

Lecture 8: Emerging issues showcasing ongoing research


Analysis of imbalanced binary traits

Instructors: Joelle Mbatchou and Loic Yengo

Summer Institute in Statistical Genetics 2023

Binary traits with case-control imbalance

- ▶ Many conditions/diseases occur in a minor proportion of the population (i.e. low prevalence).
 - ▶ Celiac disease (CC ratio $\sim 1 : 180$)
 - ▶ Psoriasis (CC ratio $\sim 1 : 180$)
 - ▶ Rheumatoid arthritis (CC ratio $\sim 1 : 80$)
- ▶ There are much fewer cases than controls
→ unbalanced data

Zhou et al, *Nat. Gen.* 2018

High imbalance - Limitations in GWAS

Case-control imbalance can cause major challenges for GWAS:

- ▶ Analyzing them as quantitative can lead to substantial inflation
 - ▶ Linear model don't capture mean-variance relationship of binary data

$$\text{Linear : } E(Y_i) = \mu_i,$$


$$\text{Var}(Y_i) = \sigma^2$$

vs.

$$\text{Logistic : } E(Y_i) = \mu_i,$$

$$\text{Var}(Y_i) = \mu_i(1 - \mu_i)$$

Example using LMM on asthma phenotype

Chen et al., *AJHG* 2016

High imbalance - Limitations in GWAS

Case-control imbalance can cause major challenges for GWAS:




- ▶ Using logistic models is better but can still get inflated type 1 error
 - ▶ Asymptotic assumptions can become invalid with high case-control imbalance
- ▶ With sample structure, logistic mixed models can be computationally burdensome to run

Overcoming limitations: SAIGE




- ▶ Zhou et al. (2018) proposed SAIGE which used **efficient computational strategies** (e.g. pre-conditioned conjugate gradient, compact storage of genotypes) to fit logistic mixed models
- ▶ In addition, they introduce **Saddlepoint Approximation (SPA)** as a way to obtain more accurate p-values under high case-control imbalance
 - ▶ SPA approximates the null distribution by using all of the higher order moments, i.e. $E(X^k)$, instead of traditional approaches which only look at first two moments

Overcoming limitations: SAIGE

c Glaucoma **CC 1:89**



d Thyroid cancer **CC 1:1,138**



• Known loci • Potentially novel loci

Zhou et al, *Nat. Gen.* 2018

Challenges with SAIGE

- ▶ Still highly intensive when 1,000's of phenotypes have to be analyzed such as in large scale biobanks
- ▶ Effect sizes from SPA can be quite inflated for rare variants

REGENIE extension for binary traits

- ▶ Switch linear ridge regression model to logistic ridge model
 - ▶ Remains computationally efficient due to the two-level approach in Step 1 to reduce number of predictors (e.g. 500K to 2,500)
- ▶ To accommodate for case-control imbalance when performing association tests, REGENIE uses Firth penalization:

$$\tilde{\ell}(\beta) = \ell(\beta) + 0.5 \log |I(\beta)|$$


- ▶ This has been shown to be effective at addressing issues with quasi/complete separation (e.g. no minor alleles in cases)
 - ▶ An approximate implementation has been derived in REGENIE to make it faster (60x) while still remaining accurate

Computational Timing

Table 2 | Computational performance of REGENIE-Firth, REGENIE-SPA and SAIGE when analyzing 50 binary traits with UK Biobank data

Method	Step	Benchmark		
		CPU time (h)	Elapsed time (h)	Memory usage (GB)
REGENIE	1	1,590	117	11.8
REGENIE-LOOCV	1	777	108	19.5
REGENIE-Firth	2	115,492	8,237	7.7
REGENIE-SPA	2	79,363	5,090	9.1
SAIGE	1	275,070	21,428	48.7
SAIGE	2	239,865	173,992	2.1


**>4x faster
(>350x for
Step 1!)**




Effect Sizes Estimation

b Null SNPs


CC ratio 1:9



CC ratio 1:99



CC ratio 1:999



Future directions

- ▶ Using more flexible models which don't assume same prior for variant effects to gain power (e.g. spike and slab prior)
- ▶ Generalize to other phenotypic measurements
 - ▶ Count data (e.g. number of occurrences of a specific outcome)
 - ▶ Time-to-Event data (e.g. time until disease occurrence)