egypt
				-0J P*

Table 6.3: Details of samples included in global phylogeny (continued)

Study	Sample ID	Accession No.	Source	Country
Mentzer 2014	E_99	ERR054677	Stool	Bangladesh
Mentzer 2014	E_995	ERR160999	Stool	Egypt
Mentzer 2014	$E_996CFn$	ERR119469	Stool	Egypt
Mentzer 2014	E_998CFn	ERR119470	Stool	Egypt
Mentzer 2014	E160CFn	ERR119523	Stool	Japan
Mentzer 2014	E2367CFn	ERR119566	Stool	Bolivia
Mentzer 2014	E2371CFn	ERR119568	Stool	Bolivia
Mentzer 2014	E2377CFn	ERR119569	Stool	Bolivia
Mentzer 2014	E2388CFn	ERR119570	Stool	Bolivia
Mentzer 2014	E2392CFn	ERR119571	Stool	Bolivia
Mentzer 2014	E2393CFn	ERR119572	Stool	Bolivia
Mentzer 2014	E2395CFn	ERR119573	Stool	Bolivia
Mentzer 2014	E2404CFn	ERR119574	Stool	Bolivia
Mentzer 2014	E2405CFn	ERR119575	Stool	Bolivia
Mentzer 2014	E3015CFn	ERR119577	Stool	Egypt
Mentzer 2014	E4134CFn	ERR119578	Stool	Israel
Mentzer 2014	E5049	ERR089738	Stool	India
Mentzer 2014	E5051	ERR089739	Stool	India
Mentzer 2014	E5052	ERR089740	Stool	India
Mentzer 2014	E5080	ERR089741	Stool	Bangladesh
Mentzer 2014	E5081	ERR089742	Stool	Bangladesh
Mentzer 2014	E5082	ERR089743	Stool	Bangladesh
Mentzer 2014	E5084	ERR089744	Stool	Bangladesh
Mentzer 2014	E5085	ERR089745	Stool	Bangladesh
Mentzer 2014	E5086	ERR089746	Stool	Bangladesh
Mentzer 2014	E5087	ERR089747	Stool	Bangladesh
Mentzer 2014	E5088	ERR089748	Stool	Bangladesh
Mentzer 2014	ILBEcoli5442571	ERR279354	Stool	Kenya
Mentzer 2014	ILBEcoli5442572	ERR279355	Stool	Kenya
Mentzer 2014	ILBE coli 5442573	ERR279356	Stool	Kenya
Mentzer 2014	ILBE coli 5442574	ERR279357	Stool	Kenya
Mentzer 2014	ILBE coli 5442575	ERR279358	Stool	Kenya
Mentzer 2014	ILBEcoli5442576	ERR279359	Stool	Kenya
Mentzer 2014	ILBEcoli5442577	ERR279360	Stool	Kenya
Mentzer 2014	ILBE coli 5442578	ERR279361	Stool	Kenya
Mentzer 2014	ILBE coli 5442579	ERR279362	Stool	Kenya
Mentzer 2014	ILBE coli 5442580	ERR279363	Stool	Kenya
Mentzer 2014	ILBEcoli5442581	ERR279364	Stool	Kenya
Mentzer 2014	ILBE coli 5442582	ERR279365	Stool	Kenya
Mentzer 2014	ILBEcoli5442583	ERR279366	Stool	Kenya
Mentzer 2014	ILBE coli 5442587	ERR279370	Stool	Guinea Bissau
Mentzer 2014	ILBE coli 5442588	ERR279371	Stool	Guinea Bissau
Mentzer 2014	ILBEcoli5442589	ERR279372	Stool	Guinea Bissau
Mentzer 2014	ILBEcoli5442590	ERR279373	Stool	Guinea Bissau
Musicha 2017	$3487 \mathrm{STDY} 6036382$	ERR926351	Blood	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487 STDY 6036383	ERR926352	Blood	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487 STDY 6036384	ERR926353	Blood	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487 STDY 6036385	ERR926354	CSF	Malawi

Table 6.3: Details of samples included in global phylogeny (continued)

Study	Sample ID	Accession No.	Source	Country
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036386	ERR926355	Blood	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036387	ERR926356	Blood	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036388	ERR926357	Blood	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036389	ERR926358	Blood	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036390	ERR926359	CSF	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036391	ERR926360	Blood	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036392	ERR926361	Blood	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036393	ERR926362	Blood	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036394	ERR926363	Blood	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036395	ERR926364	Blood	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036396	ERR926365	CSF	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036397	ERR926366	Blood	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036398	ERR926367	Blood	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036399	ERR926368	Blood	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036400	ERR926369	CSF	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036403	ERR926372	CSF	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036404	ERR926373	Blood	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036405	ERR926374	CSF	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036406	ERR926375	Blood	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036407	ERR926376	CSF	Malawi
36 13 2045	0.40 = CETTO 1.400 0.400	EDD 0000E	DI I	
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036408	ERR926377	Blood	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036409	ERR926378	Blood	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036410	ERR926379	Blood	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036411	ERR926380	Blood	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036412	ERR926381	Blood	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036413	ERR971988	CSF	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036414	ERR926382	Blood	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036415	ERR926383	CSF	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036416	ERR926384	CSF	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036417	ERR926385	CSF	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487 STDY 6036418	ERR926386	CSF	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036420	ERR926388	CSF	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036421	ERR926389	Blood	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036422	ERR926390	CSF	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036423	ERR926391	CSF	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487 STDY 6036424	ERR926392	Blood	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487 STDY 6036425	ERR926393	Blood	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487 STDY 6036426	ERR926394	Blood	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487 STDY 6036427	ERR926395	Blood	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487 STDY 6036428	ERR926396	Blood	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036429	ERR926397	Blood	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036430	ERR926398	Blood	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036431	ERR926399	Blood	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036432	ERR926400	Blood	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487 STDY 6036433	ERR926401	CSF	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036434	ERR926402	CSF	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036435	ERR926403	CSF	Malawi

Table 6.3: Details of samples included in global phylogeny (continued)

Study	Sample ID	Accession No.	Source	Country
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036436	ERR926404	Blood	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036437	ERR926405	Blood	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487 STDY 6036438	ERR926406	Blood	Malawi
M 2017	9.4070///03/2440	ED D000400	CCE	M-1- :
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036440	ERR926408	CSF	Malawi
Musicha 2017 Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036441 3487STDY6036443	ERR926409 ERR926411	Blood Blood	Malawi Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036444	ERR926412	Blood	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036445	ERR926413	RS	Malawi
Wusicha 2017	340751D10030445	E1(1(320413	163	waawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036446	ERR971989	Blood	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036447	ERR926414	CSF	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036448	ERR926415	Blood	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036449	ERR926416	Blood	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036450	ERR926417	RS	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036451	ERR926418	CSF	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036452	ERR926419	Blood	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036453	ERR926420	Blood	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487 STDY 6036454	ERR971990	Blood	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487 STDY 6036455	ERR926421	CSF	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036456	ERR971991	Blood	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036457	ERR926422	RS	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036458	ERR926423	Blood	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036460	ERR926425	CSF	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036461	ERR926426	Blood	Malawi
35 11 2015	0.40=0=03.70000.400	EDDom:	DI I	361
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036462	ERR971992	Blood	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036463	ERR926427	CSF	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036464	ERR926428	Blood	Malawi
Musicha 2017 Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036465 3487STDY6036466	ERR926429 ERR926430	Blood RS	Malawi Malawi
Wusicha 2017	348731D10030400	ERR920430	14,5	Maiawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036467	ERR926431	RS	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036468	ERR926432	Blood	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036470	ERR926434	Blood	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036471	ERR926435	RS	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036473	ERR971994	RS	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036486	ERR926444	Blood	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036506	ERR971962	Blood	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487 STDY 6036508	ERR971963	Blood	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487 STDY 6036519	ERR972008	Blood	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487 STDY 6036520	ERR971966	Blood	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487STDY6036526	ERR971968	Blood	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487 STDY 6036533	ERR971970	Blood	Malawi
Musicha 2017	3487 STDY 6036547	ERR971979	Blood	Malawi
Musicha 2017	$3487 \mathrm{STDY} 6036565$	ERR971987	RS	Malawi
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199571	ERR1218581	Urine	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199572	ERR1218582	Urine	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199573	ERR1218583	Urine	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199574	ERR1218584	Sputum	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199575	ERR1218585	Urine	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199576	ERR1218534	Blood	Thailand

