I first tried using the RNN, since the lesson said that it worked better with temporal data and our ClimateWins dataset is from the 1960s to the 2000s. However, no matter how I adjusted the parameters the accuracy was down around 5 percent. The best I was able to get was 8 percent. I went back and used CNN instead.

First Attempt: I started with the parameters shown below. The accuracy with the first epoch was 8.31 percent with a loss of 21,417. My loss continued to grow with my accuracy never getting higher than 13 percent. I ended at 12.5 percent accuracy with a very large loss. The confusion matrix shows that the model was able to identify 14 of the 15 stations with these parameters.

```
#01 RNW Keras model
epochs = 50
batch_size = 16
n_hidden = 160

timesteps = len(X_train[0])
input_dim = len(X_train[0][0])
n_classes = len(y_train[0])

model = Sequential()
model.add(Conv1D(n_hidden, kernel_size=2, activation='relu', input_shape=(timesteps, input_dim)))
model.add(Dense(16, activation='relu'))
model.add(MaxPooling1D())
model.add(Flatten())
model.add(Dense(n_classes, activation='softmax'))
```

180/180			0s 1m	0s 1ms/step						
Pred	BASEL	BELGRA	DE BUDAF	EST DU	SSELDORF	HEATHRO	W KASSEL	\		
True										
BASEL	116	6	522	878	2	83	5 48			
BELGRADE	0	4	21	248	9	22	5 5			
BUDAPEST	0		48	57	9	5	5 0			
DEBILT	0		27	4	9	2	28 0			
DUSSELDORF	0		5	1	9	1	.0 0			
HEATHROW	0		6	9	9	3	6 1			
KASSEL	0		4	1	9		5 0			
LJUBLJANA	0		10	19	9	1	7 0			
MAASTRICHT	0		1	2	9		2 0			
MADRID	1		28	80	9	16	i3 10			
MUNCHENB	0		4	2	9		0 0			
OSLO	0		9	1	9		0 0			
STOCKHOLM	0		1	2	9		0 0			
VALENTIA	0		0	0	0		0 0			
Pred	LJUBLJA	NA MA	ASTRICHT	MADRID	MUNCHEN	B OSLO	SONNBLICK	\		
True										
BASEL		6	62	467	19	6 101	6			
BELGRADE		9	1	57		2 16	9			
BUDAPEST		9	1	15		0 2	9			
DEBILT		0	0	6		0 2	9			
DUSSELDORF		9	0	2		0 2	9			
HEATHROW		9	0	8		9 5	9			
KASSEL		9	0	6		0 1	9			
LJUBLJANA		9	9	12		9 9	9			
MAASTRICHT		9	1	2		1 0	9			
MADRID		9	0	97		3 24	9			
MUNCHENB		9	0	6		1 1	9			
OSLO		0	0	6		9 9	9			
STOCKHOLM		9	0	6		0 1	9			
VALENTIA		9	0	9		9 9	9			

Second Attempt

I made the batch size slightly smaller and increased the hidden layers to 200. Each epoch took longer to run with the smaller batch size. The loss continued to get larger and larger as it ran. The final accuracy was 8.9 percent with a huge loss.

```
#01 RNW Keras model
epochs = 50
batch_size = 10
n_hidden = 200

timesteps = len(X_train[0])
input_dim = len(X_train[0][0])
n_classes = len(y_train[0])

model = Sequential()
model.add(Conv1D(n_hidden, kernel_size=2, activation='relu', input_shape=(timesteps, input_dim)))
model.add(MaxPooling1D())
model.add(MaxPooling1D())
model.add(Flatten())
model.add(Dense(n_classes, activation='softmax'))
```

Third attempt

Changed batch size back to 16, epoch at 50, hidden layers remained at 200 but changed activation to sigmoid. The loss still exponentially rose throughout the running of the model. The accuracy improved to 16.55 percent and it only identified a single station.

```
3]: #05 print matrix
   print(confusion_matrix(y_test, model.predict(X_test)))
   180/180 ---
                       — 0s 2ms/step
   Pred
           BASEL
   True
   BASEL
             3682
   BELGRADE 1092
   BUDAPEST 214
   DEBILT
   DUSSELDORF 29
   HEATHROW
              82
   KASSEL
   LJUBLJANA
               61
   MAASTRICHT
                9
   MADRID
              458
              8
   MUNCHENB
   OSLO
               5
   STOCKHOLM 4
   VALENTIA
```