Table 6.3: Details of samples included in global phylogeny (continued)

Study	Sample ID	Accession No.	Source	Country
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199577	ERR1218586	Pus	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199578	ERR1218587	Blood	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199579	ERR1218588	Urine	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199580	ERR1218589	Urine	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199581	ERR1218590	Pus	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199582	ERR1218591	Pus	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199583	ERR1218592	Urine	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199584	ERR1218593	Urine	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199585	ERR1218594	Urine	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199586	ERR1218595	Blood	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199587	ERR1218596	Pus	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199588	ERR1218597	Urine	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199589	ERR1218535	Blood	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199590	ERR1218598	Urine	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199591	ERR1218599	Pus	Thailand
	309031D10199391	ERR1218599	r us	
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199592	ERR1218600	Urine	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199593	ERR1218536	Blood	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199594	ERR1218601	Urine	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199595	ERR1218602	Blood	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199596	ERR1218537	Pus	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898 STDY 6199597	ERR1218538	Pus	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199598	ERR1218603	Pus	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199599	ERR1218539	Urine	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199600	ERR1218604	Pus	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199601	ERR1218540	Pus	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199602	ERR1218605	Urine	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199603	ERR1218606	Urine	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898 STDY 6199604	ERR1218607	Urine	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199605	ERR1218608	Blood	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199606	ERR1218609	Pus	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199607	ERR1218610	Pus	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199608	ERR1218541	Urine	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199609	ERR1218611	Blood	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199610	ERR1218542	Pus	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199611	ERR1218612	Urine	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199612	ERR1218613	Pus	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199613	ERR1218614	Blood	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898 STDY 6199614	ERR1218543	Urine	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199615	ERR1218615	Blood	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199616	ERR1218616	Urine	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199617	ERR1218617	Blood	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199618	ERR1218618	Blood	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199619	ERR1218619	Urine	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199620	ERR1218620	Urine	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199621	ERR1218621	Blood	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199622	ERR1218544	Urine	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199623	ERR1218622	Urine	Thailand
,				

Table 6.3: Details of samples included in global phylogeny (continued)

Study	Sample ID	Accession No.	Source	Country
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199624	ERR1218545	Blood	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199625	ERR1218623	Urine	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199626	ERR1218624	Urine	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199627	ERR1218625	Urine	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898 STDY 6199628	ERR1218626	Urine	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199629	ERR1218627	Urine	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199630	ERR1218628	Blood	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199631	ERR1218629	Blood	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199632	ERR1218630	Pus	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199633	ERR1218631	Pus	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199634	ERR1218632	Urine	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898 STDY 6199635	ERR1218633	Blood	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199636	ERR1218634	Pus	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199637	ERR1218635	Urine	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199638	ERR1218546	Urine	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199639	ERR1218636	Pus	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199640	ERR1218637	Urine	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898 STDY 6199642	ERR1218639	Blood	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199643	ERR1218640	Pus	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199644	ERR1218641	Blood	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898 STDY 6199645	ERR1218642	Canal	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199648	ERR1218643	Canal	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199649	ERR1218644	Canal	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199651	ERR1218549	Canal	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199653	ERR1218551	Canal	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199654	ERR1218646	Canal	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199656	$\rm ERR1218552$	Canal	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199657	ERR1218648	Canal	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199658	ERR1218553	Canal	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199659	ERR1218649	Canal	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199660	ERR1218650	Canal	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199661	ERR1218651	Canal	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199662	ERR1218652	Canal	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199664	ERR1218654	Canal	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898 STDY 6199665	ERR1218655	Canal	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199667	ERR1218656	Canal	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199669	ERR1218658	Canal	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199670	ERR1218659	Canal	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199671	ERR1218660	Canal	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898 STDY 6199672	ERR1218661	Canal	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199673	ERR1218662	Canal	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898 STDY 6199674	ERR1218663	Canal	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199675	ERR1218664	Canal	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199677	ERR1218666	Canal	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199680	ERR1218669	Canal	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199682	ERR1218671	Canal	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199685	ERR1218674	Canal	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199686	ERR1218675	Canal	Thailand

Table 6.3: Details of samples included in global phylogeny (continued)

Study	Sample ID	Accession No.	Source	Country
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199687	ERR1218676	Canal	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199689	ERR1218678	Canal	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199692	ERR1218681	Canal	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199693	ERR1218682	Canal	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199694	ERR1218683	Canal	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199695	ERR1218684	Canal	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199696	ERR1218685	Canal	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199697	ERR1218686	Canal	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199698	ERR1218554	Canal	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898 STDY 6199700	ERR1218688	Canal	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199701	ERR1218689	Canal	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199702	ERR1218690	Untreated hospital sewage	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199704	ERR1218692	Untreated hospital sewage	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199705	ERR1218693	Untreated hospital sewage	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199706	ERR1218694	Canal	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199707	ERR1218695	Canal	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199708	ERR1218696	Canal	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199709	ERR1218697	Farm	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199710	ERR1218698	Farm	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898 STDY 6199713	ERR1218701	Farm	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199714	ERR1218702	Farm	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199715	ERR1218703	Farm	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199764	ERR1218705	Urine	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199766	ERR1218556	Pus	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898 STDY 6199768	ERR1218706	Urine	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199769	ERR1218707	Urine	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199772	ERR1218708	Pus	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199773	ERR1218557	Pus	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199778	ERR1218709	Canal	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199780	ERR1218710	Canal	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199781	ERR1218558	Canal	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898 STDY 6199784	ERR1218711	Canal	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199790	ERR1218559	Urine	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199792	ERR1218712	Blood	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199793	ERR1218713	Urine	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199796	ERR1218560	Pus	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199798	ERR1218714	Blood	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199799	ERR1218715	Canal	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199802	ERR1218716	Canal	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199804	ERR1218561	Canal	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199805	ERR1218717	Canal	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199806	ERR1218718	Canal	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199807	ERR1218719	Canal	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199808	ERR1218562	Canal	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199809	ERR1218720	Canal	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199815	ERR1218564	Farm	Thailand
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199816	ERR1218723	Canal	Thailand

6.6. APPENDIX 81

Table 6.3: Details of samples included in global phylogeny (continued)

Study	Sample ID	Accession No.	Source	Country
Runchaeron 2017	3898STDY6199923	ERR1218774	Canal	Thailand

Note:

RS = Rectal swab

## References

- 1 Wood DE, Salzberg SL. Kraken: ultrafast metagenomic sequence classification using exact alignments. *Genome Biology* 2014;**15**:R46. doi:10.1186/gb-2014-15-3-r46
- 2 Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D *et al.* SPAdes: A New Genome Assembly Algorithm and Its Applications to Single-Cell Sequencing. *Journal of Computational Biology* 2012;**19**:455–77. doi:10.1089/cmb.2012.0021
- 3 Gurevich A, Saveliev V, Vyahhi N et al. QUAST: quality assessment tool for genome assemblies. Bioinformatics 2013;29:1072–5. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btt086
- 4 Parks DH, Imelfort M, Skennerton CT *et al.* CheckM: assessing the quality of microbial genomes recovered from isolates, single cells, and metagenomes. *Genome research* 2015;**25**:1043–55. doi:10.1101/gr.186072.114
- 5 Seemann T. Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. *Bioinformatics* 2014;**30**:2068–9. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu153
- 6 Page AJ, Cummins CA, Hunt M *et al.* Roary: rapid large-scale prokaryote pan genome analysis. *Bioinformatics* 2015;**31**:3691–3. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btv421
- 7 Katoh K, Standley DM. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. *Molecular biology and evolution* 2013;**30**:772–80. doi:10.1093/molbev/mst010
- 8 Page AJ, Taylor B, Delaney AJ et al. SNP-sites: rapid efficient extraction of SNPs from multi-FASTA alignments. *Microbial Genomics* 2016;**2**. doi:10.1099/mgen.0.000056
- 9 Nguyen L-T, Schmidt HA, Haeseler A von et al. IQ-TREE: A Fast and Effective Stochastic Algorithm for Estimating Maximum-Likelihood Phylogenies. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 2015;**32**:268–74. doi:10.1093/molbev/msu300
- 10 Yu G, Smith DK, Zhu H et al. Ggtree: An r package for visualization and annotation of phylogenetic trees with their covariates and other associated data. Methods in Ecology and