Fourth attempt

Kept everything the same, except used tanh as the activation. The time the loss was a lot less, along with accuracy. The model never got any better with each epoch. It identified seven of the stations

```
1076/1076 - 2s - 2ms/step - accuracy: 0.0318 - loss: 23.3053
Epoch 42/50
1076/1076 - 2s - 2ms/step - accuracy: 0.0318 - loss: 23.3053
Epoch 43/50
1076/1076 - 2s - 2ms/step - accuracy: 0.0318 - loss: 23.3053
Epoch 44/50
1076/1076 - 2s - 2ms/step - accuracy: 0.0318 - loss: 23.3053
Epoch 45/50
1076/1076 - 2s - 2ms/step - accuracy: 0.0318 - loss: 23.3053
1076/1076 - 2s - 2ms/step - accuracy: 0.0318 - loss: 23.3053
Epoch 47/50
1076/1076 - 2s - 2ms/step - accuracy: 0.0318 - loss: 23.3053
Epoch 48/50
1076/1076 - 2s - 2ms/step - accuracy: 0.0318 - loss: 23.3053
Epoch 49/50
1076/1076 - 3s - 3ms/step - accuracy: 0.0318 - loss: 23.3053
Epoch 50/50
1076/1076 - 2s - 2ms/step - accuracy: 0.0318 - loss: 23.3053
Loss: 23.305326461791992 Accuracy 0.03178015351295471
404 4-51-- -4-41---
```

Final attempt

I went back to the softmax activation. I increased the number of hidden layers to 200 in hopes of identifying all 15 stations. Was able to get all 15 stations but the loss was huge and the accuracy was only at 4.3 percent.

```
#01 RNN Keras model
epochs = 50
batch_size = 16
n_hidden = 200

timesteps = len(X_train[0])
input_dim = len(X_train[0][0])
n_classes = len(y_train[0])

model = Sequential()
model.add(Conv1D(n_hidden, kernel_size=2, activation='relu', input_shape=(timesteps, input_dim)))
model.add(Dense(16, activation='relu'))
model.add(MaxPooling1D())
model.add(Flatten())
model.add(Dense(n_classes, activation='softmax'))
```

```
10/0/10/0 - 25 - 2ms/scep - accuracy. 0.1132 - 1055. 02/334030.0000
Epoch 45/50
1076/1076 - 2s - 2ms/step - accuracy: 0.1114 - loss: 880139648.0000
Epoch 46/50
1076/1076 - 2s - 2ms/step - accuracy: 0.1134 - loss: 935311744.0000
Epoch 47/50
1076/1076 - 2s - 2ms/step - accuracy: 0.1106 - loss: 992528768.0000
Epoch 48/50
1076/1076 - 2s - 2ms/step - accuracy: 0.1125 - loss: 1052101504.0000
Epoch 49/50
1076/1076 - 2s - 2ms/step - accuracy: 0.1124 - loss: 1114025984.0000
Epoch 50/50
1076/1076 - 2s - 2ms/step - accuracy: 0.1145 - loss: 1178277632.0000
Loss: 1210752000.0 Accuracy 0.04369044676423073
```

[81]: #04 define stations

DERTIT

180/180			0s 1ms/step							
Pred	BASEL	BELGRADE	BUDAPES	ST DEE	BILT	DUSSE	LDORF	HEATHROW	KASSEL	١
True										
BASEL	44	131	7:	18	3		31	807	89	
BELGRADE	0	8	2	34	0		1	225	17	
BUDAPEST	0	0		29	0		9	59	4	
DEBILT	0	9		15	0		9	24	2	
DUSSELDORF	0	9		2	0		9	14	0	
HEATHROW	0	0		2	0		9	31	3	
KASSEL	0	0		1	0		9	4	1	
LJUBLJANA	0	9		10	0		9	18	1	
MAASTRICHT	9	9		3	0		9	2	0	
MADRID	9	3		35	1		1	152	38	
MUNCHENB	0	0		3	0		9	9	0	
OSLO	9	9		1	0		9	1	0	
STOCKHOLM	9	9		1	0		9	9	0	
VALENTIA	0	9		0	0		9	1	0	
red	LJUBLJA	NA MAAST	RICHT I	MADRID	MUN	CHENB	OSLO	SONNBLICK	\	
rue										
BASEL	7	79	4	664		56	232	8		
BELGRADE	4	56	0	74		9	50	9		
BUDAPEST		79	0	13		9	20	9		
DEBILT		26	0	2		9	8	9		
DUSSELDORF		5	0	3		9	4	9		
HEATHROW		17	0	11		9	16	9		
CASSEL		4	0	9		9	1	9		
JUBLJANA		18	0	10		9	2	9		
MAASTRICHT		2	0	2		9	9	9		
MADRID		62	0	95		9	57	9		
MUNCHENB		4	0	9		9	1	9		
OSLO		0	0	0		9	3	9		
TOCKHOLM		2	0	0		0	1	9		
/ALENTIA		0	0	0		9	9	9		
red	STOCKHO	LM VALEN	TIA							
rue										
BASEL		78	38							
BELGRADE		27	0							
BUDAPEST		10	0							
		_								