- Evolution 2017;8:28-36. doi:10.1111/2041-210X.12628
- 11 Hunt M, Mather AE, Sánchez-Busó L *et al.* ARIBA: rapid antimicrobial resistance genotyping directly from sequencing reads. *Microbial genomics* 2017;**3**:e000131. doi:10.1099/mgen.0.000131
- 12 Inouye M, Dashnow H, Raven L-A *et al.* SRST2: Rapid genomic surveillance for public health and hospital microbiology labs. *Genome Medicine* 2014;**6**:90. doi:10.1186/s13073-014-0090-6
- 13 Carattoli A, Zankari E, García-Fernández A et al. In silico detection and typing of plasmids using PlasmidFinder and plasmid multilocus sequence typing. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy 2014;58:3895–903. doi:10.1128/AAC.02412-14
- 14 Clermont O, Christenson JK, Denamur E et al. The Clermont <i>Escherichia coli</i>phylo-typing method revisited: improvement of specificity and detection of new phylo-groups. Environmental Microbiology Reports 2013;5:58–65. doi:10.1111/1758-2229.12019
- 15 Runcharoen C, Raven KE, Reuter S *et al.* Whole genome sequencing of ESBL-producing Escherichia coli isolated from patients, farm waste and canals in Thailand. *Genome Medicine* 2017;**9**:81. doi:10.1186/s13073-017-0471-8
- 16 Mentzer A von, Connor TR, Wieler LH et al. Identification of enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli (ETEC) clades with long-term global distribution. Nature Genetics 2014; $\bf 46$ :1321–6. doi:10.1038/ng.3145
- 17 Ingle DJ, Tauschek M, Edwards DJ et al. Evolution of atypical enteropathogenic E. coli by repeated acquisition of LEE pathogenicity island variants. Nature Microbiology 2016;1:15010. doi:10.1038/nmicrobiol.2015.10
- 18 Musicha P, Feasey NA, Cain AK *et al.* Genomic landscape of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase resistance in Escherichia coli from an urban African setting. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2017;**72**:1602–9. doi:10.1093/jac/dkx058
- 19 Csardi G, Nepusz T. The igraph software package for complex network research. *Inter-Journal* 2006; **Complex Sy**:1695.http://igraph.org
- 20 Dale AP, Woodford N. Extra-intestinal pathogenic Escherichia coli (ExPEC): Disease, carriage and clones. *Journal of Infection* 2015;**71**:615–26. doi:10.1016/J.JINF.2015.09.009
- 21 Krishnaraju M, Kamatchi C, Jha AK *et al.* Complete sequencing of an IncX3 plasmid carrying blaNDM-5 allele reveals an early stage in the dissemination of the blaNDM gene. *Indian journal of medical microbiology* 2015;**33**:30–8. doi:10.4103/0255-0857.148373

8.7. APPENDIX 91

22 Robicsek A, Strahilevitz J, Jacoby GA et al. Fluoroquinolone-modifying enzyme: a new adaptation of a common aminoglycoside acetyltransferase. Nature Medicine 2006;12:83–8. doi:10.1038/nm1347

- 23 Yan L, Liu D, Wang X-H et al. Bacterial plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance genes in aquatic environments in China. Scientific reports 2017;7:40610. doi:10.1038/srep40610
- 24 Ramirez MS, Tolmasky ME. Aminoglycoside modifying enzymes. *Drug resistance updates* : reviews and commentaries in antimicrobial and anticancer chemotherapy 2010;**13**:151–71. doi:10.1016/j.drup.2010.08.003
- 25 Galimand M, Courvalin P, Lambert T. Plasmid-Mediated High-Level Resistance to Aminoglycosides in Enterobacteriaceae Due to 16S rRNA Methylation. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* 2003;47:2565. doi:10.1128/AAC.47.8.2565-2571.2003
- 26 Anantham S, Hall RM. pCERC1, a Small, Globally Disseminated Plasmid Carrying the <i>dfrA14</i> Cassette in the <i>strA</i> Gene of the <i>sul2-strA-strB</i> Gene Cluster. *Microbial Drug Resistance* 2012;**18**:364–71. doi:10.1089/mdr.2012.0008
- 27 Stoesser N, Sheppard AE, Pankhurst L et al. Evolutionary History of the Global Emergence of the Escherichia coli Epidemic Clone ST131. mBio 2016;7:e02162. doi:10.1128/mBio.02162-15
- 28 Roer L, Overballe-Petersen S, Hansen F et al. <i>Escherichia coli</i> Sequence Type 410 Is Causing New International High-Risk Clones. mSphere~2018;3:e00337–18. doi:10.1128/mSphere.00337-18
- 29 Zong Z, Fenn S, Connor C *et al.* Complete genomic characterization of two Escherichia coli lineages responsible for a cluster of carbapenem-resistant infections in a Chinese hospital. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* 2018;**73**:2340–6. doi:10.1093/jac/dky210
- 30 Wang Q, Wang X, Wang J *et al.* Phenotypic and Genotypic Characterization of Carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae: Data From a Longitudinal Large-scale CRE Study in China (2012–2016). *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 2018;**67**:S196–205. doi:10.1093/cid/ciy660
- 31 Irrgang A, Falgenhauer L, Fischer J et al. CTX-M-15-Producing E. coli Isolates from Food Products in Germany Are Mainly Associated with an IncF-Type Plasmid and Belong to Two Predominant Clonal E. coli Lineages. Frontiers in Microbiology 2017;8:2318. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2017.02318
- 32 Kallonen T, Brodrick HJ, Harris SR et al. Systematic longitudinal survey of invasive <i>Escherichia coli</i> in England demonstrates a stable population structure only transiently disturbed by the emergence of ST131. Genome Research 2017;27:1437–49.

- doi:10.1101/gr.216606.116
- 33 Nicolas-Chanoine M-H, Bertrand X, Madec J-Y. Escherichia coli ST131, an intriguing clonal group. *Clinical microbiology reviews* 2014;**27**:543–74. doi:10.1128/CMR.00125-13
- 34 Pitout JDD, DeVinney R. Escherichia coli ST131: a multidrug-resistant clone primed for global domination. F1000Research 2017;**6**:195. doi:10.12688/f1000research.10609.1
- 35 Malawi Ministry of Health. Malawi Guidelines for Clinical Management of HIV in Children and Adults (Third Edition). 2016.
- 36 Musicha P, Cornick JE, Bar-Zeev N et al. Trends in antimicrobial resistance in bloodstream infection isolates at a large urban hospital in Malawi (1998–2016): a surveillance study. The Lancet Infectious Diseases 2017;17:1042–52. doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(17)30394-8
- 37 Redgrave LS, Sutton SB, Webber MA *et al.* Fluoroquinolone resistance: mechanisms, impact on bacteria, and role in evolutionary success. *Trends in Microbiology* 2014;**22**:438–45. doi:10.1016/j.tim.2014.04.007
- 38 Ostrer L, Khodursky RF, Johnson JR et al. Analysis of mutational patterns in quinolone resistance-determining regions of GyrA and ParC of clinical isolates. *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents* 2019;**53**:318–24. doi:10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2018.12.004
- 39 Garoff L, Yadav K, Hughes D. Increased expression of Qnr is sufficient to confer clinical resistance to ciprofloxacin in Escherichia coli. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* 2018;**73**:348–52. doi:10.1093/jac/dkx375
- 40 Neuert S, Nair S, Day MR *et al.* Prediction of Phenotypic Antimicrobial Resistance Profiles From Whole Genome Sequences of Non-typhoidal Salmonella enterica. *Frontiers in microbiology* 2018;**9**:592. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2018.00592
- 41 Williams CT, Musicha P, Feasey NA et al. ChloS-HRM, a novel assay to identify chloramphenicol-susceptible Escherichia coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae in Malawi. The Journal of antimicrobial chemotherapy 2019;74:1212. doi:10.1093/jac/dky563
- 42 Totsika M, Beatson SA, Sarkar S *et al.* Insights into a Multidrug Resistant Escherichia coli Pathogen of the Globally Disseminated ST131 Lineage: Genome Analysis and Virulence Mechanisms. *PLoS ONE* 2011;**6**:e26578. doi:10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0026578
- 43 Bevan ER, Jones AM, Hawkey PM. Global epidemiology of CTX-M  $\beta$ -lactamases: temporal and geographical shifts in genotype. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* 2017;**72**:2145–55. doi:10.1093/jac/dkx